USS Lead Zone 1 Amended Remedy Proposed Plan

November 29, 2018 February 13. 2019



Future Use for Zone 1

- Future use determined by owner

 East Chicago Housing Authority/City of East Chicago
- EPA cleans up property based on future use
- Current future use is residential
- Mayor Copeland has submitted public comments on future use as residential
- Developers are interested in the property for commercial redevelopment

Record of Decision

Zones 1, 2 and 3 November 2012

- Excavate to residential standards (2 feet at 400 ppm lead/26 ppm arsenic), off-site disposal of soils, institutional controls such as visible marker & deed restrictions
- No excavation under hardscapes (houses, streets, sidewalks)
- Approximately \$25 million (Zones 1, 2 and 3)
- Likely now over \$100 million will be spent on cleanup activities
 - End of 2019 Zone 3 99% complete & Zone 2 90% complete



Revised Zone 1

- Over 1,000 soil samples by EPA in Zone 1 during investigation and design phase
- Soil Borings completed prior to demolition by East Chicago Housing Authority
 - Borings to a depth of 12 feet
 - Groundwater at 4 feet
 - Debris in many borings with debris at 11 feet in some locations
 - Soil and groundwater sampling
- Boring data used with EPA soil sampling data to calculate soil volumes for remedial alternatives



Summary of Zone 1 Sampling Results							
Contaminant/Depth	Average (ppm)	Median (ppm)					
Lead at 0 to 6 inches	1,602	831					
Lead at 6 to 12 inches	3,722	1,821					
Lead at 12 to 18 inches	5,397	2,066					
Lead at 18 to 24 inches	5,204	1,830					
Lead at 24 to 30 inches	3,590	1,449					
Arsenic at 0 to 6 inches	60	46					
Arsenic at 6 to 12 inches	114	66					
Arsenic at 12 to 18 inches	141	69					
Arsenic at 18 to 24 inches	165	66					
Arsenic at 24 to 30 inches	189	70					

21 soil samples between 4 ft and 12 ft from ECHA borings 15 out of 21 samples below cleanup criteria for lead/arsenic All exceedances at 4 feet

Feasibility Study Addendum

- Future use is residential; cleanup standard is 400 ppm lead (IEUBK default values) and 26 ppm arsenic
- Assuming all soil greater than 400 ppm lead/26 ppm for arsenic in FS down to 30 inches
- Evaluated a suite of Alternatives
 - Stabilization, soil washing, containment
- Excavation with off-site disposal only viable option
- Separate study for groundwater underway

Alternative 4A Industrial Standard

Excavation to 1 foot, off-site disposal, ex situ treatment and institutional controls such as visible barrier and deed restrictions

- Due to redevelopment opportunities Alternative remained in evaluation
- 81,473 cubic yards (122,208 tons) plus ICs
- Over 50% soils likely require treatment before disposal
- Cost = \$13,990,000 (10% Contingency)
- 5 months to complete

Alternative 4B Recommended Alternative Residential Standard

Excavation to 2 feet, off-site disposal, ex situ treatment and institutional controls such as visible barrier and deed restrictions

Similar to current remedy except soil under hardscapes will be removed

- 157,206 cubic yards (235,809 tons) plus ICs – 8000 trucks
- Assume 5,000 cubic yards of concrete removal
- Over 50% soils likely require treatment before disposal
- Cost = \$26,500,000 (10% Contingency)
- 7 months to complete
- Consistent with Zone 2 & Zone 3 remedies and other remedies nationwide

Alternative 4C

Excavation to groundwater/native sand, off-site disposal, ex situ treatment and institutional controls such as a visible barrier and deed restrictions

- 226,244 cubic yards (339,366 tons) plus ICs 11,000 trucks
- Assume 10,000 cubic yards of concrete removal
- Over 45% soils likely require treatment before disposal
- Cost = \$39,850,000 (20%)
 Contingency)
- 9 months to complete

Alternative 4D

Excavation to native sand, off-site disposal, ex situ treatment

- 243,186 cubic yards (364,779 tons) 12,000 trucks
- Assume 15,000 cubic yards of concrete removal
- Over 45% soils likely require treatment before disposal
- All debris/waste removed at depth, sheet piling and on-site water treatment
- Cost = \$48,750,000 (25% contingency)
- 14 months to complete

Alternative 4B VS Alternative 4C Excavation to 2 feet VS 4 feet (groundwater/native sand)

- Both Alternatives leave contamination in place and require institutional controls due to contamination below excavation depths
- Alternative 4C will provide little additional risk reduction to the community
- Alternative 4C costs \$13 million more than Alternative 4B
- Alternative 4C more difficult to implement due to possibly encountering/managing groundwater
- Alternative 4C not as consistent with approach for Zones 2 and 3 remedies or other remedies nationwide

Alternative 4B VS Alternative 4D Excavation to 2 feet VS Native Sand

- Large amount of contingency due to implementability issues with 4D
- Information regarding contamination and debris at depth on portions of site is limited
- Excavation within groundwater challenging
 - Sheet piling and water treatment
- 4D nearly \$22 million more

Nine Evaluation Criteria

- Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment
- Compliance with ARARs
- Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence
- Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume Through Treatment
- Short-Term Effectiveness
- Implementability
- Cost
- State Acceptance
- Community Acceptance

ARARs= Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

Evaluation of Proposed Remedies

Evaluation Criterion	Alt. 1	Alt. 4A	Alt. 4B*	Alt. 4C	Alt. 4D
Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	0	0	•	•	•
Compliance with ARARs	0	•	•	•	•
Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence	0	0	•	•	•
Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment	0	۲	•	•	•
Short-term Effectiveness	N/A**	۲	۲	۲	۲
Implementability	N/A**	•	•		•
Alternative Cost (\$ millions)	\$0	\$14	\$26.5	\$39.9	\$48.8
State Acceptance		Will be	evaluated afte	r comment pe	riod.
Community Acceptance	Will be evaluated after comment period.				

Fully meets criterion
 O Does not meet criterion

* EPA's recommended alternative

** N/A: not applicable, since no remedy is being implemented in the No-Action Alternative

Summary of Excavation Alternatives

	Alternative 4B Recommended Alternative	Alternative 4A	Alternative 4C	Alternative 4D
Land Use	Residential	Commercial	Residential	Residential
Depth to Excavation	2 feet	1-foot	Groundwater/Native Sand	Native Sand
Volume Removed	157,206 cubic yards	81,473 cubic yards	226,244 cubic yards	243,186 cubic yards
Time to Implement	7 months	5 months	9 months	14 months
Cost (contingency)	\$26,500,000 (10%)	\$13,990,000 (10%)	\$39,850,000 (20%)	\$48,750,000 (25%)
Institutional Controls	Yes + visible demarcation	Yes + visible demarcation	Yes + visible demarcation	Likely
Issues/concerns	ICs necessary	Not protective if residential ICs necessary	Construction may be difficult ICs necessary	Construction difficult
	State supports this Alternative			ICs likely
	Consistent with Zone 2 & Zone 3 remedies	ios necessary	los necessary	

Summary

- Recommended Alternative 4B
 similar to original remedy
- Alternative 4B is protective for residential use and with institutional controls would not prevent future residential development
- Implementability gets more difficult the deeper you excavate due to groundwater/debris
- Redevelopment may influence final remedy
- State of Indiana supports preferred remedy