

EPA-600/1-79-028
August 1979

EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS FROM DRINKING WATER
IN THE UNITED STATES

by

James R. Millette
Patrick J. Clark
Michael F. Pansing
Exposure Evaluation Branch
Health Effects Research Laboratory
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

HEALTH EFFECTS RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268

DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Health Effects Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency was created in response to increasing public concern about the dangers of pollution to the health and welfare of the American people and their environment. The complexities of environmental problems originate in the deep interdependent relationships between the various physical and biological segments of man's natural and social world. Solutions to these environmental problems require an integrated program of research and development using input from a number of disciplines.

The Health Effects Research Laboratory was established to provide sound health effects data in support of the regulatory activities of the EPA. Evaluating man's exposure to environmental health hazards is a key segment in developing such a data bank. Studies of exposure require identification, characterization and quantification of physical, chemical, and biological agents found in the environment. In addition, exposure assessment involves the determination of conditions that cause agents to be released into the environment, the study of the routes and pathways to man, and research into the body's ability to prevent the entrance of environmental hazards.

This report presents an assessment of the exposure to the U.S. population from asbestos in drinking water. Data for this evaluation were collected from surveys of the scientific literature, in-house analyses, and the results of work provided by analysts throughout the United States. An understanding of the extent of asbestos in the drinking water of the country is important in determining the potential health risk of ingested asbestos.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. J. Garner", with a horizontal line underneath the name.

R. J. Garner
Director
Health Effects Research Laboratory

ABSTRACT

Over 1500 asbestos analyses of water supplies in 43 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia were evaluated in order to assess the exposure of the United States population to asbestos in drinking water. It was concluded that the large majority of U.S. water consumers are not exposed to concentrations of asbestos fibers above one million fibers per liter. In a few areas people are exposed to concentrations up to one hundred million fibers per liter. The majority of persons receiving water from asbestos-cement pipe distribution systems are not exposed to significant number of fibers from the pipe. In areas of aggressive water, however, water consumers using asbestos-cement mains may be exposed to high concentrations of fibers.

This report presents data on the exposure to waterborne asbestos fibers. Other projects are currently assessing the health effects of ingested asbestos and will be described in later reports.

A listing of a computerized waterborne asbestos data base is included as an Appendix.

CONTENTS

Foreword.	iii
Abstract.	iv
Tables.	vi
Abbreviations	vii
Acknowledgments	viii
1. Introduction.	1
2. Conclusions	2
3. Recommendations	3
4. Methods for Measuring Asbestos in Water	4
Optical microscopy	4
Scanning electron microscopy	5
Transmission electron microscopy	6
5. Interlaboratory Comparisons	12
6. Other Considerations in Evaluating Asbestos Data.	15
Contamination.	15
Statistical significance	16
Definition and identification.	16
7. Fiber Count to Mass Conversion.	18
8. Assessment of Exposure.	20
Lake Superior water supplies	20
Bay Area, California, water supplies	24
Pacific Northwest water supplies	25
Cisterns	25
New Mexico water supplies.	26
Asbestos-cement pipe systems	26
Erosion of asbestos waste piles.	29
Largest U.S. cities.	29
Overall assessment	29
9. Exposure to Non-asbestos Fibers in Water.	31
References	32
Appendices	
A. Asbestos in water: mass data	37
B. Asbestos in water: fiber count data.	39

TABLES

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Comparison of Millipore Condensation Washing and Nuclepore Jaffe Wick Techniques (Lab EPC)	9
2	Comparison of Millipore Condensation Washing and Nuclepore Jaffe Wick Techniques (Lab UWA)	9
3	Comparison of Asbestos Results from Several Laboratories	12
4	Comparison of Asbestos Results from Labs EPG, EPD, and EPC	13
5	Comparison of Asbestos Results from Labs EPC and CMC	13
6	Comparison of Asbestos Results from Labs EPC and UCB	13
7	Relationship of Fiber and Mass Concentrations of Chrysotile Asbestos in Water	18
8	Distribution of Reported Asbestos Concentrations in the Drinking Water from 365 Cities in 43 States, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia	20
9	Drinking Waters Reported to have over Ten Million Asbestos Fibers Per Liter	21
10	Drinking Waters Reported to Have Between One and Ten Million Asbestos Fibers Per Liter	23
11	Representative Average U.S. Utility Aggressiveness Indices	27
12	Available Data on Asbestos in the Drinking Water of the 20 Largest U.S. Cities	30

ABBREVIATIONS

A.I.	--	agressiveness index
ASTM	--	American Society for Testing and Materials
CMC	--	City of Chicago Water Department - Microscopy Unit, Chicago, Illinois
DOW	--	Dow Chemical Company, Midland Michigan
EDS	--	Energy dispersive spectroscopy (x-ray analysis)
EPC	--	Environmental Protection Agency Research Center, Cincinnati, Ohio
EPD	--	Environmental Protection Agency Research Laboratory, Duluth, Minnesota
EPG	--	Environmental Protection Agency Research Laboratory, Athens, Georgia
JMR	--	Johns-Mansville Research and Engineering Center
MCC	--	McCrone Associates, Chicago, Illinois
MDH	--	Minnesota Department of Health, Minneapolis, Minnesota
MFL	--	Million Fibers per Liter
MSS	--	Mount Sinai Hospital, New York City, New York
NMI	--	New Mexico Institute of Mining, Socorro, New Mexico
SEM	--	Scanning electron microscopy
TEM	--	Transmission electron microscopy
UCB	--	University of California, School of Public Health, Berkeley, California
UIL	--	University of Illinois, School of Public Health, Chicago, Illinois
UMD	--	University of Minnesota, Duluth, Minnesota
UWA	--	University of Washington, Department of Environmental Health, Seattle, Washington
WIS	--	University of Wisconsin

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Arthur F. Hammonds, Epidemiological Branch of the Health Effects Research Laboratory, in designing the computer programs necessary for storing and retrieving the waterborne asbestos analysis data.

The authors also wish to thank the following researchers who kindly supplied data on asbestos concentrations determined in water supplies: L. McMillian, City of Chicago Water Department - Microscopy Unit, Chicago, Illinois, P. M. Cook, U.S.E.P.A., Duluth, MN, C. Anderson and J. M. Long, U.S.E.P.A. Athens, Georgia, E. Boatman, University of Washington, Seattle, J. Flickinger, Wisconsin Power and Light Company, W. Hallenbeck, School of Public Health, University of Illinois, R. Feldman and G. Logsdon, Drinking Water Research Division, U.S.E.P.A., Cincinnati, OH, J. Murchio, University of California, Berkeley, CA, and S. J. Greenwood, Minnesota Department of Health.

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Since the detection of asbestos fibers in water supplies was reported in 1973,^{1,2} a great number of water samples from all over the U.S. have been analyzed for asbestos. Many of these analytical results have been published in various journal articles and agency reports. At least two publications,^{3,4} contain tables in which an attempt was made to gather a number of values from the literature to summarize the asbestos concentrations present in surface waters and public water supplies. In order to assess the exposure to the U.S. population to asbestos from drinking water, however, it became apparent that a complete data base was needed. It was important that the base listings contained data about the method of analysis so an evaluation of the reliability of the data could be made. It was also important that the listings included asbestos results which while reported to an individual water utility or a specific researcher were not described in the general literature. A computerized waterborne asbestos data base of transmission electron microscopy analyses which could be updated periodically was initiated by the Health Effects Research Laboratory, USEPA-Cincinnati in 1978. A listing of the current asbestos analysis data file arranged by state, city and date of sample can be found in Appendix B. Data are also given as to the analyzing laboratory and type of method used to prepare the samples for asbestos analysis.

A number of factors influence the reliability of the asbestos counts on a water sample. These factors are described in the following sections and their influence in assessing the asbestos exposure to the U.S. from drinking water is discussed.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a current assessment of exposure to asbestos in drinking water. It is not within the scope of this report to evaluate the health implications of ingested asbestos.

SECTION 2

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the evaluation of the results of all available asbestos analyses of water supplies it is concluded that the majority of U.S. water consumers are not exposed to concentrations of asbestos fibers above one million fibers per liter. In a few areas, the Bay Area of California and some systems in the Pacific Northwest, some people are exposed to concentrations of asbestos fibers between one and one hundred million fibers per liter.

The majority of persons receiving water from asbestos-cement pipe distribution systems are not exposed to significant numbers of fibers from the pipe. Many residents using asbestos-cement pipe may be exposed to intermittent amounts of asbestos fibers in their water if pipe tapping work is done improperly. In areas of very aggressive water (estimated to be 16 percent of the U.S. water utilities) consumers using asbestos-cement mains may be exposed to high concentrations of fibers, over ten million fibers per liter.

Persons using water from cisterns where asbestos-cement tile roofing material is used to collect the water are exposed to high concentrations of fibers. Those using cisterns where the typical asphalt-asbestos shingles are used are not exposed to asbestos in their water. The possible contribution of asbestos containing paints and coatings to cisterns has not been studied.

All of the major water utilities using Lake Superior for a source have now installed filtration plants and populations in the cities around the lake are no longer exposed to significant fiber concentrations.

Storm erosion of asbestos waste piles may cause temporary high concentrations of asbestos in the water supply. Other than in the Duluth situation no industrial discharges have been directly related to asbestos in the drinking water.

More waterborne asbestos data is needed to provide a complete quantitative assessment of exposure.

SECTION 3

RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to better assess the exposure to asbestos in water in the United States the following steps should be taken:

1. The adoption by all laboratories of a standardized technique for analyzing asbestos in water.
2. The development of standard asbestos samples and a system by which different laboratories could easily split samples and compare results.
3. The adoption of standardized reporting methods including the re-reporting of blank data.
4. The development of a fuller understanding of which water quality parameters are the most important in predicting whether asbestos fibers would come off asbestos-cement pipe or not.
5. Further analyses of water supplies which are near mining, production or waste piles of asbestos, especially over a period including storms and other hydrological changes.

SECTION 4

METHODS FOR MEASURING ASBESTOS IN WATER

A variety of methods and procedures have been developed for the analysis of asbestos in water. Although some x-ray methods have been employed,⁵ the bulk of the asbestos concentrations in water supplies have been determined using some form of microscopy.

OPTICAL MICROSCOPY

An early work⁶ in 1974 presented data on asbestos concentrations determined in Vermont water supplies by optical microscopy. The preparation and analysis procedure used⁷ was an adaptation of the standard OSHA phase-contrast optical microscope technique for counting asbestos fibers in occupational air samples.⁸ While this technique allowed fibers larger than 5 μm to be seen, identification of the fibers as asbestos was not possible. Further work on the Vermont samples using optical dispersion staining and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showed that the fibers upon which the optical asbestos concentrations were based were not, in fact, asbestos but fibers of biological origin.⁹ The optical asbestos data in the 1974 report⁶ is therefore totally inaccurate.

In one report¹⁰ presenting asbestos data determined by electron microscopy it was indicated that no fibers were visible by optical microscopy in the samples.

During 1975-76 a test was made of the optical microscope as a possible screening tool for asbestos in water. The two laboratories involved were the Connecticut State Department of Health with high proficiency and several years experience in using the OSHA optical asbestos techniques and the USEPA laboratory in Cincinnati which had been analyzing water samples using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Several filters which had been determined by TEM to contain substantial concentrations of asbestos fibers from asbestos-cement pipe systems and filters with concentrations which were below detectable TEM limits were sent to Connecticut with coded labels. The optical analyst correctly differentiated between the filters which had fibers and those that did not. A series of 101 Connecticut water samples were then split and analyzed by the two laboratories. The Connecticut State Department of Health analyst examined the samples using a modified OSHA technique as described in reference 7 with a further modification that the samples were ashed in a low temperature ashers to eliminate the majority of biological fibers. The optical analyst reported that no positively identified asbestos fibers were found in any of the 101 samples. Four (4) samples contained possible asbestos

fibers. The TEM analyst reported that 12 of the 101 samples had definite asbestos concentrations but the asbestos fiber concentrations were determined to be below detectable limits in 3 of the 4 samples in which the optical analyses showed possible fibers. When the test was originally devised it was anticipated that the Connecticut samples would provide a range of asbestos concentrations from high to low loading. It became apparent by the end of the test that all the Connecticut samples had low or no fiber concentrations. In the 12 samples determined to have fiber concentrations by TEM, the fibers found were all smaller than could be seen by the optical microscope. Therefore, the results of the test cannot be considered conclusive. It is significant only that the optical analyses did not show positive asbestos when the TEM found none.

It has been suggested that polarized light microscopy with dispersion staining would be useful in analyzing samples containing asbestos fibers larger than $0.3 \times 1.0 \mu\text{m}$ but it is generally recognized that the asbestos fibers in water are smaller than the resolving power of the light microscope techniques.¹¹ No optical data has been included in the waterborne asbestos data base and none was used in assessing waterborne asbestos exposure to the U.S.

For the quantitative determination of asbestos in drinking water the optical microscope cannot provide accurate data.

SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

Several reports^{12,13,14} have described asbestos analysis procedures for environmental samples using the scanning electron microscope (SEM). Direct comparisons between the SEM and TEM methods with samples of standard chrysotile fibers suggested that the SEM overlooked 30%¹⁴ to 50%¹⁵ of the total number of chrysotile fibers counted by TEM. When actual water samples with suspended amphiboles were used, the SEM overlooked 90% of the fibers counted by the TEM.¹⁶ One group of asbestos analysts¹⁷ concluded that the SEM was not as good as the TEM for asbestos measurement in water for the following reasons:

1. The SEM lacks the selected area electron diffraction capability for identification of fiber mineral type.
2. The SEM has an inferior imaging capability than the TEM at 20,000X.
3. The SEM cannot image the central canal of chrysotile.
4. Searching sample areas at 20,000X is more fatiguing with the SEM than TEM.

Other researchers¹⁸ feel that with the research now on-going in the area of asbestos analysis by SEM-energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDS), a standard survey procedure using the SEM could be developed to provide data on the presence of asbestos fibers in some environmental samples with confirmation by TEM. Correlations between SEM and TEM analyses using standardized techniques would have to be done.

In addition to the data presented in Flickinger¹⁶, only one paper presenting waterborne asbestos concentrations determined by SEM was found in the literature.¹⁹ Although particles in this study were examined at 10,000X, the entire stud surface was searched at a magnification between 400 and 1000X. The smaller asbestos fibers would not have been observed at the low magnification. Therefore, although the data showed that no high concentrations of large fibers were found in some drinking waters and surface sources in Tennessee, the data were not considered to be comparable to the TEM data and were not included in the computerized data base.

For the quantitative determination of asbestos in drinking water the SEM has not yet been shown to provide accurate data.

TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

There are a number of different sample preparation methods which have been used by the various research laboratories. For discussion the preparation procedures have been separated into the following classes.

1. Centrifuge method (C)
2. Rubout technique (R)
3. Double Nuclepore filter (B)
4. Drop Drying (D)
5. Millipore Condensation Washer (M)
6. Millipore Jaffe Wick (L)
7. Nuclepore Jaffe Wick (N)
8. Millipore Collapsed filter (E)

The identifying letter which follows each classification is the letter used in the data base (Appendix II) to indicate the analysis method used for each sample.

Centrifuge Method^{20,21}

In one variation of this technique²¹ water is filtered through a membrane filter, ashed at low temperatures and resuspended. The suspension is centrifuged onto a glass cover disc. The disc is dried and carbon-coated. Small sections of the film holding the particles are floated in water and picked up on electron microscope grids for TEM examination. Samples of Ontario, Canada drinking water were analyzed by this technique and reported by Kay.²² The often quoted Lake Superior asbestos concentrations of 1-30 million fibers per liter presented in the paper by Cook et al.⁵ were also the results of analyses using this technique. Some comparison of the centrifugation technique and the Nuclepore Jaffe technique can be found in a report of interlaboratory tests of asbestos analyses.¹⁷ The total fiber counts using the centrifuge preparation method were 1/3 to 1/30 of the total counts using the Nuclepore Jaffe technique. While a number of Canadian waters were analyzed using some form of the centrifuge technique, only a few samples from U.S. water supplies (Lake Superior) were characterized using this method. The centrifuge technique is not currently being used.

Rubout Technique^{23,24}

In this preparation method, the sample is filtered through a membrane filter, ashed at low temperatures and dispersed by grinding or ultrasonic action. The residue is then enmeshed in an organic film. Sections of film are cut and transferred to electron microscope grids. Fibers are counted and converted to a mass value on the basis of fiber size and the density of the asbestos type found. Because the true particle size distribution is destroyed, only a mass concentration can be determined. The rubout technique is not currently used to characterize asbestos concentrations in water although it has been used recently to estimate the amount of asbestos in an environmental air sample.²⁵ At least one researcher cites high particle losses as a serious disadvantage.²⁶ Data on the asbestos content in water supplies determined using the rubout technique are given in Appendix A. Although the conversion of fiber counts to mass values is discussed in Section 7, the validity of using the conversion factors with data determined using the rubout method has not been determined.

Drop Drying^{27,28}

In this technique a micropipette is used to place a sample drop of known volume on a coated grid. The water is allowed to evaporate leaving the suspended particulates on the grid. Fibers are counted in a number of grid openings and fibers per liter are calculated by assuming that the drop covers all or a known portion of the grid's surface. A concentration step using centrifugation has been used.²⁹ One group of researchers have used a drop procedure in which a water sample is filtered through a membrane filter (S. Ring, Minn. Dept. Health, 1979, Private Communication). The filter is low temperature ashed and a drop of the resuspended ultrasonically mixed ash is put on a coated grid. The drop is dried under a heat lamp. A comparison between grids prepared by the micropipette technique using this ashing step and grids prepared using the Nuclepore Jaffe wick procedure has been presented in reference 17. Results of both preparation techniques were presented for one Duluth water sample. In this case, the results using both methods were essentially the same.

Another researcher has published data on asbestos in water systems using the drop technique directly from the sample without concentration.^{27,29} Some of the fiber counts reported by this researcher are extremely high values, over 2 billion fibers per liter. Many of the fibers were very thin (0.006 μm in diameter) and could not be accurately identified. Samples from some of the same sites analyzed by the Nuclepore Jaffe technique did not show over a million fibers per liter. No direct comparison tests have been made between this non-concentrated drop technique and other techniques. Because the results have not been able to be reproduced, the data of reference 28 is considered of questionable value in assessing asbestos exposure to the U.S. from drinking water. However, they are included in the computerized data base for comparison.

Double Nuclepore Filter^{30,31}

In this method, the sample is filtered through a sequence of 0.8 μm and 0.2 or 0.1 μm pore size, polycarbonate Nuclepore filters. The filters are coated with silicon monoxide and a small disc is transferred to an electron microscope grid. The filter is dissolved by chloroform by wick action. Fibers are counted and recorded on grids from both filters and fibers per liter determined. In reference 31, some analytical results obtained using the double nuclepore filter method are compared with results on the same samples obtained using the Millipore condensation washer technique. The results for all five samples agreed within a factor of two. The double nuclepore filter technique is not currently being used to determine asbestos concentrations in drinking water.

Millipore Condensation Washer^{9,32,33,34,35}

In this preparation technique, the sample is filtered through a Millipore filter. A small disc is cut from the filter and placed on a carbon coated electron microscope grid. The filter is gently dissolved in a condensation washer apparatus charged with acetone. Fibers per grid opening are determined and fibers per liter calculated. An inter-laboratory comparison¹⁷ between the Millipore condensation washer technique and the carbon-coated Nuclepore Jaffe technique concluded that there were variable (0 to 84% between laboratories) and significant (mean = 30%) losses associated with samples containing amphiboles. The results were lower (mean = 14%) and less variable when condensation washing was used to prepare samples containing chrysotile. Studies by individual laboratories differ, apparently showing that the condensation washer preparation technique is very operator dependent. The condensation washer requires the careful regulation of the level of acetone condensation near a point in the condenser at or just below the position of the grid so that only acetone vapor dissolves the filter.

An indepth study by one laboratory involving 72 samples showed significant losses when comparing the Millipore condensation washer with the Nuclepore Jaffe technique.³⁶ However, according to studies by another laboratory, losses involved with a well regulated condensation washer are low and good precision (low variation) can be obtained.³⁷ Results obtained by two laboratories at different locations within the Environmental Protection Agency using both the Millipore condensation washer and the Nuclepore Jaffe wick procedures on the same samples showed that for amphiboles one laboratory had close comparisons between the two preparation techniques while the other laboratory did not.³⁸ For chrysotile, both laboratories found the same concentration independent of the preparation method used.

Four laboratories which have provided a large amount of the data on asbestos in water supplies using the condensation washer technique have data which show low losses or good comparisons between the Millipore condensation washer and the Nuclepore Jaffe procedure. One laboratory has developed a method of loss correction.³⁵

The comparisons between the Millipore condensation washing and Nuclepore Jaffe wick procedure presented in Tables 1 and 2, show the best correlation

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF MILLIPORE CONDENSATION WASHING AND
NUCLEPORE JAFFE WICK TECHNIQUES (LAB EPC)

Sample#	Asbestos Type	Millipore (MFL)	Nuclepore (MFL)
3	Amphibole	120	140
4	Amphibole	48	58
6	Chrysotile	50	60
A1	"	19	23
A2	"	4.5	8.0
A3	"	6.1	5.3
A4	"	2.1	2.6
A5	"	.3	.7
37617	"	.4	.35
37471	"	.2	N.15*
36535	"	BO.01**	BO.03
37512	"	0.4	NO.15
37585	"	BO.01	BO.03
36433	"	0.2	NO.15
37578	"	NO.16	BO.1
36501	"	BO.01	BO.03
36535	"	BO.01	BO.03
36580	"	0.16	NO.5
37592	"	0.09	NO.15
36570	"	0.08	NO.15
36571	"	0.1	NO.15
37503	"	NO.05	BO.03

* N - less than 5 fibers counted

** B - Below the detectable limits of 0.01

Variations in detectable limits and significant levels are due to differences in the amount filtered.

TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF MILLIPORE CONDENSATION WASHING AND
NUCLEPORE JAFFE WICK TECHNIQUES (LAB UWA)

Sample#	Asbestos Type	Millipore (MFL)	Nuclepore (MFL)
174-MM-2200	Chrysotile	NO.03*	NO.07
174-CMM-2200	"	BO.01**	NO.07
174-CC-2100	"	NO.04	BO.03
174-CC-2130	"	BO.03	0.71
174-CC-2200	"	NO.01(1 fiber)	BO.07
174-R-2120	"	1.9	2.2
161-MM-1200	"	NO.01(1 fiber)	BO.14
161-MM-2100	"	BO.01	NO.03(1 fiber)
161-FE-1200	"	BO.01	NO.03(1 fiber)
161-CC-1200	"	BO.01	NO.03(1 fiber)
161-CC-2100	"	NO.01(1 fiber)	BO.03

* N - less than 5 fibers counted

** B - below the detectable limits of 0.01

when the concentrations are high. This is to be expected since the more fibers that are counted, the more statistically valid the value determined.

Because of the general acceptance of the Nuclepore Jaffe wick procedure, the Millipore condensation washer technique is now used to analyze water samples for asbestos in only a few laboratories.

Nuclepore Jaffe Wick Technique^{24, 32, 39}

In this technique, water is filtered through a Nuclepore filter. A section of the filter is attached to a glass slide and a deposit of carbon is evaporated onto the particulates and filter. A small section is cut and placed on an electron microscope grid. The filter is dissolved using a modified Jaffe wick apparatus.⁴⁰ This technique is fairly straightforward and a number of different laboratories analyzing the same sample have agreed on fiber concentrations within a factor of two.^{17, 38} An interlaboratory reproducibility of 50% can be expected in relatively clean water samples unless the concentration is low.¹⁷

The Nuclepore Jaffe wick technique is generally gaining acceptance as the basis for a standard reference method for the analysis of asbestos in water by electron microscopy.^{32, 41}

Millipore Collapsed Filter^{42, 43}

In this technique a water sample is filtered through a Millipore filter. The filter is dried and a section is cut and placed on a glass slide. The filter is exposed for a short period of time to acetone vapors so that the rough surface of the membrane filter smooths out. The filter is then carbon-coated. A small section is cut and placed on a grid. The filter is dissolved away in a Jaffe wick apparatus. Although this technique is presently being used with the analysis of fibers in air by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH),⁴⁴ no data concerning asbestos in water determined using the Millipore collapsed filter technique could be found.

APPRAISAL OF ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Currently the instrument of choice for quantitative analysis of asbestos in drinking water is the transmission electron microscope. Although optical microscopy may be useful in examining asbestos plant air samples, a drinking water sample might contain millions of small fibers which would go undetected under optical analysis. Sample analyses done with the scanning electron microscope have not been comparable to analyses done on the same samples using TEM. Because of the problems in SEM with routinely resolving the very thin fibers, the SEM counts are generally lower than those done with TEM.

Among the various sample preparation methods for TEM, the Nuclepore Jaffe wick technique is the most reproducible between laboratories. Asbestos concentrations determined by this method should be considered reliable within a factor of three. Asbestos concentration values determined using the Millipore condensation washer and Millipore Jaffe wick techniques should be considered reliable within a factor of five for chrysotile and a factor of 10 for amphi-

bole. Data determined using the centrifuge method should be considered to be low by a factor of from five to thirty times. It is difficult to assess the reliability of the data determined using the rubout technique since in this process fibers are broken up and many smaller fibers are created. Direct counts made from the rubout would not be reliable. The reliabilities of data generated using the drop drying or Millipore collapsed filter technique have not been fully assessed. The very high values reported by a researcher using the non-concentrated direct drop drying method are in question.

The influence on reliability of differing sample collection, preservation, and low temperature ashing procedures between laboratories has not been fully addressed.

SECTION 5

INTERLABORATORY COMPARISONS

Consideration of the results of split sample analyses performed by different laboratories is important in assessing the comparability and reliability of the asbestos data which has been generated. In an early split-sample study,⁴⁵ six laboratories showed considerable variation concerning the amphibole fiber concentration in water. Important causes of the variation were differences in sample preparation and the definition of amphibole fiber. Three laboratories in the study with similar preparation techniques and definitions of amphibole fiber had much less variation between them.

An (ASTM) American Society for Testing and Materials interlaboratory comparison¹⁷ provided better data on reproducibility of asbestos analyses between laboratories since in some tests all laboratories used the same method. The ASTM group concluded that the mean fiber concentrations by different groups could agree within a factor of two when the Nuclepore Jaffe Wick technique was used. Several laboratories which have published or provided waterborne asbestos data that has been included in the computerized data base participated in the ASTM comparison. Therefore some data comparing the results of the following laboratories: EPA, Cincinnati (EPC), EPA, Duluth (EPD), EPA, Athens, Georgia (EPG), McCrone Associates (MCC), Dow Chemical (DOW), Mt. Sinai Hospital, New York (MSS), and the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH), are included in Table 3.

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF ASBESTOS RESULTS FROM SEVERAL LABORATORIES¹⁷
(NUCLEPORE JAFFE WICK)

Sample Type	Number of Labs Reporting	Mean Fiber Concentration MFL (millions of asbestos fibers/l)	Precision Relative Standard Deviation
Chrysotile	10	877	35%
"	9	119	43%
"	11	59	41%
"	9	31	65%
"	9	28	32%
"	3	25	35%
Amphibole	11	139	50%
"	4	95	52%
"	14	36	66%

A comparison of results obtained on the same samples by the three EPA laboratories using the Nuclepore technique is given in the following table.

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF ASBESTOS RESULTS FROM LABS EPG, EPD, AND EPC³⁸
(VALUES IN MILLIONS OF FIBERS PER LITER)

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Asbestos Type</u>	<u>Lab A</u>	<u>Lab B</u>	<u>Lab C</u>
1	Amphibole	137	150	---
2	Amphibole	86	92	---
3	Amphibole	130	220	140
4	Amphibole	44	58	58
5	Chrysotile	29	14	---
6	Chrysotile	66	58	60

Some other split-sample analysis results are presented in Tables 5, and 6.

TABLE 5. COMPARISON OF ASBESTOS RESULTS FROM LABS EPC AND CMC.
SAMPLES COLLECTED 3/3/75. MILLIPORE CONDENSATION
WASHING TECHNIQUE USED. (VALUES IN MILLIONS OF FIBERS
PER LITER).

