Relative Bioavailability and In Vitro Bioaccessibility of Arsenic in Soil

January 4, 2021

Introduction and definitions

Bioavailability refers to the fraction or percentage of an ingested dose of arsenic that is absorbed into the systemic circulation (OSWER 9200.1-113). Where soil is contaminated with arsenic, the speciation of the arsenic and the characteristics of the soil can modify the amount of arsenic available for uptake into an organism. This fact sheet focuses on the bioavailability of arsenic to humans via the ingestion exposure pathway. Absolute bioavailability (ABA) refers to the fraction of an ingested dose of arsenic that crosses the gastrointestinal epithelium and becomes available for internal distribution. Relative bioavailability (RBA) is the ratio of ABA for soil arsenic to that of a water-soluble reference form of arsenic; typically, sodium arsenate. ABA and RBA are measured in animal models; however, RBA can also be predicted from in vitro bioaccessibility (IVBA) assays. For human health risk assessment purposes, relative bioavailability is important because we are most often interested in knowing the extent to which the absolute bioavailability of a chemical increases or decreases in different exposure matrices (e.g., food vs. water vs. soil) or with the physical or chemical form(s) of the chemical to which humans are exposed. Bioaccessibility refers to the fraction of an ingested dose of soil arsenic that is in a form that can interact with absorptive transport mechanisms (e.g., transcellular carriers or channels, paracellular diffusion). Soil arsenic must be bioaccessible in the gastrointestinal tract in order for it to be bioavailable. Processes contributing to bioaccessibility of soil arsenic may include release of arsenic from soil particles, dissolution of arsenic into gastrointestinal tract fluids, or chemical transformation. IVBA assays estimate bioaccessibility from measurements of in vitro solubility of soil arsenic. IVBA assays are designed to predict RBA from in vitro measurements of arsenic solubility.

Rationale for measuring soil arsenic RBA

Health risk for ingestion of arsenic-contaminated soils is estimated by applying a cancer slope factor or chronic oral Reference Dose (RfD), derived from human studies in populations chronically exposed to arsenic in drinking water, to estimated soil arsenic ingestion. However, if oral bioavailability of arsenic in soil is less than that from water, risk will be overestimated. Data from a variety of arsenic-contaminated sites demonstrated that oral bioavailability of arsenic in soil tends to be lower than that of water-soluble sodium arsenate (U.S. EPA 2012a). Expressed as RBA, the median and 95th percentile RBA values for more than 100 soil samples were 30% and 60%, respectively (U.S. EPA 2012a). EPA has recommended that site-specific RBA analysis of soil arsenic be performed when deemed feasible and valuable for improving the characterization of risk at the site (U.S. EPA, 1989, 2007a,b, 2012b). Soil arsenic RBA is measured in animal bioassays; however, it can be predicted from measurements of IVBA (U.S. EPA 2017a,b).

Bioassays for measuring soil arsenic RBA

Various animal models have been used to study oral bioavailability of inorganic arsenic in soil, including monkeys, mice, rabbits, and swine (OSWER 9200.1-113). Soil arsenic RBA bioassays have relied on two metrics of absorbed arsenic dose for estimating bioavailability: the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) for plasma or blood, and the urinary excretion fractions (UEF).

Commonly used animal models are summarized in Table 1. Each model has its own strengths and weaknesses in terms of procedures needed to obtain biological samples (e.g., blood, plasma, urine), availability of animals, husbandry requirements and expense. Applications to risk assessment require accepting uncertainties in extrapolating RBA estimates made in animal models to humans. Contributors to uncertainty include interspecies variation in nutrition, gastrointestinal tract morphology, physiology and post-natal development.

Several studies have compared soil arsenic RBA measured on the same soils in different bioassays (Bradham et al., 2013, 2018; Li et al., 2016). Collectively, these studies indicate that mouse, swine, and monkey RBA assays yield similar RBA estimates when applied to the same soils; although, there appears to be a trend for the swine assay to predict higher RBAs than the mouse UEF assay.

