## 1 1 2 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY HUDSON RIVER PCBs REASSESSMENT PROJECT 3 4 5 Public Meeting on EPA's Proposal 6 to Clean Up the PCB Contamination 7 in the Upper Hudson River 8 9 10 Saddle Brook Marriott Saddle Brook, New Jersey 11 Wednesday, March 7, 2001 12 7:00 p.m. 13 MEMBERS OF THE EPA HUDSON RIVER TEAM IN ATTENDANCE: 14 15 ANN RYCHLENSKI, Community Relations, EPA BILL MC CABE, Deputy Director, Superfund, EPA DOUG TOMCHUK, Project Manager MARIAN OLSEN, Environmental Scientist 16 DOUGLAS FISCHER, Counsel, Member 17 MEL HAUPTMAN, Team Leader, Contaminated Sediments Team 18 19 20 Reported by: Tabitha R. Dente, CSR 21 22

ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING COMPANY

(212) 750-6434 REF:40437

133 East 58th Street - Suite 1201 New York, New York 10022

23

24

25

10.8231

MS. RYCHLENSKI: Good evening. Thank you for coming out here tonight. My name is Ann Rychlenski and I'm the Community Relations Coordinator from USEPA for the Hudson River PCB Superfund Reassessment and the proposed plan. We are here tonight to talk to you about the proposal that EPA has put out on the street to clean up the PCB contaminated sediments of the Upper Hudson River north of Troy, New York.

This evening there will be a brief presentation on what the proposal is about, some of the more salient features of what we found out about the river, why we believe this is the right course of action and exactly what it is that we are proposing.

We will then open the mics to the public because we are taking public comment. That is part of the proposed plan and feasibility study process. Before EPA can make a decision on the Superfund site, they have to put their proposal out for

public comment and that is where we are right now.

We've held a number of meetings up and down the Hudson Valley, we are pleased and happy to be here tonight in Saddle Brook and we'll be taking public comment on this proposal until April 17th, so make sure you get your comments to us by then.

stenographer present and I'm going to ask you if you come up to the mic to make a comment or ask a question, please speak clearly, please give your name, if you have any affiliation and you want to give that to us, and where it is that you're from, so that we can get a clear legal record of what happens here tonight, because the public comments that are taken tonight are a part of the permanent legal record on this proposed plan and constitutes many of the questions and comments which we have to answer in our responsiveness summary before we make our ultimate decision, which is

scheduled for August of this year.

We have a number of ways you can comment, you can comment tonight, go on the record verbally. We have comment boxes that are outside, you can write a comment on a big index card and put it in there and we'll get it in the record. Just put your name and address on it and we will enter it in. You can write your comments in by April 17th and you can send them to Doug Tomchuk or Allison Hess at EPA and their addresses are in the proposed plan. Make sure you get one before you go home if you don't have one already. That's where the information is.

In addition, we have a web site where you can comment directly and that is at w-w-w, dot, Hudson comment, one word, dot, region two, one word, with an arabic numeral two, at EPA, dot, gov -- oh, I'm sorry, I'm from New York. I talk so damn fast, I am really sorry. Well, you just yell at me and I will slow down.

Well, PCBs will do that to you, you know

that.

Okay, should we go back to the web site? Okay. If you want to comment via e-mail at Hudson comment, which is one word, dot, region two, one word, arabic numeral two, at EPA, dot, gov.

We also have a web site. You can get loads of information, including all of the documents that we have that make up this entire study, which is voluminous and exhaustive and excellent, and you can find that at EPA, dot, gov slash Hudson, a little simpler than the other one.

What we're going to do
tonight is we are going to do the
presentation, then we'll open up to
comments. I'm going to ask you to please
keep an eye on the two ladies behind me.
Everyone gets two minutes at the
microphone. They will make sure that you
get two minutes. They are nice, they are
lovely and kind. They are gentle. Until
you exceed your time limit. Karen has a
green, that means go, even in New Jersey.

Yellow, you got thirty seconds left. Red, end of the story.

Now, like I said, we're nice and we're kind, but just don't push the envelope.

I just want to acknowledge a couple people here that are here tonight who I know some of whom are going to make statements.

Philip Corado and, also, Evan Krieger from Congressman Rothman's office.

