

December 1, 2000

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

RÜTGERS Organics Corporation

Mr. Frank Klanchar USEPA, Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO RECORD OF DECISION CENTRE COUNTY KEPONE SITE, STATE COLLEGE, PA

Dear Mr. Klanchar:

Following your recent request, this letter details the public consultation efforts undertaken by RÜTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) subsequent to the August 28, 2000 public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of the Centre County Kepone Site in State College, PA.

Following the public meeting, ROC has communicated with elected officials, members of the community surrounding the site, Penn State University staff and students who participated in the public meeting, and several interested community groups. These communications are detailed below and have addressed the site history, the clean-up completed to date and the scope and rationale for USEPA's proposed remedy modifications. As you know, USEPA and PADEP have also participated directly in some of these meetings. The following summarizes ROC's efforts from September through November 2000:

September 20, 2000

Presentation/discussion of clean-up at the Community Advisory Council of ROC's State College Plant. This independent group was established four years ago and comprises twelve local citizens who represent the community directly surrounding the site. The Council provides regular input to the company on all site related issues and also advises ROC in publishing a newsletter that is distributed to 1500 residences around the site.

September 20/25, 2000

Informational letters to all local elected state and federal officials (a total of five officials received this communication which is provided as Attachment A). We received a positive response letter from State Representative Lynn Herman, a copy of which is enclosed.

201 Struble Road State College, PA 16801

Phone: 814-238-2424 Fax: 814-238-1567 web-site: http:RUETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.COM

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group



USEPA, Region III Mr. Frank Klanchar December 1, 2000

RUTGERS Organics

September 28, 2000

Presentation/discussion of cleanup and the proposed ROD amendment at Penn State University at the invitation of Prof. Dr. J. Matson. Professor Matson and a large group of his students had attended the Public Meeting and this meeting provided an opportunity for additional presentation and response to concerns raised by this group. Twenty or so students, university staff and one member of the public attended this meeting. Dr. Matson and his colleague Professor Schuhmann subsequently published an article in the CDT newspaper on October 8, 2000 that essentially supported moving forward with the Proposed ROD Amendment (see Attachment B).

November 15, 2000

1. Presentation/discussion of cleanup and the proposed ROD amendment at a public meeting hosted by the Spring Creek Watershed Community (together with USEPA and PaDEP representatives). This community group includes a wide cross-section of the public, elected officials, environmental groups and the local scientific community dedicated to the environmental protection of the watershed that includes the Site. Approximately 25 representatives were in attendance and our presentation and associated Q&A session was the focus of the meeting. USEPA invited submission of any written comments or further questions directly to the Agency.

2. Presentation/discussion of cleanup at the Community Advisory Council of ROC's State College Plant. At the request of the Council, ROC has provided an article regarding the site remediation and proposed ROD Amendment that we expect will appear in the Council's next newsletter to be published in December 2000 (as noted above, this newsletter is distributed to 1500 residences in the vicinity of the site).

November 22, 2000

Presentation/discussion of cleanup with 2^{nd} graders of the local Radio Park Elementary School in State College at the invitation of their teacher Mrs. Robert (number of attendees: 24; see Attachment C)

November 28, 2000

Presentation/discussion of cleanup and the proposed ROD amendment at the Spring Creek Watershed Commission (together with USEPA and PaDEP representatives). The Commission comprises elected representatives from each municipality in the watershed and the County government. Approximately 30 members of the Commission and the general public were in attendance and the Commission devoted the large majority of the meeting to our presentation and associated Q&A session. At the conclusion, the Chairman expressed appreciation on behalf of the Commission and indicated that a further update after the next phase of work has been completed would be appreciated. The meeting was taped by a local cable TV channel and will be broadcast on several occasions to a viewing audience that covers the entire local region. Once again USEPA invited submission of any written comments or further questions directly to the Agency and address information will be included in the broadcast.

Collectively we have therefore presented a detailed discussion of the cleanup and the proposed ROD Amendment to over 100 members of the community, including elected officials at all levels of government. In addition, detailed information will be received by 1500 residents and a region-

USEPA, Region III Mr. Frank Klanchar December 1, 2000

RÜTGERS Organics

wide viewing audience via multiple broadcasts of the presentation to the Spring Creek Watershed Commission and the associated Q&A session.