The mouse assay EPA (Bradham et al., 2011, 2013, 2015) developed is a lower cost alternative to monkey and swine assays for measuring soil arsenic RBA. EPA considers the mouse assay to be a valid tool for conducting arsenic RBA research, including but not limited to development of and evaluation of IVBA assays, confirming results of RBAs generated from IVBA for problematic or unusual soil types or arsenic species, and identifying factors that contribute to variability in arsenic RBA at sites. Additional information and assistance in applications of the mouse arsenic oral RBA bioassay can be obtained from Dr. Karen Bradham (EPA ORD NERL) or by emailing the EPA OSRTI Technical Review Workgroup Bioavailability Committee hotline (bahelp@epa.gov).

IVBA methods

EPA has validated an IVBA assay for predicting soil arsenic RBA for human health risk assessment and recommends using the assay for characterizing site-specific soil arsenic RBA (U.S. EPA, 2017a, b). The assay involves a gastric-phase extraction of soil in a relatively simple extraction medium. The regression model used for predicting RBA from IVBA is as follows (Diamond et al., 2016):

$$RBA\% = 0.79 \times IVBA\% + 3\% (R^2 = 0.87)$$
 Eq. (1)

Application of RBA estimates to risk assessments

Methods and guidance for applying RBA estimates to risks assessment, screening and removal assessments can be found in U.S. EPA, 2020

(http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/contaminants/bioavailability/guidance.htm). Additional

resources regarding measuring RBA and applying it to risk assessments can be found on the EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation bioavailability webpages: <u>https://www.epa.gov/superfund/soil-bioavailability-superfund-sites</u>.

References

Bradham, K.D., Scheckel, K.G., Nelson, C.M., Seales, P.E., Lee, G.E., Hughes, M.F., Miller B.W., Yeow, A., Gilmore, T., Harper, S., Serda, S.M., Thomas, D.J. (2011) Relative bioavailability and bioaccessibility and speciation of arsenic in contaminated soils. Environ. Health Perspect. 119: 1629–1634.

Bradham, K.D., Diamond, G.L., Scheckel, K.G., Hughes, M.F., Casteel, S.W., Miller, B.W., Klotzbach, J.M., Thayer, W.C., Thomas, D.J. (2013) Mouse assay for determination of arsenic bioavailability in contaminated soils. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A 76: 815–826.

Bradham, K.D., Nelson, C. Juhasz, A.L., Smith, E., Scheckel, K., Obenour, D.R., B.W., Thomas, D.J. (2015) Independent data validation of an in vitro method for prediction of relative bioavailability of arsenic in contaminated soils. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2015, 49: 6312–6318.

Bradham, K., Diamond, G. Juhasz, A., Nelson, C., Thomas, D. (2018) Comparison of mouse and swine bioassays for determination of soil arsenic relative bioavailability. Appl. Geochem. Available on-line at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.05.016</u>.

Brattin, W., Casteel, S. (2013) Measurement of arsenic relative bioavailability in swine. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A 76: 449–457.

Diamond, G.L., Bradham, K.D., Brattin, W.J., Burgess, M., Griffin, S., Hawkins, C.A., Juhasz, A.L., Klotzbach, J.M., Nelson, C., Lowney, Y.W., Scheckel, K.G., Thomas, D.J. (2016) Predicting oral relative bioavailability of arsenic in soil from *in vitro* bioaccessibility. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A 79: 165–173.

Juhasz, A.L., Smith, E., Weber, J., Naidu, R., Rees, M., Rofe, A., Kuchel, T., Sansom, L., Naidu, R. (2007b) Comparison of *in vivo* and *in vitro* methodologies for the assessment of arsenic bioavailability in contaminated soils. Chemosphere 69: 961–966.

Li, J., Li, C., Sun, H-J., Juhasz, A.L., Luo, J., Li, H-B., Ma, L.Q. (2016) Arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils: Comparison of animal models, dosing schemes, and biological endpoints. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50: 453–461.

