# **Federal Register Notice**

23988 - 23998 Federal Register / Vol. 53, No. 122 / Friday, June 24, 1988 / Proposed Rules

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300 [FRL-3404-2]

#### National Priorities List for Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites - Update 7

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

#### SUMMARY:

The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is proposing the seventh update to the National Priorities List ("NPL"). This update proposes 229 new sites and the expansion of one final site, and reproposes four already proposed sites. The NPL is Appendix B to the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan ("NCP"), which EPA promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 and Executive Order 12316. CERCLA requires that the NPL be revised at least annually. Today's notice proposed the seventh major revision to the NPL.

These sites are being proposed because they meet the requirements of the NPL. EPA has included on the NPL sites at which there are or have been releases or threatened releases of designated hazardous substances, or of "pollutants or contaminants" which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare. This notice provides the public with an opportunity to comment on placing these sites on the NPL.

#### DATES:

Comments must be submitted on or before August 23, 1988.

#### ADDRESSES:

Comments may be mailed to:

Stephen Lingle Director, Hazardous Site Evaluation Division (Attn: NPL Staff) Office of Superfund Remediation Technology Innovation (WH-548A) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street SW. Washington, DC 20460

Addresses for the Headquarters and Regional dockets are provided below. For further details on what these dockets contain, see the Public Comment Section, Section IV, of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** portion of this preamble.

Tina Maragousis, Headquarters U.S. EPA CERCLA Docket Office Waterside Mall, Subbasement 401 M Street, SW Washington, DC 20460 202/382-3046 Evo Cunha Region 1 U.S. EPA Waste Management Division Records Center, HES-CAN 6 90 Canal Street Boston, MA 02203 617/573-5729

U.S. EPA Region 2 Document Control Center, Superfund Docket 26 Federal Plaza, 7th Floor, Room 740 New York, NY 10278 Latchmin Serrano 212/264-5540 Ophelia Brown 212/264-1154

Diane McCreary Region 3 U.S. EPA Library, 5th Floor 841 Chestnut Bldg. 9th & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19107 215/597-0580

Gayle Alston Region 4 U.S. EPA Library, Room G-6 345 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30365 404/347-4216

Cathy K. Freeman Region 5 U.S. EPA 5 HR-11 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604 312/886-6214

Deborah Vaughn-Wright Region 6 U.S. EPA 1445 Ross Avenue, Mail Code 6H-ES Dallas, TX 75202-2733 214/655-6740

Connie McKenzie Region 7 U.S. EPA Library 726 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101 913/236-2828

Dolores Eddy Region 8 U.S. EPA Library 999 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-2405 303/293-1444 Linda Sunnen Region 9 U.S. EPA Library, 6th Floor 215 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105 415/974-8082

David Bennett Region 10 U.S. EPA, 11th Floor, Mail Stop HW-113 1200 6th Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 206/442-2103

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Myers Hazardous Site Evaluation Division Office of Superfund Remediation Technology Innovation (WH-548A) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street SW Washington, DC 20460 or the Superfund Hotline, Phone (800) 424-9346 (or 382-3000 in the Washington, DC, metropolitan area).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Purpose of the NPL
- III. NPL Update Process
- IV. Public Comment Period
- V. Listing Policies
- VI. Contents of the Proposed Seventh NPL Update
- VII. Regulatory Impact Analysis
- VIII. Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

### I. Introduction

In 1980, Congress enacted the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq*, ("CERCLA" or "the Act") in response to the dangers of uncontrolled hazardous waste sites. To implement CERCLA, the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or the "Agency") promulgated the revised National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan, 40 CFR Part 300, on July 16, 1983 (47 FR 31180), pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA and Executive Order 12316 (46 FR 42237, August 20, 1981). The National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), further revised by EPA on September 16, 1985 (50 FR 37624) and November 20, 1985 (50 FR 47912), sets forth the guidelines and procedures needed to respond under CERCLA to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants.

Section I05(a)(8)(A) of CERCLA, as amended, required that the NCP include criteria for determining priorities among releases or threatened releases for the purpose of taking remedial or removal action. Removal action involves cleanup or other actions that are taken in response to emergency conditions or on a short-term or temporary basis (CERCLA section 101(23)). Remedial action tends to be long-term in nature and involves response actions which are consistent with a permanent remedy for a release (CERCLA section 101(24)). These criteria are included in Appendix A of the NCP, *Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Site Ranking System: A User's Manual* (the "Hazard Ranking System" or "HRS") (47 FR 31219, July 16, 1982).

Section 105(a)(8)(B) of CERCLA, as amended, requires that the statutory criteria described in the HRS be used to prepare a list of national priorities among the known releases or threatened releases throughout the United States. The list, which is Appendix B of the NCP, is the National Priorities List ("NPL").

In this notice, EPA is proposing to add 229 sites to the NPL. In addition, four proposed sites are being reproposed and one final site is being proposed for expansion. Adding the 149 sites previously proposed brings the total number of proposed sites to 378. The final NPL contains 799 sites, for a total of 1177 final and proposed sites. EPA is proposing to include on the NPL sites at which there are or have been releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, or of "pollutants or contaminants." The discussion below may refer to "releases or threatened releases" simply as "releases," "facilities," or "sites."

### II. Purpose of the NPL

The primary purpose of the NPL is stated in the legislative history of CERCLA (Report of the Committee on Environment and Public Works, Senate Report No. 96-848, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. 60 (1980)):

The priority lists serve primarily informational purposes, identifying for the States and the public those facilities and sites or other releases which appear to warrant remedial actions. Inclusion of a facility or site on the list does not in itself reflect a judgment of the activities of its owner or operator, it does not require those persons to undertake any action, nor does it assign liability to any person. Subsequent government action in the form of remedial actions or enforcement actions will be necessary in order to do so and these actions will be attended by all appropriate procedural safeguards.

The primary purpose of the NPL, therefore, is to serve as an informational tool for use by EPA in identifying sites that appear to warrant further investigation and possible remedial action under CERCLA. Inclusion of a site on the NPL does not establish that EPA necessarily will undertake remedial actions. Moreover, listing does not require any action of any private party, nor does it determine the liability of any party for the cost of cleanup at the site. In addition, a site need not be on the NPL to be the subject of CERCLA-financed removal actions, remedial investigations/feasibility studies, or actions brought pursuant to section 106 or 107(a)(4)(B) of CERCLA.

In addition, although the HRS scores used to place sites on the NPL may be helpful to the Agency in determining priorities for cleanup and other response activities, EPA does not rely on the scores as the sole means of determining such priorities. The information collected to develop HRS scores is not sufficient in itself to determine the appropriate remedy for a particular site. EPA relies on further, more detailed studies to determine what response, if any, is appropriate. These studies will take into account the extent and magnitude of the contaminants in the environment, the risk to affected populations, the cost to correct problems at the site, and the response actions that have been taken by potentially responsible parties or others. Decisions on the type and extent of action to be taken at these sites are made in accordance with the criteria contained in Subpart F of the NCP. After conducting these additional studies, EPA may conclude that it is not desirable to conduct response action at some sites on the NPL because of more pressing needs at other sites, or because an enforcement action may instigate or force private-party cleanup. Given the limited resources available in the Hazardous Substances Superfund, the Agency must carefully balance the relative needs for response at the numerous sites it has studied. It is also possible that EPA will conclude after further analysis that the site does not warrant response action.

### III. NPL Update Process

There are three mechanisms for placing sites on the NPL. The principal mechanism is the application of the HRS. The HRS serves as a screening device to evaluate the relative potential of uncontrolled hazardous substances to cause human health or safety problems, or ecological or environmental damage. The HRS takes into account "pathways" to human health or environmental exposure in terms of numerical scores. Those sites that score 28.50 or greater on the HRS, and which meet listing policies, are proposed.

