

159 Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201

Transmitted Electronically and via Overnight Courier

December 7, 2017

Mr. Christopher Smith Office of Site Remediation and Restoration U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I 5 Post Office Square - Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912 Mr. Michael Gorski Regional Director, Western Regional Office Department of Environmental Protection 436 Dwight Street Springfield, MA 01103

Re: GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site
Unkamet Brook Area (GECD170)
Summary of 2017 Annual ERE Inspection Activities

Dear Mr. Smith and Mr. Gorski:

On November 8, 2017, the General Electric Company (GE) completed inspections of four non-GE-owned properties located within the Unkamet Brook Area in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, for which Grants of Environmental Restrictions and Easements (EREs) have been executed and recorded under the Consent Decree (CD) for the GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site. Three of these properties are privately owned parcels on which EREs were executed and recorded in the Berkshire Middle District Registry of Deeds in 2012, prior to any remediation activities. They are: Tax Parcel L12-1-5 (ERE recorded on January 30, 2012) in Book 4890, Page 56); and Tax Parcels L12-1-4 and L12-1-101 (EREs recorded on May 4, 2012 in Book 4953, Page 1, and Book 4952, Page 269, respectively). Remediation activities were completed at and in the vicinity of these three properties in October 2016. In accordance with the EREs, Notices of Completion for these properties were recorded on February 1, 2017 in Book 5908, Pages 259 (for Parcel L12-1-5), 251 (for Parcel L12-1-4), and 278 (for Parcel L12-1-101), along with Revised Plans of Property and Restricted Area for Parcels L12-1-5 and L12-1-101, which were recorded in Plat O, Nos. 23 and 24, respectively.¹ GE notified the property owners and encumbrance holders on these properties of the recordation of these documents. In addition, in 2013, an ERE was executed and recorded for Tax Parcel K11-7-9, which is owned by the City of Pittsfield (ERE recorded on August 16, 2013 in Book 5249, Page 277). For this property, no remediation was necessary, and thus there was no need for recording of a Notice of Completion.

Under Paragraph 57.0 of the CD, GE is required to perform an annual inspection of non-GE-owned properties subject to an ERE to assess compliance with the ERE. The last ERE inspections of these four properties were performed in December 2016, with a report on them submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) on December 8, 2016. This letter presents the results of the 2017 annual ERE inspections of these properties – which constituted the sixth ERE inspection conducted by GE at Parcels L12-1-5, L12-1-4, and L12-1-101 and the fifth ERE inspection at Parcel K11-7-9.

⁻

¹ No such revised plan was necessary for Parcel L12-1-4.

GE conducted these ERE inspections in accordance with the requirements of Section 4.1 of GE's August 19, 2016 Post-Removal Site Control Plan/Restoration Project Monitoring and Maintenance Plan (PRSCP/RPMMP), which was conditionally approved by EPA by letter dated September 6, 2016. Under the applicable requirements, the annual ERE inspection is to consist of two components – a document review and a visual on-site inspection. For each of the above-listed properties, the document review is to include review of the following documents: (i) the ERE itself, (ii) Notices of Completion (where applicable), (iii) the associated Plans of Property and Restricted Area or Revised Plans of Property and Restricted Area (where applicable), (iv) relevant technical drawings from an EPA-approved work plan that depict post-remediation site features (or an alternative plan proposed by GE), and (v) any recorded amendments to and/or releases from the ERE, any known conditional exceptions under the ERE, and any Post-Work Notification Forms (Exhibit F to the ERE) of which GE has a copy. The second component is to consist of a visual on-site inspection to determine whether there is visual evidence that any of the following have occurred at the property since the last inspection:

- Activities at or uses of the property that are potentially contrary to the restrictions stated in the ERE;
- Utility work or any building construction, modification, addition, and/or demolition;
- Soil excavation that involved more than 10 cubic yards of soil;
- Significant soil erosion; or
- Significant pavement construction, disturbance, and/or removal/excavation.

Following the inspection, GE is required to submit to EPA and MassDEP a report that includes a summary of the findings of the inspection, a description and the basis for the identification (based on the visual inspection in conjunction with the document review) of any instances of potential non-compliance with the EREs, and a copy of a completed ERE Annual Inspection Checklist for each property, using the form provided in Appendix C to the August 2016 PRSCP/RPMMP.

