

# 98701

MCGRATH, NORTH, MULLIN & KRATZ, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SUITE 1400 ONE CENTRAL PARK PLAZA  
222 SOUTH FIFTEENTH STREET  
OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68102  
402-341-3070  
TELEX: 797122  
TELECOPY: 402-341-0216

Site:	Cather's Sons
ID#:	NE0001327709
Break:	11.6
Other:	Deeter Foundry
	10/19/95

EDWARD G. WARIN

October 19, 1995

RECEIVED

OCT 20 1995

SUPERFUND DIVISION  
REGION VII

VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION & FEDERAL EXPRESS


Mr. Jeff Weatherford, SPFD  
United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Region VII  
726 Minnesota Avenue  
Kansas City, KS 66101

Re: Request for Information Regarding Disposal of Foundry Wastes/  
Deeter Foundry, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska

Dear Mr. Weatherford:

Pursuant to correspondence from Brenda L. Holmes dated October 12, 1995, I am enclosing the response of Deeter Foundry, Inc. to the EPA's Section 104(e) request for information. Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very sincerely yours,




EDWARD G. WARIN

EGW:daj

cc: Thomas J. Monaghan, United States Attorney, District of Nebraska  
Martha R. Steincamp, Regional Counsel  
Belinda Holmes, Office of Regional Counsel  
Michael J. Sanderson, Director, Superfund Division



98701



## **DEETER FOUNDRY, INC.'S RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUEST**

Deeter Foundry, Inc. (hereinafter "Company") makes the following responses to the information request:

### **General Responses and Objections**

A. **Confidentiality:**

The responses to this information request and any documents produced pursuant to it contain "confidential business information" pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 2. Accordingly, such responses and documents should be deemed confidential. The Company has not at any time agreed to waive any claims it may have with respect to confidentiality as to these responses or any documents produced pursuant to this request. By this response, the Environmental Protection Agency is hereby advised that the responses to this request, the underlying information from which such responses were derived and any documents produced pursuant to the request contain trade secrets and/or confidential information of the Company, the disclosure of which would irreparably harm the Company. The Company, accordingly, objects to the dissemination to any person or entity of any such responses to this request for information or any underlying data or documents produced. Further, the Company's response to this information request shall not in any manner whatsoever be deemed or construed as a waiver of any rights or remedies that the Company may have under 40 C.F.R. Part 2 and other applicable federal statutes and regulations.

B. **Scope of Responses:**

All of the responses contained herein are based upon information which is presently available and specifically known to the Company. Further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and other analysis may supply additional facts, add meaning to known facts and establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, any or all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in, and variations from the information set forth herein. These responses are given without prejudice to the Company's right to change or modify any or all of the answers and responses as additional facts are ascertained.

C. Definitions:

The Company objects to the definitions set out in the request for information on the grounds that the definitions are vague, ambiguous, overbroad, burdensome and oppressive. Therefore, the Company does not accept, ascribe to or adopt, nor is bound by the definitions set forth in the request for information, including, without limitation, the term "hazardous substance."

D. Privilege.

The Company objects to the request to the extent that it seeks information which is protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine, or any other privilege recognized by law. In the event that any privilege document from any counsel has been inadvertently produced, or any privilege or information about a document has been inadvertently produced or divulged, the Company did not, and does not, intend any waiver of any privilege or inference of waiver with respect to such documents. The Company has not, and will not, waive any attorney/client privilege, work product privilege or any other litigation privileges. The Company reserves the right to further object to questions, requests, directions or instructions which violate any privilege or attempt to circumvent any privilege, either directly or indirectly.

E. Additional Objections.

The Company objects to the request for information on the grounds that the request, in its entirety, is vague and ambiguous and calls for legal conclusions on the Company's part. The Company further objects on the grounds that the request is unduly burdensome and oppressive in that it requires the Company to attempt to respond to questions seeking information for a ten-year period. To the extent that information request seeks information beyond any applicable statute of limitation, the Company specifically preserves such objection. Additionally, much of the information sought in the information request on information and belief is currently in the possession of the Environmental Protection Agency or has been produced to the United States Government in a related inquiry. In that connection, the Company objects to the request for information to the extent that the request for information is premised upon information obtained in violation of Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Further, the Company objects on the ground that much of the information sought in the information request requires the Company to engage in speculation and conjecture.