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Asbestos Type</u>	<u>EPC</u>	<u>CMC</u>
Raw Water	Chrysotile	2.76	2.05
Finished Water	"	0.38	0.31
Distributed Water	"	0.12	0.32

TABLE 6. COMPARISON OF ASBESTOS RESULTS FROM LABS EPC AND UCB.
SAMPLES COLLECTED 2/77. MILLIPORE CONDENSATION
WASHING TECHNIQUE USED. (VALUES IN MILLIONS OF FIBERS
PER LITER).

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Asbestos Type</u>	<u>EPC</u>	<u>UCB</u>
4815	Chrysotile	1.5	1.9
4816	"	0.5	0.4
4817	"	1.0	0.1

Laboratories EPC and UWA each analyzed half of a filter containing a standard dispersion of fibers using the Nuclepore Jaffe Wick techniques of preparation. Lab EPC found 510 million fibers per liter and Lab UWA found 870 million fibers per liter.

Plans for other split sample analyses are underway. Interested laboratories should contact the first author.

Split sample results of some type exist for twelve of the fifteen laboratories whose waterborne asbestos data has been considered. In general comparisons between laboratories are within a factor of 10, especially when the same method was used.

SECTION 6

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS IN EVALUATING ASBESTOS DATA

In addition to considering differences between methods and laboratories' the areas of contamination, counting statistics, and fiber identification must be considered when evaluating the various reports of asbestos concentrations in water supplies.

CONTAMINATION

Because asbestos is used in many everyday products, the problems of contamination of water samples must be considered. A sample may be contaminated during collection as was suggested in the case of a sample of distribution water collected at an asbestos-cement sheet plant in New Orleans on 12/5/75.³⁴ The fiber concentration of the sample was determined to be "too numerous to count" (many millions of fibers). However, four samples analyzed on previous days from the same site showed low or below detectable limits of fibers. It was concluded by the analyzing laboratory that the high fiber concentration in the 12/5/75 sample was most probably the result of contamination from the asbestos plant. Distribution samples from Erie, Pennsylvania and Marshville, North Carolina collected at asbestos plants showed wide variations in asbestos concentrations. It is suspected that some of the water samples were contaminated from the asbestos plant air, causing the variation. In view of these problems the distribution system asbestos concentrations determined for St. Louis, Missouri and Van Buren, Arkansas must be considered uncertain since the samples were also collected at asbestos plants.

Contamination from the air within a laboratory or cross-contamination between samples must also be considered. All laboratories surveyed followed procedures of rinsing all equipment, glassware, etc., with filtered distilled water and had data to show that the area in which the samples were prepared posed no significant contamination problems. Most laboratories ran blank samples with each group of analyses. In general, the contamination levels reported by researchers whose data is listed in the computerized data base were sporadic but low. These levels may cause some uncertainty in the fiber concentrations under one million fibers per liter unless blank analysis values were reported along with the sample analysis data. Later data (post-1975) should be considered less affected since the problems of contamination were more seriously considered. It is apparent that all fiber count data should be reported with blank values and steps are being undertaken to include this data in the computerized data base.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Even without the problems of possible contamination one cannot have a great deal of confidence in a fiber concentration determined on the basis of one fiber counted. Because electron microscope magnifications over 10,000X must be used to search for asbestos fibers in a water sample, only a relatively small portion of the sample is examined. In some cases one fiber found in TEM asbestos analyses may correspond to 50,000 fibers per liter. Several researchers have considered the problems of statistical significance in fiber counts.^{36,46,47} The most reasonable approach would appear to be the determination of 95% confidence intervals as described in reference 36. The confidence intervals provide a range within which the actual fiber concentration has a high likelihood of being found. No waterborne asbestos data which included confidence intervals were found in the literature prior to 1979, however, several laboratories are currently incorporating the statistical procedure into their reporting of data.

The distribution of fibers on a filter can be described in terms of the Poisson distribution. Theoretical considerations of the characteristics of a Poisson distribution suggest that if 100 fibers are counted, the range between the upper and lower confidence limits will be small in relationship to the concentration value determined. Unfortunately, in many samples it is impossible to search long enough to find 100 fibers. When the total fiber count is less than 5 fibers, the statistics are particularly poor. The upper and lower confidence limits are about $\pm 100\%$. The lower limit therefore includes the zero (0) concentration. Because of the high statistical variation associated with fiber counts under 5 fibers, concentration values determined on the basis of less than 5 fibers counted are listed in the computerized data base preceded with an "N". These values, while evidence that asbestos was present in the sample, are considered not statistically significant. They were not given much weight in the assessment of exposure to the U.S. population from drinking water.

DEFINITION AND IDENTIFICATION

Entire symposia^{48,49} have been devoted to discussions concerning the definitions of fiber and asbestos fiber. The general rule used by analysts providing data that has been included in the computerized data base defines a fiber as any particle that has parallel sides and a length/width ratio greater than or equal to 3:1. Other definitions, aspect ratios of 5:1 or 10:1 or 20:1, have been suggested but until a standard definition is agreed upon most researchers feel that data should be collected on all fibers. Size data has been collected with most fiber count analyses and if necessary the concentrations could be reevaluated in terms of a standard aspect ratio different from 3:1.

Identification of asbestos fibers as chrysotile or amphibole is made by reference to standard asbestos fibers on the basis of morphology, electron diffraction and in some cases, x-ray elemental analysis. The identification of chrysotile is fairly straightforward because the fibrils generally show a tubular structure with a hollow canal and often a distinctive diffraction pattern. The identification of fibers as amphibole is generally based on

morphology and visual recognition of the electron diffraction pattern in the electron microscope.^{26,32} Visual identification of amphibole patterns on the microscope screen is subjective in nature and the possibility for misidentification exists.⁵⁰ Fortunately amphibole fibers have not been found in a large number of water supplies. In the three areas where amphiboles have been found more than once in sampling, Lake Superior, the Pacific Northwest, and some systems with asbestos-cement pipe, the presence of amphibole has been confirmed by energy dispersive x-ray analysis, careful measurements on photographs of electron diffraction patterns, and/or x-ray diffraction.

The problems of identification of asbestos fibers in water samples may cause the asbestos concentrations determined to be understatements of the actual asbestos fiber concentrations.

SECTION 7

FIBER COUNT TO MASS CONVERSION

No acceptable procedure exists for determining the mass of asbestos in a water sample directly. A mass value for a sample is computed using data on the fiber concentration, the lengths and widths of the fibers observed, and the density of the asbestos type involved. Examples of the equations used to calculate mass can be found in reference 32.

One report²² suggests that a million chrysotile fibers in a natural water sample corresponds to between 0.0002 and 0.002 μg . A million chrysotile fibers from asbestos-cement cooling tower panel erosion has been estimated to weigh from 0.01 to 0.2 μg .³ The differences between conversion factors in the two reports illustrates the fact that fibers from different types of sources have different average fiber length and diameter characteristics. Fibers from the natural erosion of serpentine rock tend to be shorter and of smaller diameter than those eroded from products containing commercial asbestos. Some average conversion factors are given in Table 7.

TABLE 7. RELATIONSHIP OF FIBER AND MASS CONCENTRATIONS OF
CHRYBOTILE ASBESTOS IN WATER.

Fiber Source	Average Mass Concentration of 10^6 f/liter	
Natural erosion of serpentine rock (shorter fibrils)	0.002	$\mu\text{g}/1$
A/C pipe (longer fibers)	0.01	$\mu\text{g}/1$
Contributions from commercial dump site runoff and untreated discharge (more fiber bundles)	0.05	$\mu\text{g}/1$

Conversion factors for amphibole fiber in Lake Superior water average approximately 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/1$ for each 10^6 fibers per liter.

Using the average conversion factors given in Table 7, the mass concentrations of asbestos in the drinking water samples listed in Appendix B (excluding raw and effluent samples) range from below 10^{-4} $\mu\text{g}/1$ to above 5 $\mu\text{g}/1$.

Using the conversion factor of 0.005 $\mu\text{g}/1$ per 10^6 fibers per liter to convert from mass to fiber count, the estimated fiber concentrations for the

mass values in Appendix A range from 0.16 to 340 MFL. The sample with the highest concentration (Memphis, Tennessee, 1.69 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$) was collected from a point in a non-asbestos distribution line. This city has been resampled and analyzed using the Nuclepore Jaffe wick technique. Samples from the source and the non-asbestos cement pipe distribution line were below detectable limits (below 0.02 MFL). A sample collected after a length of asbestos-cement pipe showed a concentration of 0.4 MFL, 0.002 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ of asbestos fiber. The difference between the two results suggest either an elimination of the asbestos problem since the first sampling or possible contamination in the original analysis.

Although many chemical water parameters are reported in terms of mass per liter, asbestos does not lend itself to be accurately quantified on the basis of mass. For example, if two samples each containing one million small fibers per liter are analyzed, the sample in which a large fiber (20 μm long by 5.0 μm wide) is found may have several times the calculated mass value of the other. Since each fiber is thought to be capable of acting as an independent agent as is a molecule of a chemical contaminant, it would seem most reasonable to consider asbestos concentrations in terms of fibers per liter. If a water were reported to contain 0.03 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$, it might have a concentration of 1,000 active agents per liter (average fiber size 5 μm long by 1.7 μm wide) or 16,000,000 active agents per liter (average fiber size 1.0 μm long by 0.03 μm wide).

Most asbestos in water supply data is now being reported in terms of fiber count. If necessary, mass values could be computed from the count and fiber size data.

SECTION 8

ASSESSMENT OF EXPOSURE

Over 1500 individual sample results determined by fifteen different laboratories were evaluated in assessing the exposure to the U.S. population from asbestos in drinking water. Some city water supplies such as the supply at Duluth, Minnesota have had a number of water samples analyzed for asbestos. As of February 1, 1979, 365 different cities or water supplies were represented by at least one analysis. A summary of the data on these 365 cities is presented in Table 8.

TABLE 8. DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTED ASBESTOS CONCENTRATIONS
IN DRINKING WATER FROM 365 CITIES IN 43 STATES,
PUERTO RICO AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Asbestos Concentration (10 ⁶ fibers/l)	Number of Cities	Percentage of Samples
Below detectable limits	110	30.1
Not Statistically Significant	90	24.6
Less than 1	90	24.6
1-10	34	9.3
Greater than 10	41	11.2
Total	<u>365</u>	<u>99.8</u>

Of the 365 cities, 165 or 45.3% were reported to have significant concentrations of asbestos fibers in the drinking water. In an effort to provide the most complete listing of waterborne asbestos data, Appendix II was updated to contain all data received up to the time this manuscript was sent to the printers. Appendix II therefore contains data on more cities than the 365 summarized in Table 8.

Tables 9 and 10 present data on the cities in which asbestos concentrations of over one million fibers per liter were reported. An evaluation of the reliability of the data was made on the basis of the areas described in the previous sections.

LAKE SUPERIOR WATER SUPPLIES

Samples of drinking water prior to 1977 from Duluth, Minnesota have been found to contain amphibole fiber concentrations up to 644 million fibers per liter. Several analyses by different laboratories have shown fiber concentrations over 100 MFL. After the filtration plant began operation in 1977

TABLE 9. DRINKING WATERS REPORTED TO HAVE OVER 10 MILLION ASBESTOS FIBERS PER LITER.

City	Reported Concentration (MFL)	Probable Source	Notes
Duluth, MN	up to 644	Mining processing discharge	Drinking water levels now below 1 MFL
Beaver Bay, MN	up to 92	" "	" " " " " " "
Two Harbors, MN	up to 200	" "	
San Francisco, CA (and cities within the Bay Area)	up to 130	Natural erosion of serpentine rock	Studies to reduce turbidity underway
Seattle (Tolt), WA	up to 25	Natural erosion	Pilot filtration plant in operation
Everett, WA	up to 143	" "	" " " " " "
St. Croix (Cistern), VI	up to 543	Asbestos-cement roof	Approx. 5 buildings on the island use this type of system
21 Socorro, NM	up to 2000	Unknown	Values questionable. Could not be reproduced
Algodones, NM	up to 710	"	
Pojoaque, NM	up to 194	"	
Santa Fe, NM	up to 100	"	
Bishopville, SC	up to 547	Asbestos-cement pipe	Aggressive water
Kentucky Dam Village, KY	up to 45	" "	" "
Pensacola, FL	up to 32	" "	Current levels below 2 MFL
Lakeland, FL	up to 16	" "	H ₂ S attack on pipe, corrective studies underway
Paint, PA	up to 19	" "	Aggressive water
Amherst, MA	up to 190	Asbestos-cement pipe tapping	Low concentrations in the system, but high in hydrants
Farmington, CT	up to 10.2	" "	Resampling showed much lower concentration
Danville, KY	up to 74	Erosion of waste pile	System being resampled
Atlanta, GA	Intermittent	Possible storm caused erosion	Samples analyzed by other labs showed no asbestos

<u>City</u>	<u>Reported Concentration (MFL)</u>	<u>Probable Source</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Philadelphia, PA	Intermittent	Possible storm caused erosion	Resampling showed low asbestos concen- trations
Erie, PA	(160)	Contamination of sample	City distribution sample taken at as- bestos plant
Marshville	(88)	" "	" " " "
Van Buren	(40)	" "	" " " "

TABLE 10. DRINKING WATERS REPORTED TO HAVE BETWEEN 1 AND 10 MILLION ASBESTOS FIBERS PER LITER

City	Reported Concentration (MFL)	Probable Source	Notes
Albuquerque, NM	3	Unknown	
Bay City, MI	1.2	Erosion	
Iron River, MI	4.0	"	
Cheyene, WY	1.2	Unknown	
Middlebury, CT	1.4	Reservoir	
Newtown, CT	1.4	"	
Sprague, CT	1.8	"	
Greenwood, SC	3.1	Asbestos-cement pipe	Aggressive water
Newport, RI	1.0	Unknown	
North Troy, VT	2.2	Erosion of natural serpentine	
San Francisco, CA (and cities within the Bay Area)	up to 9	Erosion of natural serpentine and some A/C pipe	
Levinworth, WA	4.1	Erosion	
Superior, WI	4.0	Mining processing discharge	
Harrodsburg, KY	6.0	Erosion	
St. Louis, MO	4.9	Unknown	Possible sample contamination, sample taken at asbestos plant
Weaverville, CA	4.5	Natural erosion	
Ashland, WI	1.0	Mining processing discharge	Lake Superior Source

fiber concentrations in the drinking water dropped considerably. Recent data suggests that the fiber concentrations in Duluth drinking water are now below 0.1 MFL and often below 0.01 MFL. The source of mineral fibers in Lake Superior, the water supply for Duluth and other cities is related to a mining processing discharge. The main discharge of fibrous amphiboles into Lake Superior began about 1956 but it may have taken years for the fibers to migrate south in the Lake currents to Duluth. Concentrations of fibers in the raw lake water are known to vary with weather conditions. Storms on the lake resuspend settled fibers from the lake sediments and result in high concentrations of amphibole in the water. It is estimated that Duluthian residents were exposed to concentrations of amphibole fibers from 30-300 MFL for a period of about 17 years, from about 1960 to 1977.

Several other water supplies around Lake Superior have been tested for amphibole fibers. In Minnesota, the cities of Beaver Bay and Two Harbors were shown to have significant fiber concentrations in their drinking water while Grand Marais and Silver Bay did not have high concentrations. Counts as high as 92 MFL were reported from Beaver Bay. It is estimated that Beaver Bay residents were exposed to concentrations of amphibole fibers as high or higher than Duluth residents since Beaver Bay is much closer to the discharge than Duluth. A filtration plant will begin operation in 1979.

Two Harbors is located on the western arm of Lake Superior midway between Beaver Bay and Duluth. Fiber counts as high as 200 MFL have been reported before the filtration plant started operation in 1978. It is estimated that the residents of Two Harbors were exposed to concentrations of amphibole fibers as high or higher than the residents of Duluth.

The water supplies of Ontonagon, Eagle Harbor, and Marquette, Michigan were not found to contain high concentrations of amphibole fibers.

In Wisconsin, amphibole fiber concentrations about 1 MFL have been reported for Ashland and Superior. Later data for Ashland shows amphibole fiber counts to be below detectable limits.

There are no data to indicate that any population around Lake Superior except Beaver Bay is currently ingesting significant concentrations of asbestos fibers.

BAY AREA, CALIFORNIA WATER SUPPLIES

One sample of finished water from the Lake Crystal Reservoir in San Francisco was reported to contain 130 MFL of chrysotile asbestos. Two other Bay Area reservoirs had raw concentrations over 100 MFL. Sixteen (16) finished water systems in the Bay Area had chrysotile concentrations over 10 MFL; 17 others had concentrations between 1 and 10 MFL. Although some asbestos-cement pipe may be involved, the primary source of asbestos in San Francisco water is apparently the erosion of serpentine rock formations. Water supplies for the Bay Area have been associated with serpentine mineral formations for many years. It is probable that some residents of the San Francisco area have been exposed to chrysotile asbestos fiber in their drinking water for over 40 years. The concentrations of fibers vary from water system to water system

and undoubtedly fluctuate with hydrological conditions. Studies are ongoing in the San Francisco area to better assess past exposure to asbestos in water. Water treatment practices are being optimized to reduce fiber concentrations where they have been found.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST WATER SUPPLIES

Several samples of water from Everett, Washington were shown to contain over 100 MFL of chrysotile by two laboratories. Amphibole asbestos fibers were also found in the samples. The source of the fibers is probably natural erosion into the reservoir water supply. Residents of Everett have probably been exposed to varying concentrations of asbestos fibers in their drinking water over the last 50 years. Chrysotile fiber concentrations up to 25 MFL have been reported in the Tolt River water supply of Seattle. The fibers are apparently also a product of natural erosion. Some residents of Seattle have probably been exposed to asbestos fibers in their drinking water for the past 14 years. Studies are ongoing in the Seattle-Everett area to better assess past exposure to asbestos in drinking water. Pilot filtration plants for the Tolt River supply and for Everett are in operation.⁵¹

CISTERNS

Cisterns in St. Croix, Virgin Islands which collect drinking water from asbestos-tile type roofing material have been shown to contain up to 543 MFL of chrysotile asbestos. Only five buildings on the island are known to have such a system but other similar arrangements for water supply are known to exist on St. Thomas Island, Virgin Islands. These have not been sampled. Rainwater collected from a "life-time asbestos roof" on a house in Kentucky showed concentrations of 360 MFL chrysotile. Although some rainwater has been shown to contain one million asbestos fibers, per liter,⁵² the concentrations from the asbestos-tile roofs are much higher.

Water samples from two cisterns which receive water from the more typical asphalt asbestos roofing shingles were also tested. One system in Kentucky had an old set of shingles (30 years) while the other in Ohio had fairly new shingles (2 years). No asbestos fibers were found in either sample. Apparently the asphalt binds the fibers well enough to prevent significant numbers from coming off into the water supply.

It is apparent that persons using cisterns which collect drinking water from asbestos-tile type roofing material may be exposed to high concentrations of waterborne asbestos. Persons using cisterns which receive water from the more typical asphalt asbestos roofing shingles are not exposed to significant concentrations of asbestos in their drinking water. The extent of the U.S. population using cisterns which have asbestos-tile type roofs has not been determined. The total population using cisterns is thought to be less than 1 percent of all U.S. water consumers. Concentrations of asbestos in cisterns where water is collected from roofs which have been painted or coated with an asbestos containing material have not been studied as yet.

NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLIES

Samples of Socorro, New Mexico have been reported to contain up to 2190 MFL of chrysotile. Three other New Mexico water supplies, Algodones, Pojoaque, and Santa Fe have been reported to contain over 100 MFL by the same researchers. Two billion fibers per liter is by far the highest concentration of asbestos fibers in drinking water reported by any analyst. The source of the fibers is unclear. The report states that there are no known asbestos deposits in the area and suggests that the fibers in the well source are a result of long distance, random migration of asbestos fibers in the groundwater. Resampling was done and the water analyzed using another more accepted preparation technique (See Section 4) by another laboratory. The greatest concentration found among 4 sites sampled was 0.6 MFL. Two wells in use when the first samples had been taken had been discontinued by the time of the second sampling. Regardless of whether the first high concentrations were related to the two discontinued wells or were the result of method inaccuracies or contamination, it is apparent that the population of Socorro is not now exposed to high concentrations of asbestos in their drinking water.

ASBESTOS-CEMENT PIPE SYSTEMS

One sample of water collected in an asbestos cement (A/C) pipe distribution system in Bishopville, South Carolina contained over 500 MFL of chrysotile. Drinking water in other asbestos-cement pipe systems, Pensacola, Florida, Kentucky Dam Village, Kentucky, Lakeland, Florida, Paint, Pennsylvania, and Greenwood, South Carolina have been shown to contain significant concentrations of chrysotile asbestos. Some amphibole asbestos fibers have also been found in A/C pipe systems. While it is estimated that some 200,000 miles of A/C pipe are in use in the United States,⁵³ it is also apparent that not all A/C pipe sheds fibers into the water. The quality of the water transported by the pipe is known to be a critical parameter in the release of fibers from the pipe.

The corrosive effects of certain water on A/C pipe have been studied by the Asbestos Cement Pipe Industry and an equation which gives an indication of whether a water is aggressive or not has been derived from the Langlier Saturation Index.⁵⁴ The Aggressiveness Index (A.I.) is given in the American Water Works Association (AWWA) Standard C402-77 for A/C transmission and pressure pipe.⁵⁵

The aggressiveness of water transported through a pipe, within the temperature range of 40-80°F, is determined by the formula:

$$A.I. = pH + \log_{10} (A \times H) \quad (1)$$

where pH = index of acidity or alkalinity of the water in standard pH units

A = total alkalinity in mg/l as CaCO₃
H = calcium hardness in mg/l as CaCO₃

Higher values of this aggressiveness index are less corrosive than lower values. Water with an A.I. less than 10 is considered very aggressive to all

types of pipe while A.I.'s greater than 12 are considered essentially non-aggressive. The recommendations of AWWA Standard C402-77 are:

- (a) where A.I. > 12.0, use either Type I (not autoclaved) or Type II (autoclaved) pipe.
- (b) where A.I. > 10, use Type II
- (c) where A.I. < 10, consult the manufacturer.

The relationship between water aggressiveness and possible fiber release has been studied by the Environmental Protection Agency on asbestos-cement pipe distribution systems in the field. In one study⁵⁶ water was sampled and analyzed over a year period from five asbestos-cement pipe systems. Significant numbers of fibers were present in the water from the two systems which had source A.I.'s under 10.0, but few, if any, fibers could be found in water samples from 3 systems with source A.I.'s > 12.0.

Sampling of representative utilities throughout the United States has indicated that over half of the supplies had water which was at least moderately aggressive range ($10 < \text{A.I.} < 12$) and sixteen percent had very aggressive water (Table 11).⁵⁷

TABLE 11. REPRESENTATIVE AVERAGE U.S. UTILITY AGGRESSIVENESS INDICES

Highly aggressive (A.I. < 10) = 16.5 percent
Moderately aggressive ($10 < \text{A.I.} < 12$) = 52 percent
Nonaggressive (A.I. > 12) = 31.5 percent

This data would suggest that as many as 68.5 percent of U.S. water systems carry water which is potentially capable of eroding asbestos-cement Type I pipe. The water supplies with very aggressive waters (i.e. 16.5 percent of U.S. water systems) may have significant corrosion problems with any type of pipe used including cast iron, galvanized, etc. If A/C pipe is used, there exists the potential for consumers to be exposed to significant concentrations of asbestos in their drinking water.

Additional studies of asbestos-cement pipe systems have shown that there are other factors in addition to those taken into account by the aggressiveness index which influence fiber removal from A/C pipe. For instance, the source waters in 45 Connecticut asbestos-cement pipe systems were thought to be very aggressive because the A.I.'s were under 10. However, none of the systems showed high concentrations of asbestos in the distributed water after A/C pipe. None of the pipe that had been dug up over the years had been reported to be significantly deteriorated. All samples from the Connecticut A/C pipe systems except one were below 1 MFL.

One site in Connecticut which showed 10 MFL was resampled twice and was found to be below 1 MFL on each occasion. The high count is felt to be the result of pipe tapping. Tapping asbestos-cement pipe, that is, adding a service connection to the distribution pipe, requires that a hole be cut in the A/C pipe. Some tapping devices allow the debris from cutting to fall into the pipe. This results in high asbestos fiber concentrations which may remain in the water for weeks depending on water flow. There are tapping devices now available which force the debris from cutting to be flushed from the pipe and thus prevent the contamination of drinking water with fibers. Samples taken from dead end areas or from fire hydrants that have not been completely flushed may show high asbestos fiber concentrations not caused from pipe deterioration but from an accumulation of sediment from previous pipe tap-pings. This was the case in Amherst, Massachusetts where a sample taken at a dead end of a system showed 190 MFL, but the distribution water showed es-sentially no fibers. A sample of pipe dug up showed no signs of deteriora-tion.

In another situation, in Florida, the A/C pipe system had a well source water which was non-aggressive but fibers were found in the distribution water. High H₂S levels in the well sources were apparently attacking the pipe. The aggressiveness index does not take into account the corrosive effect of water quality parameters other than pH, calcium, and alkalinity. The Florida town is currently studying the H₂S problem and is planning to implement treatment to eliminate it from the water before it flows through A/C pipe.

Based on 1) the available results of fiber analyses from asbestos-cement pipe distribution systems, 2) the estimate that only 16 percent of the U.S. water supplies have highly aggressive water, 3) the knowledge that zinc, iron, and perhaps manganese and organic materials can have a protective effect on A/C pipe, and 4) the report that the majority of A/C pipe sold in the U.S. in the last thirty-five years has been Type II (autoclaved and therefore more re-sistant to corrosion), it is concluded that the majority of water consumers in the U.S. who receive drinking water from asbestos-cement pipes are not exposed to significant long term concentrations of waterborne asbestos fibers.

However, in areas of aggressive water the consumer may be exposed to asbestos fiber concentrations of from less than 1 million to over 100 million per liter depending on length of pipe and flow rate. Since some water sup-plies in the United States have used A/C pipe to distribute water for over 40 years, it is possible that some residents in areas of highly aggressive water have been exposed over a long period.

Because the advent of a tapping device which flushes the debris from cutting out rather than into the pipe is fairly recent, it is possible that many residents on A/C distribution lines have been exposed to intermittent concentrations of asbestos fibers perhaps as high as 500 million fibers per liter.

The Environmental Protection Agency is currently evaluating steps which can be taken to prevent fibers from coming off asbestos-cement pipe. For places where fibers have been found in asbestos-cement pipe distribution

systems there are several promising water treatments or processes which can be used to stop the fibers from getting into the drinking water.

EROSION OF ASBESTOS WASTE PILES

Samples of three city water supplies Atlanta, Philadelphia, and Danville, that use rivers as the source of their water showed asbestos concentrations above 10 million fibers per liter which were thought to be caused by the erosion of asbestos waste piles into the river. Other samples taken at Atlanta, Georgia and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania did not show high concentrations. Additional sampling is planned for Danville, Kentucky. It has been suggested that storm conditions cause infrequent but substantial amounts of asbestos fibers to be present in the raw water. In most cases a filtration plant is effective in dealing with the fiber concentration, but the possibility exists that some fibers may get through to the drinking water. The extent of asbestos dump sites in the U.S. and the possibility of fibers eroded from these dump sites reaching public water supplies has not been determined.

The fiber concentration from one industrial discharge in Missouri was found to be 2,000 MFL. An industrial discharge in Ohio after treatment by settling pond was found to be under a million fibers per liter. A large number of effluent discharges from asbestos related industries have been analyzed for fibers and reported in reference 34. The fiber counts range from $< 10^6$ to 10^{12} fibers per liter. There are currently no data to show with certainty that these discharged fibers make their way into public water supplies.