Roberts, S.M., Weimar, W.R., Vinson, J.R., Munson, J.W., Bergeron, R.J. (2002) Measurement of arsenic bioavailability in soil using a primate model. Toxicol. Sci. 67: 303–310.

Roberts, S.M., Munson, J.W., Lowney, Y.W., Ruby, M.V. (2007) Relative oral bioavailability of arsenic from contaminated soils measured in the Cynomolgus monkey. Toxicol. Sci. 95: 281–288.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1989) Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS). Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response: Washington, DC. EPA/540/1-89/002. December. Available online at:

http://www.epa.gov/swerrims/riskassessment/ragsa/pdf/rags-vol1-pta_complete.pdf.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2007a) Framework for Metals Risk Assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of the Science Advisor: Washington, DC. EPA 120/R-07/001. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/raf/metalsframework/pdfs/metals-risk-assessment-final.pdf.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2007b) Guidance for Evaluating the Oral Bioavailability of Metals in Soils for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC. OSWER 9285.7-80. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/contaminants/bioavailability/bio_guidance.pdf.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012a) Compilation and Review of Data on Relative Bioavailability of Arsenic in Soil. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC. OSWER 9200.1-113. Available online at: <u>http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/contaminants/bioavailability/guidance.htm</u>.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012b) Recomendations for Default Value for Relative Bioavailabity of Arsenic in Soil. OSWER 92000.1-113. December, 2012.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2020) Guidance for Sample Collection for In Vitro Bioaccessibility Assay for Arsenic and Lead in Soil and Applications of Relative Bioavailability Data in Human Health Risk Assessment. Available online at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/superfund/soil-bioavailability-superfund-sites-guidance</u>.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017a) Validation Assessment of *In Vitro* Arsenic Bioaccessibility Assay for Predicting Relative Bioavailability of Arsenic in Soils and Soil-like Materials at Superfund Sites. OLEM 9355.4-29 April 20, 2017. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/contaminants/bioavailability/guidance.htm.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017b) Release of Standard Operating Procedure for an *InVitro* Bioaccessibility Assay for Lead and Arsenic in Soil and Validation Assessment of the *In Vitro* Bioaccessibility Assay for Predciting Relative Bioavailability of Arsenc in Soils and Soil-like Matrerials at Superfund Sites. Transmittal Memorandium from Schatzi Fitz-James to Superfund National Program Managers, Regions 1-10. May 5, 2017. Available online at: https://semspub.epa.gov/src/document/HQ/100000153.

Table 1. Arsenic Soil RBA Bioassays

Primary reference	Brattin and Casteel 2013	Juhasz et al., 2007b	Roberts et al., 2007	Bradham et al., 2011	Li et al., 2016
Animal	Swine	Swine	Monkey	Mouse	Mouse
Dosing schedule	2 times/day for 12 days	Single dose	Single dose	Free access to	Single dose
	administered in 2 equal	separated by	separated by at	amended feed for	
	portions	48-hour washout	least 3-week	10 days	
		period	washout period		
Number of dose	Control (basal diet),	Control (basal diet),	Control (basal diet),	Control (basal diet),	Control (basal diet),
levels	3 dose levels for soil and	single dose level for	single dose level	single dose level for	3 dose levels for
	reference	soil and reference	for soil, 3 dose	soil and reference	reference or soil
			levels for reference		
Biological samples	Urine: 48-hour samples	Blood: prior to	Urine: cumulative	Urine: cumulative	Blood: repeatedly
for estimating	collected from each	dosing (baseline)	sample collected	sample collected for	over a 48-hour post-
RBA	animal on days 6-7, 8-9,	and then repeatedly	over a 4-day post-	8 days during dosing	dosing period
	and 10-11 during dosing	over a 26-hour post-	dosing period	and 1 day post-dosing	
		dosing period			
RBA metric	Urine EF	Blood AUC	Urine EF	Urine EF	Blood AUC

ABA, absolute bioavailability; As, arsenic; AUC, area under the curve for arsenic in blood; DR, dosing rate; RBA, relative bioavailability; Ref, reference material; EF, excretion fraction