We are pleased to report that all of the feedback we have received from these efforts has been positive and supportive of the approach being proposed by the Agencies. Given the number and variety of groups we have addressed, and the attention given on each occasion to verbally respond to all questions, it is possible that the USEPA will receive limited additional written feedback. In these circumstances, and given the extensive positive verbal comments we have received from a cross-section of the general public, elected officials and the scientific and environmental communities, an absence of written communication would be indicative of satisfaction with the approach being proposed.

Sincerely yours,

Rains Jonas hi

Dr. Rainer F. Domalski Manager Remediation Projects

113000FK.doc

cc: D. Johnson, ROC (no attachments) R. Farmerie, PaDEP S. Finn, Golder Associates .

,

.

•

ATTACHMENT A

LETTERS TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS

AR500541.

-4-

September 15, 2000

The Honorable Rick Santorum United States Senate 120 Russell Building Washington, DC 20510 erentina Constantina

RUTGERS Organics Corporation

David S. Johnson President & CEO Telephone: (814) 231-9244 Fax: (814) 238-1567 E-mail: djohnson@ruetgers-organics-corp.com

Dear Senator Santorum,

As you may be aware, there has been some press coverage in the *Centre Daily Times* following a recent public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding their proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site that includes our property in State College. This is a matter of critical importance to us as a company, and, unfortunately, there appear to be some misconceptions regarding the information conveyed at the public meeting and subsequently in the media. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with a brief summary of the site history and current status, in the event that you should receive questions from the public. In addition, we would be very pleased to meet with you or one of your staff to provide further information or answer any questions you may have.

The site occupied by RÜTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) on Struble Road in State College is part of a Federal Superfund site known as the Centre County Kepone Site. The site was placed on the Superfund list as a result of chemical releases that occurred between the 1950s and early 1970s when kepone and mirex were manufactured by Nease Chemical Company, which owned the facility at that time.

We purchased the facility in 1977 and have been working with USEPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) since that time to investigate and clean-up the historic contamination. In 1995, following extensive investigation by ROC under the direction of USEPA and PADEP, USEPA selected a remedy that included groundwater clean-up as well as soil and sediment excavation.

Fax: 814-238-1567 web-site: http:RUETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.CO

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group

Page 2

Interestingly, USEPA concluded that, notwithstanding the official name of the Superfund site that had been coined in 1983, Centre County *Kepone* Site, kepone did not in fact pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In fact, almost all the potential risk posed by the site was due to volatile organic compounds in groundwater.

Since that time, we have been engaged in design and implementation of the remedy selected by USEPA, and we have so far completed the construction of the groundwater system and sediment remediation on our property at a cost to us to date of over \$5 million. As well as addressing the contaminated groundwater on our property, the groundwater system will protect groundwater and surface water (including Spring Creek) downgradient of our property.

In addition to designing and constructing the groundwater and sediment remedy, ROC has also invested in further investigation of the soil contamination and in full-scale pilot testing of an alternative approach to soil remediation. This work has again been undertaken with the full review and approval of USEPA, PADEP and USEPA's Office of Research & Development. As a result of these studies, USEPA has concluded that a better, more protective remedy for soil contamination, using technology that was not widely available in 1995, is now possible. The approach now proposed by USEPA involves removal of volatile organic contamination by direct extraction from the soil (referred to as *enhanced soil vapor extraction*), in combination with more limited soil excavation. USEPA has concluded, and we concur, that this will result in a more protective remedy, primarily because more of the volatile organics (which pose a potential risk to human health and the environment) will be removed.

Significantly, this proposed remedy also retains the requirement to excavate kepone in soils which exceed the very same clean-up standard as USEPA proposed in 1995. As well as being more protective of human health and the environment, this approach will minimize disruption to the community and our operations, and be more cost-effective.

مەرىپىيە بېلىرى 1944-يىلى ئېلىكى ئېلىكى 1944-يىلى ئېلىكى ئېلىكى In its proposal, USEPA also plans to ensure that soils that are excavated are disposed of in accordance with current regulations. Unfortunately this appears to have lead to the incorrect conclusion in some circles that USEPA is relaxing standards so that a less effective clean-up occurs at the site. This is not the case, since these changes only affect the way in which excavated soils are disposed, and have absolutely no bearing on the extent of clean-up on our property.

The State College community is our community and we remain committed to thoroughly cleaning up the contamination we inherited when we purchased this facility. It is also of critical importance to us that the members of our local community have an accurate understanding of USEPA's proposals.

