

# RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SIEVING SOLID MEDIA SAMPLES AT SUPERFUND SITES FOR ASSESSMENT OF INCIDENTAL INGESTION EXPOSURE IN HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

## OVERVIEW

The purpose of this document is to extend the technical guidance produced by the Technical Review Workgroup for Metals and Asbestos (TRW) Lead Committee for lead-contaminated sites (U.S. EPA, 2016) to all sites where contaminants<sup>1</sup> in solid media (soil, sediment or dust) may be contacted by human receptors and exposure includes incidental ingestion<sup>2</sup>. Particle size is an important determinant of dermal adherence which drives ingestion of solid media<sup>3</sup>. Sieving solid media to the fine fraction (<150 µm) increases confidence in risk calculations for incidental ingestion exposure to contaminants in solid media because of the stronger relationship with dermal adherence relative to larger particle size fraction. For human health risk assessments (HHRAs) and risk evaluations where incidental ingestion of solid media is a complete exposure pathway, the contaminant concentration is representative of dermal adherence and subsequent incidental ingestion applies to all non-volatile contaminants (excepting asbestos and radiological compounds) and select semi-volatiles (Ruby and Lowney, 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2006). Site-specific HHRA requires that solid media samples accurately represent incidentally ingested material. For human health risk assessment, sieving solid media samples<sup>4</sup> to the particle size fraction that best represents the fraction that adheres to hands improves the representativeness of risk calculations and subsequent management decisions.

This document provides the technical basis for recommending specific particle size fractions for analysis of select contaminants in solid media contaminated sites for human health risk assessment. This document is for human health risk assessors and others who are involved in designing sampling plans to determine the concentration of contaminants<sup>1</sup> in solid media samples that the conceptual site model indicates will be used to derive exposure point concentrations for use in HHRAs.

## BACKGROUND

Incidental ingestion is the primary pathway for exposure to non-volatile contaminants in solid media (e.g., soil, dust and sediment) and is governed by dermal adherence (Ruby and Lowney, 2012; Lanphear et al. 2002; Lanphear et al. 1998; Succop et al. 1998; Landrigan et al. 1975).<sup>5</sup> Particle size, an important determinant of dermal adherence, may be, depending on the source of contamination, inversely

---

<sup>1</sup> Sieving of solid media is applicable to metals, metalloids, PAHs, dioxins, furans, and other contaminants in solid media. Other semi-volatile compounds (SVOCs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), asbestos, and radiological compounds (MARSSIM, 2002) are excluded from sieving due to potential effects of physical sample processing on contaminant concentrations.

<sup>2</sup> Note that these sieving recommendations do not necessarily apply to ecological receptors.

<sup>3</sup> Note that these sieving recommendations do not apply to inhalation exposure scenarios.

<sup>4</sup> Solid media includes dust, soil, sand, and wet soil/sediment.

<sup>5</sup> It is known that some individuals deliberately ingest soil (pica) and that these individuals may have soil ingestion rates far higher than the typical ingestion levels used in most U.S. EPA risk assessments. Pica exposure is generally not assessed in Superfund lead risk assessments.

associated with contaminant concentration, mobility, and bioavailability (Beamer et al., 2012; Madrid et al., 2008; ATSDR, 2007; Madrid et al., 2002; Lanphear et al., 2002; Manton et al., 2000; Lanphear et al., 1998; Layton and Beamer, 2009; Sheppard and Evenden, 1992, 1994; Sterling et al., 1998). As such, reliable data for the particle size fraction that is most likely to adhere to hands and for the concentration of contaminants found in that particle size can improve the accuracy of exposure and risk calculations in HHRA and risk evaluations.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SIEVING SOLID MEDIA AT CONTAMINATED SITES**

Site-specific HHRA requires that solid media samples accurately represent incidentally ingested material; sieving solid media samples to the particle size fraction that best represents dermal adherence, improves the confidence in risk calculations and subsequent management decisions for contaminants other than VOCs, asbestos, and radiological compounds.

The Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI) is recommending sieving dry (e.g., less than [ $<$ ] 10 percent [%] moisture)<sup>6</sup> soil samples through a No. 10 (2.0 mm) sieve (ASTM, 1999) to remove any large debris (e.g., sticks, stones; U.S. EPA, 2000)<sup>7</sup> prior to analyzing for soil contaminants.