The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act ("SARA"), enacted on October 17, 1986, directs EPA to revise the HRS. The Agency will continue to use the existing HRS until the effective date for the revised HRS. Sites on the final NPL prior to the effective date of the revised HRS will not be reevaluated, as provided by CERCLA section 105(c)(3).

The second mechanism for adding sites to the NPL is by State designation. Each State may designate a single site as its top priority, regardless of the HRS score. This mechanism is provided by section 105(a)(8)(B) of CERCLA, as amended, which requires that, to the extent practicable, the NPL include within the one hundred highest priorities at least one facility designated by each State as representing the greatest danger to public health, welfare, or the environment among known facilities in the State.

The third mechanism for listing, included in the NCP at 40 CFR 300.66(b)(4) (50 FR 37624, September 16, 1985) has been used only in rare instances; it allows certain sites with HRS scores below 28.50 to be eligible for the NPL. These sites may qualify for the NPL if all of the following occur:

- The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has issued a health advisory which recommends dissociation of individuals from the release.
- EPA determines that the release poses a significant threat to public health.
- EPA anticipates that it will be more cost-effective to use its remedial authority than to use its removal authority to respond to the release.

States have the primary responsibility for identifying sites, computing HRS scores, and submitting candidate sites to the EPA Regional Offices. EPA Regional Offices conduct a quality control review of the States' candidate sites, and may assist in investigating, monitoring, and scoring sites. Regional Offices may consider candidate sites in addition to those submitted by States. EPA Headquarters conducts further quality assurance audits to ensure accuracy and consistency among the various EPA and State offices participating in the scoring. The Agency then proposes the new sites that meet the criteria for listing and solicits public comments on the proposal. Based on these comments and further EPA review, the Agency determines final scores and promulgates those sites that still qualify for listing.

An original NPL of 406 sites was promulgated on September 8, 1983 (48 FR 40658). The NPL has since been expanded (see 49 FR 19480, May 8, 1984; 49 FR 37070, September 21, 1984; 50 FR 6320, February 14, 1985; 50 FR 37630, September 16, 1985; 51 FR 21054, June 10, 1986, and 52 FR 27620, July 22, 1987). On March 7, 1986 (51 FR 7935), EPA deleted eight sites from the NPL and on April 18, 1988 (53 FR 12680) deleted three more sites. The number of final NPL sites is 799, including 32 Federal facility sites. Another 149 sites (including 16 Federal facility sites) from previous updates remain proposed for the NPL (see 48 FR 40674, September 8, 1983; 49 FR 40320, October 15, 1984; 50 FR 14115, April 10, 1985; 50 FR 37950, September 18, 1985; 51 FR 21099, June 10, 1986, and 52 FR 2492, January 22, 1987). With the 229 sites in proposed Update *#*7, 378 sites are not proposed for the NPL. Final and proposed sites total 1177.

### IV. Public Comment Period

This **Federal Register** notice, which proposes sites for NPL Update #7, opens the formal 60-day comment period. Comments may be mailed to:

Stephen Lingle Director, Hazardous Site Evaluation Division (Attn: NPL staff) Office of Superfund Remediation Technology Innovation (WH-548A) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, SW Washington, DC 20460

The addresses portion of this notice contains information on where to obtain documents relating to the scoring of these proposed sites. Documents providing EPA's justification for proposing these sites are available to the public in both the Headquarters public docket and in the appropriate Regional Office public docket.

The Headquarters public docket for NPL Update #7 contains: HRS score sheets for each proposed site; a Documentation Record for each site describing the technical rationale for the HRS scores; pertinent information for any site affected by special study waste or Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) or other listing policies; and a list of documents referenced in the Documentation Record. The Headquarters public docket is located in EPA

Headquarters, Waterside Mall, Subbasement, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, and is available for viewing by appointment only from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday excluding Federal holidays. Requests for copies of the HRS documents may be directed to the EPA Headquarters docket office.

The Regional public dockets contain all information available in the Headquarters docket, including HRS score sheets, Documentation Records, pertinent RCRA or special study waste information, and a list of reference documents for each site in that Region. These Regional dockets also include the reference documents themselves, which contain the data EPA relied upon in calculating or evaluating the HRS scores. The reference documents are available only in the Regional public dockets. These reference documents may be viewed by appointment only in the appropriate Regional Office, and requests for copies may be directed to the appropriate Regional docket or Superfund Branch. Documents relevant to the scoring of each site, but which were not used as formal references, are also a available in the appropriate Regional Office, and, in some cases, State or EPA contractor offices. These may be viewed and copied by arrangement with the appropriate office. In all cases, an informal written request, rather than a formal request, should be the ordinary procedure for obtaining copies of any document.

EPA considers all comments received during this formal comment period. Comments are placed into the Headquarters docket and, during the comment period, are available to the public only in the Headquarters docket. A complete set of comments pertaining to sites in a particular EPA Region will be available for viewing in the Regional Office docket approximately one week following the close of the formal comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be available in the Headquarters docket and in the appropriate Regional Office docket on an " as received" basis. An informal written request, rather than a formal request, should be the ordinary procedure for obtaining copies of these comments. After considering the relevant comments received during the comment period, EPA will add to the NPL all proposed sites that meet EPA's criteria for listing. In past NPL rulemakings, EPA has considered, to the extent practicable, comments received after the close of the close of the large number of sites proposed, and the need to respond to comments and finalize sites prior to the effective date of the revised HRS, EPA may no longer be able to consider late comments.

In certain instances, interested parties have written to EPA concerning sites which were not at that time proposed to the NPL. If those sites are later proposed to the NPL, parties should review their earlier concerns and, if still appropriate, resubmit those concerns for consideration during the formal comment period. Site-specific correspondence received prior to the period of formal proposal and comment will not generally be included in the docket.

A statement describing what information the Agency discloses in response to Freedom of Information Act requests from the public was published on February 25, 1987 (52 FR 5578).

### V. Listing Policies

CERCLA restricts EPA's authority to respond to certain categories of releases and expressly excludes some substances from the definition of a release. In addition, as a matter of policy, EPA may choose not to use CERCLA to respond to certain types of releases because other authorities such as RCRA can be used to achieve cleanup of these releases. Preambles to previous NPL rulemakings have discussed examples of these deferral policies. (See 48 FR 40658 (September 8, 1983); 49 FR 37070 (September 21, 1984); 49 FR 40320 (October 15, 1984); 51 FR 21056 (June 10, 1986); and 52 FR 27620 (July 22, 1987)). In addition, EPA is considering broadening the deferral approach, such that listing of sites on the NPL would be deferred in cases where a Federal authority and its implementing program are found to have corrective action authority. EPA is also considering extending this policy to States that have implementing programs with cleanup authorities to address CERCLA releases, and to sites where the potentially responsible parties (PRPS) enter into Federal enforcement agreements for site cleanup under CERCLA. EPA plans to propose this policy in the preamble to the NCP revisions which are scheduled for publication later in 1988. Sites included in today's proposed rule could be affected by that policy if, after public comment, it is adopted by EPA.

Sites proposed for the NPL in this update meet current criteria and listing policies. The NPL policies of relevance to this update - Federal facility sites, RCRA sites, special study waste sites, and mining sites - are discussed below.

#### Federal Facility Sites

On June 10, 1986 (51 FR 21057), the Agency announced a decision on components of a listing and deferral policy for non-Federal RCRA sites and requested comments on several additional components. The policy was intended to reflect RCRA's broadened corrective action authorities as a result of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA). As explained in greater detail below, the policy generally defers the listing of sites subject to RCRA Subtitle C corrective action authorization to operate and has indicated an unwillingness to undertake corrective action; or (3) in cases other than loss of authorization to operate, the owner/operator has a clear history of unwillingness to undertake corrective action. In announcing this policy, the Agency reserved for a later date the question of whether this or another policy would be applicable for Federal facility sites. The Agency explained that this issue would be considered along with other issues relating to Federal facility sites (51 FR 21059, June 10, 1986).