To put things in their simplest terms, the approach put forward by USEPA will actually achieve more than the original clean-up plan for the site, that is, the proposal will provide for a higher degree of protection of human health and the environment. The biggest difference from the original plan is the addition of new technologies that will be utilized to achieve the clean-up.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Dr. Rainer Domalski, on (814) 238-2424 if you or one of our staff wish to discuss this with us directly.

Yours sincerely,

David S. Johnson President & CEO

l contes

September 15, 2000

The Honorable Rick Santorum United States Senate 120 Russell Building Washington, DC 20510



RÜTGERS Organics Corporation

David S. Johnson President & CEO Telephone: (814) 231-9244 Fax: (814) 238 -1567 E-mail: djohnson@ruetgers-organics-corp.com

Dear Senator Santorum, 1

As you may be aware, there has been some press coverage in the *Centre Daily Times* following a recent public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding their proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site that includes our property in State College. This is a matter of critical importance to us as a company, and, unfortunately, there appear to be some misconceptions regarding the information conveyed at the public meeting and subsequently in the media. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with a brief summary of the site history and current status, in the event that you should receive questions from the public. In addition, we would be very pleased to meet with you or one of your staff to provide further information or answer any questions you may have.

The site occupied by RUTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) on Struble Road in State College is part of a Federal Superfund site known as the Centre County Kepone Site. The site was placed on the Superfund list as a result of chemical releases that occurred between the 1950s and early 1970s when kepone and mirex were manufactured by Nease Chemical Company, which owned the facility at that time.

We purchased the facility in 1977 and have been working with USEPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) since that time to investigate and clean-up the historic contamination. In 1995, following extensive investigation by ROC under the direction of USEPA and PADEP, USEPA selected a remedy that included groundwater clean-up as well as soil and sediment excavation.

Fax: 814-238-1567 web-site: http:RUETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.COI

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group

Interestingly, USEPA concluded that, notwithstanding the official name of the Superfund site that had been coined in 1983, Centre County *Kepone* Site, kepone did not in fact pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In fact, almost all the potential risk posed by the site was due to volatile organic compounds in groundwater.

Since that time, we have been engaged in design and implementation of the remedy selected by USEPA, and we have so far completed the construction of the groundwater system and sediment remediation on our property at a cost to us to date of over \$5 million. As well as addressing the contaminated groundwater on our property, the groundwater system will protect groundwater and surface water (including Spring Creek) downgradient of our property.

In addition to designing and constructing the groundwater and sediment remedy, ROC has also invested in further investigation of the soil contamination and in full-scale pilot testing of an alternative approach to soil remediation. This work has again been undertaken with the full review and approval of USEPA, PADEP and USEPA's Office of Research & Development. As a result of these studies, USEPA has concluded that a better, more protective remedy for soil contamination, using technology that was not widely available in 1995, is now possible. The approach now proposed by USEPA involves removal of volatile organic contamination by direct extraction from the soil (referred to as *enhanced soil vapor extraction*), in combination with more limited soil excavation. USEPA has concluded, and we concur, that this will result in a more protective remedy, primarily because more of the volatile organics (which pose a potential risk to human health and the environment) will be removed.

Significantly, this proposed remedy also retains the requirement to excavate kepone in soils which exceed the very same clean-up standard as USEPA proposed in 1995. As well as being more protective of human health and the environment, this approach will minimize disruption to the community and our operations, and be more cost-effective.

AR500546

1 er (* *

In its proposal, USEPA also plans to ensure that soils that are excavated are disposed of in accordance with current regulations. Unfortunately this appears to have lead to the incorrect conclusion in some circles that USEPA is relaxing standards so that a less effective clean-up occurs at the site. This is not the case, since these changes only affect the way in which excavated soils are disposed, and have absolutely no bearing on the extent of clean-up on our property.

The State College community is our community and we remain committed to thoroughly cleaning up the contamination we inherited when we purchased this facility. It is also of critical importance to us that the members of our local community have an accurate understanding of USEPA's proposals.

To put things in their simplest terms, the approach put forward by USEPA will actually achieve more than the original clean-up plan for the site, that is, the proposal will provide for a higher degree of protection of human health and the environment. The biggest difference from the original plan is the addition of new technologies that will be utilized to achieve the clean-up.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Dr. Rainer Domalski, on (814) 238-2424 if you or one of our staff wish to discuss this with us directly.

