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## SECTION 1. FISHERIES MANAGEMENT

## 1.1) General objectives of the FMEP.

The objective of this Fish Management and Evaluation Plan (FMEP) is to harvest known, hatchery origin spring chinook and other fish species in a manner that does not jeopardize the survival and recovery of listed spring chinook in the Upper Willamette River (UWR) Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU). This FMEP includes all freshwater sport and commercial fisheries which affect or could potentially affect upper Willamette River spring chinook salmon in the Willamette Basin and lower Columbia River. The primary focus is on fisheries which target unlisted hatchery spring chinook but this plan also considers the potential of other fisheries to affect this threatened ESU. Freshwater impacts are considered in light of expected ocean fishery impacts.

The overall management intent beginning in 2002 and beyond is to implement permanent angling regulations in all streams within the Willamette River Basin that require the release of all unmarked spring chinook salmon. Only adipose fin-clipped spring chinook may be retained in the fisheries.

### 1.1.1) List of the "Performance Indicators" for the management objectives.

Performance indicators include fish population indicators by which we assess the status of populations in the listed ESU to determine trends in abundance, risk thresholds, and the impacts of management actions including fisheries. The primary fish population indicators for listed Willamette spring chinook are spawning escapement estimates based on Leaburg Dam counts (McKenzie River population), North Fork Dam counts (Clackamas River population), and spawning area redd counts (North Santiam River population). Supplemental fish population performance indicators include adult and jack counts at Willamette Falls, juvenile abundance indices from collection facilities at North Fork Dam (Clackamas), and spawning ground redd count indices in the McKenzie and Clackamas rivers.

Performance indicators also include fishery indicators for monitoring fishery performance and regulating impacts within prescribed limits. The primary fishery indicators for Willamette spring chinook sport fisheries are statistical catch and handle estimates in stratified, random, roving creel surveys conducted in the
lower and upper Willamette mainstem and Clackamas River. Statistical creel surveys will also be conducted in the North Santiam and McKenzie rivers, providing funding is available, as fisheries are converted or reopened for adipose fin-clipped spring chinook. Secondary fishery indicators include catch rate, fishing effort, and catch composition (size, age, mark rates, coded-wire tags (CWT), etc.) associated with statistical creel survey and spot check programs, and annual catch record card data from voluntary harvest tag returns by anglers. Fishery indicators for commercial fisheries include total landings which are solicited in phone surveys and reported on fish receiving tickets. Commercial fishery catch composition is also obtained by subsampling a portion of the catch at commercial fish buyer sites.

### 1.1.2) Description of the relationship and consistency of harvest management with artificial propagation programs.

An estimated $85-95 \%$ of current spring chinook returns to the Willamette Basin are fish that were spawned, reared, and released from hatcheries. Wild spring chinook historically spawned in the Clackamas River and in nearly all east side tributaries upstream from Willamette Falls. Dams constructed from 1952-1968 on all major east-side tributaries upstream from Willamette Falls block over 400 stream miles including at least half of the important spawning or rearing areas for spring chinook. Dam passage, flow, and temperature effects also reduce productivity of spring chinook populations in all remaining natural spawning areas including the Clackamas, McKenzie, and North Santiam rivers.

Five large hatcheries were built or modernized to mitigate for lost or reduced runs caused by these dams. The hatcheries currently release about 5 million spring chinook smolts per year. The four hatcheries upstream from Willamette Falls (Marion Forks, South Santiam, McKenzie, and Willamette) are predominately funded by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. Clackamas Hatchery is funded by Portland General Electric, the City of Portland, the State of Oregon, and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Hatchery programs historically released spring chinook directly from the facilities and in natural production areas such as the upper McKenzie and Clackamas basins. Hatchery stocks were often transferred among hatcheries to meet production goals. Only a subsample of releases were marked to provide information on survival rates, hatchery practices, and fishery contribution.

Concurrent with heightened concerns for wild fish populations, hatchery practices have been revised to minimize wild fish impacts. Hatchery fish are no longer released in natural production areas. For instance, no hatchery spring chinook have been outplanted into the upper Clackamas since 1985 and in the upper McKenzie since 1990. Hatchery releases are now localized to sites where straying into natural production areas is minimized and fishery opportunities are optimized.

In addition, all hatchery-reared fish are now externally marked with an adipose fin clip which distinguishes them from wild fish. Marking will allow fisheries to take hatchery fish while releasing wild fish and will allow removal of hatchery fish straying into wild production areas. The expanded hatchery fish marking program
was phased in beginning in 1997 with the 1996 brood. Over $40 \%$ of the 1996 brood year smolts were adipose fin-clipped with over $90 \%$ of the Marion Forks and McKenzie hatchery production adipose fin-clipped. Almost 100\% of 1997 brood year smolts were adipose fin-clipped. Unclipped hatchery releases are now restricted to experimental CWT tagged groups used to estimate the net effect of selective fisheries in freshwater and the ocean (double index tagging).

Selective spring chinook fisheries for adipose fin-clipped hatchery fish will be phased in as returns of adipose fin-clipped hatchery fish allow. Inaugural selective fisheries in the lower Willamette and North Santiam rivers were set in 2000 when significant numbers of adipose fin-clipped 4 -year old fish began to return. Fisheries will continue to transition to $100 \%$ selectivity in year 2002 when all returning hatchery spring chinook will be adipose fin-clipped (except 6-year olds). Hatchery fish marking will also allow selective fisheries to reopen in 2001 in the McKenzie River where the spring chinook fishery was restricted to finmarked fish only in 1995 and 1996, and closed from 1997 to 2000. Selective fisheries for hatchery fish in tributaries will reduce the numbers of hatchery spring chinook available to potentially stray into natural production areas.

Willamette Basin spring chinook hatchery programs are addressed by a final biological opinion issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on July 14, 2000. This opinion found that UWR spring chinook will not be jeopardized by hatchery operations which continue to mark hatchery fish, take advantage of $100 \%$ hatchery marking to exclude hatchery fish from natural production areas, maintain locally-adapted hatchery stocks, and limit numbers and release locations of hatchery fish to reduce adverse ecological effects.

Other Willamette Basin hatchery programs release steelhead, resident trout, and coho salmon. These programs contribute to fishing opportunities for these species and all have been substantially modified to address wild fish concerns. For instance, most releases of catchable trout in running waters where fisheries might incidentally catch spring chinook smolts have been eliminated. Similarly, hatchery coho, fall chinook, and winter steelhead releases above Willamette Falls have been eliminated because these species were not native or could affect the native stocks. The NMFS biological opinion on hatchery operations found that operations of these other hatchery programs will not jeopardize the continued existence of listed ESU's in the basin.

### 1.1.3) General description of the relationship between the FMEP objectives and Federal tribal trust obligations.

Willamette spring chinook are not subject to Federal tribal trust obligations. Lower Columbia River sport and commercial fisheries for salmon during winter and early spring affect primarily Willamette and other lower river spring chinook stocks but also take some upriver spring chinook. For lower Columbia River sport and commercial fisheries during winter and spring, this fishery management plan addresses only Willamette spring chinook impacts. Upriver spring chinook are subject to Federal tribal trust obligations and impacts on upriver spring chinook stocks are jointly managed by the four Columbia River treaty Indian tribes, the federal government, and the states of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho
under continuing court jurisdiction in U. S. v. Oregon. That process is addressed in a separate consultation described in more detail in following sections.

Willamette Basin fisheries are not subject to treaty Indian fisheries. During some years with significant hatchery returns (1994-1996 and 1998), annual agreements have been reached between the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and four Columbia River treaty tribes (Warms Springs, Yakama, Nez Perce, and Umatilla) to conduct dip net fisheries for spring chinook at Willamette Falls. By stipulation of these agreements, no stated or implied treaty Indian fishing rights are established in the Willamette Basin. Catches were 759 in 1994 when low flows provided early access to fishing platforms below Willamette Falls. Catches in other years ranged from 0 to 29. Impacts of any such future fisheries are included within those addressed by this FMEP.

## 1.2) Fishery management area(s).

### 1.2.1) Description of the geographic boundaries of the management area of this FMEP.

This management plan includes all freshwater fisheries which affect or could potentially affect upper Willamette River spring chinook salmon in the lower Columbia River and the Willamette Basin. Included are all freshwater fisheries managed under the sole jurisdiction of the state of Oregon occurring within the boundaries of the Willamette Basin including the Clackamas River basin, the mainstem Willamette River to its mouth at the Columbia River and Multnomah Channel to it's mouth at the Columbia River (Figure 1). Also included are the Willamette spring chinook impacts in lower Columbia River mainstem sport and commercial fisheries during winter and spring (January-May) between the Columbia River mouth and the Willamette River mouth. This plan includes both Willamette Basin and lower Columbia fisheries affecting or potentially affecting UWR spring chinook because these fisheries are addressed jointly in management and catch allocation processes and the impacts in one area cannot be considered independent of the other.

Lower Columbia River mainstem sport and commercial fisheries which affect upriver spring chinook destined for areas upstream from Bonneville Dam are also regulated by $U$. S. v. Oregon management processes involving the states of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho, the Federal government, and the Columbia River treaty Indian tribes. Impacts on upriver spring chinook by Columbia River mainstem sport and commercial fisheries during winter and spring are addressed under a Section 7 consultation process.

Ocean fisheries which affect listed UWR spring chinook include Southeast Alaska and Canadian troll fisheries which are regulated by Pacific Salmon Commission processes. Because of their early run timing, significant numbers of listed UWR spring chinook are seldom taken in Oregon and Washington coastal sport and commercial fisheries regulated by Pacific Fishery Management Council processes. Ocean fishery impacts are addressed by a Section 7 process although impacts of those fisheries on UWR spring chinook are considered in the cumulative risk assessment for Willamette Basin fisheries.


Figure 1. Geographic boundaries of the management area of this FMEP (dotted line) and locations of the 3 listed wild populations of upper Willamette River spring chinook salmon (shaded circles).


Figure 2. Recent 10-year average passage timing of spring chinook at Willamette Falls.

## 1D2: ${ }^{2}$ ription of the time periods in which fisheries occur within the management area.

Fisheries occur within the management area throughout the period of freshwater residence by adult and juvenile UWR spring chinook. Fisheries targeting adult spring chinook occur primarily around the peak of the freshwater migration and gradually follow the fish upriver from March through July. Fisheries targeting other species occur year-round. No fisheries target juvenile spring chinook.

Adult UWR spring chinook return to freshwater earlier than other Columbia basin chinook, with returns beginning around the first of January, increasing to peak numbers in late March, and entry tapering off by mid-May. Fish begin entering the Willamette Basin in significant numbers in March. Migration of adults through Multnomah Channel and the Willamette mainstem downstream from Willamette Falls peaks in late April or early May and is mostly complete by July (Figure 2). Significant numbers of spring chinook begin entering the lower Clackamas River in April. Spring chinook begin passing the Clackamas River dams in May but peak passage into spawning areas does not occur until September. Adults pass Leaburg Dam on the McKenzie River from May through mid October with peak passage in late May or early June and a smaller peak in September. Spawning occurs primarily in September and October.

Willamette spring chinook have a life history pattern that includes traits from both ocean- and stream-type life histories (NMFS 2000c). Smolt emigrations occur in fall as young of the year and in spring as age-1 fish. Many of the fall migrants may continue to rear in freshwater until the following spring before migrating to the ocean.

Fisheries and time periods are listed in Table 1 and described in more detail below. Sport fishery descriptions and dates are as prescribed in current sport fishing regulations with proposed or anticipated changes in the 2001-2004 public angling regulation process. Commercial fishery descriptions and dates reflect past practice and future expectations.

Table 1. Significant fisheries occurring within the Willamette spring chinook Management Area.

| Fishery | Area | Typical open dates | Peak period | Effect ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring chinook |  | Sport |  |  |
|  | Lower Columbia R. | Jan 1 - Mar $31^{3}$ | Mar | A |
|  | Columbia R. select areas | Year-round | Feb - Apr | $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Mar - May | A |
|  | Upper Willamette R. | Apr $1-$ Oct $31{ }^{3}$ | Apr - Jun | A |
|  | Lower Clackamas R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | May - Jul | A |
|  | Santiam R. | Jan $1-\operatorname{Aug} 15^{3}$ | Jun - Jul | A |
|  | McKenzie R. | Closed until 2001 | Jun - Jul | A |
|  | Middle Fork Willamette R. | Year-round | Jun - Jul | $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ |
| Winter steelhead | Lower Columbia R. | Jan 1 - Mar $31{ }^{3}$ | Nov - Mar | B |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Nov - Mar | B |
|  | Clackamas R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Nov - Mar | B |
|  | Santiam R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Jan - Feb | D |
| Summer steelhead | Lower Columbia R. | May 16 - Dec 31 | May - Aug | B |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Mar - Jun | B |
|  | Upper Willamette R. | Apr 1 - Oct $31{ }^{3}$ | Jun - Aug | B |
|  | Clackamas R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | May - Sep | B |
|  | Santiam R. | Year-round | Apr - Aug | B |
|  | McKenzie R. | Year-round | May - Oct | B |
| Shad | Lower Columbia R. | Year-round | May - Jul | B |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | Year-round | May - Jul | B |
| Sturgeon | Lower Columbia R. | Year-round ${ }^{3}$ | Year-round | D |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | Year-round | Mar - Jun | D |
|  | Upper Willamette R. | Year-round | Jun - Sep | D |
| Resident trout | Lower Columbia R. | Jan 1 - Mar 31, May 27-Dec 31 | None | C |
|  | Lower Willamette R. | May 27 - Oct 31 | None | C |
|  | Upper Willamette R. | Year-round or Apr $22-$ Oct 31 | None | C |
|  | Upper Clackamas R. | May 27 - Oct 31 | May - Aug | C |
|  | Santiam R. | May 27 - Oct 31 | May - Aug | C |
|  | McKenzie R. | Year-round or Apr 22 - Oct 31 | May - Aug | C |
|  | Middle Fork Willamette R. | April 22 - Oct 31 | May - Aug | $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ |
|  | Standing waters | Year-round | Year-round | $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ |
| Warmwater species | Lower Columbia River | Year-round | Jun - Aug | D |
|  | Willamette mainstem | Year-round | Jun - Aug | D |
|  | Standing waters | Year-round | May - Sep | $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ |
| Coho salmon | Lower Willamette R. | Sep 1 - Oct 31 | Sep - Oct | D |
|  | Clackamas R. | Sep 1 - Oct 31 | Sep - Oct | D |
|  | Eagle Creek | Sep 1 - Nov 30 | Sep - Nov | D |
| Commercial / Other |  |  |  |  |
| Spring chinook | Lower Columbia River | Determined annually | Feb - Mar | A |
|  | Columbia R. select areas | Determined annually | Feb - Jun | A |
| Sturgeon | Lower Columbia River | Determined annually | Year-round | B |
| Smelt | Lower Columbia River | Determined annually | Dec - Mar | D |
| Lamprey | Willamette Falls | Jun 1 - Aug 31 | July | D |

${ }^{I} A=$ spring chinook target fishery, $B=$ potential for incidental encounter of spring chinook adults, $C=$ limited potential for incidental encounter of spring chinook juveniles, $D=$ spring chinook not encountered.
${ }^{2}$ Wild spring chinook not present in system.
${ }^{3}$ Regulations sometimes modified based on year-specific expectations and goals.

Sport spring chinook fishery - lower Columbia River: In the lower Columbia River, Willamette fish mix with other Columbia and Snake basin spring chinook stocks. Significant numbers of primarily hatchery fish are produced in Washington tributaries of the lower Columbia River including the Cowlitz, Kalama, and Lewis rivers. A large but predominately hatchery run is also produced upstream from Bonneville Dam. The upriver run includes endangered upper Columbia River spring chinook and threatened Snake River spring chinook. The spring chinook sport fishery from the Columbia River mouth to the I-5 Bridge is open under permanent regulations from January 1 through March 31. During most recent years, the fishery has closed effective March 11 to protect upriver spring chinook which typically begin to show after that date. The fishery has also been extended into April when impacts on upriver spring chinook allow. The states of Washington and Oregon individually set regulations concerning sport fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River. However, the regulations are normally identical.

Sport spring chinook fishery - Columbia River Select Areas: Small sport fisheries for spring chinook occur in "Select Areas" of the lower Columbia River including Youngs Bay, Blind Slough, and Tongue Point (Figure 3). Select Areas are offchannel bays and sloughs where terminal fisheries are conducted for hatchery salmon which were reared and released from net pens, primarily to provide commercial fishing opportunities. Select areas are open to sport fishing under permanent regulations for the entire year to maximize opportunity on returns from net-pen release programs. Impacts to non-local chinook are expected to be minimal. The fishery is small ( $<1,000$ angler trips per year in the spring).


Figure 3. Spring season select area fishery sites.

Sport spring chinook fishery - Willamette Basin: Fisheries for spring chinook salmon can occur in Multnomah Channel and the lower Willamette River upstream to Willamette Falls, lower Clackamas River from the mouth to River Mill Dam, upper Willamette River from the Falls to the mouth of the McKenzie River, Molalla River, Santiam River and Forks, McKenzie River, and the Middle Fork of the Willamette River. Fisheries in the Willamette mainstem below and above the Falls, the lower Clackamas River, the lower mainstem and North Fork of the Santiam River, and the lower McKenzie River may incidentally intercept wild spring chinook. Chinook fisheries are open year-round or reopen under permanent regulations on January 1 in most areas and commence as fish begin entering the area beginning with Multnomah Channel and the lower Willamette River in February and March. Fisheries in tributaries near spawning areas typically close in August to protect spawners.

Sport winter steelhead fisheries: Fisheries for winter steelhead occur in the Willamette Basin from November through May. Fisheries are restricted to adipose fin-clipped hatchery steelhead and occur primarily in the lower Willamette River, lower Clackamas River, and Eagle Creek. Fisheries for hatchery winter steelhead upstream of Willamette Falls have been eliminated as hatchery winter steelhead are no longer released in the upper basin. Fisheries targeting winter steelhead are concentrated from December through February when spring chinook are not present. However, winter steelhead are taken incidental to spring chinook salmon fisheries in the lower Willamette mainstem from February through May. Steelhead fisheries are typically closed with spring chinook fisheries to avoid incidental spring chinook catch.

Sport summer steelhead fisheries: Fisheries for summer steelhead occur in the lower Willamette mainstem, upper Willamette mainstem, lower Clackamas, Santiam, Middle Fork Willamette, and McKenzie rivers. Summer steelhead are not native to the Willamette Basin but hatchery fish are released in areas where winter steelhead are not present. Summer steelhead enter fisheries from March through October and most of the catch occurs from May through August. Spring chinook adults may be encountered by summer steelhead anglers as both are present at the same time. The Columbia River from the mouth to the I-5 Bridge does not open to angling for hatchery steelhead until May 16 which is after the vast majority of Willamette spring chinook have passed upstream.