The resulting “total” sample (defined as the total solid media that passes through a 2 mm sieve) should be weighed and sieved through a No. 100 W.S. Tyler<sup>®</sup> sieve<sup>8</sup> or equivalent to identify the “coarse” ( $>150$  micrometer [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]) and the “fine” ( $<150$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) fractions of the sample. The fine fraction ( $<150$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) has increased potential for incidental ingestion based on stronger relative dermal adherence, an increased likelihood to accumulate in the indoor environment (through deposition of wind-blown soil and/or transport track-in of soil on clothes, shoes, pets, toys, and other objects), and the possibility of enrichment of contaminants in this smaller particle size fraction. Thus, the fine fraction ( $<150$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) is generally recommended for use in the assessment of human health risks for soil and dust exposures to contaminants (excepting select SVOCs, VOCs, asbestos, and radiological compounds).

Sieving soil samples collected from CERCLA sites to  $<150$   $\mu\text{m}$  is also consistent with U.S. EPA recommendations for particulate sampling under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (U.S. EPA, 2016). In addition, particulate sampling theory recognizes that sampling errors are reduced when smaller particles are sampled (Barcan et al., 1998; Gy, 1998, 1992, 1982).

On a site-specific basis, it may be appropriate for risk management decisions to consider the benefits of obtaining information on other particle size fractions (e.g.,  $<250$ ,  $<125$ ,  $<63$ ,  $<50$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) to better relate ingestible size fractions with site history or site-specific conditions.<sup>9</sup> For example, it may be appropriate to consider larger particle size fractions (i.e.,  $<250$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) at sites where wet soil (or sediment) contact

---

<sup>6</sup> Sample preparation prior to sieving (e.g., drying and disaggregation of large clumps) should be appropriate for the site and contaminants of potential concern in solid media.

<sup>7</sup> Breaking clumps of soil by hand is acceptable; grinding or milling samples to achieve the particle size fraction is not recommended.

<sup>8</sup> See ASTM E11 and ISO 565 for more information. Depending on the sieve manufacturer, the particle size fraction may be  $<150$   $\mu\text{m}$  or  $<149$   $\mu\text{m}$  (these are considered equivalent),

<sup>9</sup> Representative site-specific data are essential for developing a risk assessment (as well as cleanup goals) that reflect the current or potential future site conditions.

and subsequent incidental ingestion may be expected (larger particles can adhere to hands when wet [U.S. EPA, 2016; Kissel et al., 1996; Rudy and Lowney, 2012]). Alternatively, smaller particle size fractions may also be informative for certain sites. Regional risk assessors should be contacted to discuss site-specific conditions that may warrant consideration of alternative particle size fractions consistent with Data Quality Objectives (USEPA, 2006) for sampling at the site.

Recognizing the importance of particle size as it relates to dermal adherence of solid media, consequent incidental ingestion, and variance in contaminant levels in solid media (Ruby and Lowney, 2012; Beamer et al., 2012; Bergstrom et al., 2011; Siciliano et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2006), these recommendations apply to Superfund site HHRAs and risk evaluations where non-volatile contaminants<sup>1</sup> occur in solid media and contact via incidental ingestion is expected.

## References

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 2007. Toxicological profile for lead. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Atlanta, GA. July. Available online at: [www.atsdr.cdc.gov](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov)
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1999. American Society for Testing and Materials. E11-95 Standard Specification for Woven Wire Test Sieve Cloth and Test Sieves. American Society for Testing and Materials: West Conshohocken, PA. Available online at: <http://www.astm.org>
- Barcan, V.S., Kovnatsky, E.F., Smetannikova, M.S. 1998. Absorption of heavy metals in wild berries and edible mushrooms in an area affected by smelter emissions. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 103, (1-4), 173-195
- Beamer, P.I., Elish, C.A., Roe, D.J., Loh, M.M., Layton, D.W. 2012. Differences in metal concentration by particle size in house dust and soil. *J Environ Monit*, 14(3), 839-844. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Bergstrom, C., Shirai, J., Kissel, J. 2011. Particle size distributions, size concentration relationships, and adherence to hands of selected geologic media derived from mining, smelting, and quarrying activities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(20), 4247-4256. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Gy P. 1982. Sampling of particulate materials: theory and practice. 2nd rev. ed. Amsterdam ; New York, New York: Elsevier Scientific Pub. Co.; Distributors for the U.S. and Canada, Elsevier Science Pub. Co
- Gy P. 1992. Sampling of heterogeneous and dynamic material systems : theories of heterogeneity, sampling, and homogenizing. Amsterdam ; New York: Elsevier
- Gy P. 1998. Sampling for analytical purposes: John Wiley, ISBN: 978-0-471-97956-2. <http://www.wiley.com/en-us/Sampling+for+Analytical+Purposes-p-9780471979562>
- Kissel, J. C.; Richter, K. Y.; Fenske, R. A. 1996. Factors affecting soil adherence to skin in hand-press trials. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 1996, 56 (5), 722-728