Yours sincerely,

David S. Johnson President & CEO

م و دو را د از دو د. دردها با در از در د September 15, 2000

The Honorable Rick Santorum United States Senate 120 Russell Building Washington, DC 20510 orgendens

RÜTGERS Organics Corporation

David S. Johnson President & CEO Telephone: (814) 231-9244 Fax: (814) 238-1567 E-mail: djohnson@ruetgers-organics-corp.com

Dear Senator Santorum,

As you may be aware, there has been some press coverage in the *Centre Daily Times* following a recent public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding their proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site that includes our property in State College. This is a matter of critical importance to us as a company, and, unfortunately, there appear to be some misconceptions regarding the information conveyed at the public meeting and subsequently in the media. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with a brief summary of the site history and current status, in the event that you should receive questions from the public. In addition, we would be very pleased to meet with you or one of your staff to provide further information or answer any questions you may have.

The site occupied by RÜTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) on Struble Road in State College is part of a Federal Superfund site known as the Centre County Kepone Site. The site was placed on the Superfund list as a result of chemical releases that occurred between the 1950s and early 1970s when kepone and mirex were manufactured by Nease Chemical Company, which owned the facility at that time.

We purchased the facility in 1977 and have been working with USEPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) since that time to investigate and clean-up the historic contamination. In 1995, following extensive investigation by ROC under the direction of USEPA and PADEP, USEPA selected a remedy that included groundwater clean-up as well as soil and sediment excavation.

Phone: 814-238-2424 Fax: 814-238-1567 web-site: http://UETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.CO

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group

Page 2

ing and a second

Interestingly, USEPA concluded that, notwithstanding the official name of the Superfund site that had been coined in 1983, Centre County *Kepone* Site, kepone did not in fact pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In fact, almost all the potential risk posed by the site was due to volatile organic compounds in groundwater.

Since that time, we have been engaged in design and implementation of the remedy selected by USEPA, and we have so far completed the construction of the groundwater system and sediment remediation on our property at a cost to us to date of over \$5 million. As well as addressing the contaminated groundwater on our property, the groundwater system will protect groundwater and surface water (including Spring Creek) downgradient of our property.

In addition to designing and constructing the groundwater and sediment remedy, ROC has also invested in further investigation of the soil contamination and in full-scale pilot testing of an alternative approach to soil remediation. This work has again been undertaken with the full review and approval of USEPA, PADEP and USEPA's Office of Research & Development. As a result of these studies, USEPA has concluded that a better, more protective remedy for soil contamination, using technology that was not widely available in 1995, is now possible. The approach now proposed by USEPA involves removal of volatile organic contamination by direct extraction from the soil (referred to as *enhanced soil vapor extraction*), in combination with more limited soil excavation. USEPA has concluded, and we concur, that this will result in a more protective remedy, primarily because more of the volatile organics (which pose a potential risk to human health and the environment) will be removed.

Significantly, this proposed remedy also retains the requirement to excavate kepone in soils which exceed the very same clean-up standard as USEPA proposed in 1995. As well as being more protective of human health and the environment, this approach will minimize disruption to the community and our operations, and be more cost-effective.

In its proposal, USEPA also plans to ensure that soils that are excavated are disposed of in accordance with current regulations. Unfortunately this appears to have lead to the incorrect conclusion in some circles that USEPA is relaxing standards so that a less effective clean-up occurs at the site. This is not the case, since these changes only affect the way in which excavated soils are disposed, and have absolutely no bearing on the extent of clean-up on our property.

The State College community is our community and we remain committed to thoroughly cleaning up the contamination we inherited when we purchased this facility. It is also of critical importance to us that the members of our local community have an accurate understanding of USEPA's proposals.

To put things in their simplest terms, the approach put forward by USEPA will actually achieve more than the original clean-up plan for the site, that is, the proposal will provide for a higher degree of protection of human health and the environment. The biggest difference from the original plan is the addition of new technologies that will be utilized to achieve the clean-up.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Dr. Rainer Domalski, on (814) 238-2424 if you or one of our staff wish to discuss this with us directly.

Yours sincerely,

David S. Johnson President & CEO

AR500550

l spannad

September 15, 2000

The Honorable Rick Santorum United States Senate 120 Russell Building Washington, DC 20510



RÜTGERS Organics Corporation

David S. Johnson President & CEO Telephone: (814) 231-9244 Fax: (814) 238 -1567 E-mail: diohnson@ruetgers-organics-corp.com

Dear Senator Santorum, 1

As you may be aware, there has been some press coverage in the *Centre Daily Times* following a recent public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding their proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site that includes our property in State College. This is a matter of critical importance to us as a company, and, unfortunately, there appear to be some misconceptions regarding the information conveyed at the public meeting and subsequently in the media. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with a brief summary of the site history and current status, in the event that you should receive questions from the public. In addition, we would be very pleased to meet with you or one of your staff to provide further information or answer any questions you may have.