Sport shad fisheries: Significant shad fisheries occur in the lower Willamette River from May through July. The fishery is concentrated at Oregon City downstream from Willamette Falls and in Multnomah Channel. The shad fishery in the Oregon City area is sampled with a statistical creel survey and angler trips average about 11,000 per year. The Multnomah Channel fishery is minor in comparison to the Oregon City fishery. The onset of the shad run coincides with the tail end of the spring chinook run through the Oregon City area and small numbers of adult spring chinook are hooked in the shad fishery. These impacts are considered with target spring chinook fishery impacts. Shad fishing gear is much lighter than salmon gear which reduces the landing rate but some adult spring chinook are landed. Hatchery spring chinook predominate in the late run time period because wild adults tend to migrate upstream earlier. Shad fisheries
also occur in the Columbia River mainstem but do not affect Willamette spring chinook because the vast majority of the run has already passed upriver. The recreational shad fishery is open year-round with no bag limits.

Sport sturgeon fisheries: Significant sturgeon fisheries occur in the lower Columbia and Willamette rivers. Sturgeon are also present in the Willamette mainstem above the falls as far upriver as Dexter on the Willamette Middle Fork and sporadic sturgeon effort is present. The fishery is generally open year-round and legal sturgeon retention sizes are 42 to 60 inches. Sturgeon anglers fish with bait on the bottom and use very large hooks to catch these large fish. In the lower Columbia River significant effort occurs year-round. In the lower Willamette River, effort is concentrated from March through June. Most sturgeon fishing in the Willamette River is from boats near Willamette Falls and near the mouth although a significant bank fishery occurs at Oregon City. Sturgeon fisheries in the Columbia and Willamette are sampled with a statistical creel survey. Angler trips average about 200,000 per year in the lower Columbia mainstem and 6,000 per year in the lower Willamette River. Most sturgeon fishing occurs after spring chinook have passed upstream. Spring chinook impacts in sturgeon fisheries are zero.

Sport resident trout fisheries: Fisheries for resident trout occur in tributaries and standing waters throughout the Willamette Basin. Plants of hatchery reared trout for put-and-take fisheries are now largely restricted to standing waters and streams without anadromous fish to avoid impacts on steelhead and salmon smolts and on resident trout populations. Many of these plants and fisheries now occur above or in the same reservoirs whose dams block historic salmon migrations.

Significant trout fisheries where spring chinook are present include the upper Clackamas, McKenzie, and Santiam rivers but impacts on spring chinook are negligible. Age 0 spring chinook parr are too small to be vulnerable to trout fisheries. Smolts are protected by a series of closed season, size, and gear restrictions. Trout season openers in running waters where salmon and steelhead are present are postponed until late April or May after smolts have passed. Catch and release regulations or minimum size limits are also in effect in tributaries where wild trout, steelhead, and salmon populations might be affected.

Creel survey data confirms that catch of spring chinook is very low in trout fisheries. For instance, a 1988 statistical creel survey program in the upper Clackamas basin from the season opener on April 23 until May 27, estimated that only 100 spring chinook smolts were caught in 37,500 angler trips for a total of 104,000 hours. Catch of hatchery trout totaled 21,000. Approximately $53 \%$ of the angler trips were made in North Fork Reservoir with the remainder in the freeflowing river upstream. Regulations in this area have subsequently been changed. The trout season opener has been delayed until late May (May 27 in 2000) and trout retention is allowed only in North Fork Reservoir. Catch and release regulations have been implemented in the upper Clackamas River and tributaries.

Sport warmwater fisheries: Significant fisheries occur in the Columbia River mainstem, Multnomah Channel, Willamette River mainstem, and lower sections of some large tributaries for warmwater game species including largemouth bass, smallmouth bass, channel catfish, crappie, bluegill, and walleye. Warmwater fisheries also occur in standing waters throughout the basin. Spring chinook impacts in warmwater fisheries are nil. In the Columbia River, warmwater fisheries focus on off-channel, near-shore, and deep-water benthic areas where juvenile salmonids are not common. In the Willamette River and it's tributaries, warmwater fisheries are concentrated in backwaters and sloughs which are not hospitable rearing areas for juvenile salmonids. Spring chinook are not present in standing waters where warmwater fisheries occur. Fisheries are also most active during warm summer months after spring migrant juvenile chinook have left the system and before fall migrant juvenile chinook disperse downstream from rearing areas. Since warmwater species potentially prey on and compete with juvenile spring chinook, warmwater fisheries could actually provide some marginal benefit for listed salmon if the warmwater catch were significant.

Sport coho salmon fisheries: Fisheries for adipose fin-clipped hatchery coho salmon destined for Eagle Creek National Fish Hatchery occur in the lower Clackamas River and Eagle Creek from September to November of some years. These coho fisheries do not encounter adult spring chinook which have all passed into upstream spawning areas or have died by this time. Juvenile spring chinook are rare in the lower Clackamas River and Eagle Creek during this time and are not vulnerable to the fishing gear used for adult coho.

Commercial spring chinook fisheries: Winter commercial salmon fisheries occur from the Columbia River mouth upstream to Kelley Point near the mouth of the Willamette River. These fisheries currently target a small allocation of Willamette spring chinook and are severely constrained by limitations on impacts to listed upriver spring chinook stocks. Since 1968, the general management time frame for the winter season has been February 15 to March 10. The gear is restricted to an 8 -inch minimum mesh size to avoid incidental handle of winter steelhead. In 2002 and beyond, the intent is to harvest only adipose finclipped spring chinook using tangle nets. If the use of tangle nets is not proven to be a valid approach, the fishery may use more traditional gear, but structured so that the impacts specified in the FMEP are not exceeded for this fishery.

Commercial spring chinook fishery - Select Areas. These terminal fisheries occur with $8 "$ minimum mesh size gill nets during the spring in Youngs Bay, Tongue Point, and Blind Slough. Fisheries are for Willamette stock spring chinook which have been reared and released from a cooperative county, state, and industrysupported net-pen research program with a goal of $100 \%$ harvest of returning adults. The Youngs Bay program has operated since 1990 with a fishing area that extends from the Highway 101 Bridge upstream to the confluence of the Youngs and Klaskanine rivers. The fishery traditionally occurred during late-April through mid-June. However, beginning in 1998, a successful experimental, limited, full-fleet fishery began in mid-February through early-March targeting returning age- 5 chinook. The net pen program was expanded in 1995 to include the Tongue Point basin and Blind Slough, where the first fisheries were set in

1998 during late-April to early-June. In 1999, the Tongue Point fishing area was expanded to include South Channel, and the Blind Slough fishing area was expanded to include Knappa Slough from the mouth of Blind Slough to the east end of Minaker Island. Effort in select areas is relatively small with as many as 75 commercial fishers expected to fish at least once, but only 30 expected to participate on a regular basis. Impacts on non-local chinook stocks are small. Any impacts on wild Willamette spring chinook are lumped in this plan with impacts in the lower Columbia River mainstem spring chinook commercial fishery.

Commercial sturgeon fisheries: Winter commercial sturgeon fisheries occur in January and February. The fishery occurs between the Columbia River mouth and Beacon Rock but most effort occurs upstream from the Willamette River. Gill net mesh size is restricted to 9 -inch minimum and $93 / 4$-inch maximum to avoid non-legal sturgeon and other species including spring chinook. Fishing periods during January and February provide commercial access to a harvestable sturgeon population with minimal impact on salmonid runs. Any impacts of this fishery on Willamette spring chinook are considered with those of the commercial salmon fishery.

Commercial smelt fisheries: Under permanent regulations, the commercial smelt fishery operates seven days per week from December 1 through March 31. However, the season has been reduced or replaced with a test fishery since 1995 because of recent poor returns. The fishery occurs in the lower mainstem Columbia River and Washington tributaries. Gear includes small otter trawls, gill nets with a maximum of two-inch mesh size, and hand dip nets. This fishery does not affect spring chinook adults or juveniles. The few adults present during this time easily avoid the gear. Juvenile spring chinook are not present at the times and places of the smelt fishery.

Lamprey fisheries: A small fishery occurs for lamprey at the base of Willamette Falls from June 1 through August 31. Lamprey are taken for bait, biological specimens, or food. Subsistence uses are primarily by Native Americans. Lamprey may be collected by hand or hand powered tools from a rocky area on the East side of the river below the Falls during daylight hours. The fishery is monitored using free permits. This fishery does not affect spring chinook. Lamprey are able to ascend small trickles and damp spots and hold in pools at the Falls which spring chinook cannot reach as spring flows subside.

## 1.3) Listed salmon and steelhead affected within the Fishery Management Area specified in section 1.2.

Listed salmon and steelhead present in Willamette Basin include upper Willamette River spring chinook (threatened effective May 24, 1999), upper Willamette River steelhead (threatened effective May 24, 1999), and lower Columbia River steelhead (threatened effective May 18, 1998). The presence of naturally spawning fall chinook salmon in the lower Clackamas River is unclear but if present, these fish would be included in the lower Columbia River chinook salmon ESU (threatened effective May 24, 1999). Listed salmon and steelhead present in the lower Columbia River during the winter/spring time
period considered by this plan also include Snake River spring/summer chinook (threatened effective May 22, 1992) and upper Columbia spring chinook (endangered effective May 24, 1999).

This plan considers fishery impacts solely on listed upper Willamette River spring chinook. This ESU includes all naturally spawned populations of spring-run chinook upstream from Willamette Falls and in the Clackamas River. Significant natural populations occur in the Clackamas, McKenzie, and possibly North Santiam rivers. Historically, wild spring chinook existed in the South Santiam and Middle Fork Willamette rivers. However, due to construction of dams, which eliminated most of the habitat historically available, and the inability to distinguish between hatchery- and wildorigin spring chinook on the spawning grounds, it is unknown if natural populations currently exist in these two rivers. Similarly, it is also unknown if the remnant, native population of spring chinook in the Molalla River still exists because of substantial habitat degradation and the effects of naturally-spawning hatchery fish. Wild spring chinook are commingled with spring chinook released at hatcheries located on the Clackamas, N. Fork Santiam, S. Fork Santiam, McKenzie, and Middle Fork Willamette rivers. The NMFS designated these 5 hatchery stocks as part of the ESU but not essential for recovery, and not listed. These hatchery stocks were deemed to represent a resource of native genetic material and are available to support recovery efforts.

Fishery impacts in the lower Columbia River on all listed stocks not including upper Willamette spring chinook are addressed by other plans or consultation processes. Fishery impacts in the Willamette Basin on listed upper Willamette River steelhead, lower Columbia River steelhead, and lower Columbia River chinook salmon are considered in separate Fish Management and Evaluation Plans prepared by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. The upper Willamette River steelhead ESU includes native winter-run populations from Willamette Falls to and including the Calapooia River. Naturally-spawning steelhead populations from the Willamette River mouth to Willamette Falls including the Clackamas River are included in the lower Columbia River steelhead ESU.

### 1.3.1Description of "critical" and "viable" thresholds for each population (or management unit) consistent with the concepts in the document "Viable Salmonid Populations and the Recovery of Evolutionarily Significant Units."

NMFS defines population performance in terms of abundance, productivity, spatial structure, and diversity and provides guidelines for each (McElhany et al. 2000). NMFS identifies abundance guidelines for critical and viable population thresholds. Critical thresholds are those below which populations are at relatively high risk of extinction. Critical population size guidelines are reached if a population is low enough to be subject to risks from: 1) depensatory processes, 2) genetic effects of inbreeding depression or fixation of deleterious mutations, 3) demographic stochasticity, or 4) uncertainty in status evaluations. If a population meets one critical threshold, it would be considered to be at a critically low level. Viability thresholds are those above which populations have negligible risk of extinction due to local factors. Viable population size guidelines are reached when a population is large enough to: 1) survive normal environmental variation, 2) allow compensatory processes to provide resilience to perturbation, 3) maintain
genetic diversity, 4) provide important ecological functions, and 5) not risk effects of uncertainty in status evaluations. A population must meet all viability population guidelines to be considered viable.

Productivity or population growth rate guidelines are reached when a population's productivity is such that: 1) abundance can be maintained above the viable level, 2) viability is independent of hatchery subsidy, 3) viability is maintained even during poor ocean conditions, 4) declines in abundance are not sustained, 5) life history traits are not in flux, and 6) conclusions are independent of uncertainty in parameter estimates. Spatial structure guidelines are reached when: 1) number of habitat patches is stable or increasing, 2) stray rates are stable, 3 ) marginally suitable habitat patches are preserved, 4) refuge source populations are preserved, and 5) uncertainty is taken into account. Diversity guidelines are reached when: 1) variation in life history, morphological, and genetic traits is maintained, 2) natural dispersal processes are maintained, 3) ecological variation is maintained, and 4) effects of uncertainty are considered.

This fishery management plan focuses primarily on abundance and productivity which are the two key performance features most directly affected by fishery impacts of the scale we propose. Spatial structure is generally a function of habitat size and distribution. Proposed fisheries do not affect habitat. The small fishery impact rates proposed also will not reduce population sizes to levels where spatial effects are exacerbated. Diversity concerns for UWR spring chinook are primarily related to the effects of natural spawning by hatchery fish. The small proposed fishery impact rates on wild fish are not expected to exert sufficient selection pressure on any single characteristic to affect diversity. See section 2.1.2 for a more detailed discussion of why the harvest regime is not likely to result in changes to biological characteristics of the affected ESU's.

The NMFS provides limited guidance on fish numbers corresponding to critical and viability thresholds. They discuss hypothetical risks related to genetic processes effective at annual spawning population ranging from 50 to several thousand individuals. They also suggest that spawner numbers of 200-250 to 1,100-1,375 per year might be considered "safe" for spring-summer chinook (McElhany et al. 2000).

Based on review of the conservation biology literature and discussions in McElhany et al. (2000), we defined a critical threshold of 300 spawners per year for the Clackamas and North Santiam spring chinook populations and 600 spawners per year for the McKenzie spring chinook population. The purpose of establishing these critical levels for abundance is to provide a threshold for fisheries management so that if a population drops below the critical level, additional fishery restrictions (other than the conservative management regime specified in section 1.4) may be warranted. Spawner numbers of 300 or greater appear sufficient to avoid detrimental short term genetic and demographic effects. A critical threshold of 300 spawners per year is also consistent with minimum guidelines defined by State Wild Fish Management and Wild Fish Gene Resource Conservation Policies (OAR 635-07-52 and OAR 635-07-538). The McKenzie spring chinook critical threshold was increased from 300 to 600 to provide an
added safety factor for this key remnant population and to reflect the greater size of the available spring chinook habitat in the McKenzie River than in the Clackamas and North Santiam rivers. Because of the uncertainties of habitat capacity and productivity, the critical levels for the McKenzie population are more conservative. Fisheries affecting this population would likely be restricted even further if the McKenzie River population drops below 600 spawners per year, even though this level may be higher than is needed to avoid detrimental genetic and demographic effects. These critical thresholds may change in the future as more information becomes available and as further analyses are conducted through formal recovery planning efforts.

We also defined critical productivity indicators based on cohort replacement rate and abrupt declines in run size of a wild population. We defined a critical replacement rate to be the short term average replacement rate (3-year avg. spawners per spawner) projected to result in less than the critical threshold number of spawners within 3 years. Periodic poor cohorts are inevitable but an extended sequence of poor survival should trigger consideration of more conservative management strategies and this consideration should be tied to fish numbers. Poor cohort survivals are expected at very large escapements because the available habitat is overseeded. Poor replacement rates under these conditions should not trigger a conservative management response. Fishery closures after critical low escapement levels are reached provide limited benefits because too few fish are affected at low run sizes to substantially increase escapement. However, more conservative fishery management during extended declines in abundance such as those associated with poor ocean productivity cycles might help reduce the depth of decline and help avoid critical low population sizes where the damage is already done. For added protection, we also defined a critical productivity threshold corresponding to an abrupt decline in escapement. We defined abrupt as greater than a $50 \%$ decline relative to the recent year average. This indicator would flag significant declines in survival conditions which might warrant preemptive management actions in anticipation of a continuing downward trend.

Definition of an appropriate viability threshold depends largely on the capacity and productivity of the available habitat and the corresponding population size where compensatory population processes begin to provide resilience. Habitat capacity and productivity for wild Willamette River spring chinook salmon under current conditions are unknown. These parameters can be estimated from time series data of spawners and recruits but we lack suitable historic population data independent of hatchery effects. Changes in hatchery practices and the institution of appropriate monitoring programs will provide the necessary information in the future but preliminary estimates of productivity and capacity will require a minimum of 5 to 10 years of age-specific escapement data.

ODFW subbasin plans have defined interim escapement goals for the McKenzie and Clackamas subbasins based on optimistic assumptions of full seeding capacity but these goals are difficult to reconcile with observed spawning escapement levels. The interim Willamette Basin Plan escapement goal for the McKenzie River above Leaburg Dam is $3,000-5,000$ spawners. Pristine
production may have been as high as 10,000 to 40,000 , although substantial habitat improvements would be required to again achieve pristine production levels (NMFS 2000c). Leaburg Dam counts since 1970 include many hatchery fish and reached 7,000 fish but generally ranged from 1,500 to 4,000 . The interim escapement goal in the Clackamas subbasin plan is 2,900 . However, historic wild run sizes were generally stable at 400 to 800 fish over North Fork Dam before Clackamas Hatchery operations and the development of a significant lower Clackamas River fishery.

The NMFS' Viable Salmonid Populations guidelines include multiple cautions about the effects of uncertainty in population assessments and also recommend an adaptive management approach for reducing uncertainty (McElhany et al. 2000). Based on the limitations of the available data and these recommendations, we defined interim population viability standards based on a generally increasing population trend and the expected escapements sufficient to identify population capacity and productivity with an effective monitoring plan. Prospects for observing the large escapements needed to plumb the limits of subbasin capacity were evaluated with a population viability analysis which estimated the likelihood of observing large escapements under the proposed fishing plan and normal variation in survival. This analysis is summarized in Section 2.1 and is detailed in Appendix C.

Long term viability thresholds would include an average spawner abundance greater than $50 \%$ of subbasin capacity where capacity is defined based on the smaller of replacement spawner abundance (i.e. the intersection of the stockrecruitment curve and the $1: 1$ replacement line) or spawner number at maximum recruitment (see Appendix C). We base this threshold on average rather than minimum abundance because we readily recognize the inherent variability in salmon population dynamics. Long term viability thresholds also include a productivity standard equivalent to a long term average replacement rate of 1.0 (i.e. a stable population size).