LARGEST U.S. CITIES

There are not sufficient data to clearly assess exposure in all cities. Of the twenty largest cities in the United States, thirteen have been checked for asbestos in their drinking water. In only one, San Francisco, is there clear evidence of significant fiber concentrations. Some significant numbers of asbestos fibers were found in the water systems of Philadelphia and Boston by one researcher but these counts could not be reproduced by other researchers at later times. For most cities there are only the results of a few samples available to cover the entire city which may be served by several water utilities. The estimate of waterborne asbestos exposure is based therefore on available data and not on representative samples (Table 12). No data are readily available as to the amount of asbestos-cement pipe in each city. Early chemical data reported in 1962 for the public water supplies of the 100 largest cities in the U.S. suggested that as many as 50 had at least moderately aggressive water.⁵⁷

Based on the very limited data, it is concluded that the majority of water consumers in the largest U.S. cities are not exposed to concentrations of asbestos over 1.0 MFL.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Based on the data presented in the Appendices and the evaluation of that data as described in the preceding sections; it is concluded the majority

(at least 90 percent) of water consumers in the U.S. are not exposed to asbestos concentrations over a million fibers per liter. In a few areas people are exposed to concentrations between 1 and 10 million fibers per liter with intermittent exposures over 100 MFL. Persons using asbestos-cement pipe especially in areas where the water is non-aggressive or is treated to prevent corrosion are generally not additionally exposed except for the possibility of short, intermittent exposures due to improper pipe tapping procedures. In areas of aggressive water, however, residents using water from asbestos-cement mains may be exposed to high concentrations of fibers depending on factors such as length of pipe, flow rate. A few people may be exposed to asbestos in their drinking water from cisterns using asbestos tile roofs.

TABLE 12. AVAILABLE DATA ON ASBESTOS IN THE DRINKING WATER OF THE 20 LARGEST U.S. CITIES

City	Population 1975 est.* (Millions)	Number of Asbestos Analyses	Estimate of Waterborne Asbestos Exposure
New York, NY	7.48	12	Below Detectable
Chicago, IL	3.10	over 50	Less than 1 MFL
Los Angeles, CA	2.72	1	Less than 1 MFL
Philadelphia, PA	1.81	over 50	Possible intermittent
Houston, TX	1.40	10	Less than 1 MFL
Detroit, MI	1.34	0	-
Baltimore, MD	.85	6	Less than 1 MFL
Dallas, TX	.82	2	Below Detectable
San Diego, CA	.77	0	-
San Antonio, TX	.77	2	Less than 1 MFL
Indianapolis, IN	.73	1	Less than 1 MFL
Washington, D.C.	.71	3	Less than 1 MFL
Honolulu, HA	.71	0	-
Milwaukee, WI	.67	0	-
Phoenix, AZ	.66	0	-
San Francisco, CA	.66	over 50	0-100 MFL
Memphis, TN	.66	3	Less than 1 MFL
Cleveland, OH	.64	0	-
Boston, MA	.64	17	Possible intermittent
Jacksonville, FL	.56	0	-

*Source: U.S. Bureau of Census⁵⁹

SECTION 9

EXPOSURE TO NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS IN WATER

Very little data has been reported concerning fibers other than asbestos found in water supplies. In the several hundred water samples from all over the U.S. analyzed by the EPA in Cincinnati, none were found to have significant amounts of fiberglass. No reports of fiberglass in drinking water were found in the literature. Significant numbers (over 1 MFL) of attapulgite (palygorskite clay) have been found in well waters on islands off the coast of Georgia and in two Florida water systems. These silicate fibers are similar in appearance to chrysotile but are not asbestos. Halloysite clay fibers have been reported in some California waters. Unidentified fibers containing combinations of aluminum, silica, iron and/or titanium are occasionally found but no substantial concentrations have been reported.

Some "fibers" of biological origin, pieces of diatoma, algae scales and other fiber like fragments of organisms have been found in water samples, sometimes in high concentrations.

From the analyses done by the EPA in Cincinnati, it is concluded that the majority of U.S. water consumers are not exposed to concentrations of non-asbestos mineral fibers above 100,000 fibers per liter. Some areas using unfiltered water from reservoirs may be exposed to high concentrations of biological "fibers."

REFERENCES

1. Cunningham, H., and R. Pontefract. 1973. Asbestos fibers in beverages drinking water, and tissues: their passage through the intestinal wall and movement through the body. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 56:976-981.
2. Nicholson, W.J., and F.L. Pundsack. 1973. Asbestos in the Environment. In: P. Bogovski et al. (eds), *Biological effects of asbestos*. IARC Sci. Publi. No. 8. pp 126-130.
3. Lewis, B.G. 1977. Asbestos in Cooling-Tower Waters. Report for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission #ANL/ES-63 available from NTIS, Springfield, Virginia 22161.
4. Levine, R.J. (ed). 1978. *Asbestos: An Information Resource*. DHEW Publication Number (NIH) 78-1681.
5. Cook, P.M., G.E. Glass, and J.H. Tucker. 1974. Asbestiform minerals: Detection and measurement of high concentrations in municipal supplies. *Science* 85(154):853-855.
6. Sargent, H.E. 1974. Asbestos in Drinking Water. *N. Engl. Water Works Assoc.* 88:44-57.
7. McFarren, E.F. 1974. Determination of asbestos by optical microscopy. In *Proceedings of Amer. Water Works Assoc. Qual. Techn. Conf. Cincinnati, OH 1974*, XVI-1 - XVI-5.
8. Federal Register 1972. Standard for exposure to asbestos dust. Title 29, Chapter XVII, Part 1910 - Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Wed. June 7, Washington, D.C. 37(110):11318-11323.
9. McFarren, E.F., J.R. Millette, and R.J. Lishka. 1975. Asbestos analysis by electron microscope, *Proc. AWWA Quality Techn. Conf., American Water Works Assoc., Denver, CO 80235*, XIV-1 - XIV-12.
10. American Water Works Association. 1974. A study of the problem of asbestos in water. *Am. Water Works Assoc. J.* 66(9) Part 2: 1-22.
11. McCrone, W.C. 1978. Identification of Asbestos by Polarized Light Microscopy. In *Proc. of Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods*, Gaithersburg, MD, July 18-20, 1977. *Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.)*, Spec. Publ. 506, 235-248.

12. Pattnaik, A. and J.D. Meakim. 1973. Development of an instrumental monitoring method for measurement of asbestos concentrations in or near sources. USEPA #650/2-73-016 available from NTIS, Springfield, VA 22161.
13. Wehrung, J.M. and J.H. McAlear. 1977. Surveying for Asbestos in the SEM in Proc. of Symp. on Electron Microscopy of Microfibers, Penn. State Univ. 1976, I.M. Asher and P.P. McGrath (eds), U.S.G.P.O. #017-012-0244-7, Wash. D.C. 151-157.
14. Ferrell, R.E., G.G. Paulson and C.W. Walker. 1975. Evaluation of an SEM-EDS method for identification of chrysotile. Scanning Electron Microscopy/1975 (Part II) O. Johari (ed), IIT Research Institute, Chicago, 537-546.
15. Samudra, A.V., F.C. Bock, C.F. Harwood, and J.D. Stockham. 1978. Evaluating and optimizing electron microscope methods for characterizing airborne asbestos EPA #600/2-78-038.
16. Flickinger, J. and J. Standridge. 1976. Identification of fibrous material in two public water supplies. *Envir. Sci. Tech.* 10(10):1028-1032.
17. Chopra, K.S. 1978. Interlaboratory Measurements of Amphibole and Chrysotile Fiber Concentration in Water. *J. of Testing and Evaluation*, 6(4):241-247.
18. McGrath, P.P. 1976. Summary in Proc. of the FDA Symposium on Electron Microscopy of Microfibers, held at the Pennsylvania State University, Aug. 1976. HEW Publication (FDA) 77-1033. U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, Rockville, MD, 1976, pp 189.
19. Bonner, W.P., R.B. Bustamante, H.W. Leiner and J.T. Scardina. 1977. Identification of asbestos-type materials in suspended solids, Water Resources Research Center, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN., Research Report #61.
20. Kramer, J.R., O.R. Mudrock. 1974. Asbestos research at McMaster University. *Can. Res. Dev.* 7(6):31-2, 55.
21. Chatfield, E.J. 1974. Quantitative analysis of asbestos minerals in air and water/ 32nd Ann. Proc. Electron Microscopy Soc. Amer. St. Louis, Missouri, C.J. Arceneaux (ed) 528-529.
22. Kay, G.H. 1974. Asbestos in drinking water. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* 66:513-514.
23. Leineweber, J.P. 1968. A method for determination of the fiber content of water. Johns-Manville Research and Engineering Center Report No. E404-37, 14 pp.
24. Nicholson, W.J. 1974. Analysis of Amphibole Asbestiform Fibers in Municipal Water Supplies. *Envir. Health Persp.* 9:165-172.

25. Rohl, A.N., O.M. Langer, and I.J. Selikoff, 1977. Environmental asbestos pollution related to use of quarried serpentine rock. *Science* 196:1319-1322.
26. Ruud, C.O. 1978. An overview of electron microscopy methods. In Proc. of Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods, Gaithersburg, MD, July 18-20, 1977. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Spec. Publ. 506, 221-234.
27. Murr, L.E., and K. Kloska. 1976. The Detection and Analysis of Particulates in Municipal Water Supplies by TEM. *Water Research* 10:469-477.
28. Durham, R.W., and T.W.S. Pang. 1975. Asbestos Fibres in Lake Superior Ameri. Soc. Testing Materials, Spec. Pub. #573, pp 5-13.
29. Oliver, T., and L.E. Murr. 1976. An Electron Microscope Study of Asbestiform Fiber Concentrations in Rio Grande Valley Water Supplies, *J. AWWA*, 9:428-431.
30. Flickinger, J. 1977. Analysis of Asbestos Fibers in Water. Master's Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. 98 pp.
31. Cooper, R.C., and J.C. Murchio. 1976. Preliminary studies of asbestiform fibers in domestic water supplies. Final report to the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. Available from NTIS U.S. Dept. of Commerce #AD-A011-855, also in Proc. 5th Conf. on Environmental Toxicology, Ohio (Sept. 1974).
32. Anderson, C.H., and J.M. Long. 1976. Preliminary Interim Procedure for Fibrous Asbestos. U.S.E.P.A., Athens, GA. 30 pp.
33. Stewart, I. 1976. Asbestos in the Water Supplies of the Ten Regional Cities, Final Report - Part I, EPA Report #560/6-76-017, 58 pp.
34. Stewart, I. 1976. Asbestos Fibers in Natural Runoff and Discharges from Sources Manufacturing Asbestos Products, Final Report - Part II EPA Report #560/6-76-018, 166 pp.
35. Beaman, D.R., and D.M. File. 1976. Quantitative Determination of Asbestos Fiber Concentrations, *Analytical Chem.* 48(1):101-110.
36. Chatfield, E.J., et al. 1978. Preparation of Water Samples for Asbestos Fiber Counting by Electron Microscopy, ORD Research Publication, EPA-600/4-78-011.
37. Stewart, I., 1976. Selected Thoughts on Asbestos Quantitation, In Proc. of Symp. on Electron Microscopy of Microfibers at Penn. State Univ. 1976. pp. 93-97.
38. Anderson, C.H. 1978. Environmental Protection Agency Interim Method for Determining Asbestos in Water, Nat. Bureau of Standards Spec. Pub. 506. Proc. of Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods held at NBS, Gaithersburg, MD, July 18-20, 1977. pp. 365-376.

39. Cook, P.M., I.B. Rubin, C.J. Maggiore, and W.J. Nicholson. 1976. X-ray Diffraction and Electron Beam Analysis of Asbestiform Minerals in Lake Superior Waters in Proc. Inter. Conf. on Environmental Sensing and Assessment, Las Vegas, NV, 34:1-9.
40. Jaffe, M.S., 1948. Handling and Washing Fragile Replicas. Proc. of EMSA, Toronto, J. Appli. Phys., 19(12):1189.
41. Ontario Ministry of the Environment. 1977. An Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos Fibre Concentrations in Water by Transmission Electron Microscopy. 36 pp.
42. Ortiz, L.W. and B.L. Isom. 1974. Transfer technique for electron microscopy of membrane filter samples. Amer. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 423-425.
43. Zumwalde, R.D., and J.M. Dement. 1977. Review and Evaluation of Analytical Methods for Environmental Studies of Fibrous Particulate Exposure, DHEW, (NIOSH) Pub. No. 77-204.
44. Dement, J.M., R.D. Zumwalde, and K.M. Wallingford. 1975. Asbestos fiber exposures in a hard rock gold mine. Ann. N.Y. Acad. of Science. 271:345-352.
45. Brown, A.L., W.F. Taylor and R.E. Corter. 1976. The Reliability of Measures of Amphibole Fiber Concentration in Water. Environ. Research 12:150-160.
46. Hallenbeck, W.H., E.H. Chen and A.H. Wolff. 1977. Precision of Analysis for Waterborne Chrysotile Asbestos by Transmission Electron Microscopy. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 17:551-558.
47. Leineweber, J.P. 1978. Statistics and the Significance of Asbestos Fiber Analyses. In Proc. of Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods, Gaithersburg, MD, July 18-20, 1977. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Spec. Publ. 506, 281-294.
48. Gravitt, C.C., P.D. LaFleur, and K.F.J. Heinrich (eds). 1978. Proc. of Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods, Gaithersburg, MD, July 18-20, 1977. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) Spec. Publ. 506, 490 p.
49. Asher, I.M. and P.P. McGrath (eds). 1977. Proc. of Symp. on Electron Microscopy of Microfibers, Penn. State Univ. 1976, USGPO #017-012-0244-7, Wash. D.C. 207 p.
50. Lee, R.J. 1978. Basic Concepts of Electron Diffraction and Asbestos Identification using Selected Area Diffraction. Part I. Current Methods of Asbestos Identification Using SAD in Scanning Electron Microscopy/ 1978/I, O.Johari (Ed.), SEM Inc. Chicago 677-695.

51. Watkins, J., R.A. Ryder, and W.A. Persich. 1978. Investigation of Turbidity, Asbestos Fibers, and Particle Counting Techniques as Indices of Water Treatability of a Cascade Mountain Source, In: Proc. of the Amer. Water Works Assoc. Annual Conf., Atlantic City, N.J. June 25-30.
52. Hesse, C.S., W.H. Hallenbekc, E.H. Chen, and G.R. Brenniman. 1977. Determination of Chrysotile Asbestos in Rainwater. Atmospheric Environment 11(12):1233-1237.
53. Olson, H.L. 1974. Asbestos in Potable Water Supplies. J. AWWA 66:515-518.
54. Langlier, W.F. 1936. The Analytical Control of Anti-Corrosion Water Treatment, J. AWWA 29(10):1500-1521.
55. American Water Works Association. 1977. Standard for Asbestos-Cement Pressure Pipe, 4 in. through 24 in. for Water and Other Liquids. Publ. AWWA C402-77.
56. McFarren, E., R.W. Buelow, R.C. Thurnau, M. Gardels, R.K. Sorrell, P. Snyder, and R.C. Dressman. 1977. Water Quality Deterioration in the Distribution System. In: Proceedings of the Water Quality Technology Conference, Kansas City, MO, December 5-6, 1977.
57. Millette, J.R., A.F. Hammonds, E.C. Hansen and M.F. Pansing. Aggressive Water and Water Utilities from Representative Areas of the U.S. (submitted to J. AWWA).
58. Hudson, H.E. and F.W. Gilcreas. 1976. Health and Economic Aspects of Water Hardness and Corrosiveness. Journal Amer. Water Works Assoc. 5:68(4) 173-222.
59. Newspaper Enterprise Association Inc. The World Almanac and Book of Facts 1979, New York. p. 212.

APPENDIX A

ASBESTOS IN WATER: MASS DATA

Some waterborne asbestos data for U.S. drinking water sources and distribution points have been determined using the rubout technique followed by TEM analysis. This method of sample preparation destroys the fiber size distribution of the sample and therefore only provides data about the mass of asbestos present. Fiber counts determined using this method are not considered reliable.

TABLE A-1. MASS OF ASBESTOS FIBER BY RUBOUT IN CITY WATER¹⁰

State	City	Type*	Miles A-C pipe	Date	Fibers µg/gal	Fibers µg/l	Lab
AZ	Globe	S			0.26	0.07	JMR
AZ	Globe	D			0.43	0.11	"
CA	San Diego	S			0.27	0.07	"
CA	San Diego	D			3.16	0.83	"
CA	Long Beach	S			2.51	0.66	"
CA	Long Beach	D			2.34	0.62	"
RI	Providence	S			0.95	0.25	"
RI	Providence	D			1.45	0.38	"
RI	Providence	D			2.19	0.58	"
RI	Providence	S			0.76	0.20	"
RI	Providence	D			1.01	0.27	"
KS	Wichita	D			1.58	0.42	"
KS	Wichita	S			1.18	0.31	"
KS	Wichita	D			6.03	1.59	"
TN	Memphis	D	0.0		6.42	1.69	"
MI	Saginaw	S			0.012	0.003	"
MI	Saginaw	D			0.0048	0.001	"
PA	Malvern	S	0.0	12/19/69	0.183	0.05	"
PA	Malvern	D	0.53	12/19/69	0.985	0.26	"
PA	Malvern	S	0.0	12/19/70	0.472	0.12	"
PA	Malvern	D	0.53	12/19/70	0.258	0.07	"
PA	Malvern(ave 26 samples)	S	0.0	69-70	0.166	0.04	"
PA	Malvern(ave 24 samples)	D	0.53	69-70	0.450	0.12	"
AZ	Glendale	S	0.0	9/10/69	0.088	0.02	"
AZ	Glendale	D	2.51	9/10/69	0.214	0.06	"
AZ	Glendale	S	0.0	12/06/70	0.003	0.0008	"

TABLE A-1 (continued)

State	City	Type*	Miles A-C pipe	Date	Fibers $\mu\text{g/gal}$	Fibers $\mu\text{g/l}$	Lab
AZ	Glendale	D	2.51	12/06/70	0.005	0.001	"
AZ	Glendale(ave 35 samples)	S	0.0	69-70	0.023	0.006	"
AZ	Glendale(ave 35 samples)	D	0.0	69-70	0.038	0.01	"

*Type of sample; S = source, D = distribution point.

TABLE A-2. MASS OF AMPHIBOLE FIBER IN CITY WATER BY RUBOUT²⁴

State	City	Fibers $\mu\text{g/liter}$	Fibers $\times 10^6/\text{liter}$	Lab
MN	Duluth, Lower Res.	27	74	MSS
"	Duluth, Middle Res.	2.7	25	"
"	Duluth, Upper Res.	11	24	"
"	Duluth, Syst. Intake	20	60	"
"	Grand Marais	None detected		"
NY	New York City	None detected		"
WI	Superior	1.4	4	"

TABLE A-3. RANGE OF MASS OF CHRYSOTILE IN UNTREATED RIVER WATER¹⁰

State	River	Location	(Values in $\mu\text{g/l}$)*
PA	Juniata	Breezewood	0.0 - 9.2
"	"	Newtown-Hamilton	0.0 - 8.7
"	"	Lewistown	0.0 - 15.0
"	"	Amity Hall	0.0 - 14.8
VT	Connecticut	Canaan	0.0 - 13.8
NH	"	Lebanon	0.0 - 3.1
MA	"	Greenfield	0.0 - 23.5
CN	"	Middletown	0.0 - 14.5

*Eleven samples at each site over a year.

APPENDIX B

ASBESTOS IN WATER: FIBER COUNT DATA

The following computer listing contains waterborne asbestos fiber concentration data determined by transmission electron microscopy on samples prepared by various procedures. The listing is arranged in order by state, city, and date of sample. Information is also given as to the analyzing laboratory and type of method used to prepare the samples for asbestos analysis. A source code which references the original source of the data is also given.

The waterborne asbestos file has been computerized and will be updated periodically. Researchers having additional data on asbestos concentrations present in drinking water are encouraged to contact the first author. Although the listing has been reviewed carefully, errors may exist and if found should be directed to the attention of the author.

INFORMATION GIVEN IN THE LISTING

State

Standard two letter state designations are given.

City

The name of the city (or occasionally the county) where the samples was taken is given. Additional data concerning street names, water utilities, or description of site are given.

ID

If the sample was reported with an identification number, it is listed under the third heading.

Type

The type of water that was analyzed is classified as F-Finished, after treatment but before the distribution system; D-Distribution, in the piping system at some point such as a consumer's tap; R-Raw, before treatment; or E-effluent from an industrial or other waste water discharger.

Source

The source of the water that was analyzed is classified as S-Surface, river or reservoir, W-wells, groundwater, C-cistern, catch basin of water collected from a roof, or B-Combined.

Miles A-C Pipe

If a water is known to have flowed through asbestos-cement pipe before being sampled, that distance in miles is listed. If unknown, no value is placed under this heading.

Date Collected

If the actual day was not reported, zeros appear between the month and year. In the case of composite samples the first day in the composite is listed. Some samples of old water (1963) were cans of water stored in shelters.

Amphibole MFL

The results reported for amphibole fibers in terms of millions of fibers per liter are given under this heading.

Chrysotile MFL

The results reported for chrysotile fibers in terms of millions of fibers per liter are given under this heading. In some instances results for one or the other of the two asbestos classes were not reported.

Blank MFL

The results reported for blank analyses in total asbestos fibers are given under this heading. Blank analyses include filtering of clean prefiltered water through a filter and preparing the blank filter using the same steps as those used in preparing the water sample. Although many laboratories analyze blanks, few report them. Much of the data under this heading is currently being added.

The letters B and N before the concentration values indicate values that are below detectable limits and not statistically significant respectively. The detectable limit is considered the concentration that would be determined if one fiber was counted and the appropriate calculations were made. B0.020 is read below the detectable limit of 0.02 times 10^6 fibers per liter. See Section 6 for a discussion of statistical significance. A N is used before a value in the listing if it is known that the value is based on less than 5 fibers counted. Values preceded by an N should be considered less accurate than others.

In some cases when no data was reported on the number of actual fibers counted, the preceding N could not be used to indicate less significant data.

Met

The method sample preparation was classified as C-centrifuge method, R-rubout technique, B-double Nuclepore filter, D-drop drying, M-Millipore condensation washer, L-Millipore Jaffe wick, N-Nuclepore Jaffe wick, or E-Millipore collapsed filter. See Section 4 for details on the preparation methods.

Lab

Three letter initials of the laboratories analyzing the sample are given under this heading. The laboratory designations are described in the List of Abbreviations in the beginning of the report.

Ref

A source code for the analysis is given under this heading.

The source code designations are as follows:

- AA McFarren, E.F., J.R. Millette, R.J. Lishka. 1975 Asbestos Analysis by Electron Microscope. Proceedings of AWWA Water Quality Technology Conf. Amer. Water Works Assoc. XIV-1 - XIV-12, and Preliminary Assessment of Suspected Carcinogens in Drinking Water, Report to Congress, 1975, EPA-OTS, Appendix E, p. 135.
- AB In-house files of the Health Effects Research Laboratory, Exposure Evaluation Branch and files of the Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, Drinking Water Research Division.
- AC I. Stewart, Asbestos in the Water Supplies of the Ten Regional Cities, Final Report-Part I EPA Report 560/6-76-017 1976, available from the National Technical Information Service, 58 p.
- AD I. Stewart, Asbestos Fibers in Natural Runoff and Discharges from Sources Manufacturing Asbestos Products, Final Report-Part II EPA Report 560/676-018, 1976 available from the National Technical Information Service 166 p.
- AE Beaman, D.R. and D.M. File, Quantitative Determination of Asbestos Fiber Concentrations, Analytical Chemistry 48(1):101-110, 1976.
- AF Flickinger, J. and J. Standridge, Identification of Fibrous Material in Two Public Water Supplies. Envir. Sci. Tech., 10(10):1028-1032, 1976.
- AH Oliver, T. and L.E. Murr, An Electron Microscope Study of Asbestiform Fiber Concentrations in Rio Grande Valley Water Supplies, J. AWWA, 9: 428-431, 1976.
- AI Murr, L.E. and K. Kloska, The Detection and Analysis of Particulates in Municipal Water Supplies by TEM. Water Research 10:469-477, 1976.
- AJ Hallenbeck, W.H., E.H. Chen, C.S. Hesse, K. Patel-Mandlik, and A.H. Wolff. Is Chrysotile Asbestos Released from Asbestos-Cement Pipe into Drinking Water. J. AWWA 70(2):97-102, 1977.
- AK Hallenbeck, W.H., E.H. Chen, C.S. Hesse, K. Patel-Mandlik, and A.H. Wolff. Is Chrysotile Asbestos Released from Asbestos-Cement Pipe into Drinking Water. UIIU-WRC-77-0118 Research Report No. 118, Univ. of Ill. at Urbana-Champaign, Water Resources Center, Jan. 1977.

- AL Cooper, R.C. and J.C. Murchio, Preliminary Studies of Asbestiform Fibers in Domestic Water Supplies, Final Report to the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, Available from NTIS U.S. Dept. of Commerce #AD-A011-855. 1976.
- AM Watkins, J., R.A. Ryder, and W.A. Persich, Investigation of Turbidity Asbestos Fibers, and Particle Counting Techniques as Indices of Water Treatability of a Cascade Mountain Water Source, Presented at the AWWA Annual Conference, Atlantic City, N.J. June 25-30, 1978.
- AN Cook, P.M., I.B. Rubin, C.J. Maggiore, and W.J. Nicholson, X-ray Diffraction and Electron Beam Analysis of Asbestiform Minerals in Lake Superior Waters. Proc. Intern. Conf. on Environ. Sensing and Assessment, Pub. by IEEE, Piscataway, N.J. 34(2):1-9. 1977.
- AO McMillan, L.M., R.G. Stout, and B.F. Willey. Asbestos in Raw and Treated Water: An Electron Microscopy Study. Environ. Sci. and Tech. 11(4):390-394, 1977.
- AP Personal Communication: G.S. Logsdon, DWRD, MERL-EPA-Cincinnati, OH.
- AQ Personal Communication: S.J. Greenwood, Minn. Dept. of Health.
- AR Personal Communication: C.H. Anderson, and J.M. Long, SERL, EPA-Athens, GA.
- AS Personal Communication: P.M. Cook, ERL-EPA-Duluth, MN.
- AT Personal Communication: L. McMillan, City of Chicago Water Department Microscopy Unit, Chicago, IL.
- AU Personal Communication: W.H. Hallenbeck, School of Public Health, Univ. of Ill., Chicago, IL.
- AV Flickinger, J. Analysis of Asbestos Fibers in Water, Masters' Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin 1977, Madison, WI.
- AW Kanarek, M. Asbestos in Drinking Water and Cancer Incidence; Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley 1978, Berkeley, CA.
- AX Fairless, B. 1974. Asbestos Fiber Concentrations in the Drinking Water of Communities Using the Western Arm of Lake Superior as a Potable Water Source. Report of Surveillance and Analysis Division, Central Regional Laboratory, USEPA, Reg. V, Chicago, IL. p. 12.
- AY Black and Veatch, Consulting Engineers. 1975. Director Filtration of Lake Superior Water for Asbestiform Fiber Removal. Envir. Prot. Techn. Series. EPA-670/2-75-050e. Appendix G p. 39-42.
- AZ Lewis, B.G. Asbestos in Cooling-Tower Waters. Report for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission #ANL/ES-63.