The site occupied by RUTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) on Struble Road in State College is part of a Federal Superfund site known as the Centre County Kepone Site. The site was placed on the Superfund list as a result of chemical releases that occurred between the 1950s and early 1970s when kepone and mirex were manufactured by Nease Chemical Company, which owned the facility at that time.

We purchased the facility in 1977 and have been working with USEPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) since that time to investigate and clean-up the historic contamination. In 1995, following extensive investigation by ROC

under the direction of USEPA and PADEP, USEPA selected a remedy that included groundwater clean-up as well as soil and sediment excavation.

201 Struble Road State College, PA 16801

Phone: 814-238-2424 Fax: 814-238-1567 web-site: http:RUETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.COI

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group





Interestingly, USEPA concluded that, notwithstanding the official name of the Superfund site that had been coined in 1983, Centre County *Kepone* Site, kepone did not in fact pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In fact, almost all the potential risk posed by the site was due to volatile organic compounds in groundwater.

Since that time, we have been engaged in design and implementation of the remedy selected by USEPA, and we have so far completed the construction of the groundwater system and sediment remediation on our property at a cost to us to date of over \$5 million. As well as addressing the contaminated groundwater on our property, the groundwater system will protect groundwater and surface water (including Spring Creek) downgradient of our property.

In addition to designing and constructing the groundwater and sediment remedy, ROC has also invested in further investigation of the soil contamination and in full-scale pilot testing of an alternative approach to soil remediation. This work has again been undertaken with the full review and approval of USEPA, PADEP and USEPA's Office of Research & Development. As a result of these studies, USEPA has concluded that a better, more protective remedy for soil contamination, using technology that was not widely available in 1995, is now possible. The approach now proposed by USEPA involves removal of volatile organic contamination by direct extraction from the soil (referred to as *enhanced soil vapor extraction*), in combination with more limited soil excavation. USEPA has concluded, and we concur, that this will result in a more protective remedy, primarily because more of the volatile organics (which pose a potential risk to human health and the environment) will be removed.

Significantly, this proposed remedy also retains the requirement to excavate kepone in soils which exceed the very same clean-up standard as USEPA proposed in 1995. As well as being more protective of human health and the environment, this approach will minimize disruption to the community and our operations, and be more cost-effective.

In its proposal, USEPA also plans to ensure that soils that are excavated are disposed of in accordance with current regulations. Unfortunately this appears to have lead to the incorrect conclusion in some circles that USEPA is relaxing standards so that a less effective clean-up occurs at the site. This is not the case, since these changes only affect the way in which excavated soils are disposed, and have absolutely no bearing on the extent of clean-up on our property.

The State College community is our community and we remain committed to thoroughly cleaning up the contamination we inherited when we purchased this facility. It is also of critical importance to us that the members of our local community have an accurate understanding of USEPA's proposals.

To put things in their simplest terms, the approach put forward by USEPA will actually achieve more than the original clean-up plan for the site, that is, the proposal will provide for a higher degree of protection of human health and the environment. The biggest difference from the original plan is the addition of new technologies that will be utilized to achieve the clean-up.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Dr. Rainer Domalski, on (814) 238-2424 if you or one of our staff wish to discuss this with us directly.

Yours sincerely,

David S. Johnson President & CEO

September 25, 2000

Hon. Camille George Room 38B Main Capitol Building (East Wing) House Box 202020 Harrisburg, PA 19120-2020



RÜTGERS Organics Corporation

David S. Johnson President & CEO Telephone: (814) 231-9244 Fax: (814) 238 -1567 E-mail: djohnson@ruetgers-organics-corp.com

Dear Representative George,

As you may be aware, there has been some press coverage in the *Centre Daily Times* following a recent public meeting hosted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding their proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site that includes our property in State College. This is a matter of critical importance to us as a company, and, unfortunately, there appear to be some misconceptions regarding the information conveyed at the public meeting and subsequently in the media. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with a brief summary of the site history and current status, in the event that you should receive questions from the public. In addition, we would be very pleased to meet with you or one of your staff to provide further information or answer any questions you may have.