In the other areas within the ESU where natural production may occur (i.e Molalla, South Santiam, and Middle Fork Willamette rivers), there is insufficient information at this time to determine if natural populations exist in these areas and to define critical and viable thresholds. Significant habitat losses have occurred in these rivers and the available information suggests the abundance of natural spawners is low and limited to specific areas. The presence of unmarked hatchery fish on the spawning grounds has also confounded estimates of the abundance of naturally-produced, wild fish.

| Table 2. List of the natural fish populations, "Viable Salmonid Population" thresholds, and associated hatchery stocks for natural populations of upper Willamette spring chinook. Note: these are interim designations and may change in the future as more information and analyses become available. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Hatchery Stock |  |
| Population | Critical Thresholds | Viable Thresholds | Associated | Essential for recovery? |
| McKenzie | Abundance: 600 spawning adults/year <br> Productivity: Short term avg. replacement rate (3-year avg. spawners per spawner) projected to result in less than critical threshold number of spawners within 3 years (or) Abrupt declines in escapement ( $>50 \%$ in one year) relative to recent year average) | Interim <br> Abundance: periodic escapements sufficiently large to estimate capacity \& productivity <br> Productivity: generally increasing trend <br> Long term <br> Abundance: average spawner numbers $>50 \%$ of basin capacity defined under interim strategy <br> Productivity: long term avg. replacement rate $=1$ | McKenzie ${ }^{1}$ | No |
| Clackamas | Abundance: 300 spawning adults/year <br> Productivity: Short term avg. replacement rate (3-year avg. spawners per spawner) projected to result in less than critical threshold number of spawners within 3 years (or) Abrupt declines in escapement ( $>50 \%$ in one year) relative to recent year average) | Interim <br> Abundance: periodic escapements sufficiently large to estimate capacity \& productivity <br> Productivity: generally increasing trend <br> Long term <br> Abundance: average spawner numbers $>50 \%$ of basin capacity defined under interim strategy <br> Productivity: long term avg. replacement rate $=1$ | Clackamas ${ }^{1}$ | No |
| North Santiam | Abundance: 300 spawning adults/year <br> Productivity: Short term avg. replacement rate (3-year avg. spawners per spawner) projected to result in less than critical threshold number of spawners within 3 years (or) Abrupt declines in escapement ( $>50 \%$ in one year) relative to recent year average) | Interim <br> Abundance: periodic escapements sufficiently large to estimate capacity \& productivity <br> Productivity: generally increasing trend <br> Long term <br> Abundance: average spawner numbers $>50 \%$ of basin capacity defined under interim strategy Productivity: long term avg. replacement rate $=1$ | N. Santiam ${ }^{1}$ | No |

${ }^{I}$ Each wild population is associated with a subbasin hatchery stock. All other Willamette Basin hatchery stocks are commingled during a portion of the freshwater migration.

### 1.3.2) Description of the current status of each population (or management unit) relative to its "Viable Salmonid Population thresholds" described above. Include abundance and/or escapement estimates for as many years as possible.

Five major basins historically produced upper Willamette spring chinook including the Clackamas, North Santiam, South Santiam, McKenzie, and Middle Fork Willamette. Dams on the South Fork Santiam and Middle Fork Willamette eliminated wild spring chinook from those systems. Although there is still natural spawning in the South Santiam and Middle Fork, habitat quality is such that there is probably little resulting production and spawners are likely of hatchery origin (NMFS 2000b). The available habitat in the North Fork Santiam and McKenzie rivers was reduced to one quarter and two thirds, respectively of its original capacity and dam operations have reduced habitat quality in those areas due to thermal and flow effects (NMFS 2000b).

The McKenzie, Clackamas, and North Santiam rivers are the primary basins that continue to support natural production and the McKenzie is considered to be the most important of these (NMFS 2000b). Brief summaries of the current status of each of the three listed natural UWR spring chinook populations follow. A more detailed review of their status and the available data may be found in Appendix B.

The Clackamas and McKenzie wild populations appear to exceed critical and interim viability thresholds for abundance and productivity during recent years. The North Santiam population likely does not meet critical and interim viability thresholds for abundance and productivity. However, this is because of habitat limitations. Complete closure of fisheries affecting the North Santiam population compared to the harvest regime in this FMEP would not likely result in an appreciable increase in natural spawning because fishing impacts are relatively low (see Table 4 and Appendix B). Significant factors in the NMFS decision to list upper Willamette spring chinook as threatened included the presence of only two significant populations (McKenzie and the Clackamas) and the influence of hatchery-produced fish on those wild populations. This ESU thus would not meet spatial structure and diversity guidelines for population viability defined by the NMFS. The interaction of variable natural survival rates and high fishing rates can combine to reduce spatial structure and diversity of wild salmon populations under certain conditions. However, the spatial structure and diversity of Willamette wild spring chinook populations will be determined primarily by habitat quality, quantity, and connectivity. The selective fishing regime is expected to reduce any potential spatial and diversity effects from fishing because all unmarked fish will be released and the fishery will occur throughout the entire breadth of the run and not target only a segment of the return. The effects of the low fishing rates identified in this plan on spatial structure and diversity of the wild spring chinook populations are expected to be insignificant over the long term as long as abundance and productivity guidelines are met. Spatial structure guidelines must be addressed primarily by habitat measures. Diversity guidelines for Willamette spring chinook must be addressed primarily by hatchery measures.

McKenzie River: Recent wild spawning escapements in the McKenzie River exceed critical and interim viable thresholds for abundance and productivity. The McKenzie River historically produced $40 \%$ of the spring chinook above Willamette Falls and it may now account for half the production potential in the basin. An estimated $80 \%$ of the spawning by wild McKenzie River spring chinook occurs upstream from Leaburg Dam. Leaburg Dam fish counts before 1994 were directly affected by releases of hatchery spring chinook upstream from the dam (Figure 4). Since 1994, counts have ranged from 1,176 to an expected 2,700 in 2000. Leaburg counts of wild fish have increased from 825 to over 2,000 from 1994 to 2000 with a steadily increasing trend. Over that period, wild percentages in the Leaburg escapement have increased from $54 \%$ to $70-80 \%$. No quantitative estimates of wild population productivity can be derived from historic data because of the confounding effects of hatchery outplants. However, the increasing trend in wild numbers suggests that this population may be reproducing at a rate greater than replacement.


Figure 4. Spring chinook escapement into spawning areas of the McKenzie River.

Clackamas River: Recent wild spawning escapements in the Clackamas River exceed critical and viable thresholds for abundance and productivity. The Clackamas River currently accounts for about $20 \%$ of the production in the Willamette Basin. Most wild spring chinook spawn in the upper basin above the 3 dam complex located between river miles 23 and 30. Redd surveys conducted from 1996 through 1999 indicate spawning is widely distributed with about $75 \%$ in a 40 mile stretch of the mainstem upstream from the head of North Fork Reservoir, $15 \%$ in large tributaries of the upper mainstem, and $10 \%$ in the lower basin.

Counts at the uppermost dam (North Fork) provide an index of spawning escapement. Wild populations of spring chinook recolonized the upper Clackamas basin above the second dam (Faraday) after passage was reestablished in 1939 following a 22-year interruption. Counts of wild spring chinook averaged about 500 until 1981 when a large influx of hatchery fish from the newly built Clackamas Hatchery strayed past the hatchery and over North Fork Dam (Figure 5). Dam counts declined from a hatchery-influenced peak of 4,659 in 1991 to vary between 900 and an expected 1,800 in 2000.

Hatchery and wild fish cannot be distinguished until 2002 when all returning hatchery fish will have marks. However, it is thought reasonable to assume that escapement of naturally produced spring chinook in the Clackamas has ranged from about 500 to 1,500 fish during 1994-1999. North Fork Dam counts have followed a generally increasing trend from 1996 to 2000 and have increased in 3 of those 4 years. Relatively stable wild escapements from 1960 to 1980 and recent comparable wild escapements suggest that this population has maintained a replacement rate near 1.0 for an extended period of time.

Comparisons of juvenile production with brood year spawner number suggest that escapements greater than 1,000-1,500 adults will not produce significantly more juveniles on average. A Ricker stock recruitment curve fit to North Fork Dam adult and juvenile index counts indicates that "full seeding" occurs around 2,700 adults and that an adult spawner number of 1,350 equivalent to $50 \%$ of the full seeding level would provide $83 \%$ of the maximum juvenile production level (Figure 6). These results would suggest that an appropriate long term viability threshold for abundance in the upper Clackamas basin would be about 1,350 spawners if similar patterns hold when hatchery fish are excluded from spawning areas. Recent North Fork Dam counts are within this range if predominately comprised of wild fish.

North Santiam River: Recent wild spawning escapements in the North Santiam River likely do not meet critical and viable thresholds for abundance and productivity and will continue to fall short regardless of fishery management actions. Over $70 \%$ of the historic spawning area for spring chinook in the North Santiam basin was blocked by Detroit and Big Cliff dams since 1953. The remaining habitat is adversely affected by warm water and flow regulation.


Fioy
5. Spring chinook escapement into spawning areas of the Clackamas River.

6. Relationship of adult spring chinook counts over North Fork Dam from 1958-1997 with juvenile outmigration index for offspring of those adults. Brood years where hatchery releases upstream from North Fork Dam inflated juvenile indices (1964-1965 and 1982-1984) are omitted.

Spawning still occurs in the North Fork mainstem and its Little North Fork tributary. Indices of spawning escapement are provided by redd counts. Redd counts have ranged from 137 to 226 from 1996-1999 (see Appendix B). Corresponding spawner numbers are likely in the 300 to 500 range although it is unclear how many of the spawners are stray hatchery fish. Fishway traps operated in 1994 and 1997-99 indicated that only $0 \%$ to $3 \%$ of the North Fork run originated from naturally-spawned fish.

It is unclear if a self-sustaining natural run of spring chinook remains in the North Santiam system or if spawners consist solely of stray hatchery fish. The NMFS (2000c) notes that blockage of the North Santiam by Detroit Dam greatly limits the immediate prospects for recovery in this system. The hatchery program may be important to help maintain population levels.

Other Potential Natural Production Areas: It is unclear if natural runs remain in the other rivers which historically produced spring chinook within the ESU (i.e. Middle Fork Willamette, South Santiam, and Molalla rivers). Beginning in 2002, all (except a small proportion of 6 year olds) hatchery fish returning to the ESU will be marked. This will greatly improve the estimates of the abundance of wild fish spawning in these rivers. As information becomes available, critical and viable thresholds may be defined in these areas in the future.

## 1.4) Harvest Regime

ODFW plans to implement selective fisheries for hatchery spring chinook salmon beginning in 2002 and thereafter. Permanent angling regulations will be adopted throughout the entire management area allowing only adipose fin-clipped spring chinook salmon to be retained. All unmarked, wild spring chinook salmon will be required to be released unharmed in all fisheries.

This FMEP is primarily focused on spring chinook target fisheries where virtually all of the fishery impact occurs. Many hatchery releases of summer steelhead and catchable trout have been discontinued to eliminate potential fishery conflicts with listed adults and smolts. Management of fisheries for species other than spring chinook including trout, shad, and warmwater fisheries has been tailored to essentially eliminate impacts on wild spring chinook adults and juveniles. Many fisheries for other species with measurable impacts on UWR spring chinook have been closed. Some impacts on adult salmon occur in shad and summer steelhead fisheries but these impacts are considered as part of the allowed impacts in hatchery spring chinook target fisheries.

Willamette spring chinook management is based on a subbasin plan adopted by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission (OFWC) following a lengthy public process. A revision of the spring chinook chapters of the plan was adopted in 1998 in part to address the ODFW Wild Fish Policy which provides increased protection for wild fish (ODFW 1998). The revised plan is scheduled to sunset in 2002 and was intended to bridge a period of transition for Willamette spring chinook sport fisheries to full selective fisheries for adipose fin-clipped hatchery fish. Release requirements for the unclipped wild fish in selective fisheries will reduce sport fishery impacts to very low levels.

Prior to revision, the Willamette plan provided for combined Columbia and lower Willamette River harvests intended to provide a minimum aggregate escapement goal at Willamette Falls of 30,000 to 45,000 depending on the aggregate run size. This goal was based primarily on hatchery escapement and fishery sharing needs. The current plan substantially reduces harvest rates to protect wild fish. The current plan identifies harvest rates in mainstem Columbia River and lower Willamette River fisheries which vary from $0 \%$ at aggregate runs of less than 30,000 to $40 \%$ or more at aggregate runs greater than 90,000 . The aggregate approach affords protection to both hatchery and wild escapements because return rates are highly correlated.

The OFWC has adopted more conservative fishing rates than those identified in the current plan for 1998, 1999, and 2000 to ensure an increasing trend in wild fish escapement. More conservative rates are again likely to be adopted in 2001. A portion of the wild fish impacts in 2000 were reserved for a trial implementation in the lower Willamette mainstem of a selective fishery for adipose fin-clipped hatchery spring chinook in the latter part of the chinook run. Year 2000 was the first where adipose finclipped fish comprised a significant portion of the run. Large-scale marking of hatchery spring chinook in the Willamette Basin began with the 1996 brood year. Willamette spring chinook return primarily at age 4 and 5 with smaller numbers of age 3 jacks and age 6 adults. A substantial fraction of the 4 -year old fish and almost all of the 3 -year old fish returning in 2000 were adipose fin-clipped. In 2001, many of the age 5 fish and virtually all of the age 3 and 4 fish will be clipped. In 2002, all returning hatchery adults except 6-year olds will be clipped.

### 1.4.1) Provide escapement objectives and/or maximum exploitation rates for each population (or management unit) based on its status.

The current goal of Willamette Basin fishery management for spring chinook is to limit fishery impacts on wild fish to levels which ensure the survival and rebuilding of these populations. We estimate that average impact rates equivalent to an annual average of $15 \%$ or less in combined freshwater fisheries in the Willamette Basin and lower Columbia River will achieve this goal even under the most pessimistic assumptions of wild stock productivity based on a population viability analysis and expectations for fisheries in the ocean (see complete analyses in Appendix C). This risk assessment explicitly considers the effects of data uncertainty and errors, different stock-recruitment models, in addition to the effects of variability in natural mortality rates. A variety of fishing strategies provide equivalent benefits to the $15 \%$ fixed rate strategy. For instance, variable rate strategies where fishing rates are less than $15 \%$ on low runs and greater than $15 \%$ on large runs can be tailored with similar or greater protection and recovery benefits to the fixed rate strategy. Similarly, larger rates can be absorbed in some years if balanced by smaller rates in others. These alternative strategies can be used to provide flexibility in optimizing fishery benefits, during the transition period to selective fisheries for instance. The anticipated fishery mortality rates under the selective fishing regime are summarized in Table 4.

Significant reductions in fishing rates below $15 \%$ do not appreciably affect wild escapement or long term survival and recovery probabilities because fishing no longer affects significant numbers of wild fish, especially at low wild run sizes. A harvest rate-based strategy implicitly recognizes variable run sizes and reduces
the number of fish harvested at low run sizes. This strategy is thus effective over a wide range of run size which might be expected in the foreseeable future. The population viability modeling indicates that a harvest rate-based fishery strategy based on low fishing rates can be a more effective alternative to a strategy based on fixed escapement goals.

The $100 \%$ hatchery marking program which began with the 1997 brood year, will allow full implementation of selective fisheries for hatchery fish beginning in 2002 when all returning age classes of hatchery fish are adipose fin-clipped (except 6-year olds which comprise a very small fraction of the return) in all rivers within the ESU. Wild fish impacts in selective fisheries will result only from handling mortality of wild fish released and from an expected very low rate of noncompliance with wild release requirements (Table 3). Selective fisheries will eliminate mortality from retention of wild fish and reduce fishery impacts to substantially less than the $15 \%$ maximum annual rate. For instance, expected freshwater fishery impacts beginning in 2002 will average $8.7 \%, 10.3 \%$, and $10.5 \%$ for the McKenzie, Clackamas, and North Santiam populations, respectively (Table 4). In other areas where wild fish may be present (i.e. Middle Fork Willamette, South Santiam, and Molalla rivers), selective fisheries will maximize the escapement of wild fish while still providing some fishery opportunities for hatchery fish. Fishery impacts to wild fish (if they are present) in the Middle Fork Willamette, South Santiam, and Molalla rivers are expected to be similar to impacts on the Clackamas, North Santiam, and McKenzie wild populations. The selective fishing regime will promote the rebuilding of these populations.

Table 3. Projected future harvest rates of Willamette spring chinook in selective sport fisheries.

| Sport |  | $\underline{\text { Handle rate }}{ }^{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{R}^{3} \\ & \text { mort rate } \end{aligned}$ | Non Comp. ${ }^{4}$ | C\&R mortality |  | Non comp. mort |  | Repeat capture rate |  | Repeat capture mort |  | Total impacts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fishery | included ${ }^{1}$ | Avg | Max |  |  | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max |
| Expected Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. Columbia | 85-94 | 3.0\% | 6.7\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.25\% | 0.56\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.01\% | 0.04\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
| L. Willamette | 86-95 | 25.9\% | 34.5\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.18\% | 2.91\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 6.4\% | 11.4\% | 0.55\% | 0.98\% | 3.3\% | 4.6\% |
| Clackamas | 90-99 | 27.5\% | 41.1\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.32\% | 3.46\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 7.3\% | 16.2\% | 0.62\% | 1.39\% | 3.5\% | 5.7\% |
| McKenzie | 85-94 | 13.7\% | 21.6\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 1.16\% | 1.82\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.16\% | 0.38\% | 1.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Santiam |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.11\% | 2.11\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.52\% | 0.52\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Sensitivity Analysis to Greater and Lesser Catch and Release Mortality Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. Columbia | 85-94 | 3.0\% | 6.7\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.38\% | 0.85\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% |
| L. Willamette | 86-95 | 25.9\% | 34.5\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.27\% | 4.36\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 6.4\% | 11.4\% | 0.83\% | 1.47\% | 4.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Clackamas | 90-99 | 27.5\% | 41.1\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.47\% | 5.19\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 7.3\% | 16.2\% | 0.94\% | 2.09\% | 5.0\% | 8.1\% |
| McKenzie | 85-94 | 13.7\% | 21.6\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.73\% | 2.73\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.23\% | 0.58\% | 2.2\% | 3.7\% |
| Santiam |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.16\% | 3.16\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.77\% | 0.77\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% |
| L. Columbia | 85-94 | 3.0\% | 6.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.13\% | 0.28\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| L. Willamette | 86-95 | 25.9\% | 34.5\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.09\% | 1.45\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 6.4\% | 11.4\% | 0.28\% | 0.49\% | 1.9\% | 2.6\% |
| Clackamas | 90-99 | 27.5\% | 41.1\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.16\% | 1.73\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 7.3\% | 16.2\% | 0.31\% | 0.70\% | 2.0\% | 3.2\% |
| McKenzie | 85-94 | 13.7\% | 21.6\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.58\% | 0.91\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.08\% | 0.19\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% |
| Santiam |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.05\% | 1.05\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% |

[^0]|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2002 \& beyond |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981-97 | 1998 | 1999 | $2000^{l}$ | 2001 | Avg. | Max. |
| Spring chinook fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. Col. commercial ${ }^{2}$ | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | --3 | 4.0\% | 11.5\% |
| L. Col. sport | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | --3 | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
| L. Willamette sport | 21.7\% | 6.3\% | 10.2\% | 14.0\% | --3 | 3.1\% | 4.0\% |
| Clackamas | 22.9\% | 16.5\% | 22.8\% | 13.6\% | --3 | 3.2\% | 4.8\% |
| U. Willamette sport | 1.2\% | $0.6 \%$ | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | --3 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |
| North Santiam | 16.5\% | 22.7\% | 21.7\% | 2.0\% | --3 | 2.5\% ${ }^{4}$ | 2.8\% ${ }^{4}$ |
| McKenzie | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | --3 | 1.0\% | 1.5\% |
| Other fisheries |  |  |  |  | $<0.1 \%$ | $<0.1 \%$ | $<0.1 \%$ |
| Totals by population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| McKenzie | 37.3\% | 7.0\% | 11.1\% | 16.1\% |  | 8.7\% |  |
| Clackamas | $54.0 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $\leq 15.5 \%{ }^{5}$ | $10.3 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| N. Santiam | 48.8\% | 29.6\% | 32.8\% | 18.0\% | $\leq 15.9 \%{ }^{5}$ | 10.5\% | 19.2\% |

[^1]Tables 3 and 4 describe expected average annual impact rates based on average handle rates observed in historic fisheries. Maximum annual rates are also identified based on maximum handle rates observed in historic fisheries. Maximum rates result in years of optimum fishing conditions and maximum effort. Years of high rates are balanced by years of low rates such that actual annual impacts fluctuate about the average. The risk assessment results indicate that annual impacts such as the maximum depicted rates are acceptable as long as years with high rates are balanced by years with low rates. It will thus not be necessary to manage fisheries for the average rate in each individual year.