The document review for the above-listed properties included review of the documents listed above.² The visual on-site inspection, conducted on November 8, 2017, was performed to determine whether there was visual evidence that any of the above-listed conditions had occurred at any of these properties since the last inspection.

The results of the November 2017 ERE inspection of Parcels L12-1-5, L12-1-4, L12-1-101 and K11-7-9 are documented in the attached completed Annual Inspection Checklists, one for each property. As indicated in those forms, no new ERE-related documentation had been generated since the last inspection in November 2016.

As also indicated in the attached inspection checklists, the visual on-site inspection conducted on November 8, 2017 revealed no changes in the physical condition to any of the parcels subject to inspection, and there was no evidence of any of the other above-listed conditions at these properties since the last inspection.

The next ERE inspections of these properties are scheduled for the fall of 2018 and will be conducted in accordance with the *Revised Post-Removal Site Control Plan/Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Plan* that is part of the forthcoming Final Completion Report for the Unkamet Brook Area Removal Action, as approved by EPA.

² Specifically, the technical drawings reviewed included the technical drawings identified in footnote 25 of the August 2016 PRSCP/RPMMP, plus, for Parcels L12-1-5, L12-1-4, and L12-1-101, Figure 3C from the August 2017 draft *Revised Post-Removal Site Control Plan/Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Plan*. The drawings reviewed for each property are listed in the attached checklists.

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Kevin Mooney

Remediation Project Manager

Hein Maney

Attachments

cc: Dean Tagliaferro, EPA (hard copy + electronic copy by e-mail)

John Kilborn, EPA (electronic copy by e-mail)

Christopher Ferry, ASRC Primus (electronic copy by e-mail)

John Ziegler, MassDEP (electronic copy by e-mail)

Eva Tor, MassDEP (letter by e-mail)

Nancy E. Harper, MA AG (letter by e-mail)

Scott Campbell, Avatar (2 hard copies + electronic copy by e-mail)

Perri Petricca, Petricca Industries (for Parcels L12-1-4 and L12-1-101)*

Michael MacDonald, Petricca Industries (for Parcels L12-1-4 and L12-1-101)*

444 Merrill Road LLC (for Parcel L12-1-5)*

Nate Joyner, Pittsfield Dept. of Community Development (electronic copy by e-mail)*

Richard Dohoney, Attorney for City of Pittsfield (for Parcels K11-7-9 and L12-1-5)*

Rod McLaren, GE (letter by e-mail)

Matthew Calacone, GE (electronic copy by e-mail)

James Nuss, Arcadis

Corey Averill, Arcadis

James Bieke, Sidley Austin (hard copy + electronic copy by e-mail)

GE Internal Repository

^{*} with applicable attachment

DO	DOCUMENT REVIEW		
	Conducted By: Paolo Filippetti Phone Number: (585) 662-4035		
	Representing: GE Review Start Date: 11/3/2017		
1.	X Check here to confirm that the Grant of Environmental Restriction and Easement (ERE) AND Notice of Completion (if applicable) have been reviewed and are available for review during the visual on-site inspection.		
2.	X Check here to confirm that the Plan of Restricted Area (as revised if applicable) has been reviewed and is available for review during the visual on-site inspection.		
3.	Check here to confirm that a technical drawing(s) from an EPA-approved Work Plan depicting post-remediation site features and topography at the property (or an alternative, more recent plan that GE proposes to use for evaluating surface grade changes) have been reviewed and are available for review during the visual on-site inspection; and identify the drawing(s)/plan: Technical Drawing 38 from the revised technical drawings submitted to EPA on July 17, 2015 and Figure 3C from the August 2017 draft Revised Post-Removal Site Control Plan/Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. (If GE proposes use of an alternative plan to evaluate surface grade changes, include a copy of that plan and provide a rationale for its use)		
	Are there any recorded amendments to or releases from the ERE, and/or any known conditional exceptions under the ERE, and/or any Post-Work Notification Forms which have been submitted by the Grantor under the ERE and of which the reviewing party has a copy, and/or any other documents in GE's possession relevant to the ERE or the use of the property?		
	Yes - If yes, review those items for background informational purposes and list them below (along with the book and page reference in the Registry of Deeds where applicable). (Note that the document reviewer has no obligation to verify the accuracy or completeness of any of these documents, either as of the time they were prepared or as compared to current conditions).		
	<u> </u>		
5.	Review Completed: 11/3/2017		
VIS	SUAL ON-SITE INSPECTION		
	Conducted By: Gregg Rabasco, ARCADIS Representing: GE Inspection Start Date: 11/8/2017		
	List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual on-site inspection. Izabela Zapisek - Avatar Environmental		
	Is there any visual evidence of activities and uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially contrary to the restrictions of the ERE? X No Yes - If yes, describe below.		
•			