F. Applicability of General Responses and Objections.

These general responses and objections shall apply to each and every answer and response given herein and to each and every supplemental or additional response which may hereafter be given and which shall be incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth in each and every response.

## Responses to Information Request

Without waiving any of the foregoing objections, and specifically incorporating each such objection into the following responses, the Company responds as follows:

1. Identify the person answering these questions on your behalf or on behalf of Deeter Foundry, Inc.

RESPONSE:

Edward G. Warin,  
McGrath, North, Mullin & Kratz, P.C.  
Suite 1400, One Central Park Plaza  
222 South 15th Street  
Omaha, NE 68102  
Counsel for Deeter Foundry, Inc.

2. Identify all hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, or hazardous waste constituents used or generated by Deeter Foundry at its foundry facility in Lincoln, Nebraska, from January 1, 1985 to the present, including but not limited to lead, cadmium and arsenic.

RESPONSE: The Company has previously objected to the definition of the word "hazardous." Without waiving that objection, during certain periods from 1985 through the present, the Company has produced sludge, slag, foundry sand and fly ash or bag house dust. The materials may have contained certain levels of lead and/or cadmium. During a period from approximately 1985 through 1993, the Company used an asphalt-based paint to which certain solvents were either added or were created as a result of adding the solvent to the asphalt based paint. These solvents include methyl ethyl ketone, acetone, toluene and xylene.

For each such hazardous substance, waste or constituent:

- a. Describe the ways in which each such hazardous substance, waste or constituent is or was generated.

RESPONSE: The materials identified in the preceding response were either used or produced as follows:

Sludge:	A by-product of the Company's wet scrubber pollution control system.
Slag:	A by-product of the melting process.
Foundry Sand	A product used in the molding process.

Bag House Dust      A by-product of the Company's pollution control system.  
Solvents:              Additives to asphalt-based paint in a self-contained drum.

b. Describe the physical characteristics and appearance of each type of material containing such hazardous substances, wastes or constituents, including, but not limited to slag, foundry sand, sludge, and bag house dust.

**RESPONSE:**

Sludge:                      A semisolid mud-like substance that is dark brown or black  
Slag:                         A glassy, metallic looking substance that is dark brown or black  
Foundry Sand              Granular material  
Bag House Dust            Lightweight particulate matter that is dark brown or black  
Solvents                     Liquid materials

3. State whether any materials included in question 2 above, including but not limited to slag, foundry sand, sludge and/or bag house dust containing those materials, have ever been taken off-site for use as cover, fill dirt, construction fill or other fill material, regardless of whether such materials were first mixed with soil, sand, or other non-hazardous material prior to their use or off-site shipment as fill material. For each such instance involving the use of the materials in listed question 2:

- a. Identify by street address or to a comparable degree of specificity each location to which such materials were taken;
- b. Identify the volume of materials sent to each location;
- c. Identify which materials were sent to each location;
- d. Identify the date of each such instance; and
- e. Provide any documents relating to any delivery of such materials off-site for use as fill or cover.

**RESPONSE:**

The Company objects to this request and specifically refers to its response to Question 2. Without waiving any objections previously specifically asserted and in an interest of providing information believed to be responsive to this request, the Company acknowledges that materials have been removed from the Company's property and taken

to other locations. To the best of the Company's knowledge and belief, the following entities would have been involved with the removal of material:

i. Cather & Sons:

a. As the EPA is aware, some foundry sand and slag were moved from the Company property to Cather & Sons at 6400 North 70th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

b. The Company does not have first-hand knowledge of the volume of materials handled by Cather. Cather did not provide the Company with contemporaneous documentation, but the Company has learned that the following volume of materials were removed on the following dates:

April 22, 1994:	300 Ton
June 20, 1994:	600 Ton
September 17, 1994:	960 Ton
October 29, 1994:	252 Ton
November 19, 1994:	396 Ton
November 28, 1994:	444 Ton

c. Various materials, including foundry sand and slag.

d. See b. above.

e. The Company does not have any documents or information specifically relating to Cather's removal of these by-products, other than as indicated above.

ii. Schwisow Construction. This entity or its related entity Land Construction is believed to have been involved with the movement of materials from the Company's location in connection with construction work performed at the Company. With respect to the specific subparts:

a. Unknown at this time.

b. Unknown.

c. Various materials, including foundry sand and slag.

d. Specific dates unknown. Generally the timeframe between August/September 1992.