Sensitivity analyses were also conducted to evaluate the effects of alternative catch and release mortality rate assumptions. Sensitivity analyses indicate that: 1) fishery impacts remain under the $15 \%$ maximum average annual rate goal even if incidental handling impacts are substantially greater than expected and 2 ) fishery impact rates may be much less than the $15 \%$ maximum average annual rate goal if incidental handling impacts are less than expected. Ongoing double index tagging experiments will help identify actual catch and release impacts when selective fisheries are fully implemented.

Selective fisheries will maximize fishery opportunities and seasons for adult hatchery spring chinook and eliminate the need for time and area closures to limit fishery impacts on the wild fish. Fisheries in the Willamette mainstem, Clackamas, and Santiam rivers can reopen to seven days per week and continue without risk of inseason closures based on harvest quotas geared toward wild fish
protection. The spring chinook sport fishery in the lower McKenzie River can reopen to target on hatchery spring chinook.

During the one remaining year (2001) until all returning hatchery fish are adipose fin-clipped, the OFWC will likely consider a combination of time and area closures, and selective fisheries consistent with the maximum harvest rate goal. Based on preseason forecasts, cumulative freshwater fishery impacts to the McKenzie, Clackamas, and North Santiam populations is expected to be $14.4 \%$, $15.5 \%$, and $15.9 \%$, respectively in 2001 (Table 4). These impact levels continue to reduce harvest impacts down to the low levels expected from full implementation of selective fisheries in 2002 and beyond throughout the entire management area.

Expected run size and mark rate will be key considerations in selecting an appropriate harvest level and regulations consistent with this harvest level. For instance, a large proportion of age 4 fish in the return will allow for more effective implementation of the selective fishery because all of the age 4 hatchery fish are adipose fin-clipped. If the age 5 component is expected to be significant, the OFWC can consider some non-selective fishing periods and areas to access the hatchery return, especially early in the year when age 5 fish predominate.

### 1.4.2) Description of how the fisheries will be managed to conserve the weakest population or management unit.

The $15 \%$ equivalent average harvest rate guideline was applied to all populations although it was based on the most pessimistic combination of assumptions of underlying stock productivity and conversion mortality. Conversion losses are significant in the upper Willamette mainstem. The Clackamas and North Santiam river populations are likely subject to lower adult conversion mortality rates than the McKenzie fish which have the longest distance to travel from the ocean to spawning areas. The maximum conversion loss rate was used in all risk assessment simulations. Application of the weak stock constraints to all populations should provide an added safety factor to buffer the Clackamas and Santiam populations.
1.4.3) Demonstrate that the harvest regime is consistent with the conservation and recovery of commingled natural-origin populations in areas where artificially propagated fish predominate.

The selective fishery strategy is geared to minimize wild fish impacts while removing the maximum share of the harvestable surplus of hatchery fish which can be obtained for a given wild impact. Fisheries occur in areas where hatchery fish comprise $80 \%$ or more of the run at recent wild run sizes.

## 1.5) Annual Implementation of the Fisheries

Current practice in establishing each year's specific regulations for Willamette spring chinook seasons involves a four month public process by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission. This preseason process is followed by active inseason management of the fishery on a weekly or even daily basis. This process provides a high degree of adaptive management for spring chinook where annual fisheries can be tailored to the specific
expectations for each year's run and the fishery can be fine tuned as the run unfolds. The extended preseason process will be similar for establishing year 2001 fisheries but is likely to be streamlined in future years (2002 and beyond) when selective sport fisheries will eliminate the need for quota and closed-season management of sport fisheries.

Preseason process: The preseason process begins in November with an information briefing to the Commission on expectations and issues for the following year. During November, final data on the previous year's run size and composition becomes available as spawning is completed in October, scale samples are aged, and coded-wire tags are read. During early December, a forecast of the following year's run and composition is completed based on age-specific data from the prior year and a series of statistical sibling regressions. The OFWC reviews this information at their December meeting and establishes a process and schedule for the coming year.

During early January, the ODFW sends letters to interested public and key constituent groups which detail expectations and issues. Letters extend invitations to the January OFWC meeting and a series of public meetings to review regulatory alternatives. In addition, the ODFW staff holds an informational briefing for representatives of key constituent groups such as the Northwest Sportfishing Industry Association, Northwest Steelheaders, Oregon Trout, Trout Unlimited, and the Native Fish Society. At their January meeting, the OFWC considers appropriate fishing levels for the year based on run size and composition relative to escapement and wild fish protection needs. The OFWC also allocates fishery shares at this time among different areas and between sport and Columbia River commercial fisheries.

In February, the ODFW staff has been holding 2 to 3 public meetings in different areas to review season options consistent with OFWC direction and to obtain public input. Letters are subsequently sent to interested public with ODFW staff recommendations synthesized from the public meetings and with invitations to the February OFWC meeting where public testimony is heard and the spring chinook seasons for that year are adopted.

Columbia River Processes: The process for setting Willamette Basin fisheries is closely related and concurrent with the process for establishing sport and commercial seasons in the lower Columbia River. Commercial seasons in concurrent Oregon and Washington waters of the Columbia River are regulated by a joint Oregon and Washington regulatory body (the Columbia River Compact) in a series of public hearings which begin in January for winter fisheries. The ODFW and WDFW directors or their delegates comprise the Compact and act consistent with delegated authority by the respective state commissions. Columbia River seasons are also regulated by the U. S. v. Oregon process which dictates sharing of Columbia River fish runs between Indian and non-Indian fisheries. Impacts on upriver spring chinook and steelhead in Columbia River fisheries are not subject to this FMEP and are addressed by Section 7 consultations for U. S. v. Oregon fisheries.

Permanent Regulation Process: This process addresses regulations for other Willamette Basin fisheries addressed by this FMEP (trout, warmwater, shad, sturgeon, etc.). Permanent rules are developed in a state-wide angling regulation process which is currently conducted at 4 -year intervals. A 1996 public involvement process established angling regulations from 1997 through 2000. The public process for 2001 through 2004
regulations began in September 1999 and will be completed later this year. The public process involved 1) solicitation of proposals for regulation changes from ODFW staff, Oregon State Police (OSP), and the public, 2) categorization of proposals for substance and opportunity by a Regulation Review Board which includes representatives from the public, ODFW, OSP, OFWC, and the Oregon Governor's office, 3) review of proposals in a series of 7 public meetings held around the state, and 4) review and adoption of rules by the OFWC at public commission meetings in August and September. New sport regulation pamphlets will be prepared and printed in October and November and new regulations will take effect on January 1, 2001.

The OFWC also considers interim-year changes which address conservation needs, correct errors and inadvertent restrictions, provide clarification, or capitalize on noncontroversial opportunities. Fishery descriptions and regulations described in section 1.2.2 include descriptions of any pertinent changes or considerations identified in the current regulation review. Any affects of regulation changes on listed UWR spring chinook will be consistent with limitations described in this FMEP.

Recent regulations: Recent regulations have involved a series of very conservative seasons to provide some limited fishing opportunity while protecting wild fish during the transition to selective sport fisheries. In 2000 for instance, the OFWC adopted fisheries consistent with a $15 \%$ impact in combined Columbia and lower Willamette river sport and commercial fisheries. Impacts were allocated $2 \%$ to lower Columbia River commercial fisheries ( 1,200 fish at the preseason run forecast of 59,900 ), $2 \%$ to lower Columbia River sport fisheries (1,200 fish), and $11 \%$ to Willamette River sport fisheries below the Falls. The goal for the upper Willamette mainstem sport fishery was equal catch opportunity to the lower river fishery. Opportunity has typically been defined as days of fishing effort when significant numbers of fish have passed into the fishery area from Willamette Falls. Effort in the upper Willamette mainstem is much less than in the lower river and impacts are typically $10 \%$ or less of the lower river. The year 2000 goal for the lower Clackamas and North Santiam River fisheries was to reduce wild fish impacts by over one half relative to the unrestricted fishery of recent years. The McKenzie River sport fishery remained closed.

The year 2000 seasons corresponding to prescribed impact limits included a combination of daily closures, season closures based on catch quotas, daily and season bag limitations, and a trial selective fishery. The Mainstem Willamette River below the Falls was open 7 days per week for salmon and steelhead through March 19. The daily limit was two salmon or adipose fin-clipped steelhead and annual limit was the statewide regulation of 20 adult salmon or steelhead per year. From March 20 through April 15 and on April 22, the lower Willamette was open each Monday, Wednesday, Saturday, and a special fishing day (Friday April 14). The daily limit was one adult or jack salmon and one adipose fin-clipped steelhead, or two adipose fin-clipped steelhead per day. The annual limit was 5 adult or jack salmon for the combined restrictive seasons for the Lower Willamette, Upper Willamette, and Clackamas rivers. Any chinook caught before March 20 in the lower Willamette and May 1 in the Clackamas did not count on the season limit. Beginning May 1, the lower Willamette reopened with modified regulations to allow a selective fishery for adipose fin-clipped spring chinook and steelhead, 7 days per week.

The mainstem Willamette River from the falls to the mouth of McKenzie River opened April 1 on each Monday, Wednesday, Saturday, and the special fishing day (Friday April 14) with daily limits of 1 adult or jack salmon and 1 adipose fin-clipped steelhead, or 2 adipose fin-clipped steelhead per day. The annual limit was 5 adult or jack salmon for the combined restrictive seasons for the lower Willamette, upper Willamette, and Clackamas rivers.

The Clackamas River from the Highway 99E Bridge to North Fork Dam was open 7 days per week for salmon and steelhead with a 2 salmon or adipose fin-clipped steelhead daily limit and the standard annual limit through April 30. From May 1 through July 31 it was open each Monday, Wednesday, and Saturday with a daily limit of 1 adult or jack salmon and one adipose fin-clipped steelhead, or two adipose fin-clipped steelhead per day. The 5 salmon restrictive season limit applied.

The North Santiam River from the mouth to Big Cliff Dam was open 7 days per week for salmon and steelhead with a 2 salmon or adipose fin-clipped steelhead daily limit and the standard annual limit through April 30. From May 1 through August 15 it remained open 7 days per week but retained spring chinook and steelhead must have a healed adipose fin clip. Normal catch limits of two adult fish per day and 20 per year apply.

Commercial fishing seasons in 2000 were conducted approximately 3 days per week in January and February. January commercial fisheries primarily targeted sturgeon while February fisheries were focused on salmon. The commercial catch quota of 1,200 Willamette spring chinook was not achieved because the fishery was limited by impacts on listed Snake River spring chinook salmon. Sport seasons in joint state waters of the Columbia River are implemented in a public process by joint state action also as per delegated authority of the state commissions.

The year 2000 sport fishery in the Columbia River between the mouth and I-5 Bridge was open 7 days per week with normal limits of 2 adult salmon or adipose fin-clipped steelhead per day and 20 per year until 1,200 Willamette spring chinook are caught. The season closed March 16 because the sport fishery, like the commercial fishery, was limited by impacts on Snake River wild spring chinook.
2002 and beyond: The annual spring chinook fishery regulation process is expected to be considerably streamlined beginning with year 2002 when all sport fisheries will be selective for marked hatchery fish. Permanent rules will allow retention of only adipose fin-clipped chinook salmon and will require immediate release of fish without adipose fin-clips throughout the entire ESU. Seasons are as described in Table 1. New rules have already been adopted in the McKenzie and North Santiam rivers for 2001 and are pending in other areas. Unless wild populations fall below critical thresholds or scheduled periodic reviews of this plan are due, annual fishery implementation is likely to be limited to presentation of the annual forecast, routine fishery and escapement monitoring, and dissemination of inseason and post season updates. Annual implementation of Columbia River mainstem fisheries will continue to depend on U. S. v. Oregon processes and the status of other Columbia and Snake river stocks.

## SECTION 2. EFFECTS ON ESA-LISTED SALMONIDS

## 2.1) Description of the biologically-based rationale demonstrating that the fisheries management strategies will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of the affected $\mathrm{ESU}(\mathrm{s})$ in the wild.

Fishing rates identified in this plan do not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of wild Willamette River spring chinook. This assessment was based on direct estimates of survival and recovery likelihoods with a quantitative Population Viability Analysis (PVA). This analysis is summarized below and described in more detail in Appendix C. Population Viability Analysis is a widely used and useful tool for evaluating specific harvest actions where used in conjunction with a Viable Salmonid Population concept as described by the NMFS to identify abundance levels necessary for long-term survival (McElhany et al. 2000).

Population Viability Analysis is a type of risk assessment model which provides a systematic, biological basis for estimating sustainable fishing levels. It estimates extinction risks and recovery prospects at different fishing levels by simulating the salmon life cycle into the future. Extinction risks are based on the frequency of numbers falling below a critical threshold (e. g. 300 spawners). Recovery prospects are based on future population size and normal variation in future population size as compared with rebuilding or recovery goals.

Risk-based modeling is the preferred approach for identifying the long term effects of management actions because it considers data uncertainty (in things like run forecasts) and normal variation in fish numbers due to chance and environmental variability. Simple trend analysis based on annual escapement comparisons may lead to management errors. For instance, increasing fish numbers during good ocean conditions may mask the need for habitat improvements to ensure stock persistence when ocean conditions inevitably go through another down cycle. Conversely, fishing may be reduced more than is necessary in an attempt to maintain an ever-increasing trend when natural cycles guarantee that this goal is not attainable.

Model results depend on population productivity which is the relative number of offspring produced by a given number of spawners. Extinction risk is typically defined solely in terms of fish numbers: too few spawners result in a spiral toward extinction. Recovery is typically defined in terms of fish numbers and population productivity. Above low threshold numbers, population productivity is much more important than absolute spawner numbers. Productive populations have a higher average population size, rebuild quickly after poor ocean cycles, and can easily sustain incidental harvest impacts. A small, productive population will fare much better over the long term than a large, unproductive one. Productivity is related to habitat quality and recovery ultimately depends on habitat improvements.

The PVA for wild Willamette spring chinook indicates that impacts of $15 \%$ in combined freshwater fisheries meet stock protection and restoration standards for wild populations even under worst case assumptions for wild stock productivity (Table 5). The $15 \%$ or less impact level minimized low-run-size risks, produced large run sizes needed to explore stock-recruit relationships, and maintained average escapements at or above $50 \%$ of the habitat capacity. Risk assessment modeling was based on the

McKenzie River population which is the core area for wild fish production in the ESU and we believe to be the most sensitive population as a result of adult conversion mortalities in the upper Willamette mainstem. The Clackamas River population is not subject to this loss. The North Santiam population travels a shorter distance than the McKenzie population, hence, conversion mortality is likely to be less.

| Table 5. Results of a quantitative Population Viability Analysis risk assessment of fishing impacts on wild Willamette River spring chinook based on worst case estimates of population productivity and capacity. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quasi-extinction risk ${ }^{1}$ | Large Run Probability ${ }^{2}$ | "Recovery" <br> Probability ${ }^{3}$ |
| Standard ${ }^{4}$ | $\leq 1 \%$ | $\geq 10 \%$ | $\geq \mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| Old Plan (1981-1997) | 31\% | < 0.1\% | < 0.1\% |
| $15 \%$ average annual rate ${ }^{5}$ | $<0.1 \%$ | 15\% | 50\% |
| Expected selective fishery | < 0.1\% | 20\% | 63\% |

${ }^{1}$ Quasi-extinction risk based on the frequency of wild escapement of less than 300 fish within 30 years.
${ }^{2}$ Large run probability based on frequency exceeding $75 \%$ of replacement abundance within 30 years.
${ }^{3}$ Based on last 8-year average run size exceeding $50 \%$ of basin capacity.
${ }^{4}$ Standards are recommended as benchmarks for comparative purposes.
${ }^{5}$ Based on average ocean fishing rate of $12 \%$ and average $15 \%$ impact rate in all freshwater fisheries beginning in 2001.

These risk assessment results are conservative because the model was based on worstcase productivity assumptions and used a worst case spawner-recruit relationship (i.e. Ricker curve; see Table 4 and Figure 9 of Appendix C). Low productivity rates for other Columbia basin spring chinook were used because we lack information on the productivity of Willamette populations. Actual productivity is probably greater and productivity is also likely to increase in the future especially if wild stock benefit from reduced hatchery influences. Sensitivity analysis of extinction risk conducted using different spawner-recruit curves (i.e. Ricker and Beverton-Holt) showed the highest extinction risks using the Ricker curve. The strong descending limb of the Ricker function often resulted in low numbers of recruits which increased low run size probabilities in years after random variation in survival rates resulted in large overescapement. Beverton-Holt curves resulted in extinction risk probabilities less than $50 \%$ of the extinction probabilities using Ricker curves.

Rebuilding potential was evaluated based on the chances of observing large run sizes. Realistic numerical recovery goals cannot be identified because wild carrying capacity is unknown. Monitoring of the production from large run sizes will allow us to identify basin carrying capacity and stock productivity at a later date. Even if habitat capacity and full seeding levels were known, annual fishing plans should be based on long term fishery impact reduction goals rather than annual attempts to meet "full seeding" escapement goals. The sustainability modeling shows that: 1) full habitat seeding in every year is not realistic given normal population variability even in the absence of fishing, and 2) variable harvest rate strategies which allow fishing at runs less than full seeding can provide similar stock recovery benefits.

As more information becomes available on the status of the wild populations and the assumptions used in the PVA models, additional risk assessments will be conducted to determine if fishery impacts are appreciably impeding the survival and recovery of the ESU. The PVA is currently in the peer-review process for publication in the North American Journal of Fisheries Management. If this review results in substantive changes to the PVA, the FMEP will be revised to incorporate any new findings during an annual review or during the comprehensive FMEP review scheduled for 2004 (as stated in section 3.5.2).

