

641

20

Docid

10.10669

## **Clear-cut cleanup** plan doesn't exist

## PLAN, from 1A

homework," said Fort Edward Town Supervisor Merrilyn Pulver, a longtime opponent of dredging. "We don't know what 17 miles of shoreline will be totally ripped apart to support this," Pulver continued. "We don't know whose property they will be taking. We don't know what roads they will tear up. We don't know where they will treat this material. "How dare they make these de-

cisions without providing that information to the community. This decision is unconscionable. Whitman's decision endorses a

plan that is unprecedented in scale and technically difficult.

The massive plan calls for the removal of 2.65 million cubic yards of sediment from 40 contaminated "hot spots" along a 40mile stretch of the meandering river between Hudson Falls and the Federal Dam at Troy.

These sites, about 170 miles north of Westchester County, contain an estimated 100,000 pounds of PCBs, remnants of 1.3 million unds dumped into the Hudson by General Electric plants.

The material will have to be hauled out of the river without spilling contaminated sediment to flow downriver. The sediment will be dried onshore, transported to railroad cars and shipped to established landfills designed to handle toxic materials. None of these logistics has been worked

It is not a question of simply removing a wide swath of river bottom. The EPA has targeted sites encompassing a total of 493 acres in three regions of the river. The agency intends to remove deposits from the first two sections with hydraulic dredges, and to use clamshell dredges for the last one. Each of these poses problems.

First is spillage. Dredging op-ponents contend that disturbing the sediment will release PCRs into the fast-moving water. A significant "spike" in PCB concentration would cause far more contamination along the lower portion of the Hudson River than leaving

the PCBs in place. EPA officials are confident that hydraulic dredging can be done with a minimum of spillage. But they do not know precisely how.

"How dare they make these decisions without providing that information to the community. This decision is unconscionable."

## Merrilyn Pulver, the Fort Edwards supervisor, a longtime Concent of dredging

sign phase. "We can say what we think can be done and why we believe it can be done," said Richard Caspe, director of the EPA's emergency and remedial response division "But that is where it stops. We

haven't designed it yet." A demonstration project to reove PCBs from a 7-mile stretch of the Fox River in Wisconsin resulted in spillage rates ranging from 2.2 percent to nearly 9 percent, according to the U.S. Geological Survey in a January 2000

That level would be unacceptable, considering the volume of material to be removed from the Hudson, EPA officials said.

Because of the potential for spillage, the plan was criticized earlier this year by the National Research Council, a combined unit of the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering and the Institute of Medicine.

In a blunt critique, the NRC said, "No remediation technology is effective in removing all sediment contaminants from a site." Administrator Whitman ac-

knowledged this difficulty by requiring performance standards for the project. There will be periodic measurements of PCB levels in the soil, the water and spilled

PCB-laden sediment. The EPA will determine at each stage of the project whether it is scientifically justified to continue the cleanup," the agency said in a statement yesterday. As a further precaution, the

EPA is apparently planning to cleanse each section one after the tive director of Scenic Hudson. "It other, rather than manage several makes sense to incorporate any learning from each phase into the dredging operations at the same

Hudson River.

next phase.

proach." said Ned Sullivan, execu-

They insist that the difficulties can "You can't clean up a 40-mile Manna Jo Green, epvironmen-be worked out during a 3-year do stretch of river in a big-bang ap-tal director for Clearwater, added,



The Environmental Protection Agency has ordered a wide-scale dredging of the Hudson River.

and moves down river, they can pick it up when they pass through on the next phase. The second problem involves

logistics. The plan calls for siphoning the muck from the river floor at a rate of 10 million gallong per hour, with up to 20 percent of that being sediment. The mud and water will go to settlement ponds and a de-watering facility some-

where on shore. As the project progresses, however, the pipeline will extend for several miles, which is unusual."

"One of the concerns with using a long pipeline on a dredging operation is that it can get clogged," said Brad Cushing, a partner at Environmental Management Inc. near Philadelphia. They propose using boosters at every mile."

This hasn't been done on an environmental dredging project," he said

It is not known how the EPA will acquire the private land for its various shore facilities, or what damage will be done to the river marshes and shallow aquatic breeding sections in the dredging areas.

"We have six and a half miles that will be environmentally devastated," Fort Edwards' Pulver stated. "And there are two miles where we will have bank-to-bank

"They will just rip everything out of there, including the wetlands, and there is no guarantee the river will be better off in the end. This is going to change our community forever."

plans yet to fight EP Welson Soft

www.theiournainews.com

GE: No

0

67

0 Ч

O

A PROPERTY AND nd populate

Under the Superfund law, GE cannot fight the EPA decision in court unless it refuses to comply with the dredging order, in which case the federal Justice Department would likely sue to enforce the order, said Michael Bogin, an environmental lawyer with the Sive, Paget & Riesel law firm in New York City.

Such a strategy would expose the company and its shareholder to great financial risk, however, because failure to comply with a Superfund cleanup order carries penalties equal to three times the actual cost of the work, Bogin said

The company can also weigh in during the design and engineer ing phase of the project, which

why we think massive dredging of the Hudson is the wrong approach," said GE spokesman Mark Behan. "We'll consider what the appropriate next steps are. We don't want to speculate before we read" the EPA's deci

The EPA said it would order dredging of PCB-contaminated "hot spots" along a 40-mile stretch of the river, from Hudson Falls to Troy, as its staff recommended in December. GE plants discharged the PCBs into the river over nearly four decades.

There had been speculation that the EPA was considering a scaled-down project. But EPA Ad-ministrator Christie Whitman said a comprehensive cleanup was "environmentally sound and is responsive to the concerns of the af-

fected communities." GE slammed the agency for leaking the plan Tuesday, before sending a copy to the company or New York state officials. It was forwarded to the Pataki administration vester lay afternoon.

"It's incombent upon EPA to make public this draft decision so that everybody can read an i react to it," Behan said.

Staff writer Bruce Golding contributed to this report. and the second second

dredging.

A windsurfer sails yesterday in the shadows of the Half Moon on the that the sequential approach will

take longer than the five years initially scheduled for the uredging

operations. "There is a benefit in this approach," Green said. "If a small amount of sediment is suspended

could last three years. "We will continue to show EPA