Date: 3-7-01
Hudson River PBCs Public Meeting

70407

-	Harch 7, 2001
2	Falls, from the source, and we're coming up
3	with about a pound to a pound and-a-half a
4	day of PCBs from the sediments, from the
5	Thompson Island Pool, so there's a big
6	difference there. Three ounces versus a
7	pound to a pound and-a-half. We think it's
8	very important that the source be
9	controlled and that they get rid of it. We
10	fully support it. Obviously, New York
11	State does, also, but it's not the only
12	answer.
13	So what does that leave us
14	with?
15	What we have proposed for a
16	remedy and it's probably and I'm sure
17	it's a little bit hard to see here, there's
18	also some graph outside that shows it in a
19	little larger form, I believe, but in any
20	event, the remedy selected is up here, this
21	Rem 3/10 select. What it amounts to is
22	about 1.5 is the dredging of about 2.65
23	million cubic yards in the upper 40 miles
24	of the river

1	March 7, 2001
2	SPEAKER: I represent the
3	Borough of Fort Lee and Mayor Jack Alter
4	and all Council people, but Mayor Jack
5	Alter also is a Bergen County Freeholder
6	and Loretta Weinberg is also represented in
7	our resolution. She is working on a
8	corresponding resolution for the cleanup in
9	the state legislature. Our resolution was
10	passed unanimously January 25th and we have
11	public comment on it.
12	Fort Lee is a river town
L3	despite what many people think. They look
14	at high-rises. Point in fact, many of us
15	came from working class backgrounds
16	including myself. Well, the fact is that
L7	the river needs to be cleaned.
L8	(Brief interruption.)
L9	SPEAKER: I don't have a
20	fleet of attorneys from GE, so I'm here on
21	my own, so
22	The fact is we grew up along
23	the river. We swam in the river, believe
24	it or not, right under the George
25	Washington Bridge. We fished and crabbed

1.	March 7, 2001
2	we catch, that our people catch and where
3	we swim and I support the EPA in their
4	conclusion.
5	GE made a lot of money over
6	the years, I've sailed the Hudson and it's
7	a pretty deserted place until you get up
8	around the Albany area and they're still
9	thriving and I think it's time for GE to
LO	put some money back into what they took out
11	of the river.
12	Thank you very much.
L3	SPEAKER: My name is Jim
L4	Guine. First I'd like to thank the EPA for
L5	holding public comment.
L6	I've worked doing limnol (phonetic)
L7	research for the Smithsonian and also the
18	Field Institute and done some limnology
L9	work and somebody who's lived through a
30	cancer scare and don't particularly like
21	carcinogens and hope nobody else has to
22	live through a cancer scare.
23	I'd like to do something
24	unpopular and thank GE because, you know,
25	although it seems clear to me that they

1	March 7, 2001
2	seeing a cleaner Lower Hudson as well.
3	MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Doug.
4	And, Sharon, before you get up, let me just
5	mention please get up, I'm sorry.
6	Before you speak, let me just say the next
7	five.
8	Marilyn Pulver, Craig
9	Michaels, Wayne Tomasi, Glenn Blank it
10	looks like, Tony Evangelista.
11	SPEAKER: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Good evening. My name is
14	Sharon Rugey. I am a resident and
15	Department Supervisor in the Town of Fort
16	Edward.
17	I have spent the better part
18	of the last twenty years fighting for a
19	cleaner Hudson, but without dredging. For
20	the last ten years, I've been a member of
21	the EPA's Environmental Liaison Committee.
22	This evening I do have one
23	question first, and that is, upon reading
24	the feasibility study. I'm trying to

1	March 7, 2001
2	source material would be gone, so you
3	wouldn't have that constant load of 500
4	pounds a year.
5	MR. MC CABE: And as Doug
6	said, Sharon, if you have some information
7	I'm not familiar with the information
8	you're sharing with us, but we'd like to
9	see it, obviously, and be able to comment
10	on it.
11	SPEAKER: Yeah, I didn't
12	bring it with me, but it is available and
13	I'll make sure that you get it, but I have
14	because of this crowd being very
15	concerned about the fish, I think that we
16	have to look very seriously at the
17	resuspension and to know if we're actually
18	going to make the problem worse with the
19	proposed dredge.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Sharon
22	I think as Doug mentioned,
23	any we obviously have not calculated
24	numbers as Sharon has cited and we
) 5	cortainly expect any of those numbers to be

1	March 7, 2001
2	far less than what is currently being
3	contributed to the river by the sediments,
4	but, in any event, whatever happens during
5	dredging, which we will minimize as much as
6	possible, will be a one-time deal and will
7	be dissipated quickly over time as opposed
8	to leaving it there forever, so
9	Marilyn.
10	SPEAKER: Hi, I'm the Fort
11	Edward Town Supervisor. I became involved
12	in this dredging proposal back in the late
13	seventies, early eighties. Site 10 was
14	adjacent to my dairy farm, basically going
15	to put the farming community out of
16	business. That's why I stood up and became
17	involved. We won at all levels of the
18	State Court system and in 1984 EPA's
19	decision was a record of leaving the river
20	alone because it would be devastating to
21	the ecological system of the river.
22	Today I'm here as a
23	supervisor of a community that will be most
24	dramatically impacted by the proposed EPA
25	plan. I am here tonight as that supervisor