Since that time, the Agency has considered the issue of placing Federal facility sites on the NPL. As part of its deliberations, EPA considered pertinent sections of SARA and a policy published for comment regarding RCRA Subtitle C corrective action at Federal facilities with RCRA operating units (51 FR 7722, March 5, 1986). Specifically, that policy stated that: (1) RCRA section 3004(u) subjects Federal facilities to corrective action requirements to the same extent as privately-owned or privately-operated facilities and (2) the definition of a Federal facility boundary is equivalent to the property-wide definition of facility at privately-owned or privately-operated facilities. This policy was of particular interest because the Agency has determined that the vast majority of Federal facilities that could be placed on the NPL have RCRA-regulated units within their boundaries.

The Agency has interpreted SARA and its legislative history to indicate that Congress clearly intended that Federal facilities be placed on the NPL and that, if appropriate, cleanup should be effected at those sites. In the floor debates, Senator Robert T. Stafford explained Section 120 as follows:

[T]he amendments require a comprehensive nationwide effort to identify and assess all Federal hazardous waste sites that warrant attention \* \* \*. The legislation \* \* \* requires that any Federal facility that meets the criteria applied to private sites listed on the National Priorities List (NPL) must be placed on the NPL." 132 Cong. Rec. S. 14902 (daily ed., October 3, 1986).

Section 120 of SARA includes requirements for the assessment of releases at Federal facilities, placement on the NPL, and if appropriate, implementation of remedial action. Sections 120(a) and 120(d) also require that Federal facility sites be evaluated for the NPL based upon the same guidelines, rules, regulations, and criteria that are applicable to other sites.

Given that Congress clearly contemplated that Federal facility sites be on the NPL, the Agency interprets these provisions of section 120 to mean that the criteria to list Federal facility sites should not be more exclusionary than the criteria to list non-Federal sites. Key elements of the current policy for listing non-Federal sites subject to RCRA Subtitle C corrective action authorities include whether the owner or operator either has demonstrated an inability to finance a cleanup as evidenced by the invocation of the bankruptcy laws or has clearly demonstrated unwillingness to comply with applicable RCRA requirements or regulations. Since bankruptcy proceedings are not applicable to Federal agencies and unwillingness to comply with Federal laws is unlikely, application of the non-Federal NPL/RCRA policy would have the effect of listing few Federal sites. The Agency believes that this result would be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of section 120.

To avoid being more exclusionary in placing Federal facility sites on the NPL, the Agency announced its intent to adopt a policy for Federal facility sites that would allow eligible Federal facility sites to be on the NPL regardless of whether RCRA Subtitle C corrective action authorities are applicable (52 FR 17991, May 13, 1987).

In summary, the Agency believes that placing Federal facility sites with or without RCRA units on the NPL is consistent with the intent of section 120 of SARA and will serve the purposes originally intended by the NCP at 40 CFR 300.66(e)(2)--to advise the public of the status of Federal government cleanup efforts (50 FR 47931, November 20, 1985). In addition, listing will help other Federal agencies set priorities and focus cleanup efforts on those sites presenting the most serious problems.

For Update #7, the Agency is proposing 14 Federal facility sites, bringing the total number of such proposed sites to 30. Of these 14 proposed sites, four are sub-areas of the Hanford site, the Department of Energy (DOE) facility in the

State of Washington. The installation assessment for Hanford identified 337 potentially contaminated areas, and most of these have been aggregated into four larger areas termed the 100, 200, 300 and 1100 areas. Each of these four larger areas has been evaluated and each is being proposed for the NPL.

#### Releases From Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Sites

On June 10, 1986 (51 FR 21057), EPA announced a decision on components of a policy for the listing or the deferral from listing on the NPL of several categories of potential RCRA sites. At the same time, the Agency requested comment on several other components of the NPL/RCRA policy (51 FR 21109).

Under the policy, sites not subject to RCRA Subtitle C corrective action authorities will continue to be placed on the NPL. Examples of such sites include:

- Facilities that ceased treating, storing, or disposing of hazardous waste prior to November 19, 1980 (the effective date of Phase I of the Subtitle C regulations).
- Sites at which only materials exempted from the statutory or regulatory definition of solid waste or hazardous waste are managed.
- Hazardous waste generators or transporters which are not required to have Interim Status or a final RCRA permit.

Also under the policy, certain RCRA sites at which Subtitle C corrective action authorities are available may also be listed if they meet the criteria for listing (e.g., an HRS score of 28.50 or greater) *and* they fall within one of the following categories:

- 1. Facilities owned by persons who have demonstrated an inability to finance a cleanup as evidenced by their invocation of the bankruptcy laws.
- 2. Facilities that have lost authorization to operate, and for which there are additional indications that the owner or operator will be unwilling to undertake corrective action.
- 3. Sites, analyzed on a case-by-case basis, whose owners or operators have a clear history of unwillingness to undertake corrective action.

Elsewhere in today's **Federal Register**, the Agency has described in greater detail several other categories of RCRA sites which it considers appropriate for the NPL. One category is non- or late filers. These are facilities that were treating, storing, or disposing of hazardous waste after November 19, 1980, but did not file a Part A permit by that date and have little or no history of compliance with RCRA. EPA has found that treatment, storage, and disposal facilities (TSDFs) that fail to file Part A of the RCRA permit application generally remain outside the range of cognizance of authorities responsible for compliance with RCRA, and generally are without the institutional mechanisms such as ground water monitoring programs, necessary to assure prompt compliance with the standards and goals of the RCRA program.

Another category of RCRA sites appropriate for listing is converters (the rationale for which is discussed elsewhere in today's **Federal Register**). These are facilities that at one time were treating or storing RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste but have since converted to generator-only status, or any other hazardous waste activity for which interim status is not required. Their Part A applications have been withdrawn. This category is considered appropriate for listing because the RCRA corrective action program currently focuses primarily on TSDFs (due to statutory deadlines in RCRA), and thus EPA has not routinely reviewed converters under RCRA Subtitle C. Therefore, EPA has decided to propose these sites in order to ensure that they are expeditiously addressed.

Two other categories of RCRA sites are appropriate for the NPL because the sites are not subject to Subtitle C corrective action authorities of RCRA. The protective filer category includes facilities which have filed Part A permit applications for treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes as a precautionary measure only. The second category includes facilities for which permits for the treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste were issued prior to the enactment of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984 and the owner/operator will

not voluntarily modify the permit to incorporate corrective action requirements. Facilities in this category are referred to as pre-HSWA permittees. If a pre-HSWA permittee consents to include corrective action authority, EPA will consider not adding the facility to the NPL.

Update #7 includes eight RCRA sites meeting the inability to pay criterion, and 15 sites having converter or non- or late filer status. These sites are presented in Table 1. In addition, Update #7 includes generators, protective filers, and one pre-HSWA permittee, Solvent Service, Inc., San Jose, CA. Documents supporting the RCRA determinations for these sources are available for review in both the Headquarters and appropriate Regional dockets. Commenters are encouraged to provide documentation for any site where they believe EPA's RCRA determination is in error.