3.	. Is there any visual evidence of utility work or building construction, modification, addition, or demolition since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
4.	Is there any visual evidence of soil excavation that generated more than 10 cubic yards of soil since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
5.	Is there any visual evidence of significant soil erosion since the last inspection?	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.	
6.	Is there any visual evidence of significant pavement construction, disturbance, or excavations since the last inspection? No	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
7.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the proper compared to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review, identify the approximate area/locations(s) such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review.	rty
8.	Inspection Completed: 11/8/2017	

DC	DOCUMENT REVIEW	
	Conducted By: Paolo Filippetti	Phone Number: (585) 662-4035
	Representing: GE	Review Start Date: 11/3/2017
1.	Check here to confirm that the Grant of Environmental I applicable) have been reviewed and are available for re	Restriction and Easement (ERE) AND Notice of Completion (if view during the visual on-site inspection.
2.	 Check here to confirm that the Plan of Restricted Area (during the visual on-site inspection. 	as revised if applicable) has been reviewed and is available for review
3.	and topography at the property (or an alternative, more changes) have been reviewed and are available for revised technical August 2017 draft Revised Post-Removal Site Control February 2017 draft Revised Removal Si	an EPA-approved Work Plan depicting post-remediation site features recent plan that GE proposes to use for evaluating surface grade ew during the visual on-site inspection; and identify the drawing(s)/plan: all drawings submitted to EPA on July 17, 2015 and Figure 3C from the Plan/Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. urface grade changes, include a copy of that plan and provide a rationale
4.	and/or any Post-Work Notification Forms which have been	e ERE, and/or any known conditional exceptions under the ERE, submitted by the Grantor under the ERE and of uments in GE's possession relevant to the ERE or the use of
	Yes - If yes, review those items for background informat page reference in the Registry of Deeds where ap	tional purposes and list them below (along with the book and plicable). (Note that the document reviewer has no obligation nese documents, either as of the time they were prepared or
5.	5. Review Completed: 11/3/2017	
VI	VISUAL ON-SITE INSPECTION	
	Conducted By: Gregg Rabasco, ARCADIS Inspection Start Date: 11/8/2017	Representing: GE
1.	1. List other individuals and their company/agency that were բ Izabela Zapisek - Avatar Environmental	present during the visual on-site inspection.
2.	 Is there any visual evidence of activities and uses of the prestrictions of the ERE? X No Yes - If yes, describe below. 	operty since the last inspection that are potentially contrary to

3	. Is there any visual evidence of utility work or building construction, modification, addition, or demolition since the last inspection?
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
	Tes - II yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity off a plan.
4	Is there any visual evidence of soil excavation that generated more than 10 cubic yards of soil since the last inspection?
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
5	. Is there any visual evidence of significant soil erosion since the last inspection?
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.
6	. Is there any visual evidence of significant pavement construction, disturbance, or excavations since the last inspection?
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
_	If any of the conditions listed in the more specific Constitute 2 through Constitute to the conditions of the conditions
1	. If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the property compared to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review, identify the
	approximate area/locations(s) such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review.
8	Inspection Completed: 11/8/2017