### 2.1.1) Description of which fisheries affect each population (or management unit).

Each of the three population units (Clackamas, McKenzie, and North Santiam rivers) are potentially affected by spring chinook target fisheries in the Willamette River mainstem, spring chinook target fisheries in the lower mainstems of the respective tributaries, and selected fisheries for other species in the Willamette mainstem and the tributaries (Table 6).

### 2.1.2) Assessment of how the harvest regime will not likely result in changes to the biological characteristics of the affected ESU's.

Low harvest impact rates which will result from implementation of selective fisheries for adipose fin-clipped hatchery spring chinook will substantially reduce the potential for fishing-related changes in biological characteristics of wild spring chinook. Fishing impact rates are small and spread over the breadth of the run so that no subcomponent of the wild stock will be selectively harvested at a rate substantially larger than any other portion of the run. No significant harvest differential will occur for different size, age, or timed portion of the run. In addition, low fishing rates for wild fish will result in increased numbers of wild spawners even in periods of poor freshwater migration and ocean survival conditions. Larger populations will be less subject to genetic risks and loss of diversity associated with small population sizes. Finally, increased harvest rates of hatchery fish in selective fisheries should benefit wild stock integrity and diversity by removing a greater fraction of the hatchery fish which could potentially stray into wild production areas.

Table 6. Fisheries potentially affecting each population of wild Willamette River spring chinook.

| Fishery | Area | Clackamas | N. Santiam | McKenzie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring chinook | Lower Columbia R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Lower Columbia R. commercial | X | X | X |
|  | Columbia R. select area sport | X | X | X |
|  | Columbia R. select area commercial | X | X | X |
|  | Lower Willamette R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Upper Willamette R. sport |  | X | X |
|  | Clackamas R. sport | X |  |  |
|  | Santiam R. sport |  | X |  |
|  | McKenzie R. sport |  |  | X |
| Winter steelhead | Lower Columbia R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Lower Willamette R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Clackamas R. sport | X |  |  |
| Summer steelhead | Lower Columbia R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Lower Willamette R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Upper Willamette R. sport |  | X | X |
|  | Clackamas R. sport | X |  |  |
|  | Santiam R. sport |  | X |  |
|  | McKenzie R. sport |  |  | X |
| Shad | Lower Columbia R. sport | X | X | X |
|  | Lower Willamette R. sport | X | X | X |
| Sturgeon | Lower Columbia R. commercial | X | X | X |
| Resident trout | Clackamas R. sport | X |  |  |
|  | Santiam R. sport |  | X |  |
|  | McKenzie R. sport |  |  | X |

2.1.3) Comparison of harvest impacts in previous years and the harvest impacts anticipated to occur under the harvest regime in this FMEP.
Current impact rates in aggregate freshwater fisheries are substantially reduced from historic levels and will be reduced even further by future fisheries. With the advent of full selective fisheries in 2002, expected wild fish impacts in all freshwater sport fisheries are expected to average $4.7 \%, 6.3 \%$, and $6.5 \%$ for the McKenzie, Clackamas, and N. Santiam populations, respectively (Table 4). Total freshwater impacts are expected to average $8.7 \%, 10.3 \%$, and $10.5 \%$ including limited commercial fishery expectations of $4 \%$ consistent with continued Snake and upper Columbia river spring chinook constraints. These population-specific impacts are approximately one quarter the 1981-1997 average on these populations and approximately one half the average during the 1998-2001 transition period to selective fisheries (Table 4). Impacts in lower Columbia and Willamette sport and commercial fisheries will be reduced from annual averages in the $30-50 \%$ range from $1970-1995$ to an annual average of less than $8 \%$ beginning in 2002 (Figure 7).
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Figure 7. Historic and expected freshwater fishery impact rates on wild Willamette spring chinook in lower Willamette and Columbia River mainstem fisheries.
2.1.4) Description of additional fishery impacts not addressed within this FMEP for the listed ESUs specified in section 1.3. Account for harvest impacts in previous year and the impacts expected in the future.
Unlike most other stocks of Columbia basin spring chinook, Willamette spring chinook are subject to significant catches in ocean fisheries. Ocean distribution is consistent with an ocean-type life history with the majority of the catch occurring off the coasts of British Columbia and southeast Alaska (NMFS 2000b). UWR spring chinook are a far north migrating stock which are caught primarily in southeast Alaska (SEAK) and north central British Columbia (NCBC) fisheries (NMFS 2000c, PSC 1999). Because they are an early returning stock, they tend to be missed by more southerly ocean fisheries off the west coast of Vancouver Island and the Washington coast. The ocean fishery impact rate on Willamette spring chinook averaged $22 \%$ for 1975-1983 brood years, $14 \%$ for 1984-1989 brood years, and $9 \%$ for 1990-1993 brood years. These impact rates include all sources of fishery mortality from retention, hook and release, and drop off. Future rates in the abundance-based management strategy included in the recently completed amendments to the Pacific Salmon Treaty are expected to increase from the recent average but to be less than the higher rates of the 1970's and 1980's.

## SECTION 3. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

## 3.1) Description of the specific monitoring of the "Performance Indicators" listed in section 1.1.3.

Performance indicators for Willamette spring chinook include fish population indicators and fishery indicators. Independent estimates or indices of numbers are available annually for each wild population. Primary fish population indicators for wild Willamette spring chinook are spawning escapement estimates from Leaburg Dam counts on the McKenzie River, North Fork Dam counts on the Clackamas River, and spawning area redd counts in the Santiam River. Secondary fish population indicators include Willamette Falls counts and juvenile passage indices.

Leaburg Dam and fish passage facilities on the McKenzie River (Appendix B Figure 3) are operated by the Eugene Water and Electric Board. Salmon and steelhead ascending the fish ladder are counted at a window with a video recording system. Fish are also trapped in the ladder beginning in June to remove adipose fin-clipped fish for the McKenzie Hatchery. Unclipped fish are passed into natural production areas upstream from the dam. Institution of $100 \%$ marking of hatchery spring chinook will allow all hatchery chinook to be removed when these adipose fin-clipped fish are fully recruited. The ODFW also counts redds and samples carcasses in the McKenzie River downstream of Leaburg Dam to estimate spawner numbers and hatchery:wild fractions. The 5 mile river section downstream from Leaburg Dam is surveyed by boat several times during September and October and the entire 39 miles to the mouth of the McKenzie is surveyed once by helicopter in October. A carcass recovery program is also being initiated in the upper McKenzie River to estimate age composition and brood year of origin so that recruitment rates and wild stock productivity can be estimated. Carcass recoveries rather than Leaburg trap samples are used to minimize handling at the trap.

North Fork Dam and passage facilities on the Clackamas River (Appendix B Figure 1) are operated by Portland General Electric (PGE). Adult counts are made at a mechanical counter at River Mill Dam, at the North Fork Ladder fish trap, and at a camera-equipped automatic counter in the North Fork Ladder above the trap (Boettcher and Cramer 1997). Escapement to North Fork Dam is the total of fish trap and ladder counts. Salmon and steelhead enter the fish ladder downstream from Faraday Diversion Dam and exit upstream from North Fork Dam unless diverted into the trap. Until recently, the trap was only operated from June through early October when spring chinook were present or under special circumstances. Since 1995, the ladder has been blocked and all fish trapped from December through April to keep hatchery fish from entering the upper river. Hatchery steelhead and adipose fin-clipped spring chinook are removed and unclipped spring chinook and wild steelhead are trucked upstream and released. Institution of $100 \%$ marking of hatchery spring chinook will allow all hatchery chinook to be removed when these adipose fin-clipped fish are fully recruited. PGE biologists also count redds between River Mill and Faraday projects to estimate numbers of spawners which do not pass North Fork Dam.

North Santiam River spawning escapement indices are based on redd counts in key production areas (Appendix B Figure 2) because no counting facility exists downstream from the spawning area. Spawning survey index areas and dates in the North Fork mainstem from Stayton to Minto and the Little North Fork were selected based on an extensive survey of potential spawning areas and timing in 1996-1998. The redd index is based on peak counts, hence represents a minimum estimate of the total redds in the system.

An adult spring chinook counting program has also been initiated in the Santiam River near Stayton at fishway traps at lower Bennett Dam, upper Bennett Dam, and the associated power canal. Traps have been operated from April through September in 1994 and 1997-present. Clipped and unclipped adults are counted and natural production has been inferred from the relative mark rates at the trap and in the hatchery. This trapping will provide a more accurate index of natural production in the system when all returning hatchery adults are adipose fin-clipped.

Spring chinook counts at Willamette Falls provide valuable information on combined hatchery and wild escapement into the upper basin which in conjunction with estimates of wild escapement and hatchery rack returns yields estimates of adult conversion rate. The fish ladder and counting facility at Willamette Falls is operated by the ODFW. Spring chinook counts have been made each year since 1946. Salmon and steelhead are now counted 24 hours per day, 365 days per year (Foster 1998). Counts are made with a video recording system and are read daily (except weekends) during the spring chinook migration. Counts thus provide real time information on fish numbers which allows managers to make inseason fishery adjustments in some years where actual returns are more or less than initially projected.

Downstream migrant counts at Clackamas Dam collection facilities provide indices of wild juvenile numbers and when compared with spawner numbers of stock productivity. Counts of juveniles are made at the North Fork Fish Ladder by PGE. Attraction water at the North Fork Dam forebay guides juvenile downstream migrants into the ladder (Boettcher and Cramer 1997). Fish descending the ladder are screened into a
downstream migrant pipeline where they can be diverted into a holding tank for sampling or bypassed through a pipe which carries them 6 miles downstream to the Clackamas River at the River Mill Dam tailrace. Sample rates vary with time of year with daily counts being made during peak migration months of April, May, and June. Counts are made 5 days per week in March and November, and 2 to 5 times per week during the rest of the year. Total numbers of fish diverted by species are estimated based on the sample rate. These counts include only the portion of migrants that are diverted into the collection system and do not include fish which pass through dam turbines and the spillway. North Fork juvenile counts are thus minimum estimates of juvenile migrant numbers but are considered an index of total numbers where collection efficiency varies about an average value. Juvenile migrants are also counted at a separate bypass system at River Mill Dam.

Fishery performance indicators provide information on total run size, catch, and catch composition. This information is used inseason for adjusting fisheries consistent with prescribed impact levels. Annual monitoring and reporting on the spring chinook recreational fishery began in 1946 below Willamette Falls and in 1979 in the lower Clackamas River. Stratified, random, roving creel surveys are conducted in the lower Willamette and Clackamas rivers from March until July. This survey includes fisheries for spring chinook, steelhead, sturgeon, and shad. The methodology for estimating total effort and catch was standardized in 1974 with a sampling plan developed by the Survey Research Center of Oregon State University. Total effort determined by periodic aerial surveys is combined with systematic angler interview data at major fishing sites to develop statistical estimates of recreational angler pressure and success.

The angler survey is stratified by four areas: 1) a 6 mile upper section from Willamette Falls to the railroad bridge at Lake Oswego, 2) a 16 mile middle section from the railroad bridge to the St. Johns Bridge, 3) a lower section which includes 4 miles of the Willamette River from St. Johns Bridge to the mouth and 22 miles of Multnomah Channel to its mouth at St. Helens, and 4) the lower 23 miles of the Clackamas River from the mouth to River Mill Dam. Aerial counts of boats conducted on weekends and weekdays are expanded to total effort estimates based on daily pressure curves developed from more frequent counts from viewpoints on the ground. Boat and bank anglers are contacted at moorages, boat ramps, and bank fishing areas in each of the sections and interviewed for trip length and numbers of fish caught and released. The catch is inspected and individual fish data on size and marks are collected. Scale samples are collected for aging and snouts are taken from fish with coded-wire tags. Snouts are also voluntarily returned by some anglers. The interview sample rate typically averages $20 \%$ or more of all anglers. More detailed descriptions of fishery survey methods and results can be found in annual reports such as Foster (1998).

Fisheries in the Willamette River mainstem from the falls to the McKenzie River are monitored with periodic spot checks and interviews of anglers. Spot checks involve index counts of anglers in key fishing areas and boat trailers at launch sites. Spot checks also involve interviews of anglers at launch sites and voluntary reports. Total effort and catch is not monitored inseason because this fishery is much smaller than in the lower Willamette River. Total catch and effort are estimated to be less than $10 \%$ of the downstream fishery. Total annual catch estimates are available from returns of angler catch record cards which are issued with the fishing license. Anglers are
required to immediately record every salmon retained, catch record cards are returned at the end of the year, and total catches in each area are tabulated. Catch record cards are typically returned at about a $25 \%$ rate and this subsample is expanded for the total number of licenses issued with corrections for differential return rates by anglers which did and did not catch fish. Catch record cards provide a useful index of total annual catches and generally appear to overestimate total catch relative to statistical angler survey estimates.

Historic data on spring chinook salmon fisheries in the Santiam and McKenzie rivers is available from catch record cards, spot checks, and some statistical creel surveys. Statistical creel surveys are planned for implementation in the North Santiam and McKenzie rivers as fisheries are reopened to adipose fin-clipped spring chinook when the majority of the hatchery return can be distinguished with adipose fin clips.

Fishery catch data when combined with fish ladder counts provides estimates of the aggregate Willamette spring chinook run into the Columbia River, Willamette River, Clackamas River, and upper Willamette Basin. These run size estimates and estimated harvest are the basis of fishery harvest rate estimates. Fishery surveys in the lower Willamette (and Columbia Rivers) are structured to provide real-time data for use in quota tracking and inseason fishery adjustments. For instance, angler interview data is immediately recorded in the field on hand-held data loggers from which data can be downloaded daily to provide catch summaries. This real-time analysis allows fishery managers to respond immediately to variation in fishing effort and catch rate or run size developments. Fishery catches can typically be regulated within several hundred fish of catch limits and fishery impacts on hatchery and wild fish can typically be regulated within less than $1 \%$ of the desired level.

Commercial fishery landings are estimated inseason by contacting wholesale buyers regarding their purchases. The number of active buyers is small and all are contacted for daily accounting of the catch. Landings are verified post-season from fish landing tickets. All fish buyers are required to complete and return fish receiving tickets for all purchases as a condition of their license. The commercial catch is subsampled inseason at fish buying sites to gather biological data including CWT recoveries and Visual Stock Identification (VSI) to distinguish Willamette and upriver spring chinook stocks. Mainstem commercial fisheries for salmon and sturgeon are sampled at a minimum $20 \%$ rate although greater sample rates are typical for recent small fisheries. A minimum of $50 \%$ of the catch is sampled in the Youngs Bay select area fishery. Nearly the entire catch in Tongue Point and Blind Slough select areas is sampled.

## 3.2) Description of other monitoring and evaluation not included in the Performance Indicators (section 3.1) which provides additional information useful for fisheries management.

In addition to routine monitoring and evaluation activities described in above, the ODFW also collects or uses information from a variety of sources related to the status of listed UWR spring chinook and the implementation of fisheries which might affect them. Since 1996, the ODFW has conducted a research study aimed at key population and fishery issues for Willamette Basin spring chinook. This study has made detailed investigations of the distribution and abundance of natural spawners and the mortality
associated with a catch and release fishery (Lindsay et al. 1997, 1998; Schroeder et al. 1999).

Additional information on fishery impacts in combined ocean and freshwater selective fisheries will also be available based on double index tagging studies of hatchery spring chinook. Double index tagging compares the return rate of marked groups of fish from which the adipose fin has and has not been removed. The difference results from selective fishery impacts in ocean and freshwater fisheries which are restricted to adipose-fin clipped fish retention only. Analyses of coded-wire tag recoveries will also provide information on fishery contributions and exploitation rates for Willamette spring chinook. A recently completed study of coded-wire tag recovery rates will help reduce biases in fishery expansion factors (Zhou and Zimmerman 2000).

Finally, extensive monitoring and evaluation is conducted for Willamette spring chinook hatcheries. This program inventories production and returns, tracks straying, monitors fish health, and relates return rates to hatchery practices.

## 3.3) Public Outreach

The popularity of the Willamette spring chinook sport fisheries and the high visibility afforded by their proximity to metropolitan areas in the Willamette Valley result in intense public interest and participation in the annual management processes for these species. The ODFW conducts extensive public involvement and outreach activities related to spring chinook salmon fishery management and recovery. The annual fishery regulation process involving a series of public meetings, information mailouts, press releases, and public hearings was described in detail in section 1.5. Anglers are keenly aware of and accustomed to abrupt inseason management changes including closures and reopenings with short notice. Permanent regulations are detailed in published pamphlets of fishing regulations. Annual regulation and inseason changes are widely publicized with press releases, phone calls or faxes of action notices to key constituents, and signs posted at fishery access points. The ODFW also operates an information line, a tape-recorded hotline, and an Internet web page where timely information is available.

In addition to fishery-related outreach efforts, the state of Oregon including the ODFW is conducting a broad-based watershed recovery effort called the Willamette Restoration Initiative (WRI). The WRI is a new effort seeking to promote, integrate, and coordinate efforts to protect and restore the health of the watershed. Designed as a public/private partnership, the Initiative works closely with state and federal agencies, while bringing a new focus to exploring the restoration interests and capabilities of businesses, landowners, non-profit organizations, local governments, and watershed councils in the basin. One of the first tasks of the Initiative has been to help guide the development of the "Willamette chapter" of the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds.

## 3.4) Enforcement

Sport fishing regulations in Oregon are enforced by the Fish and Wildlife Division of the Oregon State Police working in close partnership with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. The OSP and ODFW work together to develop enforceable regulations to achieve fish and wildlife resource management goals. The Fish and Wildlife Enforcement Division of the OSP currently includes 128 Supervisors and Troopers including 105 assigned to general fish, wildlife, and natural resources law enforcement, and 13 Troopers assigned specifically to protection of anadromous fish
and their habitat under the "Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds." Another 6 Troopers are assigned to commercial fish enforcement. Permanent staff are also supplemented with cadets. Enforcement activities in the Willamette Basin are conducted from offices in Portland, McMinnville, Salem, Albany, and Springfield.

ODFW and OSP work together to facilitate enforcement of resource management goals through an annual cooperative enforcement planning process where local Troopers meet yearly with local biologists to set enforcement priorities by species. Troopers then develop tactical plans to address priority issues and gain desired compliance levels to protect resources and meet management goals. The results of each tactical plan are quantified and compared to the compliance level considered necessary to meet management goals. Compliance is typically estimated based on the percentage of angler contacts where no violations are noted. Tactical plans are adjusted if necessary based on compliance assessments to make the best use of limited resources in manpower and equipment to achieve the goals.

Willamette spring chinook fisheries are assigned a high priority for enforcement and are intensively monitored. Officers are assigned to work all open fishing days during restrictive seasons with additional checks during closed periods. Officers conduct bank and boat patrols to check and assist anglers. Covert surveillance is also made in locations where complaints on violators have been received. In addition to regular patrols, sport fishing industry groups funded 2 additional senior troopers for the 1 month period of the 2000 selective fishery to help ensure the success of that trial fishery. Troopers worked an average of 360 person hours per year for 1998-2000, contacted an average of 1,100 anglers per year, and observed salmon regulation compliance rates of $97 \%$ or $98 \%$ in every year. During 2000, citations associated with noncompliance were $53 \%$ for no angling license, $14 \%$ for fishing with two lines, $10 \%$ for salmon angling closed season, $8 \%$ for failure to validate salmon/steelhead tag, $3 \%$ each for unlawful take of salmon and steelhead, and $8 \%$ for other wildlife and marine violations. Compliance with catch and release requirements for unclipped fish during the trial 2000 selective fishery was $100 \%$.