The site occupied by RÜTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) on Struble Road in State College is part of a Federal Superfund site known as the Centre County Kepone Site. The site was placed on the Superfund list as a result of chemical releases that occurred between the 1950s and early 1970s when kepone and mirex were manufactured by Nease Chemical Company, which owned the facility at that time.

We purchased the facility in 1977 and have been working with USEPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) since that time to investigate and clean-up the historic contamination. In 1995, following extensive investigation by ROC under the direction of USEPA and PADEP, USEPA selected a remedy that included groundwater clean-up as well as soil and sediment excavation.

Phone: 814-238-2424 Fax: 814-238-1567 web-aite: http:RUETGERS-ORGANICS-CORP.CO

Member of the RÜTGERS Organics Group



Interestingly, USEPA concluded that, notwithstanding the official name of the Superfund site that had been coined in 1983, Centre County *Kepone* Site, kepone did not in fact pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In fact, almost all the potential risk posed by the site was due to volatile organic compounds in groundwater.

Since that time, we have been engaged in design and implementation of the remedy selected by USEPA, and we have so far completed the construction of the groundwater system and sediment remediation on our property at a cost to us to date of over \$5 million. As well as addressing the contaminated groundwater on our property, the groundwater system will protect groundwater and surface water (including Spring Creek) downgradient of our property.

In addition to designing and constructing the groundwater and sediment remedy, ROC has also invested in further investigation of the soil contamination and in full-scale pilot testing of an alternative approach to soil remediation. This work has again been undertaken with the full review and approval of USEPA, PADEP and USEPA's Office of Research & Development. As a result of these studies, USEPA has concluded that a better; more protective remedy for soil contamination, using technology that was not widely available in 1995, is now possible. The approach now proposed by USEPA involves removal of volatile organic contamination by direct extraction from the soil (referred to as *enhanced soil vapor extraction*), in combination with more limited soil excavation. **USEPA has concluded, and we concur, that this will result in a more protective remedy, primarily because more of the volatile organics (which pose a potential risk to human health and the environment) will be removed.**

Significantly, this proposed remedy also retains the requirement to excavate kepone in soils which exceed the very same clean-up standard as USEPA proposed in 1995. As well as being more protective of human health and the environment, this approach will minimize disruption to the community and our operations, and be more cost-effective.



In its proposal, USEPA also plans to ensure that soils that are excavated are disposed of in accordance with current regulations. Unfortunately this appears to have lead to the incorrect conclusion in some circles that USEPA is relaxing standards so that a less effective clean-up occurs at the site. This is not the case, since these changes only affect the way in which excavated soils are disposed, and have absolutely no bearing on the extent of clean-up on our property.

The State College community is our community and we remain committed to thoroughly cleaning up the contamination we inherited when we purchased this facility. It is also of critical importance to us that the members of our local community have an accurate understanding of USEPA's proposals.

To put things in their simplest terms, the approach put forward by USEPA will actually achieve more than the original clean-up plan for the site, that is, the proposal will provide for a higher degree of protection of human health and the environment. The biggest difference from the original plan is the addition of new technologies that will be utilized to achieve the clean-up.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Dr. Rainer Domalski, on (814) 238-2424 if you or one of our staff wish to discuss this with us directly.

Yours sincerely,

David S. Johnson President & CEO

LYNN B. HERMAN, MEMBER

HOUSE BOX 202020 MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING HARRISBURG, PA 17120-2020 PHONE: (717) 787-8594

301 S. ALLEN STREET ALLENWAY BLDG. / SUITE 102 STATE COLLEGE, PA 16801 PHONE: (814) 238-5477

130 N. FRONT STREET PHILIPSBURG, PA 16866 PHONE: (814) 342-5411



House of Representatives COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

COMMITTEES

LOCAL GOVERNMENT, CHAIRMAN EDUCATION SUB-COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION LEGISLATIVE DATA PROCESSING, CHAIRMAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION MAJORITY POLICY

PENN STATE FORUM CO-CHAIRMAN CHILDRENS CAUCUS FIREFIGHTERS CAUCUS SPORTSMEN'S CAUCUS SUBSTANCE ABUSE CAUCUS

September 25, 2000

Mr. David S. Johnson President & CEO RUTGERS Organics Corporation 201 Struble Road State College, PA 16801



Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you very much for providing me with information regarding the USEPA's proposed revisions to plans for cleanup of a Federal Superfund site which includes RUTGERS Organics Corporation in State College.

I greatly appreciate your taking the time to clarify this matter. I will not hesitate to contact you or Dr. Domalski should I have any questions.