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 1

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES	DATE	AMPHIBOLE	CHRYSOTILE	BLANK	MET	LAB	REF
A-C	PIPE	COLLECTED	MFL	MFL	MFL	MFL				
AK		ANCHORAGE	12715	F					M EPC	AA
AK		FAIRBANKS	13074	F	0.00	07/00/74	0.700	N	0.070	
					0.00	11/01/74	B 0.020	B	0.020	M EPC
AL		ABBEVILLE	35433	D	W	0.20	03/05/76	B	0.010	N
AL		ABBEVILLE	35432	F	W	0.00	03/05/76	B	0.010	B
AL		BIRMINGHAM	24854	F		0.00	11/25/74	B	0.040	B
AL		MONTGOMERY	21612	F		0.00	12/27/74	B	0.010	
AL		MONTGOMERY	21612	F		0.00	12/27/74	B	0.070	
AL		TUSCALOOSA	21609	F		0.00	11/25/74	N	0.070	
AL		TUSCALOOSA	37908	F	S	0.00	03/28/77	B	0.010	B
									0.010	N
									0.010	
									0.010	
AR		JONESBORO	18037				09/19/74	B	0.020	N
AR		LITTLE ROCK	18038				10/09/74	N	0.070	
AR		VAN BUREN, AT A/C PIPE CO.		D			02/06/75		40.000	
AZ		YUMA	16926				11/26/74	B	0.020	
									0.100	
CA		ALAMEDA	4851	D			06/21/77	B	0.100	
CA		ALAMEDA CO.		F		0.00	07/00/74		B 0.040	
CA		ALAMEDA CO.		F		0.00	07/00/74		B 0.040	
CA		ALAMEDA CO., ACWD CHEM LAB	4836	D		0.50	04/27/77	B	0.050	
CA		ALAMEDA CO., VISTA SCHOOL	4839	D		1.90	04/27/78	B	0.025	
CA		ALBANY	4769				03/00/63	B	0.020	B
CA		ALBANY	4812	D		0.40	01/27/77	B	0.100	
CA		ALBANY	4811	D		0.40	01/27/77	B	0.100	
CA		ANTIOCH, CONTRA COSTA CO.	4311	D		0.35	08/12/76	B	0.050	
CA		ANTIOCH, CONTRA COSTA CO.	4310	F		0.00	08/12/76	B	0.020	
CA		ANTIOCH, CONTRA COSTA CO.	4825	F		0.00	04/18/77	B	0.025	
CA		ATASCADERO	37457	F	W	0.00	02/16/77	B	0.030	N
CA		ATHERTON	4729	D		0.20	07/28/77	B	0.050	
CA		ATHERTON	4728	D			07/28/77	B	0.025	
CA		ATHERTON	4727	R		0.00	07/28/77			
CA		ATWATER	37409	F	W	0.00	02/04/77	B	0.010	N
CA		BELMONT	4724	D		0.80	07/27/77	B	0.025	
CA		BELMONT	4723	D			07/27/77	B	0.200	
CA		BELMONT	4722				07/27/77	B	0.025	
CA		BELMONT	4721	D			07/27/77	B	0.050	
CA		BELMONT	4899	D			07/27/77	B	0.025	
CA		BERKELEY	4364	D			12/13/76		2.200	
CA		BERKELEY	4361	D			12/13/76		0.200	
CA		BERKELEY	4808	D			01/27/77	B	0.130	
CA		BERKLEY	4807	D			01/27/77	B	0.100	
CA		BERKELEY	4805	D			01/27/77		1.000	B
									0.100	

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BFLOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD:) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 2

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
CA		BERKELEY		01/27/77	B 0.100	0.600		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY		01/27/77	B 0.100	0.400		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY		01/27/77	1.000	0.200		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY		01/27/77	B 0.100	0.200		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY		01/27/77	B 0.100	0.600		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY		02/22/77	B 0.100	0.100		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERKELEY UCR	4317A	<00/00/64	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	UCB	AW
CA		BERNADO, ALAMEDA CO.	4835	04/27/78	B 0.025	B 0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN		12/10/74	B 0.005	B 0.005		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN		10/15/75	B 0.005	0.130		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4312	08/13/76	0.010	0.160		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4832	04/18/77	B 0.025	0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4830	04/18/77	B 0.025	B 0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4440	05/11/77	B 0.025	0.180		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4741	08/05/77	B 0.050	0.700		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4781	01/09/78	B 0.025	B 0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		BOLLMAN	4608	04/04/78	0.025	0.150		M	UCB	AW
CA		BROADMORE	4713	07/07/77	B 0.025	0.125		M	UCB	AW
CA		BURLINGAME	4462	05/26/77	B 0.200	14.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		BURLINGAME	4461	05/26/77	B 0.200	15.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		BURLINGAME	4465	05/26/77	B 0.200	9.200		M	UCB	AW
CA		BURLINGAME	4464	05/26/77	B 0.200	14.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		BURLINGAME CITY		07/00/74	B 0.020	B 0.020		B	UCB	AL
CA		CASTRO VALLEY	4853	06/21/77	B 0.100	0.800		M	UCB	AW
CA		CHABOT	4854	06/21/77	B 0.025	0.300		M	UCB	AW
CA		CLAY, RESERVOIR NEAR		06/00/77	B 0.063	0.063		M	MCC	AZ
CA		CLAYTON		12/10/74	B 0.007	0.007		M	UCB	AW
CA		CLAYTON	4827	04/18/77	B 0.025	B 0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONCORD	4771	<00/00/69	B 0.025	B 0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONCORD		12/10/74	B 0.030	0.030		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONCORD	4343	08/12/76	0.040	0.540		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONCORD	4833	04/18/77	B 0.025	0.150		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4316	08/13/76	N 0.000	B 0.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4315	08/13/76	N 0.000	B 0.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4314	08/13/76	0.800	8.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4829A	04/18/77	B 0.200	0.600		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4829	04/18/77	B 2.500	10.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA CO, CANAL PLANT	4439	05/11/77	B 5.000	15.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CONTRA COSTA COUNTY		07/00/74	B 0.040	B 0.040		B	UCB	AL
CA		CRYSTAL SPRING	4894	07/14/77	F 0.500	52.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CRYSTAL SPRING	4893	07/14/77	B 0.025	0.025		M	UCB	AW
CA		CRYSTAL SPRING	4787	01/11/78	B 0.500	60.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		CRYSTAL SPRING	4790	01/12/78	0.200	8.500		M	UCB	AW
CA		DALY CITY	4710	07/07/77	B 0.025	0.200		M	UCB	AW
CA		DALY CITY	4709	07/07/77	B 0.025	0.125		M	UCB	AW
CA		DALY CITY	4714	07/07/77	B 0.100	7.000		M	UCB	AW
CA		DALY CITY	4777	12/06/77	B 0.050	0.150		M	UCB	AW

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BFLOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI FPD=FPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMJ=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	LAB	REF
CA	DALY CITY		0.00	12/06/77	0.600	15.000		M UCB	AW
CA	DANVILLE		0.10	06/20/77	B 0.050			M UCB	AW
CA	E. PALO ALTOS			07/25/77	0.025	B 0.025		M UCB	AW
CA	E. PALO ALTOS		0.40	07/28/77	0.050			M UCB	AW
CA	E. PALO ALTOS		0.66	07/28/77	0.200	20.000		M UCB	AW
CA	EL SOBRANTE		0.00	06/20/77	B 0.025	B 0.025		M UCB	AW
CA	EL SOBRANTE		0.35	06/20/77	B 0.100	B 0.100		M UCB	AW
CA	EMERYVILLE			08/12/77	B 0.025			M UCB	AW
CA	FOLSOM S. CANAL, SACRAMENTO	S		06/00/77	B 0.063	B 0.063		M MCC	AZ
CA	FOSTER CITY		0.55	12/17/76		0.067		M UCB	AW
CA	FOSIER CITY		0.64	12/17/76		1.100		M UCB	AW
CA	FOSTER CITY		0.55	07/27/77	0.330	43.000		M UCB	AW
CA	FOSTER CITY		0.66	07/27/77	0.200	6.000		M UCB	AW
CA	FREMONT, ALAMADA CO.			04/27/77	B 0.250	B 0.250		M UCB	AW
CA	FREMONT, ALAMADA CO.			07/07/77	B 0.025	B 0.025		M UCB	AW
CA	FREMONT, ALAMADA CO.			07/07/77	B 0.050	0.250		M UCB	AW
CA	FREMONT, ALAMADA CO.			04/27/78	B 0.025	0.150		M UCB	AW
CA	HALLARD		0.00	10/13/75	0.200	0.600		M UCB	AW
CA	HALLARD		0.00	01/09/78	B 0.500	4.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HALLARD		0.00	04/04/78	3.300	17.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, AZALEA CRT		1.45	08/01/77	0.300	6.200		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, D. STREET		1.10	08/01/77	B 0.250	34.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, DESOTO ROOSTER ST			08/01/77	B 1.000	B 1.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, HAYWARD BLVD.		3.00	08/01/77	0.067	2.500		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, PARTICK AVE.		1.20	08/01/77	B 1.000	B 1.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, REDUCING STA			08/01/77	B 0.050	0.050		M UCB	AW
CA	HAYWARD, SAN LORENZO			06/21/77	B 0.050	0.650		M UCB	AW
CA	HILLSBOROUGH			07/05/77	1.500	44.000		M UCB	AW
CA	HILLSBOROUGH			07/11/77	B 0.200	20.000		M UCB	AW
CA	LAFAYETTE		0.00	10/07/76	0.140	B 0.020		M UCB	AW
CA	LAFAYETTE		0.00	06/20/77	B 0.050	0.050		M UCB	AW
CA	LIVERMORE, ALAMEDA CO.			02/28/78	0.050	0.025		M UCB	AW
CA	LOS ANGELES		30956	04/00/75	B 0.100	N 0.500		M EPC	AB
CA	MARIN, ALPINE LAKE		0.00	02/00/73		N		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, BON TEMPE		0.00	02/00/73		B 0.000		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, BON TEMPE	4362A	0.00	12/13/76	B 0.050	0.200		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, BON TEMPE	4743	0.00	08/10/77	B 0.100	B 0.100		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, BON TEMPE	4791	0.00	01/14/78	B 0.100	B 0.100		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, CORTE MADERA	4346		11/10/76	B 0.020	0.040		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, CORTE MADERA	4350	0.65	11/12/76	B 0.020	0.040		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENT ALPINE MIX	4041	0.00	07/11/73		0.500		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENT LAKE		0.00	02/00/73		200.000		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENT PUMPS	4040	0.00	07/11/73		0.270		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENT PUMPS	4302	0.00	02/25/76		0.300		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENTWOODLAND	4353		11/12/76	B 0.020	0.020		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, KENTWOODLAND	4744		08/10/77	B 0.050	B 0.050		M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, MTL VALLEY	4348		11/10/76	0.040	B 0.020		M UCB	AW

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTIICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

45

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 4

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A=C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
CA	MARIN, MILL VALLEY			11/10/76	B 0.020	0.040			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, MILL VALLEY			12/13/76	B 0.020	B 0.020			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, MILL VALLEY			08/10/77	0.025	0.100			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, MMWD OFFICE			12/13/76	0.020	0.160			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, NICASIO		0.00	02/25/76		0.250			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN ANSELMO		43518	11/12/76	B 0.050	B 0.050			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			12/03/74	0.00	2.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			03/06/75	0.00	11.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			03/07/75	0.00	2.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO		4300	02/25/76	0.00	0.140			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			11/12/76	0.00	0.040			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			12/13/76	B 0.020	B 0.020			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			08/17/77	0.100	0.700			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN GERONOMO			01/10/78	B 0.500	12.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN RAFAEL			05/21/71	B 0.020	B 0.020			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN RAFAEL			03/11/75		0.900			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN RAFAEL		43558	11/12/76	0.200	1.350			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAN RAFAEL			11/12/76	0.180	0.820			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, SAUSALITO			11/10/76	B 0.020	0.020			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, WOODACRE			08/10/77	0.200	0.700			M UCB	AW
CA	MARIN, WOODACRE			01/10/78	B 0.100	11.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MARTINEZ		3.00	04/18/77	B 0.025	0.200			M UCB	AW
CA	MARTINEZ			04/18/77	B 0.020	0.025			M UCB	AW
CA	MARTINEZ			04/18/77	B 0.025	B 0.025			M UCB	AW
CA	MARTINEZ			09/22/77	0.025	B 0.025			M UCB	AW
CA	MAUSELEUM			09/22/77	B 0.020	B 0.020			M UCB	AW
CA	MENLO PARK		0.14	12/17/76	0.050	0.800			M UCB	AW
CA	MENLO PARK			12/17/76	B 0.050	0.600			M UCB	AW
CA	MENLO PARK			07/14/77	B 0.025	0.075			M UCB	AW
CA	MENLO PARK		0.13	07/14/77	B 0.050	0.150			M UCB	AW
CA	MERCED, STORAGE #2			02/03/77	B 0.010	N 0.050	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
CA	MERCED, STORAGE #7			02/03/77	B 0.010	N 0.050	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
CA	MILLBRAE			05/26/77	B 0.250	32.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE			05/26/77	0.200	11.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE C/H			12/14/76	0.160	0.240			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE CITY			07/00/74		B 0.020			M UCB	AL
CA	MILLBRAE REC.			12/14/76	0.300	1.700			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE SFWD			12/14/76	0.150	0.200			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE SFWD			08/11/77	0.500	23.000			M UCB	AW
CA	MILLBRAE SFWD			01/11/78	B 0.500	43.000			M UCB	AW
CA	NEWARK, ALAMEDA CO.			04/27/77	B 0.025	0.450			M UCB	AW
CA	NORTH MARIN, NOVALTO			03/15/78	1.600	B 0.400			M UCB	AW
CA	NORTH MARIN, NOVALTO			03/15/78	R 0.200	1.600			M UCB	AW
CA	NORTH MARIN, STAFFORD		0.00	03/15/78	B 5.000	5.000			M UCB	AW
CA	NORTH MARIN, STAFFORD			03/15/78	B 0.025	B 0.025			M UCB	AW
CA	OAKLAND		1.80	04/11/63	B 0.050	0.150			M UCB	AW
CA	OAKLAND			07/29/74	B 0.020	B 0.020			M UCB	AW

46

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BLOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE=WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=FPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSTILE MFL	BLANK MET LAB REF
CA OAKLAND	D		0.00	07/29/74	B 0.025	B 0.025	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		0.00	09/23/76	0.250	0.200	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		1.80	09/23/76	0.550	0.200	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		0.33	09/25/76	0.150	0.150	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		0.00	06/21/77	0.500	0.100	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		0.35	06/21/77	0.400	0.300	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/21/77	0.300	0.500	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/21/77	B 0.100	0.600	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/22/77	B 0.100	0.200	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/22/77	0.400	B 0.200	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/22/77	0.200	0.900	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			06/22/77	0.400	1.000	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D		0.01	07/19/77	B 0.050	B 0.050	M UCB AW
CA OAKLAND	D			08/12/77	0.025	0.180	M UCB AW
CA OLD RIVER	R		0.00	08/14/76	3.000	49.000	M UCB AW
CA OLD RIVER	R		0.00	09/14/76	2.000	18.000	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	09/23/76	0.300	0.250	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	01/27/77	0.700	0.300	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	01/27/77	0.600	0.500	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	D			01/27/77	B 0.100	0.100	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	D		0.05	01/27/77	B 0.100	B 0.100	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	06/20/77	B 0.050	0.050	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	04/04/78	0.067	B 0.067	M UCB AW
CA ORINDA	F		0.00	04/04/78	B 0.800	B 0.800	M UCB AW
CA PACIFICA	D			07/05/77	B 0.050	0.750	M UCB AW
CA PACIFICA	D			07/05/77	0.025	0.380	M UCB AW
CA PACIFICA	D			07/05/77	B 0.025	0.350	M UCB AW
CA PATTERSON, ALAMEDA CO.	F		0.00	01/09/78	0.050	2.100	M UCB AW
CA PIEDMONT	D		0.20	06/22/77	0.400	0.800	M UCB AW
CA PINDLE	D		0.55	09/23/76	B 0.016	0.032	M UCB AW
CA PITTSBURG	F		0.00	08/28/74	B 0.010	N 0.070	M EPC AA
CA PITTSBURG	D		4.00	08/13/76	0.100	0.400	M UCB AW
CA PITTSBURG	D		2.00	08/13/76	0.050	0.200	M UCB AW
CA PITTSBURG	F		0.00	08/13/76	0.040	0.760	M UCB AW
CA PITTSBURG	F		0.00	04/18/77	B 0.025	0.050	M UCB AW
CA PITTSBURG, ASBESTOS PAPER PLNT	D		?	03/04/75	B 0.900	B 0.900	M MCC AD
CA PLEASANT HILL	D		2.80	04/18/77	0.025	1.900	M UCB AW
CA PLEASANT HILL	D		2.80	05/11/77	B 0.012	0.038	M UCB AW
CA PLEASANTON, ALAMEDA CO.			4602		0.050	0.100	M UCB AW
CA PLEASANTON, ALAMEDA CO.			4601	02/28/78	0.200	1.400	M UCB AW
CA PLEASANTON, ALAMEDA CO.			4784	02/28/78	0.150	1.600	M UCB AW
CA PLEASANTON, ALAMEDA CO.			4603	02/28/78	B 0.025	B 0.025	M UCB AW
CA REDDING	R			03/02/75	B 1.260	B 1.260	M MCC AD
CA REDDING	F			03/02/75	B 0.500	B 0.500	M MCC AD
CA REDDING	R			09/03/75	B 0.630	B 0.630	M MCC AD
CA REDDING	R			09/03/75	B 0.550	B 0.550	M MCC AD
CA REDDING	F			09/03/75	0.840		M MCC AD

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 6

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF	
CA	REDDING			09/03/75	8.600			M	MCC	AD	
CA	REDWOOD		4373	12/17/76	0.45	0.400		M	UCB	AW	
CA	REDWOOD CITY			07/00/74	?		B	0.020	B	UCB	AL
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4380	12/17/76		0.300		0.400	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4379	12/17/76	0.07	0.280		0.400	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4890	07/14/77	B	0.100		0.200	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4885	07/14/77	B	0.025		0.025	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4888	07/14/77		0.025		0.025	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CITY		4886	07/14/77	0.07	0.074		1.600	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD CWD			07/01/74	B	0.033	B	0.033	M	UCB	AW
CA	REDWOOD SCHOOL		4317	12/17/76	B	0.050	B	0.050	M	UCB	AW
CA	RICHMOND		4864	06/22/77	B	0.050		0.100	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. FRAN, E. BAY, WEAPONS BASE		4772	09/22/77	B	0.025	B	0.025	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4711	07/07/77		0.075		0.300	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4715	07/07/77	B	0.100		0.400	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4712	07/07/77	B	0.025		1.500	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4718	07/07/77	D	2.70		0.200	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4717	07/07/77	B	3.90		0.150	M	UCB	AW
CA	S. SAN FRANCISCO		4716	07/07/77		0.050		4.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SACRAMENTO, ARCADE CO.		24672	04/01/75	B	0.040	N	0.200	M	EPC	AA
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4615	02/17/76	B	0.250		1.900	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4454	05/26/77	B	0.025		0.400	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4466	05/26/77	B	0.025		0.320	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4460	05/26/77		0.050		0.800	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4766	08/17/77		0.050		0.930	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN ANDREAS		4788	01/11/78	B	0.025		0.700	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO		4457	05/26/77	B	0.025	B	0.025	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO		4459	05/26/77	B	0.025		0.075	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO		4458	05/26/77	B	0.025		0.600	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO		4463	05/26/77	B	0.025	B	0.025	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO SCHOOL		4371	12/14/76		0.120		2.200	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN BRUNO SCHOOL		4370	12/14/76		0.040		0.680	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN CARLOS		4467	05/26/77	B	0.200		16.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN CARLOS		4891	07/14/77		0.084		6.400	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN CARLOS		4889	07/14/77	B	0.100		6.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN CARLOS		4779	12/06/77	B	0.200		12.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN CARLOS		4780	12/06/77	B	0.200		19.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO			01/09/75	B	0.020		1.500	M	EPC	AA
CA	SAN FRANCISCO			09/10/75	B	0.300	B	0.300	M	MCC	AC
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMEDA E. PORT			03/05/75	B	0.220	B	0.220	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMEDA E. PORT			05/00/75	B			0.490	M	EPC	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMEDA E. PORT		4385	12/20/76	B	0.050		0.050	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMEDA E. PORT		4767	08/15/77		0.050		0.200	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMENDA EAST			03/05/75	B	0.200	B	0.200	M	MCC	AC
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, ALAMENDA EAST			09/10/75	B	0.400	B	0.400	M	MCC	AC
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, B-10 1384 31ST		4883	07/12/77	B	0.250		26.000	M	UCB	AW
CA	SAN FRANCISCO, B-11 2600 MORO		4880	07/12/77		2.500		44.000	M	UCB	AW

48

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(R/N) R=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD:) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 7

ID	IYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BARNAL HGTS	4816	D	02/17/77	B 0.050	0.500		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-1 2908 KTR	4876	D	07/12/77	0.200	14,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-2 1207 33D	4877	D	07/12/77	B 0.330	24,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-3 2945 LIN	4881	D	07/12/77	B 0.500	55,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-4 6 3015 J	4884	D	07/12/77	B 0.200	20,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-5 28TH	4878	D	07/12/77	0.600	15,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-7 2629 JUD	4879	D	07/12/77	0.200	14,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-8 2822 MOR	4875	D	07/12/77	0.600	58,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, BL-9 3128 IPV	4882	D	07/12/77	B 0.200	13,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CALAVERAS RES		R	0.00	03/05/75	N 45,000	240,000	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CALAVERAS RES		R	0.00	05/00/75	B	61,700	M EPC	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CALAVERAS RES	4397	R	0.00	12/20/76	B	0.400	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CISTERN BUCH/CA	4343B	F	0.00	<00/00/08	0.050	0.800	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CIVIC CENTER	4344	F	0.00	<00/00/60	0.020	0.140	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, COL HILL SYS1	4760	D	08/11/77	0.300	10,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, COL HILL 1 HOS	4394	D	12/20/76	0.100	0.980		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CRYSTAL SP. RES		F	0.00	01/22/75	B 0.067	4,700	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CRYSTAL SPRING	23219	D	?	06/05/75	N 0.070	0.400	M EPC	AB
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, CRYSTAL SPRINGS		F	0.00	09/10/75	B 0.500	B 0.500	M MCC	AC
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, HETCH,HETCHY		D	0.00	07/00/73		1,000	B UCB	AL
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, HETCH,HETCHY		D	0.00	07/00/74		0.200	B UCB	AL
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, HETCH,HETCHY	23221	D	?	06/05/75	N 0.070	0.500	M EPC	AB
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, KIERNEY/MERCHNT	4342C	F	0.00	<00/00/53	B 0.050	B 0.050	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, L. CRYSTAL RES		R	0.00	03/05/75	4.100	71,000	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, L. CRYSTAL RES		R	0.00	03/05/75	4.300	180,000	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, L. CRYSTAL RES		R	0.00	05/00/75	B	1,480	M EPC	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, L. CRYSTAL RES		F	0.00	05/24/76	B 1.000	130,000	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, L. CRYSTAL RES		R	0.00	05/24/76	B 0.400	60,000	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, LIVERMORE LAB							M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, LOM-BROD. RES		F	0.00	05/00/75	B	3,780	M EPC	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, LOMBARD SYS	4389	D	12/20/76	0.050	0.250		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, LOMBARD SYS	4761	D	08/11/77	0.200	21,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, MER MANOR SYS	4388	D	12/20/76	0.150	1,800		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, MERCED SYS 2	4749	D	08/11/77	B 0.330	38,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, PICARCITOS RES		R	0.00	03/05/75	B 2.500	B 2,500	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, PORTRERO HGTS	4755	D	08/11/77	0.800	15,000		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, POTRERO HGTS20	4381	D	12/20/76	0.150	1,800		M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS		F	0.00	03/05/75	B 0.050	B 0.050	M MCC	AC
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS	23214	F	0.00	06/04/75	B 0.010	1,400	M EPC	AB
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS		F	0.00	09/10/75	B 0.500	B 0.500	M MCC	AC
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS FP	4366	F	0.00	12/14/76	0.320	1,400	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS FP	4365	R	0.00	12/14/76	B 0.080	3,700	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS FP	4367	F	0.00	12/14/76	0.080	3,400	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS RES		F	0.00	07/01/74	B 0.100	B 0.100	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS RES		F	0.00	03/05/75	B 0.050	B 0.050	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS RES		P	0.00	03/05/75	4.100	B	M UCB	AW
CA		SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS RES		F	0.00	05/00/75	B	1,420	M EPC	AW

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, R=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD;) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAJ HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UNIVERSITY OF MINN. DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 8

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF	
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANDREAS RES		R	0.00	05/00/75 B			M EPC	AW	
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANTONIO RES		R	0.00	03/05/75 N	0.560	N	0.560	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SAN ANTONIO RES		R	0.00	05/00/75 B			0.360	M EPC	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SF MED SCHOOL	4437	D		05/17/77	0.400		22,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, STANFORD HGTS	4399			12/20/76 B	0.250		0.500	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, STANFORD HGTS	4753			08/11/77	1.300		23,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 1	4387			12/20/76	0.100		0.200	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 1	4750			08/11/77	1.000		38,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 3	4384	D		12/20/76	0.100		2,300	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 3	4762			08/11/77 B	0.170		16,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 4	4391	D		12/20/76	0.100		1,400	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 4	4751			08/11/77	3,200		38,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 7	4754			08/11/77	0.050		24,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUNSET SYS 8	4396	D		12/20/76	0.075		0,780	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUTRO #1	4390			12/20/76 B	0.050		0,500	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUTRO #1	4752			08/11/77	0.500		27,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUTRO #4	4395			12/20/76 B	0.100		0,100	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, SUTRO #4	4756			08/11/77	0.330		70,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UCSF MED SCH	4318A	D		<00/00/64	0.400		1,800	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND RES1	4392	F	0.00	12/20/76 B	0.050	B	0,550	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND RES1	4759	F	0.00	08/11/77	0,250		23,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND RES2	4393	F	0.00	12/20/76	0,100		0,250	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND RES2	4758	F	0.00	08/11/77	0,250		30,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND SYS1	4746			08/11/77	1,700		30,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND SYS4	4757			08/11/77 B	0,330		32,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND SYS6	4748			08/11/77 B	0,330		31,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND 1	4386			12/20/76	0,050		0,500	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND 5	4383	D		12/20/76	0,050		0,650	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MND 6	4382	D		12/20/76 B	0,050		0,500	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV MOUND RES		F	0.00	05/00/75 B			0,360	M EPC	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, UNIV, MOUND	23220	D		06/05/75 B	0,010		3,800	M EPC	AB
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, 100 CAL. ST.		D		06/00/73 B	0,060		1,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, 100 CAL. ST.		D		07/24/74 B	0,067		0,200	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN FRANCISCO, 100 CAL. ST.		D		12/00/74 B	0,067		1,540	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN JOAQUIN	4820	R	0.00	03/09/77 B	0,200	B	0,200	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN JOAQUIN	4820A	R	0.00	03/09/77 B	1,000	B	1,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN JOAQUIN	4821	R	0.00	03/10/77	0,400		0,400	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN JOAQUIN	4821A	R	0.00	03/10/77 B	2,500		2,500	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN JOSE		D		07/00/74		B	0,010	B UIC	AL
		CA SAN JOSE, ALAMEDA CO.	4834	F	0.00	04/27/78 B	0,025		0,025	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO		D	0.00	07/29/74 B	0,010	B	0,010	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO		D	0.40	07/29/74 B	0,020	B	0,020	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO	4340	D	0.00	10/07/76 B	0,020	B	0,020	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO	4339	D	0.40	10/07/76 B	0,016		0,140	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO	4338	F	0.00	10/07/76	0,016	B	0,016	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO	4860	D	0.45	06/21/77	0,200		2,000	M UCB	AW
		CA SAN LEANDRO	4857	D	0.00	06/21/77 B	0,050		0,100	M UCB	AW

50

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND, WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, R=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC, DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN, DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 9