Regular OSP patrols are supplemented with a volunteer program which was initiated in 1997 in response to very restrictive regulations adopted for wild fish protection. The action plan incorporates volunteers to assist wildlife officers in the detection and apprehension of violators, assist the public with the restrictive regulations, and promote voluntary compliance. Volunteer teams of 2 persons are assigned to work all open fishing days during restrictive seasons and are in radio contact of officers. Volunteers wear uniforms when working boat ramps or other areas where they have direct contact with the public and provide added coverage during early morning and late afternoon shifts. Volunteers worked an average of 500 person hours per year for 1998-2000, contacted an average of 2,100 anglers per year, and observed salmon compliance rates of $96 \%$ to $99 \%$.

An action plan is also typically implemented for lower Columbia River commercial and sport fisheries. Commercial seasons are monitored by boat patrol and inspection of the landed catch at fish wholesalers. Boat and bank patrols are made during open and closed sport seasons. In 2000 for instance, patrols totaled 323 person hours, checked

358 anglers (primarily fishing for species other than salmon since because seasons were brief), and cited 2 people for angling closed season.

## 3.5) Schedule and process for reviewing and modifying fisheries management.

### 3.5.1) Description of the process and schedule that will be used on a regular basis (e.g. annually) to evaluate the fisheries, and revise management assumptions and targets if necessary.

The annual spring chinook fishery review process described in detail in Section 1.5 will continue to be employed to evaluate fisheries and revise management assumptions and targets as needed. It is anticipated that the annual fishery review process will be most rigorous in 2001 and will become more routine beginning in 2002 when selective fisheries are fully implemented and fishery impacts on wild UWR spring chinook are reduced to low incidental levels. To ensure that fish population and fishery management is meeting the goals described in this plan, annual monitoring will include wild fish escapement numbers and/or indices, cohort replacement rates, projected future wild and hatchery numbers based on age composition of recent returns, fishery harvest of hatchery fish and handle of wild fish, fishery effort, fishery catch per unit effort, mark rates in the fishery and escapement areas, and projected fishery impacts on wild fish. This information and the forecasted abundance and expected mortality rates by fishery for the next fishing season will be provided to NMFS' Hatcheries and Inland Fisheries Branch in Portland, Oregon by January $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year the FMEP is in effect.

One key question will be whether catch and release mortality rates are as expected. Actual impacts when selective fisheries are implemented will be compared with expected impacts to ensure that fishery management is meeting wild fish protection objectives. Estimates of actual catch and release impacts will be based on observed fish handle rates and will be verified using double index tagging results from hatchery fish. If average annual impact rates exceed the $15 \%$ average impact standard, additional fishery restrictions will be implemented to reduce impacts to prescribed levels. Additional fishery restrictions will likely first be implemented in wild fish tributaries where maximum wild fish protection is afforded relative to numbers of hatchery fish accessed. Additional restrictions in mainstem Willamette and mainstem Columbia river fisheries will be added as needed to meet wild fish protection goals. Fishery restrictions may involve a combination of time and area closures, reduced bag limits, and quotas as necessary.
A second key question is whether wild populations are above or below critical abundance and productivity thresholds. In years where McKenzie and Clackamas river thresholds are not achieved, additional fishery limitations will be implemented to reduce fishery impacts on these wild populations. The Santiam population is not subjected to this standard because habitat limitations preclude meeting thresholds even in the absence of fishing. Tributary fisheries in affected tributaries will be closed in years where thresholds are not reached. Closures of the Clackamas and McKenzie river tributary fisheries are projected to reduce fishing impacts by $30 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively. Additional restrictions in mainstem Willamette and mainstem Columbia river fisheries will be implemented based on the specifics of the problem, the effects of tributary closures, and the
benefits of additional closures. Mainstem fishery impacts will be reduced when either the McKenzie or Clackamas wild populations fall below critical thresholds and lesser restrictions are not sufficient to exceed thresholds. Mainstem fishery restrictions may involve a combination of time and area closures, reduced bag limits, and quotas as necessary.

Before ODFW proposes any changes to the existing angling regulations that may affect listed juvenile or adult spring chinook salmon in the Willamette Basin, ODFW will provide to NMFS information and analyses on how the regulation change will impact listed salmon. This information will be provided at least two weeks before a decision will be made by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission.

### 3.5.2) Description of the process and schedule that will occur to evaluate whether the FMEP is accomplishing the stated objectives. The conditions under which revisions to the FMEP will be made and how the revisions will likely be accomplished should be included.

This FMEP is intended to remain in effect indefinitely. Wild population status and fishery performance will continue to be assessed by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife on an annual basis. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife will conduct a comprehensive review of this plan in 2004 (after 3 years of selective fishery implementation) to evaluate whether fisheries and wild populations are performing as expected. Comprehensive reviews will be repeated by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife at 5-year intervals thereafter until such time as the wild stocks are recovered and delisted. Consultations between the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and the National Marine Fisheries Service regarding management of fisheries impacting listed UWR spring chinook will be reinitiated only if significant changes in the status or designation of UWR spring chinook, projected benefits of selective sport fishery implementation, habitat conditions, management processes, or other unforeseen developments necessitate revision.

Formal recovery planning efforts are currently underway for the Upper Willamette River spring chinook ESU. This FMEP may be reassessed or revised if the assumptions or management strategies in this FMEP are inconsistent with analyses or recommendations developed by the Technical Recovery Team. In addition, the FMEP may be re-evaluated if the results of the PVA are revised based on new information or peer review.

## SECTION CONSISTENCY OF FMEP WITH PLANS AND CONDITIONS SET WITHIN ANY FEDERAL COURT PROCEEDINGS

Actions and objectives contained in this proposed FMEP related to upper Willamette spring chinook do not directly impact Federal tribal trust resources. Tribal trust resources do not exist for Willamette spring chinook salmon in the Willamette Basin. There are no existing court orders with continuing jurisdiction over tribal harvest allocations that are relevant to the implementation of the proposed FMEP with respect to UWR spring chinook.
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## Appendix $A$

## Past Spring Chinook Fishery Impacts

Appendix Table A-1. Estimated run sizes and catches of Willamette spring chinook salmon, 1970-2000.

|  | Estimated run sizes |  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run year | Columbia <br> River | Willam <br> River | Willam <br> Falls | Clack <br> River | McKenzie <br> River | Select <br> Comm | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCR } \\ \text { Comm } \end{gathered}$ | LCR <br> Sport | LWR <br> Sport | LWR Indian | Clack <br> Sport | Total | UWR mains. | Santiam | Lower <br> McKenzie |
| 1970 | 65,500 | 53,500 | 34,200 | 1,600 | 4,787 | 0 | 8,800 | 3,200 | 17,700 | 0 | 100 | 29,800 |  | 1,582 |  |
| 1971 | 80,911 | 67,411 | 44,569 | 2,200 | 6,323 | 0 | 9,400 | 4,100 | 20,042 | 0 | 200 | 33,742 |  | 1,320 |  |
| 1972 | 58,362 | 47,062 | 26,154 | 2,200 | 3,770 | 0 | 11,100 | 200 | 18,508 | 0 | 200 | 30,008 |  | 597 |  |
| 1973 | 70,685 | 54,485 | 41,960 | 2,200 | 7,938 | 0 | 12,000 | 4,200 | 10,025 | 0 | 200 | 26,425 |  | 698 |  |
| 1974 | 82,430 | 71,830 | 44,530 | 2,200 | 7,840 | 0 | 9,300 | 1,300 | 25,000 | 0 | 200 | 35,800 |  | 698 |  |
| 1975 | 40,775 | 32,775 | 19,079 | 1,100 | 3,392 | 0 | 6,400 | 1,600 | 12,496 | 0 | 100 | 20,596 |  | 489 |  |
| 1976 | 45,109 | 40,809 | 22,154 | 2,200 | 4,275 | 0 | 2,500 | 1,800 | 16,355 | 0 | 200 | 20,855 |  | 181 |  |
| 1977 | 64,399 | 58,099 | 40,012 | 4,000 | 9,127 | 0 | 4,800 | 1,500 | 13,987 | 0 | 1,000 | 21,287 |  | 1,720 |  |
| 1978 | 83,300 | 71,400 | 47,512 | 4,000 | 8,142 | 0 | 9,500 | 2,400 | 19,788 | 0 | 1,000 | 32,688 | 671 | 877 |  |
| 1979 | 49,198 | 44,598 | 26,623 | 5,026 | 3,018 | 0 | 3,900 | 700 | 12,849 | 0 | 1,226 | 18,675 | 237 | 387 |  |
| 1980 | 43,333 | 42,433 | 26,973 | 8,465 | 4,154 | 0 | 300 | 600 | 6,995 | 0 | 3,165 | 11,060 | 484 | 853 |  |
| 1981 | 56,271 | 48,571 | 30,057 | 8,034 | 3,624 | 0 | 4,800 | 2,900 | 10,480 | 0 | 2,334 | 20,514 | 428 | 1,090 |  |
| 1982 | 77,964 | 72,464 | 46,195 | 7,263 | 5,413 | 0 | 3,600 | 1,900 | 18,905 | 0 | 2,463 | 26,868 | 508 | 1,520 |  |
| 1983 | 62,249 | 55,149 | 30,589 | 10,432 | 3,377 | 0 | 5,300 | 1,800 | 13,828 | 0 | 4,532 | 25,460 | 370 | 724 | 206 |
| 1984 | 84,240 | 74,540 | 43,452 | 11,288 | 4,739 | 0 | 8,200 | 1,500 | 19,400 | 0 | 4,300 | 33,400 | 532 | 1,033 | 567 |
| 1985 | 68,090 | 57,090 | 34,533 | 6,617 | 4,930 | 0 | 10,000 | 1,000 | 15,540 | 0 | 2,478 | 29,018 | 224 | 1,635 | 459 |
| 1986 | 73,552 | 62,452 | 39,155 | 7,897 | 5,567 | 0 | 8,000 | 3,100 | 15,000 | 0 | 3,900 | 30,000 | 289 | 1,625 | 354 |
| 1987 | 93,593 | 82,893 | 54,832 | 8,689 | 7,370 | 0 | 8,800 | 1,900 | 18,872 | 0 | 3,186 | 32,758 | 524 | 3,512 | 1,339 |
| 1988 | 118,112 | 103,951 | 70,451 | 8,687 | 12,637 | 0 | 11,261 | 2,900 | 24,613 | 0 | 2,720 | 41,494 | 952 | 3,937 | 1,133 |
| 1989 | 114,929 | 102,025 | 69,180 | 8,440 | 10,025 | 0 | 10,904 | 2,000 | 24,205 | 0 | 2,900 | 40,009 | 1,012 | 3,478 | 1,730 |
| 1990 | 130,588 | 106,354 | 71,273 | 11,470 | 12,854 | 0 | 15,504 | 8,730 | 23,011 | 0 | 4,710 | 51,955 | 1,253 | 4,345 | 1,387 |
| 1991 | 109,929 | 95,272 | 52,516 | 11,857 | 11,553 | 0 | 11,183 | 3,474 | 30,499 | 0 | 3,834 | 48,990 | 1,036 | 3,634 | 1,922 |
| 1992 | 75,007 | 68,045 | 42,004 | 11,534 | 8,976 | 0 | 3,866 | 3,096 | 13,508 | 0 | 2,697 | 23,167 | 639 | 4,363 | 1,195 |
| 1993 | 65,934 | 63,923 | 31,966 | 10,814 | 8,160 | 220 | 833 | 958 | 20,743 | 0 | 2,963 | 25,717 | 627 | 4,226 | 1,761 |
| 1994 | 49,580 | 47,209 | 26,102 | 7,490 | 2,992 | 56 | 1,044 | 1,271 | 11,458 | 759 | 1,541 | 16,129 | 287 | 2,190 | 439 |
| 1995 | 42,564 | 42,550 | 20,592 | 6,647 | 3,162 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14,681 | 29 | 1,708 | 16,432 | 541 | 1,885 | 75 |
| 1996 | 34,756 | 34,632 | 21,605 | 5,918 | 3,640 | 33 | 91 | 0 | 6,056 | 12 | 1,869 | 8,061 | 1,484 | 1,899 | 244 |
| 1997 | 35,302 | 35,030 | 26,885 | 5,819 | 3,105 | 189 | 83 | 0 | 1,886 | 0 | 1,732 | 3,890 | 464 | 2,175 | 0 |
| 1998 | 45,139 | 44,963 | 34,461 | 7,367 | 3,992 | 117 | 12 | 47 | 2,818 | 0 | 1,302 | 4,296 | 819 | 2,762 | 0 |
| 1999 | 54,202 | 53,942 | 40,410 | 7,444 | 4,557 | 247 | 13 | 0 | 5,507 | 0 | 1,890 | 7,657 |  |  | 0 |
| $2000^{\text {l }}$ | 58,000 | 57,456 | 37,594 | 7,400 |  |  | 339 | 205 | 8,701 | 0 | 1,179 |  |  |  | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary

Appendix Table A-2. Estimated harvest rates of Willamette spring chinook salmon, 1970-2000.

| Run year | Harvest rates (v Columbia River run) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest rate subtotals |  |  |  | Harvest rates (v run to area) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Select | LCR | LCR | LWR | LWR | Clack |  | LCR | LWR | LCR \& | LWR | LWR | Clack | U. Wll | Santiam | Lower |
|  | Comm | Comm | Sport | Sport | Indian | Sport | Total | total <br> (v Col) | total (v Col) | $\begin{gathered} \text { sport } \\ (\mathrm{v} \mathrm{Col}) \end{gathered}$ | total <br> (v Col) | sport (v Will) | total <br> (v Clack) | mains. <br> (v Falls) | (v Falls) | McKenzie <br> (v McK) |
| 1970 | 0.0\% | 13.4\% | 4.9\% | 27.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 45.5\% | 18.3\% | 27.0\% | 31.9\% | 45.3\% | 33.1\% | 6.3\% |  | 4.6\% |  |
| 1971 | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 5.1\% | 24.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 41.7\% | 16.7\% | 24.8\% | 29.8\% | 41.5\% | 29.7\% | 9.1\% |  | 3.0\% |  |
| 1972 | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 0.3\% | 31.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 51.4\% | 19.4\% | 31.7\% | 32.1\% | 51.1\% | 39.3\% | 9.1\% |  | 2.3\% |  |
| 1973 | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 5.9\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 37.4\% | 22.9\% | 14.2\% | 20.1\% | 37.1\% | 18.4\% | 9.1\% |  | 1.7\% |  |
| 1974 | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.6\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 43.4\% | 12.9\% | 30.3\% | 31.9\% | 43.2\% | 34.8\% | 9.1\% |  | 1.6\% |  |
| 1975 | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 3.9\% | 30.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 50.5\% | 19.6\% | 30.6\% | 34.6\% | 50.3\% | 38.1\% | 9.1\% |  | 2.6\% |  |
| 1976 | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 4.0\% | 36.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 46.2\% | 9.5\% | 36.3\% | 40.2\% | 45.8\% | 40.1\% | 9.1\% |  | 0.8\% |  |
| 1977 | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 2.3\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 33.1\% | 9.8\% | 21.7\% | 24.0\% | 31.5\% | 24.1\% | 25.0\% |  | 4.3\% |  |
| 1978 | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 2.9\% | 23.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 39.2\% | 14.3\% | 23.8\% | 26.6\% | 38.0\% | 27.7\% | 25.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% |  |
| 1979 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 1.4\% | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 38.0\% | 9.3\% | 26.1\% | 27.5\% | 35.5\% | 28.8\% | 24.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% |  |
| 1980 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 25.5\% | 2.1\% | 16.1\% | 17.5\% | 18.2\% | 16.5\% | 37.4\% | 1.8\% | 3.2\% |  |
| 1981 | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 5.2\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 36.5\% | 13.7\% | 18.6\% | 23.8\% | 32.3\% | 21.6\% | 29.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.6\% |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 24.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 34.5\% | 7.1\% | 24.2\% | 26.7\% | 31.3\% | 26.1\% | 33.9\% | 1.1\% | 3.3\% |  |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 2.9\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 40.9\% | 11.4\% | 22.2\% | 25.1\% | 33.6\% | 25.1\% | 43.4\% | 1.2\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 1.8\% | 23.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 39.6\% | 11.5\% | 23.0\% | 24.8\% | 34.5\% | 26.0\% | 38.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.4\% | 12.0\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 1.5\% | 22.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 42.6\% | 16.2\% | 22.8\% | 24.3\% | 39.0\% | 27.2\% | 37.4\% | 0.6\% | 4.7\% | 9.3\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 4.2\% | 20.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 40.8\% | 15.1\% | 20.4\% | 24.6\% | 35.5\% | 24.0\% | 49.4\% | 0.7\% | 4.2\% | 6.4\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 2.0\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 35.0\% | 11.4\% | 20.2\% | 22.2\% | 31.6\% | 22.8\% | 36.7\% | 1.0\% | 6.4\% | 18.2\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 2.5\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 35.1\% | 12.0\% | 20.8\% | 23.3\% | 32.8\% | 23.7\% | 31.3\% | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | 9.0\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 1.7\% | 21.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 34.8\% | 11.2\% | 21.1\% | 22.8\% | 32.3\% | 23.7\% | 34.4\% | 1.5\% | 5.0\% | 17.3\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 6.7\% | 17.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 39.8\% | 18.6\% | 17.6\% | 24.3\% | 36.2\% | 21.6\% | 41.1\% | 1.8\% | 6.1\% | 10.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 3.2\% | 27.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 44.6\% | 13.3\% | 27.7\% | 30.9\% | 41.1\% | 32.0\% | 32.3\% | 2.0\% | 6.9\% | 16.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 4.1\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 30.9\% | 9.3\% | 18.0\% | 22.1\% | 27.3\% | 19.9\% | 23.4\% | 1.5\% | 10.4\% | 13.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 31.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 39.0\% | 3.1\% | 31.5\% | 32.9\% | 34.5\% | 32.4\% | 27.4\% | 2.0\% | 13.2\% | 21.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.6\% | 23.1\% | 1.5\% | 3.1\% | 32.5\% | 4.8\% | 24.6\% | 25.7\% | 29.4\% | 24.3\% | 20.6\% | 1.1\% | 8.4\% | 14.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.5\% | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 38.6\% | 0.0\% | 34.6\% | 34.5\% | 34.6\% | 34.5\% | 25.7\% | 2.6\% | 9.2\% | 2.4\% |
| 1996 | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 23.2\% | 0.4\% | 17.5\% | 17.4\% | 17.8\% | 17.5\% | 31.6\% | 6.9\% | 8.8\% | 6.7\% |
| 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 11.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 6.1\% | 5.4\% | 29.8\% | 1.7\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 1998 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 9.5\% | 0.4\% | 6.2\% | 6.3\% | 6.6\% | 6.3\% | 17.7\% | 2.4\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 1999 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 14.1\% | 0.5\% | 10.2\% | 10.2\% | 10.6\% | 10.2\% | 25.4\% |  |  | 0.0\% |
| $2000{ }^{1}$ |  | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  | 0.9\% | 15.0\% |  | 15.9\% | 15.1\% ${ }^{2}$ | 16.0\% |  |  | 0.0\% |

[^2]
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Natural Spawning Populations of Willamette River Spring Chinook Salmon

## Clackamas River Basin

## Available Habitat

Historically, spawning by indigenous spring chinook occurred in the upper Clackamas basin in the mainstem and in tributaries including Eagle Creek, Fish Creek, Roaring River, and the Collawash River (Figure 1). Access to spawning areas was severely impeded or prevented by Faraday and River Mill dams from 1906-39. During this period, natural production of spring chinook was restricted to the lower 23 miles of the Clackamas and Eagle Creek. Passage into upriver spawning areas was restored in 1940 and counts of spring chinook past River Mill Dam in the early 1950's indicate recolonization of the upper basin. The source of the spring chinook that recolonized the upper Clackamas is not known. Most likely, it included some Clackamas fish that had persisted below Faraday Dam, plus strays that were deterred from their destination in upper Willamette tributaries by passage problems at Willamette Falls (located just above the mouth of the Clackamas) and pollution in the lower Willamette. Today, natural production habitat is thought to be relatively productive in at least the Clackamas mainstem and tributaries above North Fork Dam. Much of the Clackamas basin above the North Fork Dam is in National Forest management and substantial area is protected by Wild and Scenic River Designation. Juveniles primarily rear in the mainstem Clackamas River above North Fork Reservoir and in North Fork Reservoir. Rates of mortality caused by dams to downstream migrants are not well documented.