If I can be of service to you or RUTGERS Organics Corporation in any way, please feel free to contact me.

Sincer B. Hermon

Lynn B Herman State Representative 77th Legislative District

LBH/lls

..

,

.

.

ATTACHMENT B

CDT NEWSPAPER ARTICLE OCTOBER 8, 2000

FOLLOWING ROC'S PRESENTATION AT PENN STATE SEPTEMBER 28, 2000

AR500558

-5-

-

CDT October 8,2000 (Sunday)

The dirty details of the Spring Creek cleanup

By JACK V. MATSON and RICHARD SCHUHMANN

A month ago the Environmental Protection Agency held a public meeting here to outline its new plan to clean up toxic chemicals at the Riletgers Chemicals site near the Nittany Mall. Kepone, Mirex and other similar chemicals have been leaching from the chemical plant for 40 years and passing through Thornton Springs at Lemont into Spring Creek. Fishermen are warned not to eat their catches because of the accumulation of Kepone and Mirex, probable carcinogenic or cancer-causing agents, in the fish.

We teach environmental courses to engineering students at Penn State and decided that the EPA proposal would make for a good project to study technical decision-making. As the students dug into the details, we became concerned that the proposed technology, soil vapor extraction, or SVE, would not work because it removes only volatile chemicals, those capable of being vaporized. Kepone and Mirex are not volatile and would not be removed by SVE.

Furthermore, the remedy for removing the toxins from the groundwater requires the capture and treatment of all the water infiltrating through the Rüetgers site. How could that possibly be accomplished during periods of heavy rainfall?

The public meeting was a disaster for the EPA and a huge disappointment for the 100-plus citizens who attended. The EPA had no real answers to the big questions, and admitted that SVE would not remove the nonvolatile toxins that continued to pollute Spring Creek. A neighbor, Ann Pangborn, called me the next day and suggested we invite representatives from Riletgers to present their side in the spirit of open intellectual discussion to the class. I dialed up Rüctgers and they agreed - with a surprising degree of enthusiasm. Two weeks ago, when they attended the 8 a.m. class, their story was markedly different from the EPA's. It was so different, and seemed so technically defensible, that we wondered if Rijetgers and the EPA. had been speaking different languages to each other. Rietgers acknowledged that SVE was strictly for the removal other volatile toxics they're required to clean up, not Kepone and Mirex. It also admitted that SVE was a difficult technology to use in the low-porosity clays of this area, but indicated testing with an enhanced method of using high-pressure water to create voids in the soil was successful. Regarding the removal of Kepone and Mirex from groundwater, it discussed plans to create an underground reservoir under the site by pumping down the water table during dry periods so that when it rains, percolated water can be stored for subsequent treatment.

Although we were impressed with their level of technical understanding of the risks involved with these innovative approaches, we question how these technologies will work at this site

However, more delays in cleanup only allow the continued release of highly toxic chemicals into Thornton Springs and Spring Creek

Our understanding is that if the EPA gives Riletgers approval to go shead with its plans, the EPA will provide oversight to monitor the work. This does not give us a secure feeling, given the EPA's apparent lack of



A young child wades into Spring Creek in Houserville in the summer of 1999.

As the students dug into the details, we became concerned that the proposed technology (for removing toxic pesticides from Spring Lake) would not work.

understanding of what Riletgers is planning to do. The EPA needs to fully understand what is going on at this site, and expeditiously give the go-ahead. Riletgers needs to move ahead with the cleanup of the site.

Openness and responsiveness to the communities' need for updated information by both Riletgers and the EPA are essential as the technologies are implemented and cleanup progresses.

The mere fact that Riletgers is applying innovative technologies to the cleanup of the site may not be the FILE PHO

end of the story. If these technologies do not work as expected, the EPA and Rüetgers need to expeditiously recognize this.

CDT

10/8/2000

Other remedies mentioned at the public meeting are available, and should be considered for backup in case of failure.

Jack V. Matson is a professor and Richard Schuhmann is an instructor with the Civil and Environmental Engineering department of Penn State University. AR500560

The ClearWater Conservancy

Promoting Conservation in the Heart of Pennsylvania Since 1980

mer 7

November 15, 2000

Rainer Domalski Manager, Remediation Projects Rutgers Organics Corporation 201 Struble Road State College, PA16801

Rainer,

On behalf of the Spring Creek Watershed Community (SCWC), I would like to thank you for providing the Coordinating Committee of the SCWC with the presentation on the Centre County Kepone Superfund Site Investigation and Clean-up. After the public meeting held in August 2000, the Coordinating Committee came to the realization that we, as the guiding committee of the Watershed Community, needed to become more informed of the happenings occurring at the Superfund site. The presentation was very informative and helpful in our efforts to learn more about the site and the proposed changes to the Record of Decision.