DO	DOCUMENT REVIEW		
	Conducted By: Paolo Filippetti Phone Number: (585) 662-4035		
	Representing: GE Review Start Date: 11/3/2017		
1.	X Check here to confirm that the Grant of Environmental Restriction and Easement (ERE) AND Notice of Completion (if applicable) have been reviewed and are available for review during the visual on-site inspection.		
2.	X Check here to confirm that the Plan of Restricted Area (as revised if applicable) has been reviewed and is available for review during the visual on-site inspection.		
3.	Check here to confirm that a technical drawing(s) from an EPA-approved Work Plan depicting post-remediation site features and topography at the property (or an alternative, more recent plan that GE proposes to use for evaluating surface grade changes) have been reviewed and are available for review during the visual on-site inspection; and identify the drawing(s)/plan: Technical Drawing 38 from the revised technical drawings submitted to EPA on July 17, 2015 and Figure 3C from the August 2017 draft Revised Post-Removal Site Control Plan/Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. (If GE proposes use of an alternative plan to evaluate surface grade changes, include a copy of that plan and provide a rationale for its use)		
	Are there any recorded amendments to or releases from the ERE, and/or any known conditional exceptions under the ERE, and/or any Post-Work Notification Forms which have been submitted by the Grantor under the ERE and of which the reviewing party has a copy, and/or any other documents in GE's possession relevant to the ERE or the use of the property? X No		
	Yes - If yes, review those items for background informational purposes and list them below (along with the book and page reference in the Registry of Deeds where applicable). (Note that the document reviewer has no obligation to verify the accuracy or completeness of any of these documents, either as of the time they were prepared or as compared to current conditions).		
-			
_			
-			
-			
5.	Review Completed: 11/3/2017		
VIS	SUAL ON-SITE INSPECTION		
	Conducted By: Gregg Rabasco, ARCADIS Representing: GE Inspection Start Date: 11/8/2017		
	List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual on-site inspection. Izabela Zapisek - Avatar Environmental		
-			
	Is there any visual evidence of activities and uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially contrary to the restrictions of the ERE?		
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below.		
-			
			

3.	. Is there any visual evidence of utility work or building construction, modification, addition, or demolition since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
4.	Is there any visual evidence of soil excavation that generated more than 10 cubic yards of soil since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
5.	Is there any visual evidence of significant soil erosion since the last inspection?	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.	
6.	Is there any visual evidence of significant pavement construction, disturbance, or excavations since the last inspection? No	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
7.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the proper compared to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review, identify the approximate area/locations(s) such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review.	rty
8.	Inspection Completed: 11/8/2017	

DOC	UMENT REVIEW	
	Conducted By: Paolo Filippetti	Phone Number: <u>(585)</u> 662-4035
	Representing: GE	Review Start Date: 11/3/2017
1. X		f Environmental Restriction and Easement (ERE) AND Notice of Completion (if e available for review during the visual on-site inspection.
2. X	Check here to confirm that the Plan o during the visual on-site inspection.	Restricted Area (as revised if applicable) has been reviewed and is available for review
3. X	and topography at the property (or an changes) have been reviewed and an Technical Drawing 1 from Appendix A	drawing(s) from an EPA-approved Work Plan depicting post-remediation site features alternative, more recent plan that GE proposes to use for evaluating surface grade available for review during the visual on-site inspection; and identify the drawing(s)/plan: to the Revised Final RD/RA Work Plan for Unkamet Brook Area-West. lan to evaluate surface grade changes, include a copy of that plan and provide a rationale
ar w th	nd/or any Post-Work Notification Forms hich the reviewing party has a copy, an e property? No	releases from the ERE, and/or any known conditional exceptions under the ERE, which have been submitted by the Grantor under the ERE and of /or any other documents in GE's possession relevant to the ERE or the use of
_	page reference in the Registry of	ekground informational purposes and list them below (along with the book and Deeds where applicable). (Note that the document reviewer has no obligation eness of any of these documents, either as of the time they were prepared or s).
5. R	eview Completed: 1	/3/2017
	AL ON-SITE INSPECTION Conducted By: Gregg Rabasco, Inspection Start Date: 11/8/2017	ARCADIS Representing: GE
	st other individuals and their company/ abela Zapisek - Avatar Environmental	gency that were present during the visual on-site inspection.
2 10	there any visual evidence of activities	nd uses of the preparty since the last inspection that are potentially contrary to
th	e restrictions of the ERE? No Yes - If yes, describe below.	nd uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially contrary to
_		
_		
_		

3.	. Is there any visual evidence of utility work or building construction, modification, addition, or demolition since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
4.	Is there any visual evidence of soil excavation that generated more than 10 cubic yards of soil since the last inspection?	
	X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
5.	Is there any visual evidence of significant soil erosion since the last inspection?	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.	
6.	Is there any visual evidence of significant pavement construction, disturbance, or excavations since the last inspection? No	
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
7.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the proper compared to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review, identify the approximate area/locations(s) such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade shown on the drawing(s) or plan referenced in Item 3 under Document Review.	rty
8.	Inspection Completed: 11/8/2017	