Just want to say hi. Okay. And Tom

Meyers, Councilman representing the Borough of Fort Lee is here this evening, as is

Eugene Martin Leff who is with the Attorney General's office from the State of New York with Elliot Spitzer's office and I do know they will be coming to the microphone to make some statements, some of them, and I guess that's about it.

I'm going to turn it over to Bill McCabe and he's going to talk about this proposal that we have and then we'll go on to your questions and comments.

.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Ann.

First I'd like to introduce the other folks here.

March 7, 2001

We have Marian Olsen who is our toxicologist who deals with our risk assessments; Doug Fischer from our Regional Council Office who is your attorney at the cite; Doug Tomchuk, one of our Project Managers for the site.

The Hudson River site is one of those sites that you can either talk to folks about for four or five hours, which I'm sure you don't want to hear, or you can give a brief presentation of the results in like a twenty-minute presentation, so that's what I'm going to do tonight and we'll save the rest of the time for your questions. Any kind of details which I won't be getting into that you'd like to hear about, of course, we'll be happy to answer them.

The Hudson River Reassessment was a ten-year study. At \$25 million I

might add. It is certainly the largest and most extensive study we've done in Region 2 and probably in the country, so it's not something that we -- this is not a decision that we've arrived at in any kind of a quick manner. We've been very studied about it. We have had it peer reviewed by five different panels of independent scientific experts on topics such as geochemistry, risk assessments and mathematical modeling.

So what you see here in the first picture is, is what we consider the site, the Upper Hudson and the Lower Hudson. The Upper Hudson is 40 miles and the Lower Hudson is the rest, the other 160 miles. We've concentrated on the Upper Hudson because that's where the highest PCB contamination is, but someone asked me before from Channel 12, well, why are you here, and first it took me back a little bit.

And I said, well, the Hudson River Fishermen's Association in the New

Jersey Chapter asked us to come here and...(applause)...and that's a good enough reason, but then I thought further and said, well, it's just not a New York issue, obviously the heaviest contamination is up river, but it doesn't stay there, it comes down river and that's one of the problems. We've estimated that about half of the surface sediment contamination is from upstream, is from the Upper Hudson River.

Also, I know from folks, friends of mine, I happen to live in New Jersey, that live in the Lower Hudson area, let's say, without naming towns, that there are people who fish the Hudson River for subsistence purposes, to eat the fish. In fact, one of the people told me that some of -- one of the towns even has a station where they provide washing services for the fish that are caught, so it's important. It does affect down here. Is it as much as Upper? Of course not.

What I'll be discussing, as I mentioned, was the Upper Hudson River.

We've divided it into three sections. The first section, which is about 6 miles, that goes down to the Thompson Island Dam. That is where historically -- I would say historically that's the most studied section of the river. That's where New York State found about twenty hot spots out of the forty that they discovered in the mid-seventies, so that's why it's been the most studied area and, as I said, that's about 6 miles.

The average -- the approximate sediment concentration for the surface is about 42 parts per million PCBs. Section 2, which you can see there, another short section goes down to the North Umberland Dam, that's another 5 miles, and there's about fifteen hot spots there from New York State, so, again, twenty and fifteen, thirty-five of the forty hot spots are in that short section of the river.

The rest of the river, which is 29 miles, Section 3, all the way down to the Troy Dam, that has five of the hot

spots. Obviously that has not been studied that extensively. Oh, and by the way, in Section 2 the average is 26 parts per million in the sediment, so you went from 42 to 26 and in Section 3 you're down to about 9 parts per million.

Next, Doug. So what I'd like to tell you about is the results.

PCB -- what we have learned from this ten-year study about PCBs in general, their toxicity, about the PCB contamination in the water column, in the fish and in the sediments and, of course, what our plan is to remediate it and why we think that will be effective.

PCBs cause cancer in lab
animals and are probable human carcinogens.
This is what EPA says; however, there are
other agencies that agree with us. This is
not EPA alone saying these kinds of things.
The National Institute of Environmental
Health Sciences, the National Institute of
Occupational Safety & Health, the World
Health Organization all agree with us.