## Table 1 Proposed Update #7 Sites Subject to RCRA Subtitle C Corrective Action Authorities

#### Inability to Pay

- Kaiser Steel Corp. (Fontana Plant), Fontana, CA
- Lenz Oil Service, Inc., Lemont, IL
- Continental Steel Corp., Kokomo, IN
- Pester Refinery Co., El Dorado, KS
- Bofor- Nobel, Inc., Muskegon, MI
- Mattiace Petrochemical Co., Inc., Glen Cove, NY
- Oklahoma Refining Co., Cyril, OK
- Tonolli Corp., Nesquehoning, PA

#### Non- or Late Filer

- Apache Powder Co., St. David, AZ
- Brown & Bryant, Inc. (Arvin Plant) Arvin, CA
- Kearney-KPE, Stockton, CA
- Marzone Inc./Chevron Chemical Co., Tifton, GA
- Ilada Energy Co., East Cape Girardeau, IL
- Warner Electric Brake & Clutch Co., Roscoe, IL
- Brook Industrial Park, Bound Brook, NJ\*

#### Converters

- Advanced Micro Devices (Building 915), Sunnyvale, CA
- Hexcel Corp., Livermore, CA

- Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. (Albany Plant), Albany, GA
- John Deere (Ottumwa Works Landfills), Ottumwa, IA
- Muskegon Chemical Co., Whitehall, MI
- AMP, Inc. (Glen Rock Facility), Glen Rock, PA
- Westinghouse Electric Corp. (Sharon Plant), Sharon, PA
- Carrier Air Conditioning Co., Collierville, TN

\* Site includes several facilities, including a RCRA non-filer facility. *Releases of Special Study Wastes* 

Sections 105(g) and 125 of CERCLA, as amended by SARA, require additional information before sites involving RCRA "special study wastes" can be proposed for the NPL. Section 105(g) applies to sites that (1) were not on or proposed for the NPL as of October 17, 1986, and (2) contain sufficient quantities of special study wastes as defined under sections 3001(b)(2), 3001(b)(3)(A)(ii), and 3001(b)(3)(A)(iii) of RCRA. Before these sites can be proposed for the NPL, SARA requires that the following information be considered:

- The extent to which the HRS score for the facility is affected by the presence of the special study waste at or released from the facility.
- Available information as to the quantity, toxicity and concentration of hazardous substances that are
  constituents of any special study waste at, or released from, the facility; the extent of or potential for
  release of such hazardous constituents; the exposure or potential exposure to human population and the
  environment, and the degree of hazard to human health or the environment posed by the release of such
  hazardous constitutents at the facility.

Section 125 of CERCLA, as amended, applies to facilities that were neither on nor proposed for the NPL on the date of enactment of SARA and which contain "substantial volumes" of waste described in section 3001(b)(A)(i) of RCRA. Until the HRS is revised, these sites may not be included on the NPL "on the basis of an evaluation made principally on the volume of such waste and not on the concentration of the hazardous constituents of such wastes." Even though section 125 does not contain specific requirements for the interim period, the Agency believes that wastes covered under section 125 should follow the requirements of section 105(g) until these issues are addressed in the revised HRS.

To comply with SARA, the Agency has prepared addenda that evaluate, for each proposed site containing or potentially containing special study wastes, the information called for in section 105(g). Section 125 addresses fly ash waste, bottom ash waste, slag waste, and flue gas emission control waste, and not site in Update #7 has been scored using these special study wastes. Addenda are available for review in the public docket.

This proposed NPL update includes 20 new sites and one final site being proposed for expansion which contain or potentially contain the following special study wastes: cement Kiln dust; mining wastes from the extraction beneficiation, and processing of ores and minerals (including coal tar from coal gasification plants and spent pot liners from aluminum production); and oil drilling muds, produced waters, and other wastes from the exploration, production, or development of crude oil or natural gas. The addenda for these sites indicate that the special study wastes present a threat to human health and the environment, and that the sites should be proposed to the NPL. The sites and the special study wastes are:

- Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine, Clear Lake, CA (mining wastes)
- Sealand Limited, Mount Pleasant, DE (coal tar)
- Fairfield Coal Gasification Plant, Fairfield, IA (coal tar)

- Lehigh Portland Cement Co., Mason City, IA (cement kiln dust)
- Northwestern States Portland Cement Co., Mason City, IA (cement kiln dust)
- People's Natural Gas Co., Dubuque IA (coal tar)
- Central Illinois Public Service Co., Taylorville, IL (coal tar)
- D.L. Mud, Inc., Abbeville, LA (oil drilling mud and produced waters)
- Gulf Coast Vacuum Services, Abbeville, LA (oil drilling mud and produced waters)
- PAB Oil & Chemical Service, Inc., Abbeville LA (oil drilling mud and produced waters)
- Oronogo-Duenweg Mining Belt, Jasper County, MO (mining wastes)
- Weldon Spring Quarry (USDOE/Army), St. Charles County, MO (mining wastes from uranium ore processing)
- Cimarron Mining Corp., Carrizozo, NM (mining wastes from metal ore beneficiation)
- Cleveland Mill, Silver City, NM (mining wastes)
- Lee Acres Landfill (USDOI), Farmington, NM (oil drilling mud and produced waters)
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (Saratoga Springs Plant), Saratoga Springs, NY (coal tar)
- Reilly Tar & Chemical Corp. (Dover Plant), Dover, OH (coal tar)
- Jacks Creek/Sitkin Smelting & Refining, Inc., Maitland, PA (mining wastes)
- Tex-Tin Corp., Texas City, TX (mining wastes)
- Richardson Flat Tailings, Summit County, UT (mining wastes)
- Aluminum Co. of America (Vancouver Smelter), Vancouver WA (spent pot liners from aluminum production)

#### **Mining Sites**

The Agency's position, as discussed in the preambles to previous NPL final rulemakings (48 FR 40658, September 8, 1983; 49 FR 37070, September 21, 1984; 51 FR 21054, June 10, 1986; 52 FR 27620, July 22, 1987), is that mining wastes may be hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants under CERCLA and, therefore, are eligible for the NPL. This position was affirmed in 1985 by the United States Court of Appeals for the District Of Columbia Circuit (*Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. v. EPA*, 759 F. 2d 922 (D.C. Cir 1985)).

As in past final rules (51 FR 21034 (June 10, 1986) and 52 FR 27620 (July 22, 1987)), the Agency, prior to listing mining sites, has considered whether they might be addressed satisfactorily pursuant to the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). EPA has determined that 23 States have an approved Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) program under SMCRA. The funds in these programs are primarily intended to address the public health problems associated with abandoned coal mines. However, in certain cases the Governor of a State with an approved program can decide to use AMLR funds to address non-coal sites abandoned prior to August 3, 1977, the enactment date of SMCRA.

Seven mining sites are being proposed for the NPL, and one final mining site, Weldon Spring Quarry (USDOE/Army), is being proposed for expansion. Two of these sites operated after August 3, 1977 and are not subject to SMCRA, so they are being proposed:

- Cimarron Mining Corp., Carrizozo, NM
- Tex-Tin Corp., Texas City, TX

One site is being proposed because it is located in a State which does not have an approved AMLR program:

• Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine, Clear Lake, CA

The remaining five mining sites, including Weldon Spring Quarry (USDOE/Army), were abandoned prior to the August 3, 1977 enactment date of SMCRA and are being proposed for the NPL:

- Oronogo-Duenweg Mining Belt, Jasper County, MO
- Weldon Spring Quarry (USDOE/Army), St. Charles County, MO
- Cleveland Mill, Silver City, NM
- Jacks Creek/Sitkin Smelting & Refining, Inc., Maitland, PA
- Richardson Flat Tailings, Summit County, UT

These five mining sites are in States (Missouri, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, and Utah) which have approved AMLR programs. The Agency has had preliminary discussions with the Department of the Interior and these States on their AMLR programs for addressing mining sites, and plans to continue these discussions in order to develop a more comprehensive policy for listing mining sites which are potentially eligible for SMCRA funds on the NPL. While this policy is under development, the Agency will propose to list these five sites in order to avoid delaying CERCLA activities. Information outlining the States' position on use of AMLR funds at these sites is available in the docket.

#### Sites Being Reproposed

Four previously proposed sites are being reproposed, and one final Federal facility site is being proposed for expansion. These sites are:

- Apache Powder Co., St. David, AZ. Procedural issues arose and new technical information became available following proposal on June 10, 1986 (51 FR 21099).
- Chem-Solv, Inc., Cheswold, DE. Procedural issues arose and new technical information became available following proposal on January 22, 1987 (52 FR 2492).
- Combustion, Inc., Denham Springs, LA. New technical information became available following proposal on June 10, 1986 (51 FR 21099).
- Paoli Rail Yard, Paoli, PA. New technical information became available following proposal on January 22, 1987 (52 FR 2492).
- Weldon Spring Quarry (USDOE/Army), St. Charles County, MO. This Federal facility site was placed on the final NPL on July 22, 1987 (52 FR 27620). Since then, EPA has determined that the Weldon Spring Feed Materials Plant and Raffinate Pits, located less than three miles from the Quarry, are linked to the contamination problems at the original site. Consequently, EPA proposes to expand the original site and requests comment on the expanded site. The new site will be renamed "Weldon Spring Quarry/Plant/Pits (USDOE/Army)."