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF	
CA		SAN LEANDRO	4856	F	0.00	06/21/77 B	0.050	B	0.050	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN LOUIS OBISPO	37324	F	S	0.00	02/10/77 B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC AB
CA		SAN MATEO	4868	D		07/05/77 B	0.050	B	0.050	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO	4892	D		07/14/77	1.000		31.000	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO	4895	D		07/14/77	0.500		50.000	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO	4774	D		11/17/77 B	0.025		0.050	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO CO., BURLINGAME		D		07/01/74 B	0.033	B	0.033	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO CO., LIVERMORE LAB				05/29/74 B	0.067	B	0.067	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO CO., LIVERMORE LAB	4345A	D	0.90	11/09/76 B	0.050		0.200	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN MATEO SFWD CHEM LAB	4455	D		05/26/77 B	0.200		22.000	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN PABLO	4325	F	0.00	09/23/76 B	0.016		0.064	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN PABLO	4810	F	0.00	01/27/77 B	0.020	B	0.020	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN PABLO	4862	F	0.00	06/22/77 B	0.025		0.150	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN RAMON	4843	D	3.50	06/20/77	0.050		0.350	M UCB AW	
CA		SAN RAMON	4849	D	1.10	06/20/77	0.200		0.500	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE		D	0.00	07/29/74 B	0.010	B	0.010	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE		D	0.35	07/29/74 B	0.020	B	0.020	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4329B	D	0.00	09/23/76 B	0.020		0.006	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4328B	D	0.35	09/23/76	0.040	B	0.020	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4326B	F	0.00	09/26/76 B	0.008		0.016	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4846	F	0.00	06/20/77 B	0.025	B	0.025	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4613	F	0.00	04/06/78 B	1.000		2.000	M UCB AW	
CA		SOBRANTE	4612	R		04/06/78 B	0.500		0.500	M UCB AW	
CA		SOUTHERN CALIF., CRA		F	0.00	07/00/74		B	0.020	B UCB AL	
CA		SOUTHERN CALIF., CRA		F	0.00	07/00/74		B	0.020	B UCB AL	
CA		SOUTHERN CALIF., SWP		F	0.00	07/00/74		B	0.020	B UCB AL	
CA		STANISLAUS RIV., CALAVERAS CO.		R	S	06/00/77 B	0.063	B	0.063	M MCC AZ	
CA		TRINITY RIVER, DOUGLAS		R	S	06/00/77 B	0.063	B	0.063	M MCC AZ	
CA		WALNUT CREEK		D		12/10/74 B	0.025	B	0.025	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4334B	R	0.00	10/07/76	0.600		0.400	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4336	D	0.15	10/07/76 B	0.020	B	0.020	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4335	F	0.00	10/07/76	0.040	B	0.020	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4802	D	0.30	01/27/77	0.300		0.100	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4828	D	0.90	04/18/77 B	0.025		0.050	M UCB AW	
CA		WALNUT CREEK	4847	F	0.00	06/20/77 B	0.050		0.050	M UCB AW	
CA		WEAVERVILLE		R		03/02/75 B	4.800	B	4.800	M MCC AD	
CA		WEAVERVILLE		F		03/02/75			4.500	M MCC AD	
CA		WEAVERVILLE		R		09/02/75	2.850	B	4.800	M MCC AD	
CA		WEAVERVILLE		F		09/02/75	0.410			M MCC AD	
CO		BOULDER	12630			08/28/74 B	0.020	B	0.020	M EPC AA	
CO		DENVER, MARSTON		R		09/15/75		N	1.500	M MCC AC	
CO		DENVER, MARSTON				09/15/75 B	0.500	B	0.500	M MCC AC	
CO		DENVER, MARSTON CONDUIT				02/26/75 B	0.200	B	0.200	M MCC AC	
CO		DENVER, MARSTON CONDUIT				02/26/75 N	0.060	B	0.010	M UCB AC	
CO		DENVER, MARSTON CONDUIT 20		R		02/26/75 B	0.250	B	0.250	M MCC AC	

51

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UII=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 10

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSTOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
CO		DENVER, MARSTON CONDUIT 20		02/26/75	N 0.220			M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		02/26/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		02/26/75	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		02/26/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		02/26/75	B 0.250	B 0.250		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT	31320	03/18/75	B 0.070	N 0.400		M	EPC	AB
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		09/15/75	B 0.500	B 0.500		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		09/15/75	B 0.500	B 0.500		M	MCC	AC
CO		DENVER, MOFFAT		09/15/75	B 0.500	B 0.500		M	MCC	AC
CT		ANSONIA	37501	03/23/77	B 0.040	N 0.100	N 0.040	M	EPC	AB
CT		ANSONIA	36600	03/23/77	B 0.040	B 0.040		M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, AVON W.C. WELL 2	37532	04/12/77	B 0.010	B 0.010	N 0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, AVON W.C. WELL 4	37533	04/12/77	B 0.010	B 0.010	N 0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, AVON W.C. WELL 4	37534	04/12/77	N 0.050	0.100	N 0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, AVON W.C. WELL2	37531	04/12/77	B 0.010	0.090	N 0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, CONN. W.C.	36574	03/09/77	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, FARMINGTON WOODS	36580	03/16/77	B 0.020	0.200	B 0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, FARMINGTON WOODS	36581	03/16/77	B 0.010	0.050	B 0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		AVON, FARMINGTON WOODS	36580	03/16/77	B 0.100	N 0.500		N	EPC	AB
CT		BEACON FALLS	36566	03/09/77	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BERLIN, KENSINGTON	36506	02/15/77	B 0.010	N 0.050		M	EPC	AB
CT		BERLIN, KENSINGTON	36507	02/15/77	B 0.010	N 0.050		M	EPC	AB
CT		BERLIN, WORTHINGTON	36488	02/02/77	B 0.030	N 0.200	B 0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		BERLIN, WORTHINGTON	36489	02/02/77	B 0.030	N 0.050	B 0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, BURR	26190	07/31/75	B 0.010	0.200		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, BURR	32974	10/07/75	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, BURR	40603	12/09/75	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, GRANT HILL	26192	07/31/75	B 0.010	N 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, GRANT HILL	32973	10/07/75	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, GRANT HILL	40601	12/09/78	B 0.010	B 0.101		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, WELL HOUSE	26191	07/31/75	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, WELL HOUSE	32972	10/07/75	B 0.010	N 0.040		M	EPC	AB
CT		BLOOMFIELD, WELL HOUSE	40602	12/09/75	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BRANFORD, (L. GAILLARD)	36549	02/28/77	B 0.020	B 0.020		M	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, EASTON	37589	05/24/77	B 0.200	B 0.200	B 0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, HEMLOCK	37577	05/17/77	B 0.050	0.400	B 0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, HEMLOCK	37578	05/17/77	B 0.100	B 0.100	B 0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, HEMLOCK	37578	05/17/77	B 0.030	N 0.200	B 0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, HEMLOCK	37580	05/17/77	B 0.040	N 0.200	B 0.040	M	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, HEMLOCK	37579	05/17/77	B 0.100	B 0.100	B 0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		BRIDGEPORT, TRAP FALLS	37590	05/24/77	B 0.060	B 0.060	B 0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		BRISTOL	37556	05/10/77	B 0.010	N 0.050		N	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, BROOKACRES	36554	03/02/77	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, BROOKACRES	36553	03/02/77	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, BUTTERNUT RIDGE	36544	02/24/77	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE; W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH

UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 11

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		BROOKFIELD, BUTTERNUT RIDGE	36599	D		0.50	03/22/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, CANDLEWOOD	36474	F	W	0.00	01/24/77	B	0.010		0.200	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, CANDLEWOOD	36473	D		0.20	01/24/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, DANCON	36556	D		0.50	03/02/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, DANCON	36555	F	W	0.00	03/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, GREENRIDGE	37517	F	W	0.00	04/01/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, INDIAN FIELDS #1	36564	F	W	0.00	03/03/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, INDIAN FIELDS #1	36565	D		0.15	03/03/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, INDIAN FIELDS #2	36563	F	W	0.00	03/03/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, RURAL W.C.	36562	D		0.50	03/02/77	B	0.010		0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, RURAL W.C.	36561	F	W	0.00	03/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, RURAL W.C.	36560	F	W	0.00	03/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, RURAL W.C.	36559	D		1.00	03/02/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELD, WATER SOFTNER	37518	D		0.50	04/01/77	B	0.010		0.100	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELDS, CEDAR HTS	36558	D		0.50	02/03/76	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKFIELDS, CEDAR HTS	36557	F	W	0.00	03/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKLYN, CRYSTAL	36422	F		0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		BROOKLYN, CRYSTAL	36423	D		0.30	12/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		BURLINGTON	36573	F		0.00	01/24/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		BURLINGTON	36572	D		0.10	03/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			N	EPC	AB
CT		CANTON	36573	F		0.00	01/24/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		CANTON	36575	D		0.70	03/09/77	B	0.010	N	0.060			M	EPC	AB
CT		CHESHIRE	37525	F	W	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	N	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		CLINTON, CHESTER	36498	D		?	02/04/77	B	0.020	N	0.050	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		CLINTON, KELSEY	36494	D		0.06	02/04/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		CLINTON, KELSEY	36497	D		0.10	02/04/77	B	0.040	N	0.200	B	0.040	M	EPC	AB
CT		CLINTON, KELSEY	36496	D		0.10	02/04/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		CLINTON, KELSEY	36495	F	S	0.00	02/04/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COLCHESTER, CWD #3	36467	D		0.00	01/20/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		COLCHESTER, CWD #3	36469	F	W	0.00	01/20/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		COLCHESTER, CWD #4	36468	F	W	0.00	01/20/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		COLUMBIA	37541	D		0.70	04/18/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COLUMBIA	37540	F	W	0.00	04/18/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, COV. HILLS	36585	F		0.00	03/17/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, COV. HILLS	36584	D		0.50	03/17/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, EASTVIEW	37551	D		0.20	05/05/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	N	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, EASTVIEW	37550	F	W	0.00	05/05/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	N	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, LAKEWOOD HTS.	37553	D		0.20	05/05/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, LAKEWOOD HTS.	37552	F	W	0.00	05/05/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, NORTHFIELD-VIL	37555	D	B	0.50	05/09/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	0		M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, NORTHFIELD-VIL	37554	F	W	0.00	05/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, PILGRIM HILLS	36583	D		0.10	03/17/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		COVENTRY, PILGRIM HILLS	36582	F	W	0.00	03/17/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		CROMWELL, F. D.	36404	F	W	0.00	12/09/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		CROMWELL, F. D.	36403	D	W	1.50	12/09/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		CROMWELL, F. D.	37611	D		1.50	07/11/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		CROMWELL, F. D.	37610	F	W	0.00	07/11/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB

53

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MI. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 12

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSTOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		DANBURY, M. RIVER	36536	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.030	B	0.030			M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, PEARCE	36529	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	R	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, PEARCE	36530	D		0.50	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, RIDGEBURY	36459	D		0.50	01/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, RIDGEBURY	36460	F	W	0.00	01/12/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, SHERWOOD	36533	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, SHERWOOD	36534	D		0.30	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, W. D.	36551	F	S	0.00	03/01/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, W. D.	36552	D		1.70	03/01/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, WILLOW RUN	36531	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DANBURY, WILLOW RUN	36532	D		0.30	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DARIEN	36519	D		2.00	02/22/77	B	0.200	N	0.800	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		DARIEN	36520	F		0.00	02/22/77	B	0.010		0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		DEEP RIVER, GUIL-CHESTER	36498	F		0.00	01/20/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		DERBY	37607	F	S	0.00	06/13/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		EAST HADDAM	37544	F		0.00	04/02/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		EAST HARTFORD, MDC	36579	F		0.00	03/15/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			N	EPC	AB
CT		EAST HAVEN, L. SALTESTALL	36548	F		0.00	02/28/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			N	EPC	AB
CT		EAST LYME	37512	D		4.00	03/31/77	B	0.010		0.400	R	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		EAST LYME	37512	D		4.00	03/31/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		EAST LYME	37511	F	W	0.00	03/31/77	B	0.020	N	0.100	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		EAST LYME, DODGETOWN	37513	F	W	0.00	03/31/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		EAST LYME, DODGETOWN	37514	D		0.20	03/31/77	B	0.020	N	0.080	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		EAST WINDSOR, ROCKVILLE	37562	F	S	0.00	05/13/77	B	0.010	B	0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		EAST WINDSOR, ROCKVILLE	37565	D		3.70	05/13/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		EASTON, SEE BRIDGEPORT	37577													
CT		ELLINGTON, ACRES	37574	D		0.50	05/16/77	B	0.010		0.100	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		ELLINGTON, ACRES	37573	F	W	0.00	05/16/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		ELLINGTON, CWC	37593	D		0.10	05/25/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		ELLINGTON, ROCKVILLE	37562	F		0.00	05/13/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		ELLINGTON, ROCKVILLE	37563	D		0.80	05/13/77	B	0.100	B	0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		ENFIELD, CWC	37566	D		0.90	05/13/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		ENFIELD, CWC	37567	F		0.00	05/13/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		ENFIELD, HAZARDVILLE	37575	D		1.00	05/16/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		ENFIELD, HAZARDVILLE	37576	F	W	0.00	05/16/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37619	D		1.00	00/00/77	B	0.030		0.350	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37618	F	W	0.00	00/00/77	R	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37616	F	S	0.00	07/13/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37617	D		1.00	07/13/77	B	0.030		10.200	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37655	D		1.00	12/27/77	B	0.030		0.400			M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, UNIONVILLE	37656	D		1.00	12/27/77	B	0.030		0.860			M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, W. C.	37618	F	W	0.00	07/13/77	R	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		FARMINGTON, W. C.	37619	D		0.50	07/13/77	R	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		GLASTONBURY	36415	D		0.20	12/11/76	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		GLASTONBURY	36416	F	W	0.00	12/11/76	B	0.010	N	0.060			M	EPC	AB
CT		GRANBY	36430	F	W	0.00	12/17/76	R	0.010	N	0.060			M	EPC	AB
CT		GRANBY	36431	D		0.70	12/17/76	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB

54

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UII=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 13

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		GREENWICH, HILLCREST	36516	D		0.50	02/22/77	B	0.200	B	0.200	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		GREENWICH, MIANUS	36515	F	S	0.00	02/22/77	B	0.060	B	0.060	B	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		GREENWICH, PUTNAM	36514	F	S	0.00	02/22/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		GRISWOLD	36434	D		0.00	12/20/76	B	0.020	N	0.100	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		GRISWOLD	36433	D		3.00	12/20/76	B	0.020		0.200	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		GRISWOLD	36433	D		3.00	12/20/76	B	0.030	N	0.200	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, S.C.W.A.	36442	F	W	0.00	12/28/76	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, S.C.W.A.	36443	D		0.30	12/28/76	N	0.100		0.400	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, UTILITY	36490	F	S	0.00	02/03/77	B	0.200	N	1.200	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, UTILITY	36492	D		5.00	02/03/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, UTILITY	36491	D		3.30	02/03/77	B	0.200	B	0.200	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		GROTON, UTILITY	36493	D		5.00	02/03/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		GUILFORD	37608	F	W	0.00	07/06/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		GUILFORD	37609	D		0.50	07/06/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		HAMDEN, L. GREEN	37529	F	S	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.020	N	1.000	N	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		HAMDEN, M. CARMEL	37528	F	W	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		HAMDEN, S. GIANT #2	37526	F	W	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		HAMDEN, S. GIANT #3	37527	F	W	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		HARTFORD, MDC SEE E HARTFORD	36579													
CT		KENT	37649	F	S	0.00	07/29/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, CRYSTAL #1	36420	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, CRYSTAL #1	36421	D		0.30	12/14/76	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, CRYSTAL #2	36422	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, CRYSTAL #2	36423	D		0.30	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, WILLIAMSVILLE	36418	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		KILLINGLY, WILLIAMSVILLE	36419	D		0.30	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA #1 & #2	36438	F	W	0.00	12/28/76	B	0.060	N	0.300	B	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA #1 & #2	36439	D		0.20	12/28/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA #3	36437	F	W	0.00	12/18/76	B	0.010	N	0.060	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-BAR	36448	F	W	0.00	01/03/77	B	0.020		0.300	N	0.040	N	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-BAR	36448	F	W	0.00	01/03/77	B	0.020		0.300	N	0.040	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-BAR	36449	D		0.20	01/03/77	N	0.070		0.090	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-FVH	36440	F	W	0.00	12/28/76	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-FVH	36441	D		0.30	12/28/76	B	0.050	N	0.200	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-GRAY FARM	36450	F	W	0.00	01/03/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		LEDYARD, SCWA-GRAY FARM	36451	D		0.70	01/03/77	B	0.010	N	0.060	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		LITCHFIELD	37630	F	W	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		LITCHFIELD	37631	D		1.00	07/20/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MANCHESTER, SURFACE	36411	F	S	0.00	12/10/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MANCHESTER, SURFACE	37542	D		0.20	04/02/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MANCHESTER, WELL	36413	D		0.02	12/10/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MANCHESTER, WELL	36414	F	W	0.00	12/10/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MANSFIELD, HARDWOOD	36481	F	W	0.00	01/27/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		MANSFIELD, HARDWOOD	36482	D		0.20	01/27/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		MANSFIELD, U. OF CONN.	36479	D		3.00	01/27/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		MANSFIELD, U. OF CONN.	36480	F	W	0.00	01/27/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		MARLBOROUGH	36409	F	W	0.00	12/09/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

55

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 14

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		MARLBOROUGH	36410	D	0.10	12/09/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB	
CT		MERIDAN	37544	F	W	0.00	05/02/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		MERIDAN	37543	F	S	0.00	05/05/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		MIDDLEBURY, WESTOVER	37635	F	S	0.00	07/22/77	B	0.100	B	1.400	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		MIDDLETOWN	36406	D		0.25	12/09/76	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		MIDDLETOWN	36405	F	W	0.00	12/09/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		MILFORD, SEE BRANFORD	36549													
CT		MONROE	37581	F	W	0.00	05/17/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONROE	37582	D		0.50	05/17/77	B	0.010	B	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, DEER RUN	36470	F	S	?	01/20/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, G.J.W.C.	36471	D		1.00	01/20/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	N	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, G.J.W.C.	36471	D		1.00	01/20/77	N	0.050	B	0.200	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, G.J.W.C.	36472	F	W	0.00	01/20/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, OAKDALE HTS	36461	F	W	0.00	01/19/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, OAKDALE HTS	36463	D		0.30	01/19/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, OAKDALE HTS	36462	F	W	0.00	01/19/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, SCWA	36453	D		0.70	01/03/77	B	0.010	N	0.070	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MONTVILLE, SCWA	36452	F	W	0.00	01/03/77	B	0.010	N	0.070	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		MORRIS, WATERBURY W.C.	37633	F	S	0.00	07/22/77	B	0.200	B	0.200	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		NAUGATUCK, CONN. W. C.	36566	D		0.80	03/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NAUGATUCK, CONN. W. C.	36568	D		0.80	03/09/77	B	0.020	B	0.020			M	EPC	AB
CT		NAUGATUCK, CONN. W. C.	36567	D		0.50	03/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NAUGATUCK, INDIAN HILL	36455	D		0.20	01/04/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NAUGATUCK, INDIAN HILL	36454	F	W	0.00	01/04/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW BRITIAN	37519	F	S	0.00	04/05/77	B	0.010	B	0.200	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW BRITIAN	37654	F	S	0.00	09/08/77	B	0.100	B	0.500	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW CANAAN	37508	D		0.02	03/30/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	N	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW CANAAN	37507	F	S	0.00	03/30/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	N	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, BALL POND	36456	F	W	0.00	01/06/77	B	0.100	B	0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, FIELDSTONE R	36537	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, FIELDSTONE R	36538	D		0.20	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, OAKWOOD	36539	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, OAKWOOD	36540	D		0.20	02/24/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, POSSUM P.	36541	F	W	0.00	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW FAIRFIELD, POSSUM P.	36542	D		0.50	02/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HARTFORD	37557	D		0.10	05/11/77	B	0.050	B	0.050			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HARTFORD	37558	F	S	0.00	05/11/77	B	0.100	B	0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HARTFORD	37557	D		0.10	05/11/77	B	0.050	N	0.300			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HAVEN	24096				09/19/74	B	0.070	N	0.400			M	EPC	AA
CT		NEW HAVEN, L. GALIARD	36549	F	S	0.00	02/28/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HAVEN, L. HAMONSETT	37530	F	S	0.00	04/11/77	B	0.020	N	0.100			N	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HAVEN, L. SALTESTALL	36548	F	S	0.00	02/28/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HAVEN, L. WHITNEY	36547	F	S	0.00	02/28/77	B	0.200	B	0.200	N	0.200	N	EPC	AB
CT		NEW HAVEN, SPILLWAY	36550	F	S	0.00	02/28/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		NEW LONDON, NLWD	37516	D		0.20	03/31/77	B	0.010	N	0.060	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW LONDON, NLWD	37515	F	S	0.00	03/31/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, BIRCH	37639	F	W	0.00	07/26/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.040	N	EPC	AB

(TYPE) F*FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R*RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, R=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, R=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 15

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		NEW MILFORD, CAMELOT	37624	F	W	0.00	07/18/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, CAMELOT	37625	D		0.50	07/18/77	N	0.100	B	0.900	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, CANDLEWOOD	36475	F	W	0.00	01/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, CANDLEWOOD	36476	D		0.40	01/24/77	B	0.010		0.090			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, DEAN HTS	37548	F	W	0.00	05/04/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, DEAN HTS	37549	D		0.10	05/04/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, LONE OAK	36477	D		0.10	01/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, LONE OAK	36478	F	W	0.00	01/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, SUNNY VALLEY	37622	F	W	0.00	07/18/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEW MILFORD, SUNNY VALLEY	37623	D		0.50	07/18/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWINGTON, MDC	36579	F		0.00	03/15/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWTOWN, CHESTNUT HILL #1	37545	F	W	0.00	05/04/77	B	0.010		1.400	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWTOWN, CHESTNUT HILL #3	37546	F	W	0.00	05/04/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWTOWN, CHESTNUT HILL #3	37547	D		0.50	05/04/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWTOWN, NWC	37509	F	S	0.00	03/30/77	B	0.300	B	0.300	B	0.300	M	EPC	AB
CT		NEWTOWN, NWC	37510	D		1.00	03/30/77	B	0.200	N	1.200	B	0.200	N	EPC	AB
CT		NORFOLK	37643	D		0.10	07/27/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORFOLK	37644	F	S	0.00	07/27/77	B	0.050		0.400	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH BRANFORD	37614	D		0.20	07/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH BRANFORD	37615	F	W	0.00	07/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH CANAAN	37641	F	S	0.00	07/27/77	B	0.090	B	0.090	B	0.090	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH CANAAN	37642	D		0.40	07/27/77	N	0.200		0.900	B	0.090	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH CANAAN	37652	D		0.50	08/09/77	B	0.030	N	0.100	B	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH HAVEN, S. GIANT #2	37526	F		0.00	04/06/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH HAVEN, S. GIANT #3	37527	F		0.00	04/06/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH STONINGTON	36447	D		1.70	12/28/76	B	0.010	N	0.060	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORTH STONINGTON	36446	F	W	0.00	12/28/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWALK, 1ST DIST.	36522	F	W	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.060	B	0.060	B	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWALK, 1ST DIST.	36521	F	S	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWALK, 1ST DIST.	36523	D		0.20	02/23/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWALK, 2ND DIST.	36524	F	S	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, HOSP.	36511	D		0.30	02/16/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, HOSP.	36510	F	W	0.00	02/16/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, NWD	36509	D		1.75	02/16/77	N	0.050		0.200	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, NWD	36508	F	S	0.00	02/16/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, OAKLAND HTS	36513	D		0.30	02/16/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, OAKLAND HTS	36512	F	W	0.00	02/16/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		NORWICH, TRASK	36546	D			02/28/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		OLD LYME, CHADWICH	36504	F	W	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		OLD LYME, CHADWICH	36505	D		0.60	02/23/77	B	0.060	N	0.300	B	0.060	M	EPC	AB
CT		OLD SAYBPOOK	36497	D		0.10	02/08/77	B	0.040	N	0.200			M	EPC	AB
CT		ORANGE, WINTERGREEN	37529	F		0.00	04/06/77	B	0.060	B	0.060			N	EPC	AB
CT		PLAINFIELD, ALDRICH HTS	36417	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		PLAINFIELD, HILLDALE	36578	F	W	0.00	03/10/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		PLAINFIELD, HILLDALE	36577	D		12.00	03/10/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		PLAINFIELD, PWC	36425	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		PLAINFIELD, PWC	36424	D		0.03	12/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB

57

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPONE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPONE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-27-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 16
BLANK MET LAB REF

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES	DATE	AMPHIBOLE	CHRYSOTILE	BLANK	MET	LAB	REF					
A-C	PIPE	COLLECTED	MFL	MFL	MFL	MFL	MFL								
CT		PLAINFIELD, TRASK	36545	F	W	0.00	B	0.010	N	0.010	M EPC	AB			
CT		PLAINVILLE, PWC	37621	D		1.00	07/13/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		PLAINVILLE, PWC	37620	F	W	0.00	07/31/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	R	0.050	M EPC	AB
CT		PLYMOUTH, CWC	36570	F	W	0.00	03/09/77	B	0.010		0.080			M EPC	AB
CT		PLYMOUTH, CWC	36571	D		0.30	03/09/77	B	0.010		0.100			M EPC	AB
CT		PORTLAND, PWW	36407	F	S	0.00	12/09/76	B	0.010	R	0.010	R	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		PORTLAND, PWW	36408	D		3.00	12/09/76	B	0.040	N	0.200	B	0.040	N EPC	AB
CT		PROSPECT, P. LAKE	37524	F	S	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.030		0.300	N	0.030	M EPC	AB
CT		PUTNAM	36576	F		0.00	03/10/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, R. KNOLLS	37505	F	W	0.00	03/29/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, R. KNOLLS	37506	D		0.40	03/29/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, R.W.S.C.	36527	D		0.50	02/23/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, R.W.S.C.	36528	F	S	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, SCODON-HEMLOCK	36457	F	W	0.00	01/12/77	N	0.100	B	0.020	B	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		RIDGEFIELD, SCODON-HEMLOCK	36458	D		0.20	01/12/77	B	0.020		0.100	B	0.020	M EPC	AB
CT		ROCKY HILL, MDC SEE E HARTFD	36579												
CT		SALISBURY, LAKEVILLE	37646	D		0.80	07/27/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	M EPC	AB
CT		SALISBURY, LAKEVILLE	37645	F	S	0.00	07/27/77	B	0.200	R	0.200	B	0.200	M EPC	AB
CT		SEYMOUR, SMC	37606	D		0.05	06/13/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	B	0.050	M EPC	AB
CT		SEYMOUR, SMC	37605	F	S	0.00	06/13/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	R	0.100	M EPC	AB
CT		SHARON	37648	D		0.60	07/29/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	M EPC	AB
CT		SHARON, SSWA	37647	F	S	0.00	07/29/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	N EPC	AB
CT		SHELTON, SEE BIRDGEPORT													
CT		SIMSBURY, TARRIFFVILLE	37535	D		0.50	04/12/77	B	0.010		0.100	B	0.010	N EPC	AB
CT		SIMSBURY, TARRIFFVILLE	37536	F	W	0.00	04/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		SIMSBURY, VILLAGE W.C.	37539	D		1.80	04/12/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	N EPC	AB
CT		SIMSBURY, VILLAGE W.C. #1	37538	F	W	0.00	04/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N EPC	AB
CT		SIMSBURY, VILLAGE W.C. #3	37537	F	W	0.00	04/12/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N EPC	AB
CT		SOMERS, CWC	37572	F	W	0.00	05/13/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N EPC	AB
CT		SOMERS, CWC	37571	D		0.70	05/13/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N EPC	AB
CT		SOMERS, LABUTIS	37599	D		0.50	06/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		SOMERS, LABUTIS	37598	F	W	0.00	06/02/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC	AB
CT		SOUTH WINDSOR, AVERY HTS	37595	D		0.30	05/26/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M EPC	AB
CT		SOUTH WINDSOR, AVERY HTS	37594	F	W	0.00	05/26/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	M EPC	AB
CT		SOUTH WINDSOR, BURHAM AC	37597	D		0.10	05/26/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTH WINDSOR, BURHAM AC	37596	F	W	0.00	05/26/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTH WINDSOR, ROCKVILLE	37564	D		0.40	05/13/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHURY, HERITAGE VILL.	36502	D		2.00	02/08/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHURY, HERITAGE VILL.	36503	F	W	0.00	02/08/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHURY, S. TRAINING SC.	36500	F	W	0.00	02/08/77	B	0.020	B	0.020			M EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHURY, S. TRAINING SC.	36501	D		1.20	02/08/77	B	0.010	B	0.010			M EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHINGTON, SWD #2	37626	F	W	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHINGTON, SWD #2	37627	D		0.02	07/20/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHINGTON, SWD #4	37628	F	W	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N EPC	AB
CT		SOUTHINGTON, SWD #4	37629	D		0.30	07/20/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	B	0.500	N EPC	AB
CT		SPRAGUE, BALTIC RES.	36432	F	S	0.00	12/20/76	B	0.100		1.800	B	0.100	M EPC	AB
CT		STAFFORD, CWC #2	37561	D		3.10	05/13/77	B	0.200	B	0.200	N	0.200	N EPC	AB