There are also serious non-cancer health effects such as low birth weights, learning and memory problems, thyroid disease and immunological deficiencies. This is further supported by a long-awaited National Academy of Sciences report. Actually, we do not have the report yet; what we have is the executive summary. This is a report commissioned by Congress a few years ago at this point. Everyone's been waiting for it as the answer of the Hudson River and, perhaps, for other sediment sites.

Perhaps they're a little bit disappointed, but it's more of a generic report about how you should do things, the kinds of risks that should be looked at at sites, but in any event, the National Academy of Sciences echos what I've just said about the cancer and non-cancer health effects of PCBs.

And, coincidentally, we believe that all of their recommendations, at least that we've seen so far, and we

believe that's all there will be, we will be able to handle during this comment period. However, the report comes out, it should be out...within a few days, so we'll be seeing that and be able to see what backs up all those recommendations they have in their executive summary.

So what does this mean? What we believe is that you should continue to follow the New York State fish consumption advisories. There are a lot of different advisories, basically women of child bearing age and children under the age of fifteen should eat no fish from the Hudson River and above the Troy Dam, no one should eat any fish from the Hudson River. It's a catch and release program now. There used to not even be a catch and release program until about a year or so ago.

This isn't the answer, of course. We don't believe that fish consumption advisories are a long-term management plan or a strategy that you can follow for the rest of time. We believe

something has to be done with the river.

It's a great national resource. It

ignores -- as I mentioned before, in the

Lower Hudson, also, there are people in the

Upper Hudson and elsewhere who are actually
eating the fish, there are subsistence

fishermen.

And, in fact, in the 1996

Department of Health and New York State

Department of Health survey showed one in six people that they interviewed had fish and one in ten had more than one fish.

Some say they're not eating it. I think that's highly unlikely.

Next, Doug. I'm sorry, go back to the other one.

What this will show you here, you can see in the early years, I don't know, 1977, '78, '79, you've got some very high levels and so what we've heard from a lot of people is, well, look what happened, it's down to next to nothing, it's like a 90-percent drop.

Well, on one hand you can say

that's true. On the other hand, what you have to know is that in this time period and around here, the Fort Edward Dam was removed, General Electric stopped the emission or the leak -- well...discharge, that's the right word, Doug, the discharge of PCBs from their two facilities up river, and the last -- in 1979 navigational dredging was ended, so what you really see since that time is very much since, oh, '85 or in this neighborhood, very much a leveling off here. Not a whole lot has happened and this is in the water.

Next.

So where does that come from? What this chart shows you, and this is -- I don't know if you can read it, but this is PCBs on a homologue basis. That just means -- it's a different way of looking at the number of chlorines attached to the biphenyl molecule, but what it essentially shows you is that Rogers Island is upstream. The Thompson Island Pool, that's in that first section that I referenced. A

whole lot more is coming into the river from the Thompson Island Pool than what came into it from above it, from Rogers Island.

And the reason we know it comes from the sediment, as you can see in this next chart, that, again, these are homologue patterns, it shows you essentially an almost identical pattern between the sediment and the water column. So, in other words, we know that what's in the water column came from the sediment and, as I just mentioned, it increased at least threefold over that sediment.

Next.

I'm going to show you a few for the PCBs in the fish, the PCB contamination in the fish. I'm going to show you a few charts, so -- I think it was about four fish charts, but essentially they're going to show you the same thing. You got this early on and then as you can see here, this is black bass from Stillwater, still we're in the third

section.

Essentially it's leveled out here around Bullhead, Stillwater Pool essentially leveled out, so, in other words, there's not some sort of decline that goes from here and just keeps coming down. It's pretty much leveled out here. Large mouth bass, what you'll notice here in the '91, two, three area, there is a jump and this kind of...kind of confused the issue for a while.

What happened here was that there was a mill that's known as the Alan Mill, under the GE Hudson Falls facility, in which a gate structure collapsed and released a tremendous amount of PCBs into the water column. As a result, this is what happened, the fish levels went way up. So what we're looking at since then, after it kind of calmed down a bit here is, again, it's a bit up and down, but it's essentially a leveling off pattern, again.

And then the last one, the Brown Bullhead from the Thompson Island

Pool, again, Thompson Island Pool in Section 1. Same thing here, the Alan Mill event, this area and then pretty much a leveling off here.