- e. The Company is unable to locate any specific documents related to the removal of such material, with the exception of some contractual documents which may reference certain dates on which particular actions were taken.
- iii. Industrial Services, Inc. Bag house dust (or fly ash) was hauled by Industrial Services, Inc. in the Spring of 1993.
- a. Landfill. Specific address unknown.
  - b. See documents attached.
  - c. See documents attached.
  - d. See documents attached.
  - e. See documents attached.
- iv. Peoria Disposal Services, Inc. Since approximately April 1993 Peoria has treated and handled bag house dust (or fly ash).
- a. Address unknown.
  - b. Documents are somewhat voluminous and will be produced upon request.
  - c. See response to b. above.
  - d. See response to b. above.
  - e. See response to b. above.
4. State whether you or Deeter Foundry, Inc. have ever sent any of the materials discussed in questions 2 and 3 above to any park, baseball/softball field, playground, or other recreational facility in Lancaster County, Nebraska. Identify each such facility by street address and provide the information requested in items 3. a. through e. above for each such facility.

RESPONSE:

Defendant objects to the form of this request as it makes reference to questions 2 and 3 to which the Company has previously objected. To the best of the Company's

information and belief, none of the by-products of the foundry process were taken to a facility such as that described in Request No. 4.

5. Identify any other person, corporation, or other business entity you believe may have additional information relating to any of the above questions.

**RESPONSE:**

As previously referenced, the following entities may have further information concerning these questions: Cather & Sons Constructions, Inc., Schwisow Construction, Inc., (or its related entity or subsidiary Land Construction), Peoria Disposal Inc., and Industrial Services, Inc.



PDC Response, Inc.

April 19, 1993

Rich Goehring  
Deeter Foundry, Inc.  
P.O. Box 29708  
Lincoln, Nebraska 38529

Re: Treatment of Bag House Dust  
Summary Report

Dear Mr. Goehring:

### Introduction

Responding to your telephone request of April 16, 1993, this letter summarizes the field operations and documents the analytical data required for the proper disposal of the referenced wastestream.

### Background

Deeter Foundry, Inc. requested the services of an environmental contractor to treat approximately 70 cubic yards of bag house dust which was hazardous by RCRA characteristic and render it non-hazardous. This bag house dust was stored in three RCRA containers (25 cubic yard roll-off boxes) at Deeter's facility in Lincoln, Nebraska. PDC Response, Inc. was contracted by Deeter Foundry to treat the bag house dust within the containers on Deeter property.

On April 1, 1993, PDC Response, Inc. completed the scope of work detailed in our proposal R0393-46 dated March 3, 1993. The scope of our work included:

P.O. Box 9071  
Peoria, IL 61612-9071  
24 Hour Hotline:  
(309) 674-4238



100% recycled paper

- conducting a stabilization study on a sample of the bag house dust;
- mobilizing manpower and equipment to the site;
- mixing the waste with the stabilization reagent and water in ratios established during the treatment study;
- collecting a sample of treated residues from each roll-off box;
- conducting TCLP Analysis on each sample to verify the effectiveness of the treatment process;
- demobilizing from the site.

A copy of PDC Response's Proposal No. R0393-46 is attached. This scope of work was completed after Craig Hoby of PDC Response, Inc. received a verbal notice to proceed from Mr. Rich Goehring of Deeter Foundry, Inc. A Deeter Foundry Purchase Order No. 934012-18 was issued at that time.

### Treatability Study

PDC Response, Inc. subcontracted PDC Laboratories, Inc. to conduct a treatment study on a representative sample of the bag house dust provided by Deeter Foundry, Inc. Previous testing by Deeter Foundry, Inc. identified the bag house dust to be characteristically hazardous for Lead and Cadmium (D006/D008). PDC Laboratories, Inc. treated three subsamples with different reagent ratios: 15%, 20% and 25% (w/w) portland cement. Each treatment ratio passed RCRA standards, and the 20% regimen was selected by PDC Response, Inc. to be used in the full-scale field operations. A copy of PDC Laboratories, Inc. Treatment Report is attached.