Sincerely,

Kater Anerlste-

Katie Ombalski Watershed Coordinator Spring Creek Watershed Community ClearWater Conservancy

2555 N. Atherton Street & State College, Pennsylvania 16803 & 814/237-0400 www.clearwaterconservancy.org & clearh2o@vicon.net Printed on Recycled Paper



.

.

ATTACHMENT C

LETTER FROM STUDENTS OF RADIO PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. STATE COLLEGE, PA

BEFORE AND FOLLOWING ROC's PRESENTATION NOVEMBER 22, 2000

AR500562

-6-

Jessica

David Johnson Ruetgers Johnson 201 struble Rd. Corp. Gtate College, A 16 801

Ruchdere pivoling in 2019 $\chi q q \rho q$





Ear Mr. Johnson	My name is Jessica. I am a servina omder leamed	hat Spring Creek is polluted. I would like you to stop polluing	he water T think pollution is			WO	AR500566
-----------------	--	--	-------------------------------	--	--	----	----------

Dear Mr. Donalski, Thank you so much for coming in to see the students! They really enjoyed your presentation and Mrs. Robert and I appreciate the effort you made. I couldn't have asked for anything better! Good luck with the clean up, and have a great Thanksgiving. Keep us posted " Sincerely, website

www.personal.psu.edu/Imm210/home.htm Sauren Molfi (turn over)

C

Student Questions

- 1. How and when did you know that Spring Creek was polluted?
- 2. How are you going to clean up the water?
- 3. How will the fish, bugs, and plants survive?
- 4. How did you get the EPA to help you?
- 5. Who or what else is polluting the water?
- 6. What tools will you use to clean the water?
- 7. Why can't fisherman fish there anymore?
- 8. How did the bugs and fish survive the pollution?
- 9. Once the water s cleaned, what will you do to make sure it is clean?
- 10. How will you let the community know the water is clean?
- 11. Will we be able to eat the fish after you clean?

<u>,69500584</u> JUU 1 63 **'**Y.1 de A ì L MDƏ \mathbf{O} SIQ. 8 SAMS 1 ¥ 29 ١ \cap **d**. -010 N ∇ NUK noi SIO 17

-

Jean Millondicki Thankyou for coming of presention the put	Tapythankes diving	AR500570

12200284 276 9W C 527 5 M) () 512 $\overline{\mathbf{d}}$ 0 7 anin ms <u>S1</u> JUL \mathcal{O} ыл 꼬기 5



ST2002AA

	SAINC
	3101
·····	
·····	- PULLAIN AUUL
	Leek and have a good
<u>= fu</u>	judg ubara in poog
	1217 12 pote of 1 23/1 1
	n of y p of sspind
	of fut to Just And t
	Der Minhonski-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



ARSOOS73 151 UNIC 1210 NG $\mathcal{D}l$ No UDD JJ UD IMOUD 211. $\partial \cap$ "SN +1511 UN 12 1 UNI 01 1450 $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{D}$ UBLY UDOS: 40 .5.1. bui 1000 C 2 NDDJ 10 1a PULICE CLEWING Jag. _____ Pan 16 MICOL 00 1000 21 MY221 buic 02U102 124 101 10L JUIDI non \mathcal{A}^{T} 11 10 10 15 102 7:101

Éa COMIN sighos. eng W ŧ 0171119 OU ť. 7 Have OBY ei a aivina KC and OO1 11705 2 AR500574

ST2003AA

Tat
2/07
<u></u>
start aff un front
mays hox yung
19/1 - MALTOR



÷ 2 مير 1 1 --**-**ŝ 11 ź j. ŧ ł Ĉ A ŝ AR500576

....*f*....)

misk elling ou awnserina gius iO hing TYOYA Ranna Ô VOLL ke Wat the NON)e OILT cnow nose Ö 10W OU UST Mee VONS NODE Ê IVEIN TOCAT TUD AR500577

 $)\dot{\rho}$ 1 $r \in U$ ļ NNK $D(\lambda$ -)/ [7]1 Ś I) Ľ n ۰. AR500578