## Natural Spawning

Intensive spawning ground surveys for spring chinook salmon were conducted in the Clackamas River basin from 1996 through 1998 to document timing, distribution, and abundance of natural spawning. A subset of the most heavily used areas was surveyed in 1999. Aerial surveys of spawning grounds were conducted annually from 1982 to 1995 . However, these surveys may have limited value because test comparisons between aerial and ground surveys in 1996 and 1998 showed aerial surveys only accounted for about $24 \%$ of the total spring chinook redds in the upper Clackamas River basin.

The mainstem of the upper Clackamas River above North Fork Dam (RM 30) is the most important spawning area for spring chinook salmon accounting for an average of $85 \%$ of the redds in three years of intensive surveys (1996-98). Only $15 \%$ were accounted for in tributaries. Mean annual redd counts in the upper mainstem in 1996-99 were 236 (Table 1). Redds in the upper mainstem from Sisi Creek (RM 74) to the head of North Fork Reservoir (RM 33) are fairly uniformly distributed with the section from the mouth of the Collowash River (RM 57) to Cripple Creek (RM 48) usually containing the highest redd densities. Of the tributaries, the Collowash River is the most used by spring chinook in the basin. Spring chinook salmon generally begin spawning in the upper Clackamas in late August and finish near the end of October.

Spring chinook salmon also spawn in the lower Clackamas River below River Mill Dam (RM 23), but not as heavily as above North Fork Dam. The lower Clackamas River accounted for $11 \%$ of the total redds in the Clackamas basin in 1998 when both upper and lower sections were surveyed. Although fall chinook salmon also use the lower Clackamas River, spring chinook predominate in the area just below River Mill Dam.


Figure 1. Upper Clackamas River.

Table 1. Redd counts of spring chinook salmon in the Clackamas River, 1996-99.

|  | Redd Counts |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Area | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| Upper Clackamas: |  |  |  |  |
| Main stem | 159 | 302 | 323 | 161 |
| Tributaries | 23 | 74 | 57 | $16 \underline{a} /$ |
| Lower Clackamas | -- | -- | 48 | -- |
| Total | 182 | 376 | 428 | 177 |

a/ Not all tributaries surveyed in 1996-98 were surveyed in 1999.

## Natural Juvenile Production

Downstream passage of juvenile salmonids is routinely monitored at North Fork Dam. Naturally produced spring chinook juveniles are considered relatively abundant. Partial, unexpanded annual counts of downstream migrants (thought to be naturally produced) during 1959-99 ranged from about 1,000 to over 50,000 (Table 2). These data show a pattern of downstream migration by juvenile spring chinook similar to that observed at Leaburg Dam on the McKenzie River. Peaks in downstream movement occur in the spring and fall.

## Return of Naturally Produced Adults

Natural production of salmonids, including spring chinook was severely constrained or eliminated in the Clackamas above Faraday Dam from 1906-39. Natural production in the upper basin was reestablished through colonization after 1939 when passage was provided at the Faraday Dam complex. Escapement of naturally produced adults above North Fork Dam averaged about 500 fish annually during the decade prior to large-scale introductions of upper Willamette hatchery fish to the basin. Counts of spring chinook over North Fork Dam increased substantially in 1980 after onset of the hatchery program. Escapement over North Fork Dam since 1990 has averaged about 2,300 fish annually (Table 3). Table 4 provides the total estimated return of spring chinook to the Clackamas River during 1979 to 1999.

Hatchery fish returns to the basin prior to 1998 were from releases of mostly unclipped hatchery fish above and below North Fork Dam. Starting in 1998, 100\% of the hatchery production releases were adipose fin-clipped and released below River Mill Dam. No precise estimates of the number of wild fish passing North Fork Dam are available. However, it is thought reasonable to assume that escapement of naturally produced spring chinook to the Clackamas (primarily but not exclusively above North Fork Dam) has been somewhere in the range of 500 to 1,500 fish during 1994-99. This compares to the longterm average of 500 fish annually passing North Fork Dam prior to 1980.

Table 2. Unexpanded counts of downstream migrating wild juvenile spring chinook at North Fork Dam on the Clackamas River, 1959-99.

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 |  |  | 17 | 26 | 3,922 | 437 | 45 | 23 | 26 | 496 | 312 | 36 | 5,340 |
| 1960 | 58 | 155 | 100 | 223 | 14,310 | 2,467 | 32 | 7 |  | 250 | 3,306 | 936 | 21,844 |
| 1961 | 654 | 47 | 131 | 876 | 10,809 | 1,783 | 2 |  | 14 | 29 | 44 | 85 | 14,474 |
| 1962 | 66 | 32 | 23 | 112 | 71 | 8 |  |  |  | 86 | 234 | 363 | 995 |
| 1963 | 144 | 209 | 497 | 222 | 265 | 42 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 46 | 238 | 230 | 1,929 |
| 1964 | 516 | 118 | 171 | 906 | 3,921 | 259 | 21 | 61 | 71 | 115 | 488 | 782 | 7,429 |
| $1965{ }^{\text {¹/ }}$ | 4 |  | 900 | 2,022 | 1,337 | 262 | 21 | 3 |  |  | 45,088 | 18,531 | 68,168 |
| $1966{ }^{\frac{1}{1}}$ | 19,941 | 16,289 | 31,183 | 15,489 | 19,427 | 3,942 | 558 | 17 | 198 | 495 | 2,625 | 2,112 | 112,276 |
| $1967{ }^{\text {¹/ }}$ | 1,787 | 1,266 | 41,690 | 111,269 | 48,193 | 8,038 | 246 |  |  | 135 | 199 | 160 | 212,983 |
| 1968 | 66 | 160 | 127 | 586 | 616 | 23 |  |  | 6 | 34 | 290 | 75 | 1,983 |
| 1969 | 23 | 141 | 717 | 521 | 1,092 | 333 | 20 | 5 | 14 | 109 | 175 | 340 | 3,490 |
| 1970 | 856 | 194 | 469 | 941 | 608 | 26 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 37 | 93 | 111 | 3,348 |
| 1971 | 342 | 386 | 746 | 716 | 1,236 | 658 | 26 |  |  | 140 | 340 | 175 | 4,765 |
| 1972 | 203 | 351 | 638 | 484 | 540 | 216 | 13 |  | 8 | 39 | 108 | 105 | 2,705 |
| 1973 | 108 | 128 | 158 | 1,407 | 466 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 37 | 420 | 211 | 2,963 |
| 1974 | 200 | 2,266 | 2,703 | 2,414 | 5,094 | 748 | 30 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 22 | 47 | 13,542 |
| 1975 | 58 | 23 | 38 | 385 | 1,805 | 127 | 29 | 96 | 170 | 330 | 647 | 710 | 4,418 |
| 1976 | 314 | 406 | 1,074 | 2,873 | 2,525 | 566 | 67 | 32 | 124 | 208 | 178 | 189 | 8,556 |
| 1977 | 32 | 7 | 31 | 1,864 | 6,210 | 399 | 62 | 12 | 83 | 770 | 1,882 | 1,015 | 12,367 |
| 1978 | 293 | 754 | 3,829 | 4,903 | 1,765 | 198 | 8 | 6 |  |  |  | 335 | 12,091 |
| 1979 | 7 | 53 | 187 | 307 | 2,705 | 186 | 35 | 27 | 310 | 1,292 | 1,483 | 1,154 | 7,746 |
| 1980 | 401 | 175 | 828 | 3,875 | 8,450 | 732 | 263 | 99 | 221 | 859 | 1,102 | 1,173 | 18,178 |
| 1981 | 271 | 911 | 1,425 | 2,695 | 1,495 | 142 | 99 | 49 | 30 | 232 | 651 | 828 | 8,828 |
| 1982 | 92 | 240 | 496 | 1,354 | 3,853 | 67 | 6 | 74 | 62 | 167 | 834 | 556 | 7,801 |
| 1983 | 363 | 404 | 546 | 1,910 | 5,088 | 693 | 422 | 380 | 636 | 3,344 | 9,333 | 1,644 | 24,763 |
| 1984 | 2,873 | 2,202 | 2,323 | 3,536 | 10,445 | 2,286 | 1,738 | 817 | 345 | 4,834 | 7,948 | 1,611 | 40,958 |
| $1985{ }^{\text {1/ }}$ | 1,627 | 1,416 | 2,667 | 5,062 | 15,659 | 4,884 | 1,764 | 119 | 1,205 | 7,146 | 8,454 | 659 | 50,662 |
| 1986 | 2,293 | 3,796 | 5,976 | 6,064 | 5,750 | 1,805 | 424 | 96 | 163 | 1,042 | 3,079 | 914 | 31,402 |
| 1987 | 218 | 553 | 1,349 | 3,886 | 7,580 | 674 | 196 | 56 | 170 | 1,262 | 3,408 | 6,022 | 25,374 |
| 1988 | 694 | 459 | 858 | 1,173 | 2,515 | 172 | 268 | 75 | 50 | 228 | 1,938 | 601 | 9,031 |
| 1989 | 133 | 94 | 82 | 797 | 12,104 | 928 | 240 | 88 | 150 | 4,474 | 6,216 | 3,048 | 28,354 |
| 1990 | 578 | 240 | 716 | 1,687 | 4,249 | 1,003 | 270 | 36 | 63 | 145 | 4,726 | 2,241 | 15,954 |
| 1991 | 398 | 46 | 158 | 1,791 | 10,557 | 1,175 | 152 | 14 | 21 | 512 | 2,830 | 1,035 | 18,689 |
| 1992 | 114 | 67 | 141 | 1,903 | 4,905 | 909 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 2,838 | 760 | 11,831 |
| 1993 | 325 | 20 | 47 | 686 | 4,430 | 1,225 | 398 | 192 | 68 | 664 | 2,988 | 5,781 | 16,824 |
| 1994 | 1,282 | 59 | 136 | 4,167 | 4,085 | 168 | 82 | 6 | 30 | 210 | 2,186 | 136 | 12,547 |
| 1995 | 55 | 46 | 120 | 2,124 | 6,890 | 1,567 | 38 | 20 | 10 | 913 | 1,402 | 257 | 13,442 |
| 1996 | 12 | 0 | 164 | 383 | 44 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 44 | 89 | 108 | 39 | 911 |
| 1997 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 70 | 730 | 40 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 140 | 308 | 37 | 1,376 |
| 1998 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 909 | 5,839 | 999 | 1,024 | 50 | 106 | 4,464 | 2,448 | 212 | 16,065 |
| 1999 | 55 | 48 | 69 | 585 | 2,336 | 76 | 84 | 63 | 16 | 172 | 696 | 105 | 4,305 |

[^3]Table 3. Spring chinook passage over Clackamas River dams, 1950-99.

| Year | Mar | Apr | May | Jun |  | Jul |  | Aug |  | Sep |  | Oct |  | Nov |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adults Jacks | Adults Jacks | Adults Jacks | Adults J | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Total |
| 1950 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 123 |  | 21 |  | 20 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 170 | 0 | 170 |
| $1951{ }^{\text {¹/ }}$ |  |  | 5 | 147 |  | 180 |  | 6 |  | 29 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 371 | 0 | 371 |
| 1952 |  |  | 9 | 72 |  | 214 |  | 161 |  | 30 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 496 | 0 | 496 |
| 1953 |  |  |  | 24 | 14 | 199 | 63 | 228 | 24 | 76 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 531 | 108 | 639 |
| 1954 |  |  |  | 4 | 33 | 158 | 131 | 138 | 32 | 25 | 5 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 333 | 202 | 535 |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  | 130 | 53 | 115 | 23 | 51 | 5 | 28 | 2 |  |  | 324 | 83 | 407 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 26 |
| 1958 ²/ |  |  | $10 \quad 1$ | 33 |  | 129 |  | 39 | 6 | 143 | 69 | 28 | 12 | 5 |  | 387 | 88 | 475 |
| 1959 | 2 |  | 14 | 7 | 3 | 37 | 3 | 8 |  | 154 | 20 | 69 | 252 |  |  | 289 | 278 | 567 |
| 1960 |  |  |  | 11 | 16 | 45 | 28 | 21 | 2 | 88 | 27 | 16 | 33 |  |  | 181 | 106 | 287 |
| 1961 |  |  |  | 89 | 52 | 54 | 42 |  | 2 | 68 | 38 | 12 | 13 |  |  | 223 | 147 | 370 |
| 1962 |  |  |  | 117 | 17 | 122 | 27 | 81 | 25 | 207 | 25 | 43 | 2 |  |  | 570 | 96 | 666 |
| 1963 |  |  | $5 \quad 1$ | 149 | 17 | 44 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 194 | 27 | 124 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 535 | 81 | 616 |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 11 | 10 | 75 | 50 | 77 | 16 | 140 | 25 | 42 | 2 |  |  | 345 | 103 | 448 |
| 1965 |  |  | 11 | 104 | 79 | 156 | 83 | 29 | 30 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 307 | 204 | 511 |
| 1966 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 30 | 32 | 9 | 70 | 10 | 31 | 6 |  |  | 218 | 59 | 277 |
| 1967 |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 44 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 29 | 5 | 35 |  |  |  | 129 | 18 | 147 |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 196 | 57 | 116 | 28 | 75 | 5 | 21 |  |  |  | 408 | 93 | 501 |
| 1969 |  |  |  | 471 | 29 | 178 | 15 | 71 | 15 | 145 | 15 | 43 | 1 | 1 |  | 909 | 75 | 984 |
| 1970 |  |  | 4 | 245 | 18 | 199 | 32 | 38 | 19 | 60 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  | 576 | 76 | 652 |
| 1971 |  |  |  | 56 | 5 | 171 | 30 | 47 | 8 | 48 | 5 | 11 |  |  |  | 333 | 48 | 381 |
| 1972 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 41 | 35 | 40 | 43 | 69 | 25 | 36 | 9 |  |  | 190 | 113 | 303 |
| 1973 |  | 1 | $4 \quad 1$ | 176 | 15 | 81 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 119 | 17 | 45 | 5 |  |  | 456 | 58 | 514 |
| 1974 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 162 | 24 | 89 | 10 | 146 | 16 | 52 | 4 |  |  | 450 | 54 | 504 |
| 1975 |  |  |  | 10 | 4 | 92 | 20 | 149 | 9 | 70 | 4 | 40 | 2 |  |  | 361 | 39 | 400 |
| 1976 |  |  | 6 | 79 | 2 | 139 | 11 | 53 | 11 | 114 | 3 | 41 | 1 |  |  | 433 | 28 | 461 |
| 1977 |  | 3 | $21 \quad 1$ | 78 | 15 | 45 | 14 | 51 | 20 | 130 | 13 | 106 | 6 | 1 |  | 435 | 69 | 504 |
| 1978 |  | 1 |  | 52 | 43 | 30 | 78 | 49 | 61 | 176 | 122 | 109 | 16 |  | 2 | 416 | 323 | 739 |
| 1979 |  |  |  | 189 | 42 | 72 | 112 | 32 | 25 | 166 | 40 | 131 | 27 | 2 |  | 592 | 246 | 838 |
| Table continued next page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Counts 1950-55 are from River Mill Dam. Jacks were not counted separately during 1950-52.
2/ Counts since 1958 are from North Fork Dam.