- Landrigan, P.J., Gehlbach, S.H., Rosenblum, B.F., Shoults, J.M., Candelaria, R.M., Barthel, W.F., Liddle, J.A., Smrek, A.L., Staehling, N.W., Sanders, J.F. 1975. Epidemic lead absorption near an ore smelter: the role of particulate lead. *N Engl J Med*, 292(3), 123-129. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Lanphear, B.P., Hornung, R., Ho, M., Howard, C., Eberle, S., Knauf, K. 2002. Environmental lead exposure during early childhood. *J Pediatr*, 140(1), 40-47. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Lanphear, B.P., Matte, T.D., Rogers, J., Clickner, R.P., Dietz, B., Bornschein, R.L., Succop, P., Mahaffey, K.R., Dixon, S., Galke, W., Rabinowitz, M., Farfel, M., Rohde, C., Schwartz, J., Ashley, P., Jacobs, D.E. 1998. The contribution of lead-contaminated house dust and residential soil to children's blood lead levels: a pooled analysis of 12 epidemiologic studies. *Environ Research*, 79(1), 51-68. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Layton, D.W., Beamer, P.I. 2009. Migration of contaminated soil and airborne particulates to indoor dust. *Environ Sci Technol*, 43(21), 8199-8205. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Madrid, L., Diaz-Barrientos, E., Madrid, F. 2002. Distribution of heavy metal contents of urban soils in parks of Seville. *Chemosphere*, 49(10), 1301-1308. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Madrid, F., Biasioli, M., Ajmone-Marsan, F. 2008. Availability and bioaccessibility of metals in fine particles of some urban soils. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*, 55(1), 21-32. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Manton, W.I., Angle, C.R., Stanek, K.L., Reese, Y.R., Kuehnemann, T.J. 2000. Acquisition and retention of lead by young children. *Environ Research*, 82(1), 60-80. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM). 2002. Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (Revision 1). Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG-1575 Rev. 1, Environmental Protection Agency EPA 402-R-97-016 Available online at: [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-09/documents/marssim\\_manual\\_rev1.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-09/documents/marssim_manual_rev1.pdf)
- Ruby, M.V., and Lowney, Y.W. 2012. Selective soil particle adherence to hands: implications for understanding oral exposure to soil contaminants. *Environ Sci Technol*, 46(23), 12759-12771. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Sheppard, S.C., and Evenden, W.G. 1992. Concentration enrichment of sparingly soluble contaminants (U, Th and Pb) by erosion and by soil adhesion to plants and skin. *Environ Geochem Health*, 14(4), 121-131
- Sheppard, S.C., and Evenden, W.G. 1994. Contaminant enrichment and properties of soil adhering to skin. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 23(3), 604-613
- Siciliano, S.D., James, K., Zhang, G., Schafer, A.N., Peak, J.D. 2009. Adhesion and enrichment of metals on human hands from contaminated soil at an arctic urban Brownfield. *Environ Sci Technol*, 43, 6385-6390. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

- Sterling, D.A., Johnson, D.L., Murgueytio, A.M., Evans, R.G. 1998. Source contribution of lead in house dust from a lead mining waste superfund site. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol*, 8(3), 359-373. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Succop, P., Bornschein, R., Brown, K., Tseng, C.Y. 1998. An empirical comparison of lead exposure pathway models. *Environ Health Perspect*, 106 (Suppl 6), 1577-1583. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2000. Short Sheet: TRW Recommendations for Sampling and Analysis of Soil at Lead (Pb) Sites. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC. EPA-540-F-00-010. OSWER 9285. 7-38. April. Available online at: <http://www.epa.gov>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2002. RCRA Waste Sampling Draft Technical Guidance: Planning, Implementation, and Assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC. EPA-530-D-02-002. August. Available online at: <http://www.epa.gov>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2006. Guidance on systematic planning using the data quality objectives process. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information: Washington, DC. EPA-240-B-06-001. Available online at: <http://www.epa.gov>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2016. Recommendations for Sieving Soil and Dust Samples at Lead Sites for Assessment of Incidental Ingestion. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC. OLEM Dir #9200.1-128. July. Available online at: <https://semspub.epa.gov/src/document/HQ/100000133>
- Yamamoto, N., Takahashi, Y., Yoshinaga, J., Tanaka, A., Shibata, Y. 2006. Size distributions of soil particles adhered to children's hands. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*, 51, 157-163. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>