1	March 7, 2001
2	Decision. That's a technical document that
3	has nothing to do with General Electric,
4	you know, that's right or the way we're
5	going to come out with it and, hopefully,
6	that will be in August. After that is when
7	we start worrying about General Electric or
8	anyone else.
9	And then we will attempt to
10	have General Electric or any responsible
11	party, which is basically General Electric,
12	implement the remedy, do the design and do
13	the construction. We have legal means to
14	go after them, but that's for another day,
15	obviously.
16	For now what we're really
17	concerned about is do we have the right
18	remedy and let's go forward with the
19	remedy, worry about that part of it a
20	little bit later.
21	SPEAKER: My name is Wayne
22	Tomasi. I'm President of New York State
23	Bass Federation. I live in the
24	Poughkeepsie area on the Hudson River.
25	To protect our national

1	March 7, 2001
2	habitat for our large mouth and small mouth
3	bass and 17 miles of shore line which is a
4	primary spawning for small mouth bass.
5	We believe that the dredging
6	will cause the silt in the river by a clam
7	shell technology will actually, you know,
8	provide further silt in the system and
9	affect our bass.
10	With PCBs levels declining in
11	the river, we're very happy that the New
12	York State DEC opened up the Upper Hudson
13	seven years ago, not one year ago, to
14	fishing again. It is a catch and release
15	season as of 1994
16	MR. MC CABE: 1995.
17	SPEAKER: Not one year, so we
18	would like that to be corrected on that.
19	Many of our anglers now do enjoy the
20	recreational fishing in that river since
21	that was reopened.
22	Although since bass fishing
23	is our primary focus, we also support the
24	communities in the area. We worry about
25	their concerns of use of highways, et

1	March 7, 2001
2	but to feed their own families. Many of
3	these people don't quite understand the
4	dangers of being exposed to eating fish
5	two, three or four times a week, which many
6	of them do do.
7	Our organization has been
8	trying to inform them about these dangers,
9	but it would be very nice when somebody
10	comes to one of our meetings to say, yeah,
11	it's okay to eat the fish at least once or
12	twice a week and, therefore, I think
13	something has to be done and done rather
14	quickly.
15	Thank you so much.
16	MR. MC CABE: The next five
17	are Paul Mastromarino, James Campbell, Jeff
18	Tittel, Jim Campbell, I don't know if
19	that's different, and Manna Jo Greene.
20	SPEAKER: You're not going to
21	like me. My name's Paul Mastromarina, I
22	live here in New Jersey. I'm a concerned
23	GE shareholder and I'm sure many of you
24	have GE in your mutual fund or IRA.
25	SPEAKER: No way.

1	March 7, 2001
2	profession. I've been doing it for twenty
3	years since Superfund started. I worked or
4	about thirty-five Superfund sites. I'm
5	currently working on the RIFS study for
6	what was once the largest transforming
7	plant in the world and my meeting on the
8	proposed plan for that study was yesterday,
9	in another EPA region.
10	The other reason, and that's
11	why I have a strong professional interest,
12	what's happening at the Hudson River has
13	affected remedy selection at sites
14	involving contaminated sediment all over
15	the United States, including a site off the
16	coast of California, a site I've been
17	working on, also, so I have a strong
18	interest in what's going on up there.
19	The second interest I have is
20	that I live three miles from a landfill
21	that takes a lot of waste from New York and
22	New Jersey and western Pennsylvania, 355
23	miles from here. Honestly, the remedy
24	selection process that has been gone
25	through for this particular Superfund site

1	March 7, 2001
2	now. Right now is a technical decision;
3	we'll worry about who pays for it later.
4	I'm very interested in having General
5	Electric pay for it, that's the law.
6	The law says we will go after
7	General Electric. We have a variety of
8	legal mechanisms to do that. If that
9	doesn't work, then we would have to fund i
10	using the Superfund and then try to recove
11	the costs from General Electric, so we have
L2	every intention of either having them do i
L3	and then pay for it, whatever the remedy
14	might be.
15	Were there any other
L6	questions?
17	SPEAKER: Charles Stam.
L8	Hudson River Fishermen's Association.
L9	We've heard several people
20	testify how much General Electric is
21	spending recently on their advertising. We
22	think they're going to spend equal amount
23	of money to see this project fail. It
24	would be in their best interest if they
25	take an active role in this cleanup to see

1	March 7, 2001
2	able or they are able to completely shut
3	that off, these numbers will be even
4	better, there will be a larger spread
5	between them. And, obviously, that's what
6	we're hoping.
7	SPEAKER: I'm sorry, Gil
8	Hawkins, Hudson River Fishermen's
9	Association. Just have a quick question.
LO	Are you aware of the fact
1	that the New York State DEC is thinking
L2	about opening up the commercial and striped
L3	bass fishery in the Hudson River and
4	allowing those fish to be caught in the
.5	shadnets and be sold at the Fulton Fish
.6	Market?
7	MR. MC CABE: We're aware of
.8	those discussions, yes. Yes, sir.
.9	SPEAKER: Ron Shinella, Glen
20	Rock, New Jersey.
21	It seems to me the numbers
22	you're presenting here, if I heard you
:3	right, you said you're going to remove
4	100,000 out of the 200,000. If you just
5	forget fish for the moment it sounds to mo

1	March 7, 2001
2	like you're going to reduce the
. 3	concentration down river here by 50 percent
4	by a factor of two. I feel that's either
5.	not worth doing or we should do more.
6	In fact, I think in your
7	report you mentioned a possible amount much
8	higher than that, higher than 1.3 million.
9	If you take 100,000 out of 1.3 million,
10	you're going to do very little to the
11	concentration down here, so I think you
12	should address whether you are doing enough
13	or forget it.
14	MR. MC CABE: The 1.3 million
15	was an estimate of the so-called discharges
16	from General Electric.
17	Again, I mentioned that there
18	was a lot of other things number one,
19	that's an estimate.
20	Number two, there are a lot
21	of seeps, leaks, et cetera, that weren't
22	discharges. We have no idea how much that
23	was that got into the river.
24	However, that is not what
25	remains in the river based upon the