Table 3. Spring chinook passage over Clackamas River dams, 1950-99. (Continued)

| Year | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  | Aug |  | Sep |  | Oct |  | Nov |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adults Jacks | Adults Jacks | Adults Jacks | Adults Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Adults | Jacks | Total |
| 1980 |  |  | 4 | $204-5$ | 438 | 25 | 429 | 17 | 763 | 2 | 281 |  | 3 | 1 | 2,122 | 50 | 2,172 |
| 1981 |  |  | 41 3 | $456 \quad 42$ | 437 | 70 | 483 | 24 | 1,101 | 22 | 467 | 16 |  |  | 2,985 | 177 | 3,162 |
| 1982 |  |  | 20 | 211 | 556 | 76 | 415 | 41 | 1,464 | 42 | 244 | 19 |  |  | 2,910 | 209 | 3,119 |
| 1983 |  |  |  | 327 15 | 145 | 12 | 313 | 17 | 1,639 | 30 | 172 | 13 | 2 |  | 2,598 | 87 | 2,685 |
| 1984 |  |  | 5 | $195 \quad 17$ | 774 | 35 | 118 | 7 | 1,368 | 38 | 273 | 5 |  |  | 2,733 | 102 | 2,835 |
| 1985 |  |  | 5 | $195 \quad 22$ | 491 | 62 | 221 | 33 | 649 | 14 | 132 | 9 | 1 |  | 1,694 | 140 | 1,834 |
| 1986 |  | 2 | 6 | $716 \quad 73$ | 409 | 50 | 104 | 13 | 525 | 23 | 35 | 4 |  |  | 1,797 | 163 | 1,960 |
| 1987 |  |  | 15 | $983 \quad 21$ | 481 | 47 | 171 | 21 | 519 | 14 | 113 | 30 | 10 |  | 2,292 | 133 | 2,425 |
| 1988 |  |  | 7 | 886 | 749 | 14 | 330 | 12 | 964 | 7 | 152 |  | 1 |  | 3,089 | 51 | 3,140 |
| 1989 |  |  | 18 | $857 \quad 32$ | 602 | 25 | 381 | 18 | 815 | 9 | 180 | 1 |  |  | 2,853 | 85 | 2,938 |
| 1990 |  | 1 | 54 | $531 \quad 14$ | 901 | 22 | 661 | 17 | 1035 | 3 | 205 |  |  |  | 3,388 | 56 | 3,444 |
| 1991 |  |  | 15 | 270 1 | 1,123 | 13 | 871 | 14 | 2,027 | 45 | 278 | 2 |  |  | 4,584 | 75 | 4,659 |
| 1992 |  | 8 | $304 \quad 3$ | 868 13 | 792 | 17 | 248 | 6 | 1,266 |  | 27 |  | 1 |  | 3,514 | 39 | 3,553 |
| 1993 |  |  | 28 | $291 \quad 1$ | 649 | 10 | 419 | 13 | 1,513 | 7 | 158 |  | 1 |  | 3,059 | 31 | 3,090 |
| 1994 |  |  | $150 \quad 1$ | $436-5$ | 403 | 5 | 268 | 1 | 780 | 1 | 124 |  |  |  | 2,161 | 13 | 2,174 |
| 1995 |  |  | 26 | 247 7 | 511 | 5 | 237 | 5 | 510 | 2 | 107 | 1 | 1 |  | 1,639 | 20 | 1,659 |
| 1996 |  |  | 3 | 182 2 | 215 | 2 | 76 | 4 | 343 | 7 | 69 |  |  |  | 888 | 15 | 903 |
| 1997 |  |  | 7 | 79 | 294 |  | 266 | 3 | 550 |  | 68 |  |  |  | 1,264 | 3 | 1,267 |
| 1998 |  |  | 8 | 190 | 384 | 23 | 166 | 13 | 496 |  | 148 |  | 3 |  | 1,395 | 36 | 1,431 |
| 1999 |  |  |  | 8 | 159 | 7 | 214 | 13 | 379 | 3 | 97 | 5 | 3 |  | 860 | 28 | 888 |

Table 4. Estimated return of spring chinook to the Clackamas River, 1979-99.

| Year | L. Clackamas Sport Catch | North Fork Dam Count | Natural Spawn Bel. N. Fork Dam | Hatchery Return |  | Total Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eagle Ck. NFH | Clackamas |  |
| 1979 | 1,226 | 838 | 159 | 2,803 | 0 | 5,026 |
| 1980 | 3,165 | 2,172 | 624 | 1,480 | 1,024 | 8,465 |
| 1981 | 2,334 | 3,162 | 654 | 812 | 1,065 | 8,027 |
| 1982 | 2,463 | 3,119 | 203 | 905 | 573 | 7,263 |
| 1983 | 4,532 | 2,685 | 770 | 522 | 1,923 | 10,432 |
| 1984 | 4,300 | 2,835 | 600 | 1,032 | 2,521 | 11,288 |
| 1985 | 2,478 | 1,834 | 635 | 726 | 944 | 6,617 |
| 1986 | 3,900 | 1,960 | 600 | 661 | 776 | 7,897 |
| 1987 | 3,186 | 2,425 | 868 | 1,338 | 1,005 | 8,822 |
| 1988 | 2,720 | 3,140 | 201 | 1,373 | 1,253 | 8,687 |
| 1989 | 2,900 | 2,938 | 600 | 1,137 | 865 | 8,440 |
| 1990 | 4,710 | 3,444 | 600 | 869 | 1,847 | 11,470 |
| 1991 | 3,834 | 4,659 | 500 | 88 | 2,776 | 11,857 |
| 1992 | 2,697 | 3,553 | 750 | 0 | 4,535 | 11,535 |
| 1993 | 2,963 | 3,090 | 200 | 0 | 4,635 | 10,888 |
| 1994 | 1,541 | 2,174 | 100 | 9 | 3,675 | 7,499 |
| 1995 | 1,708 | 1,659 | 150 | 19 | 3,112 | 6,648 |
| 1996 | 1,869 | 903 | 100 | 2 | 3,044 | 5,918 |
| 1997 | 1,732 | 1,267 | 150 | 0 | 2,670 | 5,819 |
| 1998 | 1,302 | 1,431 | 100 | 4 | 4,530 | 7,367 |
| 1999 | 1,890 | 888 | 100 | 4 | 4,562 | 7,444 |

## North Santiam River Basin

## Available Habitat

The availability of areas historically used for spawning by spring chinook in the North Santiam was severely reduced by construction of Detroit and Big Cliff dams in 1953 (Figure 2). Mattson (1948) estimated that over $70 \%$ of the spring chinook spawning in 1947 were located upstream from the site of these dams. Today, spawning and rearing habitat is still available below these dams downstream to Stayton, which Mattson noted as the extreme downstream location of spawning by spring chinook. This area, although accessible for spawning by spring chinook, may not be as suitable as it was historically because of the thermal effect of reservoirreleased water on incubating eggs. An important spawning tributary, the Little North Fork, is still accessible and is thought to be quite suitable to spawning and rearing by spring chinook.

## Natural Spawning

Spring chinook salmon spawn in the North Santiam River primarily above the town of Stayton, Oregon (RM 17). Fall chinook spawn mainly below Stayton. Recent spawning ground surveys have shown most natural spawning of spring chinook salmon occurs near the upper limit of their migration at Minto Dam (RM 44). Redd counts between Minto and Stayton have averaged 160 annually from 1996-1999 (Table 5). Aerial surveys in 1993 and 1994 counted about 165 redds annually above Stayton. However, comparisons of aerial counts of redds with those conducted on the ground in 1996 and 1997 showed that aerial counts only accounted for $26 \%$ of the redds actually present. If this relationship was true in 1993-94, redd counts in these years may have approached 630 annually, much higher than the counts observed in 1996-1999. Run sizes of spring chinook in 1993-94 were somewhat higher than those observed in 1996-99, and probably accounts for some of the difference between the two periods.

The Little North Fork Santiam River (RM 26) is the only tributary in the North Santiam basin that currently supports natural production of spring chinook salmon. However, redd counts in 1996-99 have been low, averaging only 15 redds annually (Table 5). No hatchery spring chinook are released into the Little North Fork.

Table 5. Redd counts of spring chinook salmon in the North Santiam River, 1996-99.

|  | Redd Counts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| Mainstem North Santiam: <br> $\quad$ Stayton to Minto | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 5} \underline{\mathbf{a} /}$ |  |
| Little North Fork of the <br> Santiam | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |  |

a/ Counts adjusted for sections not surveyed, which accounted for $18 \%$ of the redds based on data in 1996-98.


Figure 2. North Santiam River.

## Natural Juvenile Production

In 1989 and 1990 the ODFW Research Section operated several downstream migrant traps in the Santiam Water Control District's hydroelectric/irrigation canal on the North Santiam River. The objective of this study was to document the seasonal presence or absence of fish in the canal to develop fish protection criteria. Staff documented that naturally produced juvenile spring chinook salmon could be found migrating through the canal during all months of the year as either fry, fingerlings, or smolts. Staff estimated the total number of fry ( $35-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) migrating through the canal from January 1 to April 1 in 1989 by expanding trap catches for unsampled days and known trapping efficiency of fry. Estimates, based on two methods, were 96,100 and 101,600 fry. Since the percentage of river diverted into the canal ranged from $9-60 \%$ during this period, staff suspect that substantially more fry migrated down the river past the entrance to the canal. In addition, visual surveys during recent years have noted the occurrence of rearing juveniles in the Little North Fork and in the mainstem North Santiam below Big Cliff Dam.

## Return of Naturally Produced Adults

In 1994 and 1997-2000 the Research Section installed and operated fish traps in fishways located near Stayton Island on the North Santiam River. These traps were situated on the primary paths of upstream migration and operated three to four days per week from March to mid-October. Using daily trap catches to estimate passage on unsampled days, staff calculated that adult spring chinook passage through the Stayton complex averaged 2,556 fish (range: $2,161-3,351$ ) for this period.

The majority of Willamette River spring chinook adults ( $>95 \%$ ) return at ages 4 and 5. Hatchery releases corresponding to the aforementioned adult return/ trapping years were adipose fin-clipped and coded-wire tagged at an average of $6.77 \%$. In 1994, when staff expanded the number of adipose fin-clipped fish observed in Department traps to account for unclipped hatchery fish, staff estimated that virtually all the adults passing Stayton Island were of hatchery origin. Based on this analysis it appears the naturally produced portion of the North Santiam run was insignificant in 1994. Analysis of 1997, 1998, and 1999 mark returns indicated that a small portion of the run in each of these years was naturally produced. The estimated run which originated from natural production was $2 \%, 1 \%$, and $3 \%$ for the years 1997 , 1998, and 1999 , respectively. Sampling to date indicates that by far the greatest proportion of the adult run of spring chinook entering the North Santiam in recent years has consisted of hatchery fish.

## McKenzie River Basin

## Available Habitat

Considerable habitat suitable to support natural spawning and rearing by spring chinook exists today in the McKenzie basin. Dams on the mainstem McKenzie River (Trailbridge) and on tributaries to the McKenzie (Blue River and South Fork) have eliminated some historic spawning areas (Figure 3). Also, Blue River and Cougar dams adversely affect natural production because of the thermal effects of reservoir-released water that accelerate incubation, hatching, and emergence of juveniles. The Mohawk River supported spring chinook historically but may not currently be suitable for natural production. Mattson (1948) reported that the principal spawning areas were found from the mouth to Hendricks Bridge and in the Walterville Canal. Based on recent, incomplete spawning ground surveys, the principal areas used for spawning appear to be above Leaburg Dam.


Figure 3. Upper McKenzie River.

The reasons for this possible change in distribution of spawners are not known. Prior to 1958, the McKenzie was often racked near Hendricks Bridge and a trap was operated at Leaburg to facilitate collection of eggs for hatchery programs. Both actions could have reduced escapement to the upper river. Also, spawning by hatchery fall chinook may have inflated redd counts in the lower river during 1969-78. Although the majority of the traditional spawning and rearing areas formerly used by spring chinook are still accessible, the contemporary productive capacity of these habitats are not known. The McKenzie is thought to presently contain substantial high quality spawning and rearing habitat for natural production of spring chinook.

## Natural Spawning

Natural spawning by spring chinook in the McKenzie is not comprehensively sampled. Annual passage of adults upstream over Leaburg Dam has been estimated since 1970 and has ranged from 800 to 7,200 annually (Table 6). Annual observations of redds below Leaburg have been recorded since 1965. These data indicate that spawning by spring chinook occurs both below and above Leaburg, but is primarily above Leaburg.

Extensive surveys of spawning areas in 1992 noted the earliest initiation of spawning on the last day of August. As was noted by Mattson (1948) spawning generally progressed downstream through time. Peak spawning was apparently around the third week of September in 1992, although some spawning activity was noted into the second week of October.

## Natural Juvenile Production

Some monitoring of juveniles migrating downstream past Leaburg has occurred since 1985. During the period 1985-92, the number of juveniles that moved downstream past Leaburg Dam was estimated at between about 100,000 and 1.5 million. Production of higher numbers of naturally produced juveniles was generally associated with escapement of higher numbers of adult hatchery and wild spring chinook past Leaburg Dam (Figure 5).


Figure 5. P愛smolt passage index versus upstream adult escapement at Leaburg Dam, 1984-1994 brood years

Table 6. Estimated return of spring chinook to the McKenzie River, 1970-99.

| Run Year | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Leaburg } \\ \text { Dam } \\ \text { Count } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | McKenzie Hatchery Return | Sport Catch |  |  | Est. Natural Spawn Below Leaburg Dam ${ }^{1 /}$ |  | Total Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Above <br> Leaburg <br> Dam | Below <br> Leaburg <br> Dam | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Redds | No. Fish |  |
| 1970 | 2,991 | 20 | -- |  | 525 | 278 | 1,251 | 4,787 |
| 1971 | 3,602 | 232 | -- |  | 621 | 415 | 1,868 | 6,323 |
| 1972 | 1,547 | 301 | -- |  | 1,125 | 177 | 797 | 3,770 |
| 1973 | 3,870 | 56 | -- |  | 1,510 | 556 | 2,502 | 7,938 |
| 1974 | 3,717 | 0 | -- |  | 1,022 | 689 | 3,101 | 7,840 |
| 1975 | 1,374 | 0 | -- |  | 461 | 346 | 1,557 | 3,392 |
| 1976 | 1,899 | 396 | -- |  | 139 | 409 | 1,841 | 4,275 |
| 1977 | 2,714 | 1,517 | -- |  | 1,071 | 850 | 3,825 | 9,127 |
| 1978 | 3,058 | 1,464 | -- |  | 924 | 599 | 2,696 | 8,142 |
| 1979 | 1,219 | 798 | -- |  | 303 | 155 | 698 | 3,018 |
| 1980 | 1,980 | 807 | -- |  | 381 | 219 | 986 | 4,154 |
| 1981 | 1,078 | 784 | -- |  | 493 | 282 | 1,269 | 3,624 |
| 1982 | 2,241 | 1,460 | -- |  | 627 | 241 | 1,085 | 5,413 |
| 1983 | 1,561 | 821 | 15 | 206 | 221 | 172 | 774 | 3,377 |
| 1984 | 1,000 | 1,901 | 51 | 567 | 618 | 271 | 1,220 | 4,739 |
| 1985 | 825 | 1,923 | 8 | 459 | 467 | 381 | 1,715 | 4,930 |
| 1986 | 2,061 | 1,705 | 29 | 354 | 383 | 315 | 1,418 | 5,567 |
| 1987 | 3,455 | 1,593 | 29 | 1,339 | 1,368 | 212 | 954 | 7,370 |
| 1988 | 6,753 | 2,487 | 86 | 1,133 | 1,219 | 484 | 2,178 | 12,637 |
| 1989 | 3,981 | 3,154 | 134 | 1,730 | 1,864 | 228 | 1,026 | 10,025 |
| 1990 | 7,226 | 3,206 | 315 | 1,387 | 1,702 | 160 | 720 | 12,854 |
| 1991 | 4,359 | 4,483 | 64 | 1,922 | 1,986 | 161 | 725 | 11,553 |
| 1992 | 3,816 | 3,407 | 81 | 1,195 | 1,276 | 106 | 477 | 8,976 |
| 1993 | 3,629 | 2,051 | 80 | 1,761 | 1,841 | 142 | 639 | 8,160 |
| 1994 | 1,526 | 701 | 13 | 486 | 499 | 59 | 266 | 2,992 |
| 1995 | 1,622 | 1,135 | 24 | 84 | 108 ${ }^{2}$ | 66 | 297 | 3,162 |
| 1996 | 1,445 | 1,573 | 58 | 244 | 302 ${ }^{-1}$ | 71 | 320 | 3,640 |
| 1997 | 1,176 | 1,524 | 0 | 0 | $0^{3}$ | 90 | 405 | 3,105 |
| 1998 | 1,874 | 1,690 | 0 | 0 | $0^{3} /$ | 95 | 428 | 3,992 |
| 1999 | 1,909 | 2,279 | 0 | 0 | $0^{3}$ | 82 | 369 | 4,557 |
| 1970-99 | 2,650 | 1,449 | 58 | 757 | 769 | 277 | 1,247 | 6,114 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994-99 Average | 1,592 | 1,484 | 16 | 136 | 152 | 77 | 347 | 3,574 |

[^4]
## Return of Naturally Produced Adults

Annual estimates of the number of adults passing Leaburg Dam are available for an extended period. Probably, these data represent mostly naturally produced adults until the mid1960s. Estimates of spring chinook passage over Leaburg Dam were conducted twice in the 1950s. Passage of spring chinook (thought to be essentially all wild fish) in 1958 and 1959, respectively, was estimated to be about 13,000 and 9,000 fish (Willis 1960). With the achievement of a successful hatchery program, however, counts at Leaburg included both hatchery and naturally produced fish in unknown proportions. Estimates of the number of naturally produced spring chinook migrating past Leaburg Dam are available since 1994. The estimated number of wild fish passing Leaburg during 1994-99 range from 825 to 1,415 with a modest improvement in the numbers during this time period (Table 7). Considering that some wild spring chinook spawned below Leaburg, the number of wild spring chinook that escaped into the McKenzie is thought to be in the neighborhood of 1,000-1,600 fish during the 1994-99 period.

Table 7. Spring chinook counts at Leaburg Dam on the McKenzie River, 1994-99.

|  | Wild |  |  | Hatchery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 825 | 54 |  | 701 | 46 | 1,526 |
| 1995 | 933 | 58 |  | 689 | 42 | 1,622 |
| 1996 | 1,105 | 76 |  | 340 | 24 | 1,445 |
| 1997 | 991 | 84 |  | 185 | 16 | 1,176 |
| 1998 | 1,415 | 76 |  | 459 | 24 | 1,874 |
| 1999 | 1,383 | 72 |  | 526 | 28 | 1,909 |

## References

Mattson, C. R. 1948. Spawning ground studies of Willamette River spring chinook salmon. Research Briefs. Fish Commission of Oregon, vol. 2 no. 2. Portland, Oregon.

Willis, R. A., M. D. Collins, and R. E. Sams. 1960. Environmental survey report pertaining to salmon and steelhead in certain rivers of eastern Oregon and the Willamette River and its tributaries. Part II. Survey reports of the Willamette River and its tributaries. Fish Commission of Oregon, Research Division, Clackamas.

## Appendix C

# Fishery Risk Assessment for Willamette River Natural Spring Chinook Populations 

Raymond C. P. Beamesderfer

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
2501 Southwest First Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97201

S. P. Cramer \& Associates, Inc. 19190 South Creek Lane
Oregon City, OR 97045



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most recent 10 -years representing expected future fishery.
    ${ }^{2}$ Handle rate relative to escapement to that fishery. (Corresponds to catch / run size).
    ${ }^{3}$ Catch and release mortality rate relative based on Schroeder et al. (1999) research study results. Expected rate is $8.6 \%$. Sensitivity analysis based on arbitrary $50 \%$ increase and decrease of average value.
    ${ }^{4}$ Expected non-compliance (\% of landed unmarked catch that is illegally retained). Similar to ocean modeling estimates.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes mainstem salmon/sturgeon fisheries and Oregon "Select Area" terminal fisheries.
    ${ }^{3}$ To be determined by Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission consistent with prescribed population-specific impact limits based on run size, run composition, and allocation goals.
    ${ }^{4}$ Santiam impacts are based on an average expected handle of $25 \%$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Expected cumulative freshwater impacts based on pre-season forecasts to the mouth of the Columbia River.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
    ${ }^{2}$ Wild fish impacts are less because of selective fishery implemented in 2000.

[^3]:    II Counts include some hatchery fish.

[^4]:    1/ Estimated Natural Spawn below Leaburg Dam = No. of Redds below Leaburg Dam X 4.5 Fish/Redd. 2/ Adipose fin-clipped hatchery fish only allowed to be retained.
    3/ Closed season.

