7-9-80

C: Raffy Tan & Chemical Company

Dear Erica:

Bob Meinders gave me the following information over the telephone regarding the property ownership issue in Raffy Tan. He said the title search company researched the present ownership of land conveyed by Raffy Tan to St. Louis Park. Oak Park Village Associates, a limited partnership, owns lot 1; block 3; Rustic Oaks Condominium, Inc. owns lot 2; blocks 4; Philip's Investment B. owns lot 3; block 6. The Housing and Redevelopment Authority owns the rest. The title search company is forwarding a written report to Bob.

According to the Minnesota Corporation Division, Oak Park Village Associates is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with a certificate of limited partnership on file with Hennepin County, Minnesota. The general partners are Diversified Equity Corporation, 600 Chamber of Commerce.
The number of the original certificate of limited partnership was 435-0297. The numbers of the amendments to the original certificate are: 436-6817, 437-6800, 438-2491, 439-9901.

Rustic Oaks Condominium, Inc., is a Minnesota corporation with a registered office at 4601 Chelsea Boulevard, Suite 1600, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55426.

Philips Investment Co. is a Minnesota corporation incorporated in 1959. In 1980 it restated its articles of incorporation and listed its registered office as 2606 Kipling Avenue S., St. Louis Park, Minne
data 55416.

Dennis Berlin checked the 1976 Water Quality Criteria document which contains the water quality criteria which are currently in effect. This is no standard for PAH compounds. He also checked the background document for the RCRA regulations. They state that the only outstanding USA standard for PAH compounds is the 1979 proposed standard which we gave you in the complaint.

On the issue of how to structure the phenol allegations, there are three approaches as I outlined on the telephone today. First, you can await to see if the U.S. EPA comes up with recent data for the compound of phenol and then compare that to the proposed phenol water quality criterion. Second, you can take the existing
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There who could testify about more results.

Once you have decided how to structure the chemical section of the complaint, the only remaining steps, as I understand it, are to get comments from the State and from the U.S. Attorney's Office and to forward the complaint to Tony for approval.

Maureen
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