### VI. Contents of the Proposed Seventh NPL Update

Following this preamble is a list of the 229 sites proposed for the NPL. See Table 2 and Table 3. Each entry on the list contains the name of the facility and the State and city or county in which it is located. All sites other than N.W. Mauthe Co., Appleton, WI, received HRS scores of 28.50 or above. NW. Mauthe is the State top priority site, and received an HRS score of 25.35.

Each proposed site is placed by score in a group corresponding to groups of 50 sites presented within the final NPL. For example, sites in Group 8 of the proposed update have scores that fall within the range of scores covered by the eighth group of 50 sites on the final NPL. Any site designated by a State as its top priority is included within the one hundred highest priority sites, as provided by section 105(a)(8)(B) of CERCLA, as amended. Since States are not required to rely exclusively on the HRS in designating their top priority sites, lower scoring State priority sites such as N.W. Mauthe are listed at the bottom of the first one hundred sites on the NPL.

Each entry is accompanied by one or more notations reflecting the status of response and cleanup activities at the site at the time this list was prepared. Because this information may change periodically, these notations may become outdated.

Five response categories are used to designate the type of response underway. One or more categories may apply to each site. The categories are: Federal and/or State response (R), Federal enforcement (F), State enforcement (S), Voluntary or negotiated response (V), and Category to be determined (D). EPA also indicates the status of significant Fund-financed or private-party cleanup activities underway or completed at proposed and final NPL sites. There are three cleanup status codes; only one code is necessary to designate the status of cleanup activities at each site since the codes are mutually exclusive. The codes are: Implementation activities are underway for one or more operable units (I), Implementation activities are completed for one or more (but not all) operable units, but additional site cleanup actions are necessary (O), and Implementation activities are completed for all operable units (C). These categories and codes are explained in detail in earlier rulemakings, most recently on June 10, 1986 (51 FR 21075).

### VII. Regulatory Impact Analysis

The costs of cleanup actions that may be taken at sites are not directly attributable to listing on the NPL as explained below. Therefore, the Agency has determined that this rulemaking is not a "major" regulation under Executive Order No. 12291. EPA has conducted a preliminary analysis of the economic implications of today's proposal to add new sites. EPA believes that the kinds of economic effects associated with this revision are generally similar to those identified in the regulatory impact analysis (RIA) prepared in 1982 for the revisions to the NCP pursuant to section 105 of CERCLA (47 FR 31180, July 16, 1982) and the economic analysis prepared when the amendments to the NCP were proposed (50 FR 5882, February 12, 1985). The Agency believes the anticipated economic effects related to proposing the addition of these sites to the NPL can be characterized in terms of the conclusions of the earlier RIA and the most recent economic analysis. This rule was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review as requested by Executive Order No. 12291.

#### Costs

EPA has determined that this proposed rulemaking is not "major" regulation under Executive Order No.12291 because inclusion of a site on the NPL does not itself impose any costs. It does not establish that EPA will necessarily undertake remedial action, nor does it require any action by a private party or determine its liability for site response costs. Costs that arise out of site responses result from site-by-site decisions about what actions to take, not directly from the act of listing itself. Nonetheless, it is useful to consider the costs associated with responding to all sites included in a proposed rulemaking. This action was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

The major events that generally follow the proposed listing of a site on the NPL are a search for responsible parties and a remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) to determine if remedial actions will be undertaken at a site. Design and construction of the selected remedial alternative follow completion of the RI/FS, and operation and maintenance (O&M) activities may continue after construction has been completed. Costs associated with responsible party searches are initially borne by EPA. Responsible parties may bear some or all the costs of the RI/FS, design and construction, and O&M, or the costs may be shared by EPA and the States.

The State cost share for cleanup activities has been amended by section 104 of SARA. For privately-owned sites, as well as publicly-owned but not publicly-operated sites, EPA will pay for 100% of the costs of the RI/FS and remedial planning, and 90% of the costs associated with remedial action. The State will be responsible for 10% of the remedial action costs. For publicly operated sites, the State cost share is at least 50% of all response costs, including the RI/FS, remedial design and construction, and O&M.

With regard to O&M for cleanup activities other than ground water or surface water, EPA will share, for up to 1 year, in the cost of that portion of O&M that is necessary to assure that a remedy is operational and functional. After that time, the State assumes full responsibility for O&M. SARA provides that EPA will share in the operational cost associated with ground water/surface water restoration for up to 10 years.

In previous NPL rulemakings, the Agency has provided estimates of the costs associated with these activities (RI/FS, remedial design, remedial action, and O&M) on an average per site and total cost basis. At this time, however, there is insufficient information to determine what these costs will be as a result of the new requirements under SARA. Until such information is available, the Agency will provide cost estimates based on CERCLA prior to enactment of SARA; these estimates are presented below. EPA is unable to predict that portions of the total costs will be borne by responsible parties, since the distribution of costs depends on the extent of voluntary and negotiated response and the success of any cost-recovery actions.

| Cost category                         | Average total cost per site <sup>1</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| RI/FS                                 | \$875,000                                |
| Remedial design                       | 850,000                                  |
| Remedial action                       | 8,600,000 <sup>2</sup>                   |
| Net present value of O&M <sup>3</sup> | 3,770,000 <sup>2</sup>                   |

Source: Hazardous Site Control Division, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, U.S. EPA.

- <sup>1</sup> 1986 U.S. Dollars
- <sup>2</sup> Includes State cost-share
- <sup>3</sup> Assumes cost of O&M over 30 years, \$400,000 for the first year and I0% discount rate.

Costs to States associated with today's proposed amendment arise from the required State cost-share of: (1) 10% of remedial actions and 10% of first-year O&M costs at privately-owned sites and sites which are publicly-owned but not publicly-operated; and (2) at least 50% of the remedial planning (RI/FS and remedial design), remedial action, and first-year O&M costs at publicly-operated sites. States will assume the cost for O&M after EPA's period of participation. Using the assumptions developed in the 1982 RIA for the NCP, EPA has assumed that 90% of the 215 non-Federal sites proposed for the NPL in this amendment will be privately owned and 10% will be State- or locally-operated. Therefore, using the budget projections presented above, the cost to States of undertaking Federal remedial actions at all 215 non-Federal sites would be approximately \$1.02 billion, of which approximately 744 million is attributable to the State O&M costs may actually decrease. When new cost information is available, it will be presented in future rulemakings.

Proposing a hazardous waste site for the NPL does not itself cause firms responsible for the site to bear costs. Nonetheless, a listing may induce firms to clean up the site voluntarily, or it may act as a potential trigger for subsequent enforcement or cost-recovery actions. Such actions may impose costs on firms, but the decisions to take such actions are discretionary and made on a case-by-case basis. Consequently, precise estimates of these effects cannot be made. EPA does not believe that every site will be cleaned up by a responsible party. EPA cannot project at this time which firms or industry sectors will bear specific portions of response costs, but the Agency considers: the volume and nature of the wastes at the site, the parties' ability to pay, and other factors when deciding whether and how to proceed against potentially responsible parties. Economy-wide effects of this proposed amendment are aggregations of effects on firms and State and local governments. Although effects could be felt by some individual firms and States, the total impact of this revision on output, prices, and employment is expected to be negligible at the national level, as was the case in the 1982 RIA.