Now, as far as the PCB contamination in the sediment, we've learned that natural dechlorination processes are not sufficient to solve the problem. That was a theory that was put forth a while ago. We've discovered that that will result in less than 10 percent mass loss in the PCBs. Basically they changed the type of PCBs, but the mass of PCBs is still there.

Also, we found little evidence in the Thompson Island Pool, again, in Section 1, of any widespread burial of PCB contaminated sediments by clean sediments. That was another theory that was put forth, that the river's cleaning itself, the sediments are -- clean sediments are covering the dirty sediments, therefore, just leave it alone and everything will be fine.

What we've found in our coring program was that more cores showed a loss of inventory than showed a gain in inventory and in 60 percent of the cores, the highest PCB levels were found in the surface sediments or what was the top 9 inches. And in 1999 there's still about 500 pounds of PCBs going over the Troy Dam, so that's what's coming down river.

Now, having shown this, I mentioned that some folks say that, well, the river's getting a lot better, you know, it looks a lot better, it looks a lot cleaner, you know, why don't you just leave it alone, just do some source control and let's see what happens to it.

Well, it's certainly true that aesthetically when you look at the river it does look a lot better, because it is a lot better. The Clean Water Act has funded about \$200 million in improvements to sewage treatment facilities above the Troy Dam. That has cleaned up the river. That doesn't mean that the PCBs have gone

10.8249

away. Obviously you can't see the PCBs in the fish and in the sediments. They're still there.

As far as source control,

General Electric has proposed that they
just take care of the source, their sources
at Hudson Falls particularly. They're
doing a great deal of work at both Hudson
Falls and Fort Edward, but they just
proposed they take care of that and let's
see what happens.

We believe that source control is necessary, also. It's -- while not directly a part of our plan, it is part of our remedy. We believe that it is absolutely necessary. And in that light, they have submitted a feasibility study, a plan, to New York State very recently which they hope and we hope will eliminate the remaining source of PCBs from their plant site into the Hudson River.

But you have to remember, as of the latest numbers we have is about 3 ounces a day of PCBs coming from Hudson

Falls, from the source, and we're coming up with about a pound to a pound and-a-half a day of PCBs from the sediments, from the Thompson Island Pool, so there's a big difference there. Three ounces versus a pound to a pound and-a-half. We think it's very important that the source be controlled and that they get rid of it. We fully support it. Obviously, New York State does, also, but it's not the only answer.

So what does that leave us with?

What we have proposed for a remedy and it's probably -- and I'm sure it's a little bit hard to see here, there's also some graph outside that shows it in a little larger form, I believe, but in any event, the remedy selected is up here, this rem 310 select. What it amounts to is about 1.5 -- is the dredging of about 2.65 million cubic yards in the upper 40 miles of the river.

In the first section that I

mentioned down to about the Thompson Island damn, as you can see, there's a lot here in red, remediation areas, that's a pretty concentrated dredging effort. That's about 1.5 six million cubic yards, so 1.5 out of the 2.6 approximately. The entire dredging program is in this area and that's in that 6-mile stretch. It's very concentrated.

What you'll then see to the North Umberland Dam from here as you progress up this way, in that 5-mile stretch there's another about .58 million cubic yards, so, again, less concentrated, but still a decent amount of dredging in that area, the red areas.

Then when you go for the rest of the river, the Section 3, you can see here there's not a lot of red, there's just a couple of areas that are in red. That's about .51 million cubic yards in river Section 3. That's why we consider this to be, we've called it -- oh, I'm sorry, there's also about 340,000 cubic yards in the navigational channels, some of that

which is contaminated and some of which we need to remove to get to the rest of the contamination, so that's a side benefit of this action, obviously, is that some of the navigational channels will have -- will be dredged, which -- and they need it.

That's why we've called this targeted dredging. We are dealing with or are dredging about 500 acres out of the 3,900 acres that are in this 40-mile stretch. That's about a 12- to 13-percent of the entire area, so it's not like we're going in and just dredging the whole river. As I showed, River Section 1 is pretty heavy, less so in 2 and very little in River Section 3, so that's why we consider it targeting dredging.