### Operations

PDC Response, Inc. mobilized a crew of two technicians and a Cat 120B excavator to Deeter Foundry, Inc. in Lincoln, Nebraska on 3/31/93. PDC Response, Inc. purchased portland cement premixed with the appropriate percentage of water, as identified in the treatability study, from Ready Mixed Concrete Company, Lincoln, Nebraska. The portland cement/water slurry was added directly into three (3) 25 cubic yard steel roll-off containers. Each container held approximately 17 cubic yards of bag house dust. The bucket and boom of the excavator provided the mixing agitation required to thoroughly mix the reagent and waste.

### Post-Treatment Sampling

A single composite sample of treated residue was obtained from each roll-off box. Each sample was a composite of five (5) grab samples from various depths and locations within each roll-off box. The grab samples were composited on-site in a stainless steel bowl per SW-846 Vol. II Ch. 9, and placed into appropriate clean sample containers, provided by PDC Laboratories, Inc.

Verification Analysis

PDC Laboratories, Inc. conducted TCLP Cd and Pb analysis on the three composite samples of the treated residues. The results were:

<u>Sample No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>TCLP Pb</u>	<u>TCLP Cd</u>
93040071	#04019301-Box 1	0.03 mg/l	0.005 mg/l
93040072	#04019302-Box 2	1.31 mg/l	0.006 mg/l
93040073	#04019303-Box 3	0.03 mg/l	0.007 mg/l


PDC Laboratories analytical forms are attached.

Conclusions

Deeter Foundry elected to treat its characteristically hazardous waste stream on-site in accordance 40 CFR 268.7. PDC Response was contracted to perform these stabilization services on-site for Deeter Foundry in suitable containers. PDC Response based its stabilization process on laboratory-scale treatability tests. Following treatment, a representative sample from each container showed that the RCRA characteristic for Lead and Cadmium had been removed from the waste in each container.

Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact me at (309)676-4893.

Sincerely,



Craig Hoby, Project Manager  
PDC RESPONSE, INC.

cc: File



PDC Laboratories, Inc.

Treatability Study/Analytical Report Form

To: PDC Response
P.O. Box 9071
Peoria, IL 61614

Sales Rep:
PDC #: 93030431
PO #: P93033

Attn: Craig Hoby
Date Received 03-10-93
Date Mixed 03-17-93
Date Extracted: 03-18-93
Date of Report: 03-22-93

Waste Stream/Code: Deeter Foundry D006/8 Baghouse Dust

Comments: Passed RCRA standards with a treatment of 15% MM.
Cure time: 17.5 hours

Table with columns: TREATABILITY REGIMEN, Mix #1, Mix #2, Mix #3, TCLP DATA ON TREATED SUBSAMPLE, RCRA Standard, % Spike Recovery. Rows include K-1, MM, Other, Water, Arsenic, Barium, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Selenium, Silver, and Extraction pH 1, 2, F.

Carrie Eastburg
Carrie Eastburg
Treatment Project Scientist

TREATEST:pjt



PDC LABORATORIES, INC. PHONE #  
 4349 SOUTHPORT RD. (309) 678-4893  
 PEORIA, IL 61615 FAX #  
 (SHIPPING ADDRESS ONLY) (309) 672-2728



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

93630431

PLEASE PRINT. INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE SIDE CORRESPONDING WITH CIRCLED NUMBERS.

LAB NUMBER(S)

PAGE \_\_\_\_ OF \_\_\_\_

①	CLIENT Deetex Foundry	PROJECT NUMBER P93033	P.O. NUMBER P93033	MEANS OF SHIPPING UPS				ANALYSIS DESIRED ⑤	TCCP TIRAT Study Pb + Cd	
	ADDRESS 5945 N. 70th St.	PHONE NUMBER 402-464-7464	FAX NUMBER 402-464-8533	DATE SHIPPED 3/05/93						
	CITY & STATE Lincoln, NE 68529	SAMPLER (PLEASE PRINT)		MATRIX ④						
	CONTACT PERSON Rich Goehring	SAMPLER'S SIGNATURE		TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS						
②	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE COLLECTED	TIME COLLECTED	③ GRAB	COMPOSITE	WATER	SOIL	OTHER	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	⑥ REMARKS
	Baghouse Dust	3/05/93	10:30am	X						
⑦ TURNAROUND TIME REQUESTED (PLEASE CIRCLE) ..... NORMAL ..... RUSH ..... (RUSH TAX IS SUBJECT TO PDC LABS APPROVAL AND SURCHARGE) RUSH RESULTS VIA: (PLEASE CIRCLE) ..... FAX ..... PHONE ..... FAX # IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE: ..... PHONE # IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE: .....						⑧ Special Instructions:				
⑨ RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) Gerald M. Gehring		DATE 3/05/93	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)			Discrepancies Between Sample Labels and COC Record Y or N		Notes:		
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)		TIME 11:00	RECEIVED FOR LABORATORY BY: (SIGNATURE) Kathu Porter			⑩ Chilled Y or N		Received in Good condition Y or N		
		DATE 3-9-93				Properly Preserved Y or N				
		TIME 9:00A				Received Within Holding Time Y or N				



PDC Laboratories, Inc.

CLIENT Deeter Foundary  
 DATE COLLECTED 04-01-93  
 DATE RECEIVED 04-02-93  
 DATE OF REPORT 04-19-93  
 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION 04019301 Bag House Dust  
 P.O. NUMBER R0393-46  
 LAB NUMBER 93040071

LAB NUMBER	ANALYSIS	RESULTS
<u>93040071</u>	<u>TCLP Cadmium</u>	<u>0.005 mg/l</u>
	<u>Lead</u>	<u>0.03 mg/l</u>
	<u>Cadmium Spike Recovery</u>	<u>85 %</u>
	<u>Lead Spike Recovery</u>	<u>90 %</u>

*Anna Lisa Cozzacek*  
 Trace Metals Section Supervisor

*John P. Davis*  
 Quality Assurance Manager

spec-1:pjt



PDC Laboratories, Inc.

CLIENT Deeter Foundary  
 DATE COLLECTED 04-01-93  
 DATE RECEIVED 04-02-93  
 DATE OF REPORT 04-19-93  
 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION 04019302 Bag House Dust  
 P.O. NUMBER R0393-46  
 LAB NUMBER 93040072

LAB NUMBER	ANALYSIS	RESULTS
93040072	TCLP Cadmium	0.006 mg/l
	Lead	1.31 mg/l
	Cadmium Spike Recovery	83 %
	Lead Spike Recovery	87 %

[Signature]  
 Trace Metals Section Supervisor

[Signature]  
 Quality Assurance Manager

spec-1:pjt



PDC Laboratories, Inc.

CLIENT Deeter Foundary  
 DATE COLLECTED 04-01-93  
 DATE RECEIVED 04-02-93  
 DATE OF REPORT 04-19-93  
 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION 04019303 Bag House Dust  
 P.O. NUMBER R0393-46  
 LAB NUMBER 93040073

LAB NUMBER	ANALYSIS	RESULTS
<u>93040073</u>	<u>TCLP Cadmium</u>	<u>0.007 mg/l</u>
	<u>Lead</u>	<u>0.03 mg/l</u>
	<u>Cadmium Spike Recovery</u>	<u>84 %</u>
	<u>Lead Spike Recovery</u>	<u>89 %</u>

Richard J. Cozzolino  
 Trace Metals Section Supervisor

John R. Davis  
 Quality Assurance Manager

spec-1:pjt





PDC Response, Inc.

March 3, 1993

Richard Gohring  
Deeter Foundry, Inc.  
P.O. Box 29708  
Lincoln, NE 68529

Re: Treatment of Bag House Dust  
PDC Response Proposal No. R0393-46

Dear Mr. Gohring:

PDC Response, Inc. respectfully submits this proposal for the on-site stabilization of the Bag House Dust located at your facility in Lincoln, Nebraska. PDC Response, Inc. has completed many similar projects in a timely and cost-effective manner.

### *INTRODUCTION*

Deeter Foundry is currently storing approximately 70 yards of Bag House Dust in three separate roll-off containers. The results of TCLP analysis on the material indicate hazardous levels of Lead and Cadmium are present. Deeter Foundry wants to dispose of the material locally as no-hazardous waste, and has made the initial contacts with the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department in obtaining a disposal permit.

### *SCOPE OF WORK*

Based on our understanding of the scope of work, PDC Response, Inc. proposes to complete the following tasks:

- Conduct a stabilization study on a sample of the Bag House Dust.
- Mobilize manpower and equipment to the site.

P.O. Box 9071  
Peoria, IL 61612-9071  
24 Hour Hotline:  
(309) 674-4238



100% recycled paper

- Mix the waste with the stabilization agent and water in ratios established in the treatment study.
- Collect a sample of treated residues from each roll-off box.
- Conduct TCLP analysis on each sample to verify the effectiveness of the treatment process.
- Demobilize from the site.