#### Benefits

The benefits associated with today's proposed amendment to list additional sites are increased health and environmental protection as a result of increased public awareness of potential hazards. In addition to the potential for more Federally-financed remedial actions, this proposed expansion of the NPL could accelerate privately-financed, voluntary cleanup efforts to avoid potential adverse publicity, private lawsuits, and/or Federal or State enforcement actions.

As a result of the additional NPL remedies, there will be lower human exposure to high-risk chemicals, and higherquality surface water, ground water, soil, and air. These benefits are expected to be significant, although difficult to estimate in advance of completing the RI/FS at these particular sites.

Associated with the costs of remedial actions are significant potential benefits and cost offsets. The distributional costs to firms of financing NPL remedies have corresponding "benefits" in that funds expended for a response generate employment, directly or indirectly (through purchased materials).

### VIII. Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires EPA to review the impacts of this action on small entities, or certify that the action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. By small entities, the Act refers to small businesses, small governmental jurisdictions, and nonprofit organizations.

While proposed modifications to the NPL, are considered revisions to the NCP, they are not typical regulatory changes since the revisions do not automatically impose costs. Proposing sites for the NPL does not in itself require any action by any private party, nor does it determine the liability of any party for the cost of cleanup at the site. Further, no identifiable groups are affected as a whole. As a consequence, it is hard to predict impacts on any group. A site's proposed inclusion on the NPL could increase the likelihood that adverse impacts to responsible parties (in the form of cleanup costs) will occur, but EPA cannot identify the potentially affected businesses at this time nor estimate the number of small businesses that might be affected.

The Agency does expect that certain industries and firms within industries that have caused a proportionately high percentage of waste site problems could be significantly affected by CERCLA actions. However, EPA does not expect the impacts from the proposed listing of these sites to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses.

In any case, economic impacts would only occur through enforcement and cost-recovery actions, which are taken at EPA's discretion on a site-by-site basis. EPA considers many factors when determining what enforcement actions to take, including the firm's contribution to the problem and the firms ability to pay. The impacts from cost recovery on small governments and nonprofit organizations would be determined on a similar case-by-case basis.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous materials, Intergovernmental relations, Natural resources, Oil pollution, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Waste treatment and disposal, Water pollution control, Water supply.

#### Jack W. McGraw,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

Date: June 16, 1988.

It is proposed to amend 40 CFR Part 300 as follows:

#### PART 300 — [AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 300 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 9605(a)(8)(B).

2. It is proposed to add the following sites by group to Appendix B of Part 300.

|                        | National Priorities List - Proposed Update 7 Sites (By Group)<br>June 1988 |                                    |                  |                                   |                                |  |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St   | Site name                          | City/county      | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |  |
| 2                      | IA   | Northwestern States Portland Cem   | Mason City       | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | KΥ   | Brantley Landfill                  | Island           | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | NJ   | Brook Industrial Park              | Bound Brook      | R                                 | 0                              |  |
| 2                      | IA   | Lehigh Portland Cement Co.         | Mason City       | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | СА   | Kearney-KPF                        | Stockton         | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | WA   | ALCOA (Vancouver Smelter)          | Vancouver        | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | WA   | General Electric (Spokane Shop)    | Spokane          | D                                 |                                |  |
| 2                      | WI   | N.W. Mauthe Co., Inc. <sup>4</sup> | Appleton         | R,S                               | 0                              |  |
| 3                      | NY   | Circuitron Crop.                   | East Farmingdale | D                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | IA   | White Farm Equipment Co. Dump      | Charles City     | D                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | MI   | Bofors Nobel, Inc.                 | Muskegon         | R,S                               |                                |  |
| 3                      | PA   | Raymark                            | Hatboro          | F                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | СА   | Brown & Bryant, Inc. (Arvin Plant) | Arvin            | D                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | VT   | Burgess Brothers Landfill          | Woodford         | D                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | WA   | Seattle Mun Lndfill (Kent Hghlnds) | Kent             | D                                 |                                |  |
| 3                      | СТ   | Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill  | Barkhamsted      | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | СА   | Kaiser Steel Corp. (Fontana Plant) | Fontana          | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | IN   | Whiteford Sales&Ser/Nationalease   | South Bend       | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | NY   | Rosen Brothers Scrap Yard/Dump     | Cortland         | R                                 | I                              |  |
| 4                      | IL   | Woodstock Municipal Landfill       | Woodstock        | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | SC   | Rock Hill Chemical Co.             | Rock Hill        | R                                 | I                              |  |
| 4                      | MI   | Hi-Mill Manufacturing Co.          | Highland         | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | СТ   | Precision Plating Corp.            | Vernon           | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | VT   | Bennington Municipal Sanitary Lfl  | Bennington       | D                                 |                                |  |
| 4                      | IL   | Central Illinois Public Serv Co.   | Taylorville      | D                                 | 0                              |  |
| 5                      | MT   | Comet Oil Co.                      | Billings         | D                                 |                                |  |

 Table 2

 National Priorities List - Proposed Update 7 Sites (By Group)