What we're also doing is adding one foot of backfill in those areas that we dredged. That will serve a couple of purposes. One is for habitat restoration and another is to deal with any residual PCB contamination that's left behind.

10.8253

This will result in 100,000 pounds of PCBs being removed from the river system. We believe there's in the neighborhood of 200,000 pounds there now, so we'll be removing about half of it.

Another point to remember is that the river remains open to navigation. This is an effort that's probably even harder to see, but it's trying to show, and Doug is pointing out in the red or whatever that color is, this is what the -- well, what it says here "typical mechanical equipment dispersal in Thompson Island Pool."

In other words, people have said, well, you're going to be in the river and you're just going to clog the whole thing up, we're not going to be able to get around, we're not going to be able to have any recreational activities, boats won't be able to get by, the navigational channels won't be open. And we're just trying to show that in the typical equipment dispersal, this is what it would look like.

It's not as if they're all over the place.

Some of these are dredges, others of barges, et cetera. It's hard to read the legend over here, but that's what we're attempting to show here, that there's a lot of room in the river, it's a big river, and we're not going to impact navigation.

Also, something very important to the folks upstate, there will be no new local landfills built for this contamination for the disposal of the contamination. It will all be going out of the Hudson Valley. That's not very important down here, but it's very important upstate. People have expressed that concern. We listen to them and we have assured them that that is the way it will be going.

For costing purposes, we've used some facilities well out of state like in Texas and Upstate New York. I should say out of the Hudson Valley area, but, in any event, that's something that would be

б

dealt with later on during the construction phase exactly where they would be going.

There will be two dewatering and transfer facilities built, one in the north and one in the south, to handle the dredging operation, to dewater it, et cetera. We haven't decided -- in fact, we have not selected whether it will be mechanical dredging or hydraulic dredging. That's something that will likely -- what we've said all along is it will be dealt with either in design or preconstruction.

And the point being normally when you go out to construction, you don't want to limit someone. It's probably going to be a combination of the two. We don't want to tell somebody you must use this or you must use that because you want to leave it open so the industry can come up with that which is best.

There would, of course, be strict performance specifications to guide that effort, but it's likely that that is the point when it will be decided. A lot

of people have said to us, why can't you pick one, why don't you just do it this way or that way. There are advantages to disadvantages to both kinds of dredging.

The only assurance I would give you is that it will be done in an environmentally conscious manner. This is not navigational dredging. A lot of people have seen pictures of that and that can be pretty sloppy. That's not the intent here and, certainly, not what we would allow and it certainly would not be in the specifications.

We would also use -- a lot of folks were worried about a lot of trucks in there in their area. Again, a concern for the upstate communities. We intend to use rail and barge to the maximum extent practicable. There would be minimal truck traffic. Obviously, there can't be zero, there's gotta be some supplies coming in, but for all the dredge material and any major supplies, backfill, et cetera, would be going by barge or by dredge -- I'm

sorry, by barge or by rail.

The cost for all of this is \$460 million present worth. It's a lot of money, it's a big problem, it's a big river. You're talking about a three-year design and a five-year implementation.

We've done a lot of research, talked to a lot of folks, we're still doing research and talking to experts, but we believe, we're confident that we can do both of those in the three years and the five years. It's been a major issue, again, in the Upper Hudson, but we believe that we can do it, we're confident that we can do it.

So what will this remedy actually achieve?

Obviously the main point of it all is to reduce the PCB levels in the fish. Of course it will do that. We believe the fish consumption advisories will be reduced, at least a generation sooner; it'll reduce the amount PCBs over the Troy Dam by 40 percent; of course, it

will reduce the PCBs levels in wildlife. I failed to mention that before. Obviously wildlife can't deal with or can't -- aren't impacted by fish consumption advisories, they don't read too well.

And it will substantially reduce the risk of those that eat fish, the subsistence anglers that I mentioned before, and it will eliminate a significant mass of PCBs from the river system. As I mentioned, the 100,000 pounds of PCBs will be removed from the river system.

So that's a brief summary of the results and I guess before we take questions, there were a couple folks who wanted to make a statement.

And the way we're going to handle this there are two statements that will be made, first by Councilman Tom Meyers and then by Eugene Martin Leff from New York State AG's office. Then we're going to -- I'll call up five folks at a time so you can get ready at either mic and we'll continue to do it that way.