58

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 17

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
CT		STAFFORD, CWC #2	37560	D		2.80	05/13/77	B	0.500	B	0.500	N	EPC	AB		
CT		STAFFORD, CWC #2	37559	F	S	0.00	05/13/77	B	0.200	N	0.800	N	EPC	AB		
CT		STAMFORD, SWC (REC)	36517	F	S	0.00	02/22/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		STAMFORD, SWC (REC)	36518	D		0.10	02/22/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		STONINGTON, MYSTIC VALLEY	36464	F	S	0.00	01/19/77	B	0.200	N	1.000	N	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		STONINGTON, MYSTIC VALLEY	36466	D		0.10	01/19/77	B	0.200	N	0.050	N	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		STONINGTON, MYSTIC VALLEY	36465	D		0.10	01/19/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	N	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		STONINGTON, SECWA	36445	D		0.20	12/28/76	B	0.020	N	0.100	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		STONINGTON, SECWA	36444	F	W	0.00	12/28/76	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			08/06/75	B	0.300	B	0.300			M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			08/06/75	B	0.300	B	0.300			M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			08/07/75		0.400					M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			08/08/75				5.700			M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			10/20/75	B	0.400	B	0.200			M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, ASBESTOS TEXTILE CO		D			10/21/75	B	0.200	B	0.400			M	MCC	AD
CT		STRATFORD, BRIDGEPORT HYD.	37502	F	S	0.00	03/29/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		SUFFIELD, CWC-ND	37568	D		2.60	05/13/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		THOMASTON	36569	F		0.00	03/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		THOMASTON	37634	F	S	0.00	07/22/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		THOMPSON, TWC #1	36429	D		0.50	12/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.060	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		THOMPSON, TWC #1	36428	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.060	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		THOMPSON, TWC #3	36426	F	W	0.00	12/14/76	B	0.200	B	0.200	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, BAXTER FARMS	36597	F	W	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.200	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, BAXTER FARMS	36598	D		0.10	03/21/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, COUNTRY HILLS	36586	F	W	0.00	03/17/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, COUNTRY HILLS	36588	D		0.50	03/17/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, COUNTRY HILLS	36587	F	W	0.00	03/17/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, HERITAGE WOOD	36594	D		0.50	03/21/77	B	0.300	B	0.300	B	0.300	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, HERITAGE WOOD	36593	F	W	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, SUGAR HILL	36590	D		0.20	03/21/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, SUGAR HILL	36589	F	W	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, SUMMIT	36592	D		0.50	03/21/77	B	0.010		0.100	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, SUMMIT	36591	F	W	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.100	B	0.100	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, WOODLAND	36596	D		0.40	03/21/77	B	0.040	B	0.040	N	0.100	M	EPC	AB
CT		TOLLAND, WOODLAND	36595	F	W	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.040	B	0.040	B	0.040	M	EPC	AB
CT		TORRINGTON, TWC	36487	D		2.50	01/31/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		TORRINGTON, TWC	36486	F	S	0.00	01/31/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		TRUMBULL, SEE BRIDGEPORT												EPC	AB	
CT		VERNON, ROCKVILLE	37565	D		3.70	05/13/77	B	0.010	N	0.080	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		VERNON, ROCKVILLE	37592	D		0.50	05/25/77	B	0.010		0.090	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		VERNON, ROCKVILLE	37592	D		0.50	05/25/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		VERNON, TOLLCOTVILLE	37601	D		0.30	06/06/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		VERNON, W.C.	37602	F	W	0.00	06/07/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		VERNON, W.C.	37603	D		0.80	06/07/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		W. HARTFORD	36401	F	S	0.00	12/08/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		W. HARTFORD	36402	D		0.25	12/08/76	B	0.040	N	0.200	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		WALLINGFORD	36483	F	W	0.00	01/28/77	B	0.010	N	0.050			M	EPC	AB

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DPOP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
 UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 18

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF					
CT		WALLINGFORD	36484	D	1.30	01/28/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	M	EPC	AB		
CT		WASHINGTON, BRYAN MEM	37585	D	1.00	05/19/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		WASHINGTON, BRYAN MEM	37586	F	0.00	05/19/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		WASHINGTON, BRYAN MEM	37585	D	1.00	05/19/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		WASHINGTON, JUDEA	37584	F	0.00	05/19/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		WASHINGTON, JUDEA	37583	D	0.06	05/19/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.020	N	EPC	AB
CT		WATERBURY, MORRIS RES.	37633	F	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.200	B	0.200			M	EPC	AB
CT		WATERBURY, WIGWAM RES.	37634	F	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.100	B	0.100			M	EPC	AB
CT		WATERFORD	37604	F	0.00	06/09/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	EPC	AB
CT		WATERTOWN	37632	F	0.00	07/20/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	B	0.100	N	EPC	AB
CT		WEST HAVEN, MALTLY	37604	F	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.040	N	0.200			M	EPC	AB
CT		WESTBROOK	36496	D	0.30	01/28/77	B	0.040	N	0.200			N	EPC	AB
CT		WESTPORT, BRIDGEPORT HYD.	37588	D	0.40	05/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		WESTPORT, BRIDGEPORT HYD.	37587	F	0.00	05/24/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	EPC	AB
CT		WETHERSFIELD, SEE E HARTFORD												EPC	AB
CT		WILMINGTON	37600	D	?	06/02/77	B	0.020	N	0.100	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
CT		WINCHESTER	36485	F	0.00	01/31/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
CT		WINDHAM, WILLIAMANTIC	36435	F	0.00	12/22/76	B	0.050	B	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		WINDHAM, WILLIAMANTIC	36436	D	0.30	12/22/76	B	0.050	B	0.050			N	EPC	AB
CT		WINSOR LOCKS, CWC-ND	37569	F	0.50	05/13/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		WINSOR LOCKS, CWC-ND	37570	D	0.50	05/13/77	B	0.010	N	0.500	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		WOODBURIDGE, L. GLENN	37521	F	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.030	M	EPC	AB
CT		WOODBURIDGE, WALTROS	37522	F	0.00	04/06/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
CT		WOODBURY, WWC #1	37636	D	0.50	07/26/77	B	0.020	N	0.100	N	0.040	N	EPC	AB
CT		WOODBURY, WWC #1	37638	F	0.00	07/26/77	B	0.020	N	0.060	N	0.040	N	EPC	AB
CT		WOODBURY, WWC #1	37637	D	0.50	07/26/77	B	0.020	B	0.020	N	0.040	M	EPC	AB
DC		DALE-CARLIA TRT. PLANT 12 HR	26376	F	0.00	09/22/76	B	0.020		0.300			M	EPC	AB
DC		DALE-CARLIA TRT. PLANT 12 HR	26376	F	0.00	09/22/76	B	0.030		0.200			N	EPC	AB
DC		WASHINGTON	17097	D		02/00/75	B	0.040	N	0.200			M	EPC	AA
DE		WILMINGTON	22904			10/36/74	B	0.050		0.300			M	EPC	AA
FL		RONITA SPRINGS	37904	F	0.00	03/23/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
FL		CAPE CORAL	37496	F	0.00	02/23/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
FL		FORT LAUDERDALE	13947	F	0.00	10/03/74	B	0.020	N	0.070			M	EPC	AA
FL		FORT MEYERS, FLA. CITIES CO.	37902	F	0.00	03/22/77	B	0.030	B	0.030	N	0.060	M	EPC	AB
FL		FORT MEYERS, FT. MEYERS W.D.	37488	F	0.00	03/16/77	B	0.010		0.200	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
FL		FORT MEYERS, LEE CO.	37490	F	0.00	03/16/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
FL		FORT MEYERS, PINE ISL.	37494	F	0.00	03/21/77	B	0.010		0.050	N	0.010	M	EPC	AB
FL		LAKELAND, COMBEE	39752	D		03/09/78	N	0.200	N	0.200			N	EPC	AB
FL		LAKELAND, L. MIRIAM DR.	39751	D		03/09/78	N	0.030		4.900			N	EPC	AB
FL		LAKELAND, L. MIRIAM DR.	39764	D		05/09/78	N	0.100		7.400			N	EPC	AB
FL		LAKELAND, L. MIRIAM DR.	39767	D		05/09/78	N	0.300		2.500			N	EPC	AB

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW F=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF			
FL	LAKELAND,	LAKELAND HTS	39763	D	W	05/09/78	N	0.100	5.000	N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	LUCE	39766	D	W	05/09/78	N	0.300	16.700	N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	PARLE	39747	D	W	03/09/78	B	0.030	N	0.200 N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	PHILLIPS	39768	D	W	05/09/78	N	0.600	5.600	N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	PIPHIN	39748	D	W	03/09/78	B	0.030	N	0.200 N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	SP540	39750	D	W	03/09/78	N	0.100	0.200	N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	SP540	39765	D	W	05/09/78	B	0.020	0.200	N EPC AB			
FL	LAKELAND,	WELL 37	39749	F	W	0.00	03/09/78	B	0.500	B	0.500 N EPC AB		
FL	LEHIGH	ACRFS	37906	F	W	0.00	03/23/77	B	0.050	N	0.250 N	0.010	M EPC AB
FL	MELROURNE		21621	F		0.00	12/23/74	B	0.020	N	0.070	M EPC AA	
FL	MIAMI		31157	F		0.00	01/20/75	B	0.040	B	0.040	M EPC AA	
FL	PENSACOLA		39754	D	W	01/29/79	N	0.050	0.700	N	0.020	N EPC AB	
FL	PENSACOLA,	BLOUNT	39716	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC		D	W	0.30	01/17/75	0.070	1.700	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC	26109	D	W	0.30	02/21/75	N	0.300	32.700	M EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC		D	W	0.30	03/26/75	N	1.740	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC		D	W	0.30	05/09/75	B	1.230	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC	32908	D	W	0.30	07/07/75	N	0.060	0.700	M EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC		D	W	0.30	09/05/75	N	0.200	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC		D	W	0.30	12/04/75	B	0.420	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC	39723	D	W	12/08/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	CHANTILLY, NC	39753	D	W	0.30	01/29/79	0.120	0.740	N	0.020	N EPC AB	
FL	PENSACOLA,	COULTER	39727	D	W	12/08/77	B	0.030	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	DORSAY	39721	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. LAKEVIEW	39717	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. OLIVE	39726	D	W	12/08/77	B	0.030	0.200	N EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE		D	W	2.20	01/17/75	0.200	1.200	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE	26111	D	W	2.20	02/21/75	B	0.020	0.400	M EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE		D	W	2.20	03/26/75	B	0.300	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE		D	W	2.20	05/09/75	B	0.700	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE	32910	D	W	2.20	07/07/75	B	0.010	0.100	M EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE		D	W	2.20	09/05/75	B	B	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE		D	W	2.20	12/04/75	B	B	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	E. SHORE	39724	D	W	12/08/77	N	0.200	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	LAKEWOOD	39730	D	W	12/08/77	N	0.200	N	0.200	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	LILLIAN HY	35454	D	W	08/10/76	N	0.100	0.400	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	LONGLEAF	40615	D	W	04/14/76	N	0.100	3.200	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	LONGLEAF	35457	D	W	08/10/76	N	1.000	10.700	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR		F	W	0.00	01/17/75	B	0.200	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR	26110	F	W	0.00	02/21/75	B	0.010	N	0.060	M EPC AB	
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR		F	W	0.00	03/26/75	B	N	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR		F	W	0.00	05/09/75	B	N	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR	32909	F	W	0.00	07/07/75	B	0.010	N	0.060	M EPC AB	
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR		F	W	0.00	09/05/75	B	N	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	MONTCLAIR		F	W	0.00	12/04/75	B	B	M EPC AB			
FL	PENSACOLA,	N. PICKENS	39718	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	N EPC AB		
FL	PENSACOLA,	N.W. SUNSET	39729	D	W	12/08/77	B	0.020	N	0.100	N EPC AB		

61

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPOME JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPOME FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKLEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 20

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF						
FL		PENSACOLA, OLIVE RD.	40616	D	W	04/14/76	B	0.010	N	0.050	M	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, OLIVE RD.	35455	D	W	08/10/76	B	0.020		0.100	M	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, PEN. BLVD.	40617	D	W	04/14/76	N	0.100		0.700	M	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, PEN. BLVD.	35456	D	W	08/10/76		0.500		4.700	M	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, POINCIANA	39728	D	W	12/08/77	B	0.020	N	0.100	N	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, S. MADISON	39722	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.050	N	0.200	N	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, S.K.	39719	D	W	12/07/77	R	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, W. GONZALEZ	39720	D	W	12/07/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	EPC	AB			
FL		PENSACOLA, WOODLAND	39725	D	W	12/08/77	N	0.200	N	0.200	N	EPC	AB			
FL		SANIBEL ISLAND	37492	F	W	0.00	03/26/77	B	0.050	B	0.050	N	0.050	M	EPC	AB
GA		ATLANTA				04/03/75				12.000	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA				04/03/75				11.000	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA		R		04/03/75				8.400	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA				11/28/75	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA		R		11/28/75	B	0.500	B	0.500	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA		R		03/00/76				36.000	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA				03/00/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	MCC	AC			
GA		ATLANTA				08/00/76	B	0.200	N	0.200	N	EPD	AS			
GA		ATLANTA, 12 HR	21658			10/13/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB			
GA		AUGUSTA, CITY	37480	F	S	0.00	03/09/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
GA		AUGUSTA, RICHMOND CO.	37486	F	W	0.00	11/07/77	B	0.020	B	0.300	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB
GA		SAVANNAH	21627			04/29/75	B	0.060	B	0.060	M	EPC	AB			
GA		SAVANNAH	16145	D		? 11/15/76	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB			
GA		SAVANNAH, WELL 13	16144	R		11/15/76	B	0.020	B	0.020	M	EPC	AB			
GA		SKIDAWAY ISLAND								B	0.030	M	EPC	AA		
GA		SKIDAWAY ISLAND								B	0.740	M	EPC	AA		
GA		SKIDAWAY ISLAND	16143	F		0.00	11/15/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB		
IA		CORRALVILLE	37366	F	W	0.00	12/07/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
IA		IOWA CITY	37364	F	S	0.00	12/07/77	B	0.010	B	0.010	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
ID		CALDWELL 5 WELLS	37877	F	W	0.00	01/24/77	B	0.100	N	0.500	B	0.100	M	EPC	AB
ID		CALDWELL, WELL 7	37878	F	W	0.00	01/24/77	B	0.030	B	0.030			M	EPC	AB
ID		NAMPA WELL #4	37872	F	W	0.00	01/21/77	B	0.050	M	0.100	B	0.050	M	EPC	AB
ID		NAMPA, WELLS 1,2	37871	F	W	0.00	01/22/77	B	0.010	N	0.050	B	0.010	M	EPC	AB
IL		CAIRO	12776			07/00/74	B	0.020	N	0.070				M	EPC	AA
IL		CHAMPAIGN, EAST	37349	F	W	0.00	11/17/76	B	0.020	N	0.100			M	EPC	AB
IL		CHAMPAIGN, WEST	37350	F	W	0.00	11/17/76	B	0.020	N	0.100			M	EPC	AB
IL		CHICAGO				03/27/75	B	0.250	B	0.250	M	MCC	AC			
IL		CHICAGO				03/27/75	B	0.200	B	0.200	M	MCC	AC			
IL		CHICAGO, BANNOCKBURN				02/17/75			N	0.200	M	UIL	AJ			

62

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATF CITY

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
IL		CHICAGO, BANNOCKBURN	D	S	0.20	02/17/75		0.200	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BLUF ISLAND	F	S	0.00	01/28/75		0.800	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BLUE ISLAND	D	S	0.60	01/28/75		0.700	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BRADLEY RD.	F	S	0.00	02/17/75		0.200	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BRADLEY RD.	D	S	0.20	02/17/75		0.400	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BROOKFIELD	F	S	0.00	04/10/75		0.500	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BROOKFIELD	D	S	7.60	04/10/75		0.500	M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, BROOKFIELD	F	S	0.00	06/16/75		0.200	M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	07/00/74		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	08/00/74		0.080	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	09/00/74		0.020	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	10/00/74		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	11/00/74		0.300	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	12/00/74		0.600	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	01/00/75		0.400	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	02/00/75		0.400	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	03/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F			03/03/75		0.400	M EPC	AB
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F			03/03/75		0.300	M CMC	AB
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	D		?	03/03/75		0.300	M CMC	AB
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	D		?	03/03/75	N	0.070	M EPC	AB
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	04/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	05/00/75		0.300	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	06/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	07/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	08/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	09/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	10/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	11/00/75		0.200	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY	F		0.00	12/00/75		0.300	M CMC	AO
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	01/00/76		1.300	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	01/00/76		0.300	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	02/00/76		0.200	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	02/00/76		2.300	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	03/00/76		1.700	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	03/00/76		0.200	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	04/00/76		0.200	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	04/00/76		1.700	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	05/00/76		0.100	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	05/00/76		1.200	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	06/00/76		0.500	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	06/00/76		0.070	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	07/00/76		0.200	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	07/00/76		2.000	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	08/00/76		1.500	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	08/00/76		0.080	M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	09/00/76		1.100	M CMC	AT

63

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, R=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DRUP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI FPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKFLEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATF CITY

PAGE 22

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	09/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	10/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	10/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	11/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	11/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	12/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	12/00/76			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	01/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	01/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	02/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	02/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	03/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	03/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	04/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	04/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	05/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	05/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	06/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	06/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	07/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	07/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	08/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	08/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	09/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	09/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	10/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	10/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	11/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	11/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	12/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	12/00/77			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	01/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	01/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	02/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	02/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	03/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	03/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	04/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	04/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	05/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	05/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	06/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	06/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	07/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	07/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	R	S	0.00	08/00/78			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT	F	S	0.00	08/00/78			M CMC	AT

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 23

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MEI	LAB	REF
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		F S 0.00 09/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		R S 0.00 09/00/78		0.800			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		R S 0.00 10/00/78		1.400			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		F S 0.00 10/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		F S 0.00 11/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		R S 0.00 11/00/78		1.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		R S 0.00 12/00/78		2.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, JARDINE PLT		F S 0.00 12/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 01/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 01/00/76		2.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 02/00/76		1.600			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 02/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 03/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 03/00/76		1.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 04/00/76		1.600			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 04/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 05/00/76		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 05/00/76		1.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 06/00/76		0.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 06/00/76		0.070			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 07/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 07/00/76		1.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 08/00/76		0.900			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 08/00/76		0.090			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 09/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 09/00/76		1.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 10/00/76		1.600			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 10/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 11/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 11/00/76		3.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 12/00/76		2.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 12/00/76		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 01/00/77		1.400			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 01/00/77		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 02/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 02/00/77		1.500			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 03/00/77		1.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 03/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 04/00/77		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 04/00/77		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 05/00/77		1.800			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 05/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 06/00/77		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 06/00/77		0.900			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 07/00/77		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 07/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 08/00/77		0.100			M CMC	AT

65

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICIALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPOME JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPOME FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=LPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MC CRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, HSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 24

66

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	NET MFL	LAB	REF
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 08/00/77		1.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 09/00/77		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 09/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 10/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 10/00/77		0.900			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 11/00/77		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 11/00/77		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 12/00/77		0.300			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 12/00/77		1.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 01/00/78		1.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 02/00/78		1.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 02/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 03/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 03/00/78		1.400			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 04/00/78		1.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 04/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 05/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 05/00/78		1.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 06/00/78		0.800			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 06/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 07/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 07/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 07/00/78		0.900			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 08/00/78		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 08/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 09/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 09/00/78		1.900			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 10/00/78		0.800			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 10/00/78		0.100			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 11/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 11/00/78		1.700			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		R S 0.00 12/00/78		1.000			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, CITY, SOUTH PLT		F S 0.00 12/00/78		0.200			M CMC	AT
IL		CHICAGO, GLENVIEW		F S 0.00 02/17/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, GLENVIEW		D S 0.30 02/17/75					M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, HIGHLAND PARK		F S 0.00 02/17/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, HIGHLAND PARK		D S 0.05 02/17/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, HIGHLAND PARK		F S 0.00 06/00/75		B 0.040			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, HOFFMAN ESTATES		F W 0.00 04/09/75					M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, HOFFMAN ESTATES		D W 0.70 04/09/75		B 0.030			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, HOFFMAN ESTATES		F W 0.00 06/12/75		B 0.040			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, LISLE		F W 0.00 02/04/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, LISLE		D W 0.60 02/04/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, LISLE		F W 0.00 06/16/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, MIDLOTHIAN		F S 0.00 01/28/75					M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, MIDLOTHIAN		D S 0.30 01/28/75					M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, MIDLOTHIAN		F S 0.00 06/12/75		B 0.040			M UIL	AU

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=PAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=FPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYBOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
IL		CHICAGO, ROLLING MEADOWS	0.80	04/09/75		B 0.030			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, ROLLING MEADOWS	0.00	04/09/75		0.300			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, ROLLING MEADOWS	0.00	06/12/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, WAUKEGAN	0.00	05/01/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, WAUKEGAN	0.10	05/01/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, WAUKEGAN	0.00	06/00/75		0.300			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, WESTMONT	0.00	01/24/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, WESTMONT	0.04	01/24/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, WESTMONT	0.00	06/16/75		0.400			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, YORK CENTER	0.20	04/28/75		0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, YORK CENTER	0.00	04/28/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, YORK CENTER	0.00	06/16/76		N 0.200			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, ZION	0.00	05/12/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, ZION	0.20	05/12/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, ZION	0.00	06/00/75		N 0.200			M UIL	AU
IL		CHICAGO, ZION-BENTON	0.00	05/13/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AJ
IL		CHICAGO, ZION-BENTON	1.90	05/13/75		N 0.500			M UIL	AJ
IL		KANKAKEE, ASBESTUS TILE CO.	0.00	07/01/75	B 0.300	B 0.300			M MCC	AD
IL		RANTOUL	37335	11/17/76	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AB
IN		ELKHART	37313	11/10/77	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
IN		FT. WAYNE	32321	01/23/79	B 0.020	B 0.020	B 0.020		N EPC	AB
IN		GUSHEN	37342	11/10/77	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
IN		INDIANAPOLIS	24187	09/19/74	B 0.040	B 0.200			M EPC	AA
IN		LAKE MICHIGAN, NORTH-WEST IN.		08/00/76	B 0.630	B 0.630			M MCC	AZ
KS		HUTCHINSON, WELL #1	37368	12/09/76	B 0.020	B 0.020	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
KS		HUTCHINSON, WELL #2	37367	12/09/76	B 0.020	B 0.020	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
KS		JOHNSON COUNTY		09/17/75	B 0.500	B 0.500			M MCC	AC
KS		JOHNSON COUNTY		09/17/75	B 2.100	B 2.100			M MCC	AC
KS		KANSAS CITY	30898	03/28/75	B 0.020	N 0.100			M EPC	AB
KS		KANSAS CITY		09/17/75	B 2.100	B 2.100			M MCC	AC
KS		KANSAS CITY		09/17/75	B 0.600	B 0.600			M MCC	AC
KS		SOUTH HUTCHINSON	37375	12/09/76	B 0.010	N 0.060	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
KS		TOPEKA	11106	11/14/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA
KY		ASHLAND	12923	08/28/74	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AA
KY		COVINGTON, LICKING PLANT	38149	08/16/77	B 0.050	B 0.050	B 0.050		M EPC	AB
KY		COVINGTON, OHIO PLANT	38148	08/16/77	B 0.050	B 0.050	B 0.050		M EPC	AB
KY		DANVILLE	1618	12/00/77		74.000			N EPG	AR
KY		DANVILLE	5422	12/00/77		15.000			N EPG	AR
KY		DANVILLE	5421	12/00/77	0.00	12.000			N EPG	AR
KY		DANVILLE	5420	12/00/77	0.00	106.000			N EPG	AR
KY		DANVILLE ST. HOSPITAL	5423	12/00/77	0.00	7.000			N EPG	AR

67

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD;) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 26

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES	DATE	AMPHIBOLE	CHRYSOTILE	BLANK	MET	LAB	REF				
A-C			PIPE	COLLECTED	MFL	MFL	MFL							
KY	DANVILLE ST, HOSPITAL		5424	F	0.00	12/00/77			N EPG	AR				
KY	FRANKFORT		5429	R	0.00	12/00/77			N EPG	AR				
KY	FRANKFORT		39780	D		06/29/78	B	0.050	B	0.050	N EPC	AB		
KY	FRANKFORT		39780F	D		06/29/78	B	0.050	B	0.050	N EPC	AB		
KY	HARRODSBURG		5426	F	0.00	12/00/77				6.000	N EPG	AR		
KY	HARRODSBURG		5425	R	0.00	12/00/77				18.000	N EPG	AR		
KY	HERRINGTON LAKE		5418	R	0.00	12/00/77				18.000	N EPG	AR		
KY	IRVING		39782	D		06/27/78	B	0.050	N	0.200	N EPC	AB		
KY	KY DAM VILLAGE, A/C		KDV	D		07/07/78		3.700		44.800	N EPC	AB		
KY	LEXINGTON		39781	D		06/29/78	B	0.050	B	0.050	N EPC	AB		
KY	LOUISVILLE		39779	D		06/29/78	B	0.050	N	0.200	N EPC	AB		
KY	LUDLOW, CISTERN		16138	D	0.00	10/07/76	B	0.050	N	0.150	N	0.100	N EPC	AB
KY	MURRAY		M2	D		07/07/78	B	0.500	N	1.200	N EPC	AB		
KY	MURRAY		M1	F		07/07/78	B	0.500	B	0.500	N EPC	AB		
KY	NICHOLASVILLE		5427	R	0.00	12/00/77				3.000	N EPG	AR		
KY	NORTH MARSHALL		NM1	F		07/07/78	B	0.100	N	0.500	N EPC	AB		
KY	OHIO RIVER, WESTERN KY.			R	0.00	00/00/76	B	0.120	B	0.120	M MCC	AZ		
KY	TAYLORSVILLE		5428	R	0.00	12/00/77				15.000	N EPG	AR		
LA	NEW ORLEANS		22392	F	0.00	04/01/75	B	0.070	N	0.400	M EPC	AB		
LA	NEW ORLEANS, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		03/25/75	B	1.100	B	1.100	M MCC	AD		
LA	NEW ORLEANS, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		03/26/75				0.880	M MCC	AD		
LA	NEW ORLEANS, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		03/27/75	B	1.300	B	1.300	M MCC	AD		
LA	NEW ORLEANS, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		12/04/75	B	0.500	B	0.500	M MCC	AD		
LA	NEW ORLEANS, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		12/05/75				>9999.999	M MCC	AD		
MA	AMHERST, ATKINS		25022	R	0.00	06/27/75	B	0.020	N	0.100	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, ATKINS		25082	R	0.00	09/12/75	B	0.050	N	0.200	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, ATKINS		35452	F	0.00	06/25/76	B	0.030	B	0.030	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, DEAD END, A/C		35458	D	1.50	07/28/76		9.600		190.000	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, GOLF COURSE, A/C		35459	D	0.90	07/28/76	N	0.100	N	0.100	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, N. E. ST., A/C		25021	D	2.20	06/27/75	B	0.020		0.120	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, N. E. ST., A/C		25083	D	2.20	09/12/75	B	0.020		0.200	M EPC	AB		
MA	AMHERST, N. E. ST., A/C		35453	D	2.20	06/25/76	B	0.020		0.100	M EPC	AB		
MA	BILLERICA, A/C SHEET PLANT			D		07/24/75	B	0.200	B	0.200	M MCC	AC		
MA	BOSTON		25094			06/06/76	B	0.050	B	0.050	M EPC	AB		
MA	BOSTON		25094			06/06/76	B	0.050	B	0.050	M EPC	AB		
MA	BOSTON		25094			06/16/76	B	0.010	B	0.010	M EPC	AB		
MA	BOSTON, NEWTON					03/00/76	B	0.100	B	0.100	M MCC	AC		
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGA					08/05/76	B	0.600	N	0.600	N EPD			
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGO RES.		25090	F	0.00	08/05/76	B	0.030	B	0.030	M EPC	AB		
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.					07/25/75				4.400	M MCC	AC		
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.					07/25/75		1.400			M MCC	AC		
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.			R		07/25/75	B	0.300	B	0.300	M MCC	AC		
MA	BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.			R		07/25/75				6.700	M MCC	AC		

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UII=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=

DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MS8=MT.

SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH

UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 27

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	R&F
MA		BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.		10/17/75		7,500		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.		10/17/75		8,100		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.		10/17/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.		10/17/75		10,000		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, NORUMBEGO STA.		03/00/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, QUABBIN RES.		03/00/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
MA		BOSTON, WACHUSETT RES.		03/00/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	35898	07/28/77	B 0.010	503,000		M	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36705	06/07/78	N 0.500	B 0.100		N	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36706	06/07/78	N 0.500	7,400		M	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36708	06/07/78	1.750	2,400		N	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36707	06/07/78	N	0,800		N	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36705	06/07/78	N	B		N	EPC	AB
MA		CHICOPEE, WESTOVER AFB	36748	08/08/78	N 2.500	2,500		N	EPC	AB
MA		SPRINGFIELD	16532	01/00/75	N 0.060	0,300		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, ASHBURTON	26324	04/05/76	B 0.010	0,500		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, ASHBURTON	45586	11/07/75	B 0.030	N 0,100		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, ASHBURTON	45587	11/07/75	B 0.030	0,100		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, FREEDOM DIST.	26326	04/05/76	B 0.020	N 0,800		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, LIBERTY RES.	45588	11/07/75	B 0.030	N 0,100		M	EPC	AB
MD		BALTIMORE, PATAPSCO R.	45589	11/07/75	B 0.070	N 0,400		M	EPC	AB
MD		POTOMAC, 12 HR.	26400	09/22/76	B 0.020	B 0,020		M	EPC	AB
MD		POTOMAC, 12 HR.	26400	09/22/76	B 0.030	B 0,200		N	EPC	AB
MD		ROCKVILLE, 12 HR.	26374	09/22/76	B 0.020	N 0,100		M	EPC	AB
MD		ROCKVILLE, 12 HR.	26374	09/22/76	B 0.020	N 0,200		N	EPC	AB
MD		SWANSON'S CREEK			B 1.880	B 1,880		M	MCC	AZ
ME		PORTLAND	32333	02/09/79	B 0.050	N 0,050	B 0,020	N	EPC	AB
ME		PORTLAND	32332	02/09/79	B 0.050	N 0,150	B 0,020	N	EPC	AB
ME		PORTLAND	32331	02/09/79	B 0.200	B 0,200	B 0,020	N	EPC	AB
MI		BAY CITY				1,200		L	DOW	AE
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		08/22/73	B 0.00			C	ORF	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		09/14/73	0.170			C	ORF	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		09/16/73	0.420			M	MCC	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		09/19/73	0.180			C	ORF	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		10/03/73	0.080			M	MCC	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		10/10/73	B			C	ORF	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		10/17/73	B			M	MCC	AX
MI		EAGLE HARBOR, L. SUPERIOR		10/24/73	0.160			N	MCC	AX
MI		IRON RIVER		04/08/77	B 0.500	4,000		N	EPD	
MI		MARQUETTE, L. SUPERIOR		08/22/73	0.190			C	ORF	AX
MI		MARQUETTE, L. SUPERIOR		10/03/73	B			M	MCC	AX

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

69

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 28

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
MI	R	S	0.00	10/10/73	0.270				C ORF	AX
MI	R	S	0.00	10/17/73	0.170				M MCC	AX
MI	D		?			0.600			L DOW	AE
MI	R	S	0.00	08/22/73	0.700				C ORF	AX
MI	R	S	0.00	09/19/73 B					C ORF	AX
MI	R	S	0.00	10/03/73	0.480				M MCC	AX
MI	R	S	0.00	10/10/73 B					C ORF	AX
MI	R	S	0.00	10/17/73 B					M MCC	AX
MN	F		0.00	07/00/73	5,300				M MCC	AL
MN	F			07/00/73	3,000				B UCB	AL
MN	D		?	08/28/73	61,000				N MSS	AN
MN	D		?	08/28/73	31,000				N MSS	AN
MN	D		?	08/28/73	92,000				N MSS	AN
MN	D		?	08/28/73	77,000				N MSS	AN
MN	R	S	0.00	08/22/73	8,500				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	08/29/73	5,100				C ORF	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	09/14/73	0.170				C ORF	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/03/73	1,200				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/10/73	2,400				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/17/73	6,600				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/24/73	0,650				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	11/14/73	59,000				M MCC	AX
MN	R			03/21/74 N	2,000				N WIS	AF
MN	R	S			4,800		0.400		M EPC	AA
MN	D	S			35,000				L DOW	AE
MN	D	S			1,100				M EPC	AA
MN	D	S			10,000				L DOW	AE
MN	R	S	0.00	08/22/73	4,600				M MCC	AX
MN	D	S	?	08/28/73	14,000				N MSS	AN
MN	D	S	?	08/28/73	15,000				N MSS	AN
MN	R	S	0.00	09/26/73	2,200				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/03/73	1,100				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	10/24/73	1,900				M MCC	AX
MN	R	S	0.00	11/14/73	0,100				M MCC	AX
MN	F	S		04/00/74	8,000				B UCB	AL
MN	D	S		06/05/74	16,000				M DOW	
MN	D	S		06/07/74	46,000				M DOW	
MN	R	S	0.00	06/13/74	33,250				N UMD	AY
MN	F	S	0.00	06/17/74	10,640				N UMD	AY
MN	R	S	0.00	06/24/74	6,030				N UMD	AY
MN	R	S	0.00	06/28/74	5,540				N UMD	AY
MN	F	S		07/00/74	10,000				B UCB	AL
MN	R	S	0.00	07/03/74	2,990				N UMD	AY
MN	R	S	0.00	07/19/74	266,000				N UMD	AY
MN	R	S	0.00	07/23/74	26,000				N UMD	AY

70

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAL HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	07/30/74	26,600		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	07/35/74	60,500		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/01/74	30,000		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/06/74	10,200		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/08/74	15,100		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/13/74	20,000		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/15/74	8,980		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/20/74	25,300		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/22/74	13,600		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/23/74	17,000		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/28/74	10,400		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	08/30/74	17,800		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/04/74	30,300		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/06/74	13,600		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/09/74	15,400		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/11/74	13,000		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/13/74	30,000		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/16/74	20,300		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/17/74	12,800		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/19/74	13,800		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	R	S	0.00	09/20/74	19,100		N	UMD	AY	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	01/11/75	220,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	01/25/75	502,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	02/14/75	45,000		N	MSS	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	02/14/75	125,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	03/25/75	644,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	03/25/75	300,000		N	MSS	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	04/29/75	110,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH	D	S	?	07/14/75	82,000		N	EPD	AN	
MN	DULUTH		S		05/03/76	140,000	B		N	EPC	AB
MN	DULUTH		S		05/03/76	120,000	B		M	EPC	AB
MN	DULUTH	D	S		05/26/76	222,000	B	2,000	N	EPD	AS
MN	DULUTH	D	S		05/26/76	216,000	N	5,000	N	EPD	AS
MN	DULUTH	D	S		05/26/76	230,000	B	2,000	N	EPD	AS
MN	DULUTH	D	S		05/26/76	216,000	N	2,000	N	EPD	AS
MN	DULUTH	D	S		05/26/76	233,000	N	2,000	N	EPD	AS
MN	DULUTH, DIST. SYS. 1	D	S		07/00/73	5,000			B	UCB	AL
MN	DULUTH, DIST. SYS. 1	D	S		07/00/73	2,800			M	MCC	AL
MN	DULUTH, DIST. SYS. 2	D	S		07/00/73	2,000			B	UCB	AL
MN	DULUTH, DIST. SYS. 3	D	S		07/00/73	1,000			B	UCB	AL
MN	DULUTH, DIST. SYS. 4	D	S		07/00/73				B	UCB	AL
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	16	F	S	0.00	01/01/77			N	UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	2	F	S	0.00	01/11/77			N	UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	3	F	S	0.00	01/13/77			N	UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	4	F	S	0.00	01/18/77	B		N	UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	5	F	S	0.00	01/18/77	B		N	UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT	6	F	S	0.00	01/20/77			N	UMD	AP

71

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPRE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPRE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCORNF ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMJ=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 30

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		8	F S	0.00	01/21/77	0.300		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		9	F S	0.00	01/22/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		10	F S	0.00	01/23/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		11	F S	0.00	01/24/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		12	F S	0.00	01/25/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		13	F S	0.00	01/26/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		14	F S	0.00	01/27/77	0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		19	F S	0.00	01/28/77	0.100		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		20	F S	0.00	01/29/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		21	F S	0.00	01/30/77	B 0.200		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		23	F S	0.00	02/01/77	0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		30	F S	0.00	02/03/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		34	F S	0.00	02/05/77	0.100		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		45	F S	0.00	02/12/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		53	F S	0.00	02/17/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		56	F S	0.00	02/19/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		65	F S	0.00	03/02/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		68	F S	0.00	03/09/77	0.100		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		101	F S	0.00	03/21/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		110	F S	0.00	04/04/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		112	F S	0.00	04/11/77	B 0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		115	F S	0.00	04/18/77	0.090		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		117	F S	0.00	04/25/77	0.040		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		119	F S	0.00	05/02/77	0.030		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		122	F S	0.00	05/10/77	0.050		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		123	F S	0.00	05/16/77	0.070		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		128A	F S	0.00	06/02/77	0.060		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		130A	F S	0.00	06/14/77	0.060		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		138	F S	0.00	07/01/77	0.300		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		144	F S	0.00	07/08/77	B 0.060		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		152	F S	0.00	07/18/77	0.060		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		163	F S	0.00	07/25/77	0.080		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		169	F S	0.00	08/01/77	0.040		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		181	F S	0.00	08/08/77	0.020		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		184	F S	0.00	08/15/77	0.080		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		190	F S	0.00	08/24/77	0.090		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		192	F S	0.00	08/30/77	0.060		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		198	F S	0.00	09/06/77	0.040		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		201	F S	0.00	09/14/77	0.020		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		202	F S	0.00	09/19/77	0.050		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		205	F S	0.00	09/28/77	B 0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		208	F S	0.00	10/04/77	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		212-2	F S	0.00	10/08/77	0.030		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		219	F S	0.00	10/19/77	0.020		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		216	F S	0.00	10/19/77	0.050		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		226	F S	0.00	10/25/77	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		228	F S	0.00	10/31/77	0.010		N UMD	AP

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BFLOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPRE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPRE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=

DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCH=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT,

SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT

UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES	DATE	AMPHIBOLE	CHRYSOTILE	BLANK	MEI	LAB	REF
			A-C PIPE	COLLECTED	MFL	MFL	MFL			
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		230	F S	0.00	11/07/77	0.050		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		243	F S	0.00	11/16/77	0.090		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		247	F S	0.00	11/22/77	0.020		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		251	F S	0.00	12/02/77	0.040		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		257	F S	0.00	12/07/77	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		259	F S	0.00	12/14/77	0.020		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		262	F S	0.00	12/20/77	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		264	F S	0.00	12/28/77 B	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		266	F S	0.00	01/04/78	0.030		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		273	F S	0.00	01/10/78	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, FILTER PLANT		280	F S	0.00	01/18/78	0.010		N UMD	AP
MN	DULUTH, LOWER RESERVOIR			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	31.000		N MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, LOWER RESEPOIR			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	74.000		R MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, MIDDLE RESERVOIR			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	25.000		R MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, MIDDLE RESERVOIR			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	17.000		N MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, SYSTEM INTAKE			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	60.000		R MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, SYSTEM INTAKE			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	46.000		N MSS	AG
MN	DULUTH, UPPER RESERVOIR			F S	0.00	<12/00/74	24.000		R MSS	AG
MN	GRAND MARAIS			F S	0.00	<12/00/74 B			R MSS	AG
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	08/22/73 B			M MCC	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	08/29/73 B			C ORF	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	09/26/73	0.260		C ORF	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/03/73 B			M MCC	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/10/73 B			M MCC	AX
MN	GRAND MAPAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/17/73	0.030		C ORF	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/24/73	0.120		M MCC	AX
MN	GRAND MARAIS, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	11/14/73	0.800		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY			F	0.00	07/00/73	4.400		M MCC	AL
MN	SILVER BAY			F		07/00/73	2.000		B UCB	AL
MN	SILVER BAY	15287		D		07/27/78	0.400		N MDH	AQ
MN	SILVER BAY	15286		F	0.00	07/27/78 B			N MDH	AQ
MN	SILVER BAY, FILTERED	13868		D		06/22/78	0.200		N MDH	AQ
MN	SILVER BAY, FILTERED	13867		F	0.00	06/22/78	0.200		N MDH	AQ
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	08/29/73	0.180		C ORF	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	09/14/73 B			C ORF	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	09/19/73	0.170		C ORF	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	09/26/73	0.140		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/03/73 B			M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/10/73	0.460		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/17/73	0.460		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	10/24/73	0.080		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, L. SUPERIOR			R S	0.00	11/14/73	0.800		M MCC	AX
MN	SILVER BAY, PAW	13866		F		06/22/78	25.300		N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS			F		07/00/73	2.500		B UCB	AL
MN	TWO HARBORS			F		07/00/73	2.500		M MCC	AL
MN	TWO HARBORS			R S	0.00	08/22/73	5.000		M MCC	AX
MN	TWO HARBORS			R S	0.00	08/29/73	4.400		M MCC	AX

73

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPRE JAFFE, D=DPOP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPRE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UII=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 32
BLANK MET LAB
MFL

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOITILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET LAB	REF
MN	TWO HARBORS			01/16/78	35,000	1,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			01/27/78	57,000	0,600		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			01/31/78	52,000	1,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			02/21/78	90,000	3,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			03/14/78	12,000	2,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			03/21/78	30,000	0,500		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			04/04/78	8,000	0,100		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			04/07/78	7,000	0,200		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			04/18/78	4,000	0,300		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			04/28/78	141,000	1,700		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			04/28/78	4,000	0,130		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			05/02/78	171,000	1,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			05/30/78	2,000	0,700		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			06/27/78	1,000	7,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			06/27/78	200,000	6,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			07/03/78	177,000	1,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			07/03/78	2,000	4,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			07/18/78	84,000	4,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS	15280	0,00	07/27/78	0,600			N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS	15282		07/27/78	0,500			N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS			08/01/78	53,000	4,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS			08/01/78	7,000	37,000		N EPD	AS
MN	TWO HARBORS, FILTERED	12432	0,00	05/00/78	2,100	0,200		N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS, FILTERED	13870	0,00	06/22/78	0,800			N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS, FILTERED			06/22/78	0,500			N MDH	AQ
MN	TWO HARBORS, L. SUPERIOR		S 0,00	09/19/73	1,100			C ORF	AX
MN	TWO HARBORS, L. SUPERIOR		S 0,00	10/17/73	1,600			M MCC	AX
MN	TWO HARBORS, L. SUPERIOR		S 0,00	10/24/73	3,000			M MCC	AX
MN	TWO HARBORS, RAW	12421	0,00	05/00/78	44,000			N MDH	AQ
MO	INDEPENDENCE	30807	0,00	12/27/74	0,070	0,360		M EPC	AA
MO	INDEPENDENCE	30807	0,00	12/27/74	0,070	0,580		M EPC	AA
MO	KANSAS CITY	11105	0,00	09/19/74	0,020	0,070		M EPC	AA
MO	KANSAS CITY			09/17/75	5,700	5,700		M MCC	AC
MO	KANSAS CITY		0,00	09/17/75	0,400	0,040		M MCC	AC
MO	SPRINGFIELD		0,00			0,300		M EPC	AA
MO	ST. LOUIS		0,00					M EPC	AA
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C PIPE CO.		?	02/10/75	1,000	1,000		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C PIPE CO.		?	02/11/75	0,400	0,400		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C PIPE CO.		?	02/12/75	0,900	0,900		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C PIPE CO.		?	01/15/76		4,900		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	02/10/75	1,500	0,080		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	02/10/75	0,100	0,100		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	02/11/75	0,100	0,010		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	02/11/75	4,700	0,160		M MCC	AD
MO	ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	02/12/75	0,400	0,400		M MCC	AD

74

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCH=U. OF CALIF., BERKFLEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 33

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
MO		ST. LOUIS, A/C SHEET CO.		?	01/15/76 B	0.200			M MCC	AD
MO		ST. LOUIS, INDUSTRY DISCHG.	46725		10/12/78 N	7.000	2111.200		N EPC	AB
MS		JACKSON	12719	F	08/09/74 B	0.020	0.300		M EPC	AA
MS		JACKSON	12719	F	08/09/74 B	0.020	0.500		M EPC	AA
MS		JACKSON	12719	F	08/09/74 B	0.020	0.300		M EPC	AA
MT		BILLINGS	37890	F	01/27/77 B	0.030	0.030 N	0.090	M EPC	AB
MT		LAUREL	37892	F	01/28/77 B	0.050	0.050 B	0.050	M EPC	AB
NC		DURHAM	35401	D	04/14/76 B	0.010	0.010		M EPC	AB
NC		DURHAM	40714	D	04/14/76 B	0.050	1.200		M EPC	AB
NC		DURHAM	40713	F	04/14/76 B	0.010	0.010		M EPC	AB
NC		DURHAM	40712	D	04/14/76 B	0.010	0.010		M EPC	AB
NC		DURHAM	40711	D	04/14/76 B	0.010	0.020		M EPC	AB
NC		FAYETTEVILLE, GLENNVILLE	37460	F	03/01/77 B	0.010	0.050		M EPC	AB
NC		FAYETTEVILLE, HOFFER	37458	F	03/01/77 B	0.030	0.030 N	0.060	M EPC	AB
NC		MARSHVILLE, ASBES. TEXTILE CO.			04/14/75 B	0.100	0.100		M MCC	AD
NC		MARSHVILLE, ASBES. TEXTILE CO.			04/15/75		88.000		M MCC	AD
NH		MERRIMAC RIVER, HUDSON	35465	R	11/02/76 B	0.100	1.700		M EPC	AB
NH		MERRIMAC RIVER, NASHUA	35466	R	11/02/76 B	0.100	1.400		M EPC	AB
NJ		ROUNDBROOK, BRIDGE 206	20301	R	06/20/75 N	0.500	0.500		M EPC	AB
NJ		ROUNDBROOK, CANAL	20299	R	06/20/75 N	0.100	1.400		M EPC	AB
NJ		ROUNDBROOK, MANVIL BRIDGE	20300	R	06/20/75 B	0.100	3.700		M EPC	AB
NJ		FLIZABETH	12962		08/09/74 B	0.020	0.020		M EPC	AA
NJ		FLIZABETH	20298	F	06/20/75 B	0.010	0.010		M EPC	AB
NJ		JERSEY CITY	24928		09/19/74 B	0.070	0.200		M EPC	AA
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			05/05/75 B	0.500	0.500		M MCC	AD
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			05/06/75 B	0.200	0.200		M MCC	AD
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			05/07/75 B	0.300	0.300		M MCC	AD
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			05/08/75 B	0.500	0.500		M MCC	AD
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			10/22/75 B	0.300	0.300		M MCC	AD
NJ		MANVILLE, AT ASBESTOS CO.			10/23/75 B	0.300	0.300		M MCC	AD
NM		ALBUQUERQUE, LEAVITT		F	<09/00/76		3.000		D NMI	AH
NM		ALBUQUERQUE, PUMPA		D	<09/00/76		1.000		D NMI	AH
NM		ALBUQUERQUE, PUMPA		F	<09/00/76		1.000		D NMI	AH
NM		ALBUQUERQUE, PUMPA		D	<09/00/76				D NMI	AH
NM		ALGODONES		F	<09/00/76		710.000		D NMI	AH

75

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPRE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPRE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., RFRKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-27-79
STATE CITY

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOILL MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
NM		BELEN, WELL 2		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		BELEN, WELL 4		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		BELEN, WELL 4		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		BELEN, WELL 4		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		BELEN, WELL 4		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		KELLY RANCH		<09/00/76		438,000			D NMI	AH
NM		LAS CRUCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		LAS CRUCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		LAS CRUCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		LAS CRUCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		POJOAQUE		<09/00/76		194,000			D NMI	AH
NM		RIO, WELL 1		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		RIO, WELL 2		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		RIO, WELL 2		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		SANTA FE		<09/00/76		100,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SANTA FE DOWNS		<09/00/76		1400,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, E. NORTH SPRING	41891	11/07/78	N 0.040	N 0.080	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, E. SOUTH SPRING	41892	11/07/78	N 0.040	N 0.120	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, EAGLE PICTURE WELL	41896	11/07/78	B 0.040	0.620	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, EVERGREEN SPRING		<09/00/76		153,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, EVERGREEN SPRING		<09/00/76		109,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, MCCUTCHEON ST.	41893	11/07/78	B 0.040	N 0.080	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, MCCUTCHEON STREET		<09/00/76		2100,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, N.M. TECH. SCHOOL		<09/00/76		1260,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, N.M. TECH. SCHOOL	41894	11/07/78	N 0.040	N 0.080	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, SEDILLO PARK		<09/00/76		289,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, W. OF US 60		<09/00/76		2190,000			D NMI	AH
NM		SOCORRO, ZIMMERLY SCH.	41895	11/07/78	B 0.040	B 0.040	N 0.020		N EPC	AB
NM		SOCORRO, ZIMMERLY SCHOOL		<09/00/76		1220,000			D NMI	AH
NM		TRUTH OR CONSEQUENCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		TRUTH OR CONSEQUENCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NM		TRUTH OR CONSEQUENCES		<09/00/76		B			D NMI	AH
NY		BUFFALO	19900	11/00/74	N 0.400	N 0.400			M EPC	AB
NY		BUFFALO	19901	11/05/74	B 0.020	0.130			M EPC	AA
NY		ELMIRA	19876	10/11/74	B 0.070	N 0.400			M EPC	AA
NY		GLEN FALLS	23337	08/28/74	B 0.070	B 0.070			M EPC	AA
NY		LITTLE FALLS	30563	12/13/77	B 0.100	B 0.100			M EPC	AB
NY		LITTLE FALLS, INTAKE	30564	12/13/77	B 0.300	0.800			M EPC	AB
NY		LONG ISLAND, RAW WELL		05/00/76	B 0.120	0.500			M MCC	AZ
NY		MT, KISCO	30225	08/27/76	B 0.020	N 0.100			M EPC	AB
NY		NEW YORK CITY		<12/00/74	B				R MSS	AG
NY		NEW YORK, CENTRAL PARK RES.		08/11/75	B 0.200	B 0.200			M MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, CENTRAL PARK RES.		08/11/75	R 0.100	B 0.100			M MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, CENTRAL PARK RES.		10/22/75	B 0.200	B 0.200			M MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, CENTRAL PARK RES.		10/22/75	B 0.250	B 0.250			M MCC	AC

76

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=
DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT,
SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 35

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
NY		NEW YORK, HILLVIEW RES.		08/11/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, HILLVIEW RES.		08/11/75	B 0.360	B 0.360		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, HILLVIEW RES.		10/22/75	B 0.250	B 0.250		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, HILLVIEW RES.	0.00	10/22/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, HILLVIEW RES.		10/22/75	B 0.250	B 0.250		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, JEROME PARK RES.		08/11/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, JEROME PARK RES.		10/22/75	B 0.250	B 0.250		M	MCC	AC
NY		NEW YORK, JEROME PARK RES.	0.00	10/22/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	MCC	AC
NY		NIAGARA FALLS	19902	11/00/74	N 0.100	0.200		M	EPC	AB
NY		NIAGARA FALLS	19903	11/08/74	B 0.070	N 0.400		M	EPC	AA
NY		OSWEGO CITY	30293	11/30/76	B 0.010	N 0.050		M	EPC	AB
NY		OSWEGO, METRO, WATER BOARD	30291	11/30/76	B 0.010	N 0.050		M	EPC	AB
NY		ROCHESTER	19882	10/25/74	B 0.020	B 0.020		M	EPC	AB
NY		ROCHESTER	19894	11/00/74	B 0.020	N 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		BARBERTON, NEAR	E9501	08/16/75	B 0.300	N 1.500		N	EPC	AB
OH		BARBERTON, NEAR	E9502	08/16/78	B 0.090	4.700		N	EPC	AB
OH		BARBERTON, NEAR	E9507	08/16/78	B 0.300	N 1.500		N	EPC	AB
OH		CINCINNATI		07/31/74	B 0.020	N 0.070		M	EPC	AA
OH		CINCINNATI		04/25/77	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	EPC	AB
OH		CLYDE	37308	11/02/76	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
OH		DAYTON	22798	01/00/75	B 0.010	N 0.050		M	EPC	AA
OH		FAIRBORN	37305	10/27/76	B 0.070	B 0.070		M	EPC	AB
OH		FREEMONT	37309	11/02/76	N 0.050	B 0.010		M	EPC	AB
OH		KENT	320	02/05/75	B 0.200	B 0.200		M	EPC	AB
OH		LAKE ERIE, TOLEDO		08/00/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AZ
OH		MARIETTA	26542	04/01/75	B 0.020	N 0.070		M	EPC	AB
OH		MILFORD, CISTERN	39795	07/30/78	B 0.100	N 0.300	N 0.100	N	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE	26181	06/04/75	B 0.050	N 0.200		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE	32907	07/08/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE	35436	04/13/76	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	26180	06/04/75	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	PEC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	26179	06/04/75	B 0.050	B 0.050		M	PEC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	26194	07/08/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	26193	07/08/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	32924	09/26/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	32925	09/26/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	32999	11/24/75	B 0.070	B 0.070		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	33000	11/24/75	B 0.070	N 0.400		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	40702	02/09/76	B 0.050	B 0.050	N 0.100	M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	40703	02/09/76	B 0.050	B 0.050	N 0.100	M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	35435	04/13/76	N 0.200	N 0.200		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, A/C	35434	04/13/76	B 0.050	N 0.200		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, WTP	32923	09/26/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, WTP	32998	11/24/75	B 0.070	B 0.070		M	EPC	AB
OH		NORTHBRIDGE, WTP	40701	02/09/76	B 0.100	B 0.100	N 0.200	M	EPC	AB

77

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, P=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=

DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT,

SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH

UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 36

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
OH		S. CENTRAL SOFTENED WELL		02/00/76	0,140	B 0,047		M	MCC	AZ
OH		SCIOTO RIV., SOUTH-CEN. OH.		00/00/76	B 0,470	B 0,470		M	MCC	AZ
OH		SIDNEY	36641	06/07/77	B 0,060	B 0,060		M	EPC	AB
OH		SIDNEY	36640	06/07/77	B 0,060	B 0,060		M	EPC	AB
OH		SIDNEY	41802	12/16/77	B 0,060	B 0,060		M	EPC	AB
OH		SIDNEY	41801	12/16/77	B 0,060	B 0,060		M	EPC	AB
OH		XENIA	37302	10/25/76	B 0,010	B 0,010		M	EPC	AB
OK		MUSKOGEE	18039	10/08/74	B 0,020	B 0,020		M	EPC	AA
OK		TULSA	18040	10/10/74	B 0,020	B 0,020		M	EPC	AA
OK		VERDIGRIS RIVER, INOLA		08/00/77	B 0,314	B 0,314		M	MCC	AZ
OR		NEWPORT	40612	12/17/75	B 0,070	0,500		M	EPC	AB
OR		NEWPORT, CITY HALL	40613	? 12/17/75	B 0,100	N 0,700		M	EPC	AB
OR		NEWPORT, MUN. SWIM	40611	? 12/17/75	B 0,200	N 0,800		M	EPC	AB
PA		BETHLEHEM	22903	10/08/74	B 0,050	N 0,200		M	EPC	AA
PA		CONEMAUGH RIV., CENTRAL PA.		05/00/77	B 0,063	B 0,063		M	MCC	AZ
PA		CROOKED CREEK, SHELOCTA		05/00/77	B 0,084	B 0,084		M	MCC	AZ
PA		DELAWARE RIVER, EATON		08/00/77	B 0,230	B 0,230		M	MCC	AZ
PA		ERIE	17717	09/19/74	B 0,020	0,070		M	EPC	AA
PA		ERIE, AT ASBESTOS PAPER CO.		07/08/75	B 0,100	N 2,500		M	MCC	AD
PA		ERIE, AT ASBESTOS PAPER CO.		07/08/75	B 0,100	B 0,100		M	MCC	AD
PA		ERIE, AT ASBESTOS PAPER CO.		07/09/75		9,900		M	MCC	AD
PA		ERIE, AT ASBESTOS PAPER CO.		11/06/75		160,000		M	MCC	AD
PA		NEW CHESTER	16139	11/06/76	B 0,300	B 0,300		M	EPC	AB
PA		OHIO RIVER, CENTRAL PA.		05/00/77	B 0,157	B 0,157		M	MCC	AZ
PA		PAINT TWP., CORNER W/S	46707	10/12/78		4,200		N	EPC	AB
PA		PAINT TWP., CORNER W/S	46706	10/12/78	N	5,700		N	EPC	AB
PA		PAINT TWP, CLARION CO.	46706	10/12/78	N 0,250	5,700		N	EPC	AB
PA		PAINT TWP, CLARION CO.	46707	10/12/78	B 0,050	4,200		N	EPC	AB
PA		PAINT, CLARION CO.	40752	09/15/76	B 0,010	B 0,010		M	EPC	AB
PA		PAINT, CLARION CO.	16135	09/15/76	0,700	19,000		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		05/14/75		24,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		05/14/75		84,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		05/14/75	6,700			M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		05/14/75		0,750		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		10/27/75		26,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		10/27/75		230,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		10/27/75		130,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		04/01/76		7,700		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		04/01/76		1,100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		04/02/76		50,000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		04/02/76		4,300		M	MCC	AC