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                             | City/county             | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 5                      | IA | Mid-America Tanning Co.               | Sergeant Bluff          | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | WI | Hechimovich Sanitary Landfill         | Williamstown            | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | СА | Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine             | Clear Lake              | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | PA | Tonolli Corp.                         | Nesquehoning            | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | МО | Oronogo-Duenweg Mining Belt           | Jasper County           | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | СТ | Gallup's Quarry                       | Plainfield              | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | VT | Parker Sanitary Landfill              | Lyndon                  | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | IA | Peoples Natural Gas Co.               | Dubuque                 | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | PA | Berks Landfill                        | Spring Township         | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | СА | Pacific Coast Pipe Lines              | Fillmore                | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | IA | E.I. Du Pont (County Rd X23)          | West Point              | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | IL | Interstate Pollution Control, Inc.    | Rockford                | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | ОК | Oklahoma Refining Co.                 | Cyril                   | D                                 |                                |
| 5                      | NJ | Global Sanitary Landfill              | Old Bridge Township     | D                                 |                                |
| 6                      | PA | Occidental Chem/Firestone Tire        | Lower Pottsgrove<br>Twp | D                                 |                                |
| 6                      | VT | Darling Hill Dump                     | Lyndon                  | D                                 |                                |
| 6                      | WY | Mystery Bridge Rd/U.S. Highway<br>20  | Evansville              | R                                 | 0                              |
| 6                      | FL | Agrico Chemical co.                   | Pensacola               | D                                 |                                |
| 6                      | AL | T.H. Agricul & Nutri (Montgomery)     | Montgomery              | D                                 | 1                              |
| 6                      | СА | Solvent Service, Inc.                 | San Jose                | D                                 | 0                              |
| 6                      | WA | Pasco Sanitary Landfill               | Pasco                   | D                                 |                                |
| 6                      | KΥ | Fort Hartford Coal Co Stone<br>Quarry | Olaton                  | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | FL | Standard Auto Bumper Corp.            | Hialeah                 | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | ĸs | 29th & Mead Ground Water<br>Contamin  | Wichita                 | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | KS | Hydro-Flex Inc.                       | Topeka                  | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | LA | Gulf Coast Vacuum Services            | Abbeville               | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | FL | Airco Plating Co.                     | Miami                   | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | PA | A.I.W. Frank/Mid-County Mustang       | Exton                   | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | IL | Lenz Oil Service, Inc.                | Lemont                  | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | WA | Pacific Car & Foundry Co.             | Renton                  | D                                 |                                |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                             | City/county        | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7                      | IA | John Deere (Ottumwa Works<br>Lndfls)  | Ottumwa            | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | IN | Himco, Inc., Dump                     | Elkhart            | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | GA | Woolfolk Chemical Works, Inc.         | Fort Valley        | V                                 | I                              |
| 7                      | IA | Electro-Coatings, Inc.                | Cedar Rapids       | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | IL | Southeast Rockford Grnd Wtr Con       | Rockford           | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | IN | Conrail Rail Yard (Elkhart)           | Elkhart            | R                                 | 0                              |
| 7                      | IN | Galen Myers Dump/Drum Salvage         | Osceola            | R                                 | 0                              |
| 7                      | IN | Tippecanoe Sanitary Landfill, Inc.    | Lafayette          | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | MI | State Disposal Landfill, Inc.         | Grand Rapids       | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | NJ | South Jersey Clothing Co.             | Minotola           | D                                 |                                |
| 7                      | GA | Cedartown Industries, Inc.            | Cedartown          | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | VT | BFI Sanitary Landfill (Rockingham)    | Rockingham         | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | NC | Koppers Co Inc. (Morrisville PInt)    | Morrisville        | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | PA | Westinghouse Elec (Sharon Plant)      | Sharon             | S                                 |                                |
| 8                      | GA | T.H. Agricul & Nutri (Albany)         | Albany             | D                                 | I                              |
| 8                      | NC | FCX, Inc. (Washington Plant)          | Washington         | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | NM | Cleveland Mill                        | Silver City        | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | PA | Jacks Creek/Sitkin Smelting & Ref     | Martland           | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | MD | Bush Valley Landfill                  | Abingdon           | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | ΤN | Murray-Ohio Mfg (Horseshoe<br>Bend)   | Lawrenceburg       | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | IL | Beloit Corp.                          | Rockton            | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | CA | Crazy Horse Sanitary Landfill         | Salinas            | D                                 | 0                              |
| 8                      | СА | Spectra-Physics, Inc.                 | Mountain View      | D                                 | 0                              |
| 8                      | IL | Warner Electric Brake & Clutch Co.    | Roscoe             | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | PA | Boarhead Farms                        | Bridgeton Township | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | FL | Woodbury Chemical (Princeton<br>PInt) | Princeton          | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | NC | New Hanover Cnty Airport Burn Pit     | Wilmington         | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | UT | Richardson Flat Tailings              | Summit County      | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | NC | JFD Electronics/Channel Master        | Oxford             | D                                 | I                              |
| 9                      | PA | AMP, Inc. (Glen Rock Facility)        | Glen Rock          | D                                 | 0                              |
| 9                      | MI | Peerless Plating Co.                  | Muskegon           | R                                 | 0                              |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                        | City/county     | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 9                      | LA | PAB Oil & Chemical Service, Inc. | Abbeville       | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | NM | Cimarron Mining Corp.            | Carrizozo       | R                                 |                                |
| 9                      | ТΧ | Tex-Tin Corp.                    | Texas City      | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | FL | Beulah Landfill                  | Pensacola       | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | DE | Kent County Landfill (Houston)   | Houston         | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | RI | Rose Hill Regional Landfill      | South Kingstown | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | KY | Red Penn Sanitation Co. Landfill | Peewee Valley   | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | ОК | Mosley Road Sanitary Landfill    | Oklahoma City   | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | СА | Hexcel Corp.                     | Livermore       | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | со | Chemical Sales Co.               | Commerce City   | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | FL | BMI-Textron                      | Lake Park       | V,S                               | 0                              |
| 9                      | FL | Chemform, Inc.                   | Pompano Beach   | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | FL | Madison County Sanitary Landfill | Madison         | V,R                               | 0                              |
| 9                      | FL | Wilson Concepts of Florida, Inc. | Pompano Beach   | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | MD | Anne Arundel County Landfill     | Glen Burnie     | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | NC | FCX, Inc. (Statesville Plant)    | Statesville     | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | SC | Lexington County Landfill Area   | Саусе           | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | WA | Yakima Plating Co.               | Yakima          | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | СА | Intersil Inc./Siemens Components | Cupertino       | D                                 |                                |
| 9                      | MI | Carter Industrials, Inc.         | Detroit         | R                                 | 0                              |
| 10                     | MI | Bendix Corp./Allied Automotive   | St. Joseph      | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | VA | Arrowhead Assoc/Scovill Corp.    | Montross        | F                                 |                                |
| 10                     | тх | Rio Grande Oil Co. Refinery      | Sour Lake       | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | VA | Abex Corp.                       | Portsmouth      | F                                 |                                |
| 10                     | WA | Centralia Municipal Landfill     | Centralia       | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | ΤN | Wrigley Charcoal Plant           | Wrigley         | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | СТ | Cheshire Associates Property     | Cheshire        | S                                 |                                |
| 10                     | SC | Townsend Saw Chain Co.           | Pontiac         | D                                 | 0                              |
| 10                     | VA | Suffolk City Landfill            | Suffolk         | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | NJ | Higgins Disposal                 | Kingston        | D                                 |                                |
| 10                     | VT | Tansitor Electronics, Inc.       | Bennington      | D                                 |                                |
| 11                     | СА | Fresno Municipal Sanitary Lndfll | Fresno          | D                                 | 0                              |
| 11                     | СА | Newmark Ground Water Contamin    | San Bernardino  | D                                 |                                |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                             | City/county             | Response category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 11                     | СА | Sola Optical USA, Inc.                | Petaluma                | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | IL | DuPage Cty Ldf/Blackwell Forest       | Warrenville             | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | NM | Pagano Salvage                        | Los Lunas               | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | ΤN | Carrier Air Conditioning Co.          | Collierville            | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | NY | Niagara Mohawk Power (Saratoga<br>Sp) | Saratoga Springs        | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | ок | Sunray Oil Co. Refinery               | Allen                   | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | IN | Carter Lee Lumber Co.                 | Indianapolis            | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | СА | CTS Printex, Inc.                     | Mountain View           | D                              | 0                              |
| 11                     | GA | Firestone Tire (Albany Plant)         | Albany                  | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | NH | Fletcher's Paint Works & Storage      | Milford                 | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | СА | Jasco Chemical Corp.                  | Mountain View           | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | FL | B&B Chemical Co., Inc.                | Hialeah                 | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | NY | C & J Disposal Leasing Co. Dump       | Hamilton                | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | PA | Bell Landfill                         | Terry Township          | D                              |                                |
| 11                     | NY | Action Anodizing, Plating Polish      | Copiague                | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | IL | Adams County Quincy Landfills<br>2&3  | Quincy                  | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | IL | Ilada Energy Co.                      | East Cape<br>Giraradeau | F                              |                                |
| 12                     | KY | Caldwell Lace Leather Co, Inc.        | Auburn                  | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | MI | Kaydon Corp.                          | Muskegon                | D                              | 0                              |
| 12                     | ТΧ | Dixie Oil Processors, Inc.            | Friendswood             | V,F                            | 0                              |
| 12                     | WI | Sauk County Landfill                  | Excelsior               | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | MI | Muskegon Chemical Co.                 | Whitehall               | S                              | 0                              |
| 12                     | IN | Lakeland Disposal Service, Inc.       | Claypool                | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | СТ | Durham Meadows                        | Durham                  | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | SC | Helena Chemical Co. Landfill          | Fairfax                 | V                              | 0                              |
| 12                     | KY | Tri-City Disposal Co.                 | Shepherdsville          | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | MI | Albion-Sheridan Township Landfill     | Albion                  | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | IA | Fairfield Coal Gasification Plant     | Fairfield               | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | IA | Farmers' Mutual Cooperative           | Hospers                 | V                              | S                              |
| 12                     | NY | Carroll & Dubies Sewage Disposal      | Port Jervis             | D                              |                                |
| 12                     | СТ | Linemaster Switch Corp.               | Woodstock               | V,F,S                          |                                |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                              | City/county        | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 13                     | GA | Cedartown Municipal Landfill           | Cedartown          | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | NY | Jones Chemicals, Inc.                  | Caledonia          | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | PA | Saegertown Industrial Area             | Saegertown         | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | ND | Minot Landfill                         | Minot              | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | МО | Missouri Electric Works                | Cape Girardeau     | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | IL | Yeoman Creek Landfill                  | Waukegan           | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | DE | Sealand Limited                        | Mount Pleasant     | R                                 | 0                              |
| 13                     | NC | Geigy Chemical Corp. (Aberdeen<br>Pit) | Aberdeen           | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | KY | General Tire/Rubber (Mayfield Lnf)     | Mayfield           | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | wı | Madison Metro Sewage District Lag      | Blooming Grove     | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | WA | Tosco Corp. (Spokane Terminal)         | Spokane            | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | OR | Joseph Forest Products                 | Joseph             | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | IL | Amoco Chemicals (Joliet Landfill)      | Joliet             | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | SC | Beaunit Corp. (Circular Knit & Dye)    | Fountain Inn       | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | NJ | Industrial Latex Corp.                 | Wallington Borough | R                                 | 0                              |
| 13                     | LA | D.L. Mud, Inc.                         | Abbeville          | V                                 | 0                              |
| 13                     | PA | Recticon/Allied Steel Corp.            | East Coventry Twp  | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | СА | GBF, Inc., Dump                        | Antioch            | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | СА | Valley Wood Preserving, Inc.           | Turlock            | D                                 |                                |
| 13                     | PA | Butz Landfill                          | Stroudsburg        | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | СА | Advanced Micro Devices (Bldg.<br>915)  | Sunnyvale          | D                                 | 0                              |
| 14                     | СА | Synertek, Inc. (Building 1)            | Santa Clara        | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | СА | TRW Microwave, Inc. (Building<br>825)  | Sunnyvale          | D                                 | 0                              |
| 14                     | NH | Holton Circle Ground Water<br>Contam   | Londonderry        | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | NY | Mattiace Petrochemical Co., Inc.       | Glen Cove          | R,S                               | 0                              |
| 14                     | MA | Atlas Tack Corp.                       | Fairhaven          | S                                 |                                |
| 14                     | IN | Continental Steel Corp.                | Kokomo             | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | FL | Wingate Road Munic Incinerat<br>Dump   | Fort Lauderdale    | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | NC | Benfield Industries, Inc.              | Hazelwood          | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | SC | Elmore Waste Disposal                  | Greer              | R                                 | 0                              |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                              | City/county             | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 14                     | он | Reilly Tar & Chemical (Dover PInt)     | Dover                   | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | MI | Parsons Chemical Works, Inc.           | Grand Ledge             | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | KΥ | Green River Disposal, Inc.             | Масео                   | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | FL | Anodyne, Inc.                          | North Miami Beach       | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | AK | Alaska Battery Enterprises             | Fairbanks N Star<br>Bor | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | AL | Redwing Carriers, Inc. (Saraland)      | Saraland                | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | ОК | Double Eagle Refinery Co.              | Oklahoma City           | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | wı | Fort Howard Paper Co. Lagoons          | Green Bay               | D                                 | 0                              |
| 14                     | PA | Strasburg Landfill                     | Newlin Township         | S                                 | 0                              |
| 14                     | ОК | Fourth Street Abandoned Refinery       | Oklahoma City           | D                                 |                                |
| 14                     | NJ | Witco Chemical Corp. (Oakland<br>Pit)  | Oakland                 | D                                 | 0                              |
| 15                     | WA | Northwest Transformer (S<br>Harkness)  | Everson                 | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | ŊЈ | Higgins Farm                           | Franklin Township       | R                                 | 0                              |
| 15                     | WA | American Crossarm & Conduit Co.        | Chehalis                | R                                 |                                |
| 15                     | GA | Marzone Inc./Chevron Chemical<br>Co.   | Tifton                  | V,R                               | 0                              |
| 15                     | PA | Keyser Avenue Borehole                 | Scranton                | R                                 |                                |
| 15                     | кs | Pester Refinery Co.                    | El Dorado               | S                                 |                                |
| 15                     | MI | Cannelton Industries, Inc.             | Sault Sainte Marie      | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | PA | Berkley Products Co. Dump              | Denver                  | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | MS | Gautier Oil Co., Inc.                  | Gautier                 | V,F                               | 0                              |
| 15                     | СА | Hewlett-Packard (620-40) Page<br>Mill) | Palo Alto               | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | MI | Adam's Plating                         | Lansing                 | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | ME | Saco Municipal Landfill                | Saco                    | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | NM | Prewitt Abandoned Refinery             | Prewitt                 | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | NY | Sidney Landfill                        | Sidney                  | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | NC | Potter's Septic Tank Service Pits      | Масо                    | R                                 | 0                              |
| 15                     | NC | ABC One Hour Cleaners                  | Jacksonville            | D                                 |                                |
| 15                     | PA | Elizabethtown Landfill                 | Elizabethtown           | D                                 | 0                              |
| 16                     | СА | Modesto Ground Water Contamin          | Modesto                 | D                                 |                                |
| 16                     | DE | Sussex County Landfill No. 5           | Laurel                  | D                                 |                                |