The treatment/stabilization process involves mixing the waste with a pozzalonic reagent and water. Water is used to help slurry the material and reagent, improving the mixing process as well as initiating the chemical reaction. The amounts of reagent and water are determined in the laboratory through a stabilization study. In the field, a backhoe is used to add reagent as well as mix the materials together. After approximately thirty (30) minutes of mixing, the blended materials are staged in a location to allow the material to "set-up". A representative sample from each box is collected after this curing phase is complete, usually twenty-four (24) hours. Depending on the TCLP results, the treated residues may either be retreated or disposed of as a TCLP Non-hazardous special waste.

For this wastestream, one composite sample from each box of post-treated residues would be collected. The composite sample will consist of five grab samples from different locations and depths throughout each box. Equal portions of each sample will be composited and the resulting composite analyzed for RCRA Pb & Cd by PDC Laboratories, Inc.

*PRICING*

Description	Bid Quantity	Unit Bid	Amount
Treatment Study	1 Lump Sum	\$ 400.00	\$ 400.00
Mobilization/Demobilization to Lincoln, Nebraska	1 Lump Sum	\$3,300.00	\$3,300.00
On-site Treatment	70 YD <sup>3</sup>	\$50.00/YD <sup>3</sup>	\$3,500.00

Total Bid: \$7,200.00


## CLARIFICATIONS

Proposed pricing is based, and specifically conditioned upon inclusion of the following clarifications/assumptions within any resulting agreement between the parties:

- The enclosed prices are valid for 30 days.
- PDC shall not be responsible for regulatory interface, including, but not limited to, meetings, negotiations, plan preparation or any other activity not specifically identified as being within the scope of this agreement.
- Approval/signature of all waste certifications, waste profiles, manifests and other documents relating to the transportation/disposition/disposition of waste materials shall be the responsibility of Deeter Foundry.

Please contact me at (309) 676-4893, should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,



Craig Hoby, Project Manager  
PDC RESPONSE, INC.

CH/bt

cc: Ronald G. Alderfer  
Lynn Eich  
Mark Roggy  
File



**INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC.**

6201 North 70th Street  
 LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68517  
 (402) 467-3581

**INVOICE**

CUSTOMER COPY

SOLD TO: DEETERS FOUNDRY  
 5945 NORTH 70TH  
 LINCOLN, NE 68517

LINCOLN LANDFILL  
 SHIP TO: PERMITTED LOAD #932700670-07  
 WASTE FLY ASH

JNT. NO.	SALESMAN NO.	PURCHASE ORDER NO.	SHIP. VIA	COL.	PPD	DATE SHIPPED	TERMS	INVOICE DATE	PAGE
7						06/10-11			1

QTY. SHIPPED	QTY. BACK ORDERED	ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	DISC. %	EXTENDED PRICE
1	1	PER LOAD	LOAD REJECTED AT LANDFILL ON 5-30-93 BECAUSE OF WATER	\$125.00		\$125.00
1	1	PER LOAD	PUMPED OFF WATER 06-10-93 (THURSDAY)	\$125.00		\$125.00
5	4.5	PER HOUR	TRUCK TIME	\$75.00		\$337.50
6	6	PER HOUR	CERTIFIED LABORER	\$34.50		\$207.00
6	6	PER HOUR	LABORERS (2 @ 28.00 EACH)	\$56.00		\$336.00
1	1	PER LOAD	LOAD TRANSFERRED TO LINCOLN LANDFILL	\$125.00		\$125.00
1	1	EACH	LINER THAT LINED THE BOX WAS DESTROYED	\$185.00		\$185.00
1	1	EACH	LANDFILL FEE'S FOR 1ST LOAD 06-11-93 (FRIDAY)	\$285.40		\$285.40
5	3.5	PER HOUR	CERTIFIED LABORER	\$34.50		\$120.75
5	3.5	PER HOUR	LABORER	\$28.00		\$98.00
2	2	PER HOUR	TRUCK TIME	\$75.00		\$150.00
1	1	ALL	RENTED EQUIPMENT (AIR HAMMER & AIR EQUIPMENT)	\$279.65		\$279.65

SALE AMOUNT	\$2374.30
MISC. CHARGES SALES TAX FREIGHT	\$30.20
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2404.50</b>

*Richard M. Gehring*

Thank You  
 100228