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, R=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHODS) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPRE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPRE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=FPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCA=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 37

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		08/02/76	B 0.200	B 0.200		N	EPD	AS
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT		10/00/77	B 0.010	N 0.030		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT	3-81-R	12/14/77	R 0.010	B 7.590		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT	3-2-F	02/00/78	B 0.030	N 0.020		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT	3-82-R	12/21/78	B 0.070	N 14.570		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT 12 HR	45594	09/16/76	B 0.020	N 0.100		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, BELMONT 12 HR	22996	11/03/76	B 0.030	B 0.030		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEEN LANE 12 HR	45595	09/16/76	B 0.020	0.130		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEEN LANE 12 HR	22997	11/03/76	B 0.030	N 0.200		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		04/01/75	B 0.100	24.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		04/02/75	B 0.100	120.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		05/14/75		70.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		05/14/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		05/14/75	B 0.100	B 11.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		05/14/75	B 1.000	B 1.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		10/27/75	B 0.100	100.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		10/27/75	B	B		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		10/27/75	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		04/01/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		04/02/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE		08/02/76	B 0.200	0.900		N	EPD	AS
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE	2-11-F	11/00/77	B 0.030	0.200		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE	2-12-F	12/00/77	B 0.100	N 0.100		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, QUEENLANE	2-2-F	02/00/78	B 0.010	B 0.010		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		05/14/75		4.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		05/14/75		17.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		10/27/75		160.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		10/27/75		16.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		10/27/75		60.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		04/01/76	B 0.100	B 0.100		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		04/01/76	B 0.250	B 0.250		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		04/02/76		1.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		04/02/76		N 0.740		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		05/14/76	B 2.500	B 2.500		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		05/14/76		200.000		M	MCC	AC
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE		08/02/76	B 0.200	N 0.200		N	EPD	AS
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE	1-12-F	12/00/77	B 0.020	N 0.020		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE	1-82-R	12/21/77	B 0.030	6.140		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE	1-2-F	02/00/78	B 0.010	N 0.030		M	UWA	AP
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE 12 HR	45593	09/16/76	B 0.020	N 0.100		M	EPC	AB
PA		PHILADELPHIA, TORRESDALE 12 HR	22998	11/03/76	B 0.030	B 0.030		M	EPC	AB
PA		SOUTH PITTSBURGH	26209	12/00/74	R 0.070	0.200		M	EPC	AA
PA		SUSQUEHANNA RIV., HARRISBURH		09/00/77	B 0.630	B 0.630		M	MCC	AZ
PA		SUSQUEHANNA RIV., W. BRANCH		08/00/77	B 0.029	B 0.029		M	MCC	AZ
PA		TWO-LICK CREEK, HOMER CITY		05/00/77	B 0.063	B 0.063		M	MCC	AZ

79

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHODS) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCORNE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DON= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS= MT, SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMJ=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 38

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
PR		SAN JUAN		01/30/75	B 0,040	N 0,200			M EPC	AA
RI		NEWPORT	12965	F	0,00	09/05/74 B 0,020	0,400		M EPC	AA
RI		NEWPORT	12965	F	0,00	09/05/74 B 0,020	1,000		M EPC	AA
RI		NEWPORT, 12 HR COMP.	32129	F	0,00	08/17/76 B 0,030	0,200		M EPC	AB
SC		ANDERSON		R		04/10/75 B 1,800	B 1,800		M MCC	AD
SC		ANDERSON		F		04/10/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		ANDERSON		F		11/26/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		ANDERSON		R		11/26/75 B 0,400	B 0,400		M MCC	AD
SC		ANDERSON	21666	D	0,00	11/08/76 B 0,050	B 0,050		M EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE	46729	D		11/00/78 N 0,200	118,600		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, C.C. INDUSTRY	46729	R		10/25/78 N	96,000		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, C.C. INDUSTRY	46730	D		10/25/78 B	0,560		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, GIN ST.	41838	D		06/20/78 B 0,200	547,000		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, INDUST. TRT.	46730	F		11/00/78 B 0,050	0,400		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, REL ACAD.	41866	D		06/20/78 N 1,000	380,000		N EPC	AB
SC		BISHOPVILLE, WELL	41837	F	W 0,00	06/20/78 N 0,250	0,800		N EPC	AB
SC		CAMDEN	46724	D		10/24/78 B	22,300		N EPC	AB
SC		COLUMBIA	12608	D		07/00/74 N 0,070	0,100		M EPC	AA
SC		DOUGLAS-DUE WEST, BENTON HONEA	46723	D		11/20/78 N	168,000		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENVILLE	24508			11/06/74	0,010	N 0,010	M EPC	AA
SC		GREENVILLE		R		04/10/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENVILLE		R		11/26/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENVILLE, (1)		F		04/10/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENVILLE, (1)		F		11/26/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENVILLE, (2)		F		04/10/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENVILLE, (2)		F		11/26/75 B 0,130	B 0,130		M MCC	AD
SC		GREENWOOD, AT WTR, PLT.	35429	F	S 0,00	03/02/76 B 0,010	B 0,010	B	M EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, CANTERBURY	35483	D		04/28/78 B 0,020	B 0,020		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, CANTERBURY	40619	D		06/02/78 N	3,100		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, CANTERBURY	35499	D		08/01/78 N			N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, CANTERBURY	35497	D		09/22/78 N	1,200		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, CANTERBURY	41850	D		11/17/78 N			N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	35428	D	2,50	03/02/76 N 0,050	0,200	B 0,010	M EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	35447	D	2,50	04/21/76 0,300	3,100		M EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	40620	D	2,50	04/28/78 N 0,100	N 0,100		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	40618	D	2,50	06/02/78 N	2,500		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	35498	D	2,50	08/01/78 N	4,000		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	35496	D	2,50	09/22/78 N	1,000		N EPC	AB
SC		GREENWOOD, EFFIE	41852	D	2,50	11/17/78 B	0,730		N EPC	AB
SC		N. CHARLESTON, ASBES, TXTL, CO				04/08/75 B 0,100	B 0,100		M MCC	AD
SC		N. CHARLESTON, ASBES, TXTL, CO				04/09/75 B 0,100	B 0,100		M MCC	AD
SC		N. CHARLESTON, ASBES, TXTL, CO				11/24/75 B 0,100	B 0,100		M MCC	AD
SC		N. CHARLESTON, ASBES, TXTL, CO				11/25/75 B 0,100	B 0,100		M MCC	AD

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCPONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=
 DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT.
 SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
 UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 39
BLANK MET LAB REF
MFL MFL MFL

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
SD	LEAD,	HOMESTAKE MINE		06/17/75	B 0.530	B 0.530			M MCC	AD
SD	LEAD,	HOMESTAKE MINE		06/17/75	B 0.800	B 0.800			M MCC	AD
SD	LEAD,	HOMESTAKE MINE		08/20/75	B 0.250	B 0.250			M MCC	AD
TN	CHATTANOOGA		12400	07/00/74	B 0.020	0.100			M EPC	AA
TN	CHATTANOOGA			04/03/75		4.700			M MCC	AD
TN	CHATTANOOGA			04/03/75	B 2.500	B 2.500			M MCC	AD
TN	CHATTANOOGA			11/28/75		0.750			M MCC	AD
TN	CHATTANOOGA			11/28/75	B 0.130	B 0.130			M MCC	AD
TN	CLARKSVILLE		13950	10/09/74	N 0.070	0.090			M EPC	AA
TN	NASHVILLE		21616	12/27/74	N 0.070	0.800			M EPC	AA
TN	NASHVILLE		21616	12/27/74	B 0.070	0.400			M EPC	AA
TX	ABILENE		12602	08/09/74	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AA
TX	AMARILLO		12966	08/09/74	0.090	N 0.070			M EPC	AA
TX	AUSTIN, DAVIES		37848	01/11/77	B 0.030	N 0.100	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
TX	AUSTIN, GREEN		37847	01/11/77	B 0.010	B 0.010	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
TX	AUSTIN, ULLRICH		37849	01/11/77	B 0.010	N 0.060	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE		35440	04/19/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE		35441	04/19/76	B 0.030	N 0.200			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, AC LINE		26186	06/26/75	N 0.100	0.300			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, AC LINE		32918	09/04/75	N 0.200	N 0.200			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, AC LINE		32991	11/18/75	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, AC LINE		40706	02/18/76	B 0.020	N 0.080	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, ASHED		35442	04/19/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, ASHED		35441	04/19/76	B 0.020	0.100			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, CI LINE		26185	06/26/75	B 0.040	N 0.200			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, CI LINE		32917	09/04/75	B 0.040	B 0.040			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, CI LINE		32990	11/18/75	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, CI LINE		40704	02/18/76	B 0.020	B 0.020	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, L.P., CLEBURNE		26184	06/26/75	B 0.020	0.500			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, WTP		32916	09/04/75	B 0.040	B 0.040			M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, WTP		32989	11/18/75	B 0.010	B 0.010	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
TX	CLEBURNE, WTP		40705	02/18/76	N 0.050	B 0.010	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	DALLAS		10842	03/00/75	B 0.200	B 0.200			M MCC	AC
TX	DALLAS		10841	03/00/75	B 0.250	B 0.250			M MCC	AC
TX	HOUSTON			07/00/73	B				M MCC	AL
TX	HOUSTON	A2		03/01/78	N 0.500	N 0.500			N EPC	AB
TX	HOUSTON	A1		03/01/78	B 0.100	N 0.500			N EPC	AB
TX	HOUSTON	2-3178		03/01/78	N	N			N EPC	AB
TX	HOUSTON	1-3178		03/01/78	R	N			N EPC	AB
TX	HOUSTON, SYST. A			07/00/73	B 0.040				B UCB	AL
TX	HOUSTON, SYST. B			07/00/73	B 0.040				B UCB	AL
TX	HOUSTON, SYST. C			07/00/73	B 0.040				B UCB	AL
TX	HOUSTON, SYST. D			07/00/73	B 0.040				B UCB	AL

81

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, R=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DPOP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=
 DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT.
 SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PUFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
 UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 40

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MEI	LAB	REF
TX	HOUSTON, SYST. E			07/00/73	R 0.040				B UCB	AL
TX	LOCKHART		35438	04/22/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART		35439	04/22/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART		35437	04/22/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, AC LINE		26189	06/17/75	B 0.010	N 0.050			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, AC LINE		32913	09/03/75	B 0.010	0.130			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, AC LINE		32992	11/11/75	B 0.020	B 0.020			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, AC LINE		40709	02/18/76	B 0.010	N 0.050	N 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, CI LINE		26188	06/17/75	B 0.010	N 0.050			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, CI LINE		32914	09/03/75	B 0.010	N 0.050			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, CI LINE		32993	11/11/75	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, CI LINE		40708	02/18/76	B 0.010	B 0.010	N 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, WTP		26187	06/17/75	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, WTP		32915	09/03/75	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, WTP		32994	11/11/75	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
TX	LOCKHART, WTP		40707	02/18/76	B 0.010	N 0.020	N 0.020		M EPC	AB
TX	SAN ANTONIO, CEDAR RUN, A.C.		41899	11/01/78	B 0.010	N 0.040	B 0.010		N EPC	AB
TX	SAN ANTONIO, WELL		41900	11/01/78	B 0.010	B 0.010	B 0.010		N EPC	AB
TX	WICHITA FALLS		37398	01/12/77	B 0.020	B 0.020	N 0.060		M EPC	AB
VA	CHARLOTTESVILLE		17721	10/09/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA
VA	CHESAPEAKE, GREAT BRIDGE		32318	01/04/79	N	0.230			N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, GREAT BRIDGE		32313	02/13/79	N 0.300	B 0.050	N 0.070		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, GREAT BRIDGE, HYDR.		32317	01/04/79	B	0.830			N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK		32306	01/04/79	B				N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK		32309	02/13/79	B 0.060	B 0.060	N 0.050		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK		32308	02/13/79	N 0.400	B 0.080	N 0.050		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK		32307	02/13/79	B 0.050	B 0.050	N 0.050		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK, HYDR.		32316	01/04/79	B	160.000			N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH		32314	01/04/79	N 0.400	13.100			N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH		32315	01/04/79	B 4.600	138.000			N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH		32312	02/13/79	B 0.050	B 0.050	N 0.070		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH		29902	02/13/79	B 0.050	N 0.300	N 0.070		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH		32311	02/31/79	N 0.500	N 0.500	N 0.070		N EPC	AB
VA	CHESAPEAKE, PORTSMOUTH, HYDR.		32314	01/04/79	B 1.800	23.000			N EPC	AB
VA	RESTON		16141	11/08/76	B 0.010	B 0.010			M EPC	AB
VI	ST. CROIX, CISTERN		39773	06/21/78	B	543.000			N EPC	AB
VI	ST. CROIX, CISTERN		39774	06/21/78	N	15.000			N EPC	AB
VI	ST. CROIX, CISTERN		39786	08/31/78	B 2.000	237.000			N EPC	AB
VI	ST. CROIX, CISTERN		39787	08/31/78	B 4.000	427.000			N EPC	AB
VT	BATTLEBORO			03/12/74	B 0.020	0.100			M EPC	AA
VT	CRYSTAL SPRINGS			03/12/74	B 0.020	0.100			M EPC	AA

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
 (SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
 (B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
 (METHOD:) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
 (LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW= DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCR=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 41

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF	
VT		E. NOSBURG		03/12/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA	
VT		EDEN, SPRING			B 0.020	N 0.080			M EPC	AA	
VT		JERICHO-UNDERHILL		03/14/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA	
VT		NORTH TROY		03/12/74	B 0.070	2,200			M EPC	AA	
VT		NORTH TROY		03/12/74	B 0.070	0,980			M EPC	AA	
VT		QUARRY HILL		03/12/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA	
VT		RICHMOND-HARRINGTON		03/14/74	B 0.020	N 0.070			M EPC	AA	
WA		ABERDEEN			B	N					
WA		ANACORTES	26021	F	0.00	05/27/75	B 0.020	B 0.020	M EPC	AB	
WA		BREMERTON				B					
WA		EVERETT		F	0.00		2,120	35,900	M UWA	AM	
WA		EVERETT		F	0.00		4,680	143,000	M UWA	AM	
WA		EVERETT		F	0.00		1,700	71,600	M UWA	AM	
WA		EVERETT	26060	D	?	03/00/76	20,700	110,000	M EPC	AB	
WA		EVERETT	26061	D	?	03/00/76	20,000	140,000	M EPC	AB	
WA		EVERETT, L. CHAPLIN	26062	R		03/00/76	25,900	167,600	M EPC	AB	
WA		EVERETT, SPADA LAKE	26063	R		03/00/76	25,200	218,900	M EPC	AB	
WA		HOQUIAM				B					
WA		LEVINWORTH					1,330	1,510			
WA		LYNDEN	26020	F		05/19/75	0.160	4,100	M EPC	AB	
WA		LYNDEN		F	0.00	05/19/75	0.060		M UCB		
WA		OLYMPIA	37378	F	W	0.00	01/13/77	B 0.010	B 0.010	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR	31294				B 0.020	N 0.010	M EPC	AA	
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR	26048	F	S	0.00	10/28/75	B 0.100	N 0.700	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26025	D	S	6.08	05/27/75	N 0.400	1,600	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26024	D	S	1.15	05/27/75	N 0.200	0.100	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26038	D	S	6.08	08/26/75	B 0.100	0.900	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26037	D	S	1.15	08/26/75	B 0.100	0.100	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26041	D	S	6.08	09/30/75	B 0.100	N 0.600	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26040	D	S	1.15	09/30/75	B 0.100	N 0.400	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26053	D	S	6.08	11/18/75	0.140	0.300	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, A/C	26052	D	S	1.15	11/18/75	N 0.100	0.200	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, CONTROL WKS	26023	F	S	0.00	05/27/75	N 0.100	N 0.200	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, CONTROL WKS	26036	F	S	0.00	08/26/75	N 0.100	N 0.100	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, CONTROL WKS	26039	F	S	0.00	09/30/75	B 0.100	B 0.100	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, CEDAR, CONTROL WKS	26051	F	S	0.00	11/18/75	N 0.100	N 0.100	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	13091				01/31/75	1,800	2,500	M EPC	AA
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	13092	D			01/31/75	1,200	3,600	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	13092	D			01/31/75	2,100	2,000	M EPC	AB
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT		F			09/08/75	1,900	1,500	M UCB	AC
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT		F			09/08/75	B 0.200	B 0.200	M MCC	AC
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT		F			09/08/75	B 0.200	B 0.200	M MCC	AC
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	3-R	F		0.00	01/24/77	5,700	8,900	M UWA	AP
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	4C-R	F		0.00	02/02/77	3,300	5,100	M UWA	AP
WA		SEATTLE, TOLT	5C-R	F		0.00	02/08/77	3,100	16,400	M UWA	AP

85

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

PAGE 42
BLANK MET LAB
MFL

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		6-R	F	0.00	02/17/77	3,500	13,000	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		11-R	F	0.00	02/23/77	4,300	13,300	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		120-R	F	0.00	02/28/77	1,800	13,100	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		21-R	F	0.00	03/24/77	2,200	25,800	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		24-R	F	0.00	04/11/77	2,400	9,400	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		29-R	F	0.00	04/20/77	0,900	4,300	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		33-R	F	0.00	05/05/77	0,600	3,800	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		44-R	F	0.00	05/17/77	0,900	2,800	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		51-R	F	0.00	06/01/77 B		8,400	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		53-R	F	0.00	06/08/77	0,700	3,600	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		62-R	F	0.00	06/28/77 B		2,500	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		70-R	F	0.00	07/12/77 B		2,800	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		89-R	F	0.00	09/03/77 B		1,200	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		93-R	F	0.00	10/05/77 B		3,600	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		108-R	F	0.00	11/07/77 B		3,600	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		111-R	F	0.00	11/16/77 B		4,600	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		120-R	F	0.00	01/11/78	0,200	5,400	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		135-R	F	0.00	02/14/78 B		3,900	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT		161-R	F	0.00	06/08/78 B		2,000	M UWA	AP
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT EAST END			R		02/27/75	1,200	1,200	M EPC	AB
WA	SEATTLE, TOLT WEST END			R		02/06/75	1,120	1,700	M EPC	AB
WA	TACOMA, WELL		26017	F	0.00	05/15/75 R	0,020	B 0,020	M UCB	AB
WA	TACOMA, WELL		26017	F	0.00	05/15/75 B	0,020	B 0,020	M EPC	AB
WA	TUMWATER		37380	F	0.00	01/13/77 B	0,010	N 0,060 B 0,010	M EPC	AB
WA	YAKIMA, CITY		37308	F	0.00	01/13/77 B	0,050	N 0,200	M EPC	AB
WA	YAKIMA, NOBHILL WELL		37803	F	0.00	01/17/77 B	0,010	N 0,050 N 0,010	M EPC	AB
WI	APPLETON			F	S	09/19/75 B		0,340	B WIS	AV
WI	APPLETON		37358	F	S	0.00	11/22/77 B	0,400 B 0,400	M EPC	AB
WI	ASHLAND			F	S	0.00	07/00/73 B	0,040	B UCB	AL
WI	ASHLAND			F	S		09/19/75 B	0,380	B WIS	AV
WI	ASHLAND			R	S		09/19/75 B	0,380	B WIS	AV
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	08/22/73 B		M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	09/06/73 B		C ORF	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	09/14/73	0,190	C ORF	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	09/26/73	0,250	M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	10/03/73	0,620	M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	10/10/73	1,630	C ORF	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	10/17/73 B		M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	10/24/73 B		M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	11/14/73	0,060	M MCC	AX
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			F	S	0.00	03/24/74	1,900	N WIS	AF
WI	ASHLAND, L. SUPERIOR			R	S		03/24/75	0,700	N WIS	AF
WI	CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	08/22/73	1,800	M MCC	AX
WI	CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	08/29/73	0,800	M MCC	AX
WI	CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR			R	S	0.00	09/26/73	0,800	M MCC	AX

84

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT

(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED

(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEPORE FILTER

(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=

DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSB=MT.

SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT

UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOITILE MFL	BLANK MFL	MET	LAB	REF
WI		CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR		10/10/73	B				M MCC	AX
WI		CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR		10/17/73		0.900			M MCC	AX
WI		CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR		10/24/73		1.100			M MCC	AX
WI		CLOQUET, L. SUPERIOR		10/03/78		1.800			M MCC	AX
WI		DE PERE		09/22/75	B		0.230		B WIS	AV
WI		EAU CLAIRE	37822	12/08/77	B	0.010	B 0.010	B 0.010	M EPC	AB
WI		FOND DU LAC		09/18/75	B		0.890		B WIS	AV
WI		FOND DU LAC, RESERVOIR	37361	11/22/77	B	0.020	B 0.020		M EPC	AB
WI		FOND DU LAC, WELL	37362	11/22/77	B	0.020	N 0.100		M EPC	AB
WI		KAUKAUNA		11/22/77	B	0.010	N 0.050		M EPC	AB
WI		LA CROSSE	37817	12/06/77	B	0.010	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
WI		LITTLE CHUTE	37353	11/19/77	B	0.050	B 0.050	B 0.010	M EPC	AB
WI		MANITOWOC		09/08/75	B		0.110		B WIS	AV
WI		MARINETTE		09/17/75	B		0.580		B WIS	AV
WI		MENASHA		09/19/75	N	0.006	0.140		B WIS	AV
WI		NEENAH		09/19/75	B		0.170		B WIS	AV
WI		NEOPIT		09/23/75	B		0.130		B WIS	AV
WI		NEW LONDON	37352	11/19/77	B	0.010	B 0.010		M EPC	AB
WI		NO. FOND DU LAC WELL 3	37360	11/22/77	B	0.050	B 0.050		M EPC	AB
WI		NO. FOND DU LAC, WELL 2	37359	11/22/77	B	0.020	0.400		M EPC	AB
WI		PLATTEVILLE		08/24/75	N	0.030	0.250		B WIS	AV
WI		PORT EDWARDS		09/18/75	B		0.900		B WIS	AV
WI		SHEBOYGAN		09/17/75	B		0.380		B WIS	AV
WI		STURGEON BAY		09/22/75	N	0.010	0.240		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		<12/00/74		4.000			F MSS	AG
WI		SUPERIOR		09/03/75	B		1.400		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		10/02/75	N	0.006	0.630		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		11/11/75	N	0.010	0.420		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		12/01/75	N	0.030	0.960		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		01/05/76	N	0.010	0.590		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR		02/03/76	N	0.020	0.390		B WIS	AV
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		08/22/73	B				M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		08/29/73	B				M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		09/26/73		0.100			M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		10/03/73	B				M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		10/24/73		0.080			M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, DEEP WELLS		11/14/73	B				M MCC	AX
WI		SUPERIOR, L. SUPERIOR		03/20/74	N	0.200			N WIS	AF
WI		SUPERIOR, L. SUPERIOR		04/01/74		2.800			N WIS	AF
WI		SUPERIOR, L. SUPERIOR		04/08/74		4.000			N WIS	AF
WI		SUPERIOR, L. SUPERIOR		04/15/74		2.400			N WIS	AF
WI		TWO RIVERS		09/08/75	B		0.260		B WIS	AV
WI		UNION CENTER		09/19/75	B		0.410		B WIS	AV
WV		HUNTINGTON	1630	08/05/77	B	0.060	0.400		M EPC	AB
WV		WHEELING	37301	10/21/76	B	0.020	N 0.100		M EPC	AB

85

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=PAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPOR E JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, R=DOUBLE NUCLEOPOR FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=DOW CHEMICAL, MIOLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT. SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALTH UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

06-22-79
STATE CITY

		ID	TYPE	SOURCE	MILES A-C PIPE	DATE COLLECTED	AMPHIBOLE MFL	CHRYSOTILE MFL	PAGE 44 BLANK MET LAB MFL	REF
WY	CHEYENE	12519	F		0.00	07/00/74 N	0.070	0.100	M EPC	AA
WY	CHEYENE	12519	F		0.00	07/00/74 N	0.070	1.200	M EPC	AA

86

(TYPE) F=FINISHED AT SOURCE D=DISTRIBUTION R=RAW E=PLANT DISCHARGE EFFLUENT
(SOURCE) S=SURFACE, W=WELL, C=CISTERN, B=COMBINED
(B/N) B=BELOW DETECTION LIMIT N=NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT
(METHOD:) M=MILLIPORE COND. WASH., N=NUCLEOPORE JAFFE, D=DROP, L=MILLIPORE JAFFE-WICK, B=DOUBLE NUCLEOPORE FILTER
(LAB) EPC=EPA, CINCINNATI EPD=EPA, DULUTH EPG=EPA, ATHENS, GA MCC=MCCRONE ASSOCIATES, UIL=UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, DOW=
DOW CHEMICAL, MIDLAND, MI., UCB=U. OF CALIF., BERKELEY, WIS=WISC. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UWA=U. WASH., SEATTLE, MSS=MT,
SINAI HOSP., NEW YORK, NMI=NEW MEXICO INST. OF MINING, CMC=CITY OF CHICAGO WATER PURIFICATION LABORATORY, MDH=MINN. DEPT. OF HEALT
UMD=UNIV. OF MINN., DULUTH

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/1-79-028		2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS FROM DRINKING WATER IN THE UNITED STATES			5. REPORT DATE August 1979 issuing date	
			6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) James R. Millette, Patrick J. Clark, Michael F. Pansing			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS In-house			10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 614B(d)	
			11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Health Effects Research Laboratory-Cincinnati, Ohio Office of Research and Development U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, Ohio 45268			13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final 9/1/77-2/1/79	
			14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/600/10	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
16. ABSTRACT Over 1500 asbestos analyses of water supplies in 43 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia were evaluated in order to assess the exposure of the United States population to asbestos in drinking water. It was concluded that the large majority of U.S. water consumers are not exposed to concentrations of asbestos fibers above one million fibers per liter. In a few areas people are exposed to concentrations up to one hundred million fibers per liter. The majority of persons receiving water from asbestos-cement pipe distribution systems are not exposed to significant number of fibers from the pipe. In areas of aggressive water, however, water consumers using asbestos-cement mains may be exposed to high concentrations of fibers. This report presents data on the exposure to waterborne asbestos fibers. Other projects are currently assessing the health effects of ingested asbestos and will be described in later reports. A listing of a computerized waterborne asbestos data base is included as an Appendix.				
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS				
a. DESCRIPTORS		b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS		c. COSATI Field/Group
Asbestos, Serpentine, Potable water		Health Effects		06F
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Release to Public		19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified		21. NO. OF PAGES 95
		20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified		22. PRICE