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                            | City/county   | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 16                     | NJ | Garden State Cleaners Co.            | Minotola      | D                                 |                                |
| 16                     | NJ | Pohatcong Valley Ground Water<br>Con | Warren County | D                                 |                                |
| 16                     |    | Waste Management (Brookfield<br>Lfl) | Brookfield    | D                                 |                                |
| 16                     | NJ | Kauffman & Minteer, Inc.             | Jobstown      | D                                 |                                |

Number of sites proposed for listing: 215.

<sup>1</sup> Sites are placed in groups (Gr) corresponding to groups of 50 on the final NPL.

<sup>2</sup> V=Voluntary or Negotiated Response; F=Federal Enforcement; D=Category To Be Determined; R=Federal and State Response; S=State Enforcement.

<sup>3</sup> I=Implementation activity underway, one or more operable units; O=One or more operable units completed; others may be underway; C=Implementation activity completed for all operable units. <sup>4</sup> State top priority site.

Table 3National Priorities List - Federal Facility Sites - Proposed Update 7 (By Group)June 1988

| NPL<br>Gr <sup>1</sup> | St | Site name                             | City/county       | Response<br>category <sup>2</sup> | Cleanup<br>status <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1                      | WA | Hanford 200-Area (USDOE)              | Benton County     | D                                 |                                |
| 1                      | WA | Hanford 300-Area (USDOE)              | Benton County     | D                                 |                                |
| 1                      | CA | Riverbank Army Ammunition<br>Plant    | Riverbank         | R                                 |                                |
| 1                      | NM | Cal West Metals (SBA)                 | Lemitar           | D                                 |                                |
| 2                      | ОН | Wright-Patterson Air Force Base       | Dayton            | R                                 |                                |
| 5                      | WA | Hanford 100-Area (USDOE)              | Benton County     | D                                 |                                |
| 8                      | СА | El Toro Marine Corps Air Station      | El Toro           | R                                 |                                |
| 10                     | NM | Lee Acres Landfill (USDOI)            | Farmington        | D                                 | 0                              |
| 10                     | NC | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps<br>Base     | Onslow County     | R                                 |                                |
| 10                     | WA | Hanford 1100-Area (USDOE)             | Benton County     | D                                 |                                |
| 12                     | PR | Naval Security Group Activity         | Sabana Seca       | R                                 |                                |
| 13                     | WA | Fairchild Air Force Base (4<br>Areas) | Spokane<br>County | R                                 |                                |
| 15                     | СА | Concord Naval Weapons Station         | Concord           | R                                 |                                |
| 15                     | AZ | Yuma Marine Corps Air Station         | Yuma              | R                                 |                                |

Number of Federal Facility sites proposed for listing: 14.

<sup>1</sup> Sites are placed in groups (Gr) corresponding to groups of 50 on the final NPL.

<sup>2</sup> V=Voluntary or Negotiated Response; F=Federal Enforcement; D=Category To Be Determined; R=Federal and State Response; S=State Enforcement.

<sup>3</sup> I=Implementation activity underway, one or more operable units; O=One or more operable units completed; others may be underway; C=Implementation activity completed for all operable units.

[FR Doc. 88-14294 Filed 6-23-88; 8:45 am]

#### BILLING CODE 6560-50-M