SPEAKER: I represent the
Borough of Fort Lee and Meyer Jack Alter
and all Council people, but Mayor Jack
Alter also is a Bergen County Freeholder
and Loretta Weinberg is also represented in
our resolution. She is working on a
corresponding resolution for the cleanup in
the state legislature. Our resolution was
passed unanimously January 25th and we have
public comment on it.

Fort Lee is a river town despite what many people think. They look at high-rises. Point in fact, many of us came from working class backgrounds including myself. Well, the fact is that the river needs to be cleaned.

(Brief interruption.)

SPEAKER: I don't have a fleet of attorneys from GE, so I'm here on my own, so...

The fact is we grew up along the river. We swam in the river, believe it or not, right under the George Washington Bridge. We fished and crabbed

under that same river. Fort Lee,

Edgewater, all those river communities. It
is part of our lives and always has been.

Our grandfathers and great-grandfathers
grew up on that river. Some of them made
their living on the river. Some of the
people here today, I guess, still make
their livings on the river. It's very
important in Fort Lee.

This is our roots and we want to make sure that with the people of Fort

Lee, when we come to the table with a resolution, we at least are in equal footing with General Electric. I don't think we are. I think this is a PR game.

What we understand in Fort Lee is that General Electric has bombarded upstate New York with fallacies, lies and that's to be nice. I won't use the language we normally do in council meetings.

But the fact is that GE is a multinational corporation. Their interest is not our interest. They're not interested in cleaning the river. They're

interested in spending millions and millions of dollars to confuse the issue.

We have a change in administration in Washington, we realize this. The former EPA Director Carol Browner was very much in favor of this cleanup. We hope our Governor, Christine Todd Whitman, continues what Carol Browner did and the EPA was in favor of a massive cleanup of the river upstate.

It affects us downstate, it really does, and I'll end by saying the people of Fort Lee support the EPA and are totally against General Electric and we will do whatever we can in support of this, thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Thank you.

Now, Gene.

SPEAKER: The Attorney

General Elliot Spitzer of New York State

submitted a lengthy written statement to

EPA and I'm going to read at his request

the beginning of that statement. The

complete statement's available on the table

outside.

"As Attorney General of New York, I strongly support the United States EPA's decision to dredge sediments from the most contaminated areas of the Hudson River. Fish throughout the Hudson River from Hudson Falls to the Battery are contaminated with PCBs. Wildlife is contaminated. Humans are exposed and are also contaminated with PCBs. It is time to address that problem.

"I applaud the EPA in
Washington and here in Region 2 for the
care and thoroughness they exhibited in
reaching this conclusion and I applaud
Department of Environmental Conservation
Commissioner John Cahill and his staff for
the time and effort, that's the New York
State Department, that they have expended
in studying the river and reviewing EPA's
proposal.

"Congress made a decision twenty years ago and has repeatedly reaffirmed it since then that there is a

compelling need to clean up toxic waste sites. Companies responsible for the contaminants must clean them, preferably by removing them. States around the country, including New York and New Jersey, have made similar judgments, passing similar toxic waste cleanup laws. The Hudson River, after decades of study, is long overdue for a cleanup.

"Based on the extensive evidence in the record, EPA's technical and scientific staff have made four critical determinations with which the DEC in New York agrees. These four points amply justify EPA's proposed remedy.

"According to the EPA, one,
PCBs cause harm to humans and wildlife,
including harm to the immune, reproductive,
nervous and endocrine systems. PCBs are
probable human carcinogens.

"Two, PCBs in the Hudson River, sediments are available to fish and other animals and from there can be ingested by humans. We know that people

are still eating contaminated fish from the Hudson River.

"Three, the Hudson River is not cleaning itself of PCBs. While the river is cleaner now than it was thirty years ago, that is largely because the State of New York has expended tremendous resources to reduce sewage and other industrial discharges with federal help.

"EPA found that the PCBs that remain in the river, however, are invisible. The PCB levels in the fish have decreased only marginally in the over twenty years since GE stopped using PCBs at its Hudson Falls and Fort Edward plants.

Over the last seven years, PCB levels have remained essentially stable. Unless the PCBs are removed from the river, the fish will remain contaminated.

"And, four, dredging the hot spots in the Hudson River will remove large quantities of PCBs and in conjunction with control of the continuing discharges from the Hudson Falls plant, will lead to major

improvements to the river.

"This remedy will dramatically decrease human health risks, particularly in the Upper Hudson Valley. It will also cut almost in half the flow of PCBs over the Troy Dam, significantly assisting the recovery of the 150 miles of the Lower Hudson River. These long-term benefits far outweigh the limited short-term impacts that may result.

"In addition to these scientific findings by the EPA, a well-established body of law supports requiring GE to clean up its PCBs from the Hudson River. For twenty years, companies big and small have cleaned up their toxic discharges under the Federal Superfund Program and its state equivalents. There is no reason to treat GE differently.

Moreover, contrary to the common misperception, GE's discharges were not always permitted or legal.

"To taxpayers who will have to pay for the cleanup if GE does not, to

those towns and industries which have done their share to clean the river and to New York and New Jersey residents who long for a cleaner Hudson River, fairness demands that GE remove its toxic waste from the Hudson River. We save the river by cleaning it, not by leaving it polluted.

"Finally, the cleanup of the Hudson River has been delayed too long. Several years ago EPA committed to issue a proposed remedy in December 2000. I congratulate EPA for meeting its commitment. EPA and DEC personnel used the time well to gather additional years of data, do additional studies, refine the models and obtain further peer reviews. Now is the time to deliver. It is time to start the cleanup."

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: The first five speakers are Walter Weglinkski, Tom Siciliano, Jim Bemis, Tom Guine and Andy Wilner, so if you could come up to the mic.

March 7, 2001 1 2 (Brief interruption.) 3 MR. MC CABE: Walter, go 4 5 right ahead. 6 SPEAKER: Just want to make 7 sure my time's slotted. I'm a member of the Hudson 8 River Fishermen's Association of New 9 Jersey. I really came down to this meeting 10 11 to see what's going to happen here. really applaud what they've done over here. 12 I mean, this is something that should have 13 14 been years ago, but being it's being . considered, we really appreciate what's 15 16 being done. I fished the Hudson River for 17 many years, ate a lot of fish, my children 18 have eaten fish, my grandchildren have 19 eaten fish. I think it's a shame that they 20 even allow this stuff. I mean, this is 21 something that should have been done. 22 23 I hope that this is done and very soon that they do this. I mean, it's 24

something that you can't let go any longer.

I think the sooner they make up their minds to do this, the better off we'll be.

I mean, to start selling fish out of the Hudson River when they have all these problems, I mean, this is ridiculous. I mean, how to do they come up with something like this. Where do these people -- I'm missing something here. I mean, how can they sell fish when they tell you everything's contaminated? I mean, somebody answer these kind of questions. I mean, I don't understand. I mean, something's missing here.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Thank you. Tom Siciliano.

SPEAKER: Thank you. My
name's Tom Siciliano and I'm here
representing the Jersey Coast Anglers
Association and the New Jersey State
Federation of Sportsmen Clubs and we fully
support the USEPA in their recommendation
to remove the PCBs from the sediments in
the upper regions of the Hudson. We agree

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the EPA that the delays have gone on long enough, you've got enough test results, you have enough scientific evidence, the study's been going on for twenty-five years, it's time to make a decision.

If left in the river, sediments, these PCBs will continue to affect the fish and the wildlife that surrounds the estuary for generations to Hudson River is the second largest come. spawning estuary for striped bass on the It's also a nursery area for east coast. many other species of fish that are used for personal consumption or are the prey for larger fish. As environmentalists, conservationists and fishermen, we insist that this critical estuary be cleaned up and cleaned up now.

It's not just a Hudson River problem, either. Striped bass that spawn in the Hudson travel widely along the coast. We need to consider that the striped bass that you caught off Montauk or

many other areas along the east coast may have spent up to five years of their life, the first life, in the Hudson. Tagging studies have shown that striped bass spawned in the Hudson migrate all the way from Maine to North Carolina.

The other issue is menhaden, which is a popular prey species and that occurs in the base and estuaries of the Hudson River. They're vital in the food chain for the entire coast. They are contaminated with PCBs and everything that consumes them is then contaminated, including weak fish and blue fish.

Menhaden are also harvested by a reduction industry and then they are turned into fishmeal and fed to chickens, livestock and fish raised in aquiculture, so the impact goes far beyond just the Hudson River, so the impact of menhaden is very wide reaching and dangerous.

In conclusion, we congratulate the EPA for putting together a very comprehensive plan to clean up the

•

Hudson. They do not belong there and the best and fastest way to restore the quality of the Hudson River and the wildlife that surrounds it is to remove the PCBs using the safe -- environmentally safe methods of removal.

March 7, 2001

Thank you very much.

MR. MC CABE: If you folks wouldn't mind, we would -- in addition to you folks listening to it, we would like to be able to see them and listen to them a little better, too, especially if there's any questions, so if you could use the mic over there, we'd appreciate it.

Jim.

SPEAKER: I'd like to first thank everybody for coming down and for the presentation you've given. My name is James Bemin, I live in Highland Borough in New Jersey and I am on the receiving end of the PCBs as they come down the river from the upper river to the lower river to upper bay and lower bay. I'm at Sandy Hook Bay. I'm the last stop and we see it in the fish

we catch, that our people catch and where we swim and I support the EPA in their conclusion.

GE made a lot of money over the years, I've sailed the Hudson and it's a pretty deserted place until you get up around the Albany area and they're still thriving and I think it's time for GE to put some money back into what they took out of the river.

Thank you very much.

SPEAKER: My name is Jim

Guine. First I'd like to thank the EPA for holding public comment.

I've worked doing a million research for the Smithsonian and also the Field Institute and done some limnology work and somebody who's lived through a cancer scare and don't particularly like carcinogens and hope nobody else has to live through a cancer scare.

I'd like to do something unpopular and thank GE because, you know, although it seems clear to me that they

broke the law and got really rich doing it and helped really kill the fishing industry and put the public safety at risk and also put the environment at risk, by dragging their heels, they did provide the EPA with a long, long time to do an incredible well-researched -- come to an incredible well-researched decision about their dredging, which I fully, absolutely support, so thanks GE, for helping this dredging proposal be so obviously wonderful and good.

I'd also like to mention I spent the last four days in Washington DC, the last two days on the hill talking to Congress people and discovered the EPA's proposal has wide bipartisan support from the members of Congress both in New Jersey and in New York, and that's it.

Thank you.

SPEAKER: My name's Andy
Wilner and I'm from -- I live in Keyport,
New Jersey. I'm the baykeeper for the New
York, New Jersey Harbor.

I'll tell you a story about the tragedy of the commons.

б

When one fisherman fishes too much to the detriment of all other fishermen, the common suffers and we all suffer. GE is the big bad fisherman in the Hudson River, they own all the fish because they polluted all the fish to the detriment to all the other users of the Hudson River.

The Hudson doesn't belong to GE; it belongs to all of us. What we've done, unfortunately, is we left the ownership to GE for many, many years by default, by allowing them to continue to pollute, by not pushing our agencies to bring more rapid and higher damages claims against them.

I endorse the EPA's proposal, however, it goes nowhere near far enough. The next local step is for EPA to work with the Department of the Interior, Department of Commerce in New York State and New Jersey to bring natural resource damage claims against GE for the billions of

dollars that they've stolen from us and to put it back into the river for its cleanup. The half a billion dollars that this dredging will cost goes nowhere near to compensate us for the loss that's been -- that's been incurred by GE's unlawful acts.

So to finish up, we endorse this proposal, however, we don't think it goes far enough and we believe that the next step is to have the citizenry, the true owners of the river, let EPA know in no uncertain terms that we're not going to stand for idleness and want them to move forward very quickly with the natural damage -- natural resource damage claim against the polluter.

Thanks.

MR. MC CABE: I'd just like to clarify one item there.

The natural resource damages, that's something EPA is specifically excluded from or precluded from. However, the trustees for fishing and wildlife in New York State are working on that and

doing assessments of that. However, we are specifically precluded from dealing with that part of the problem.

The next five commentors,

Bill Sheehan, Charles...looks like Stamen,

Elliot Eisenbach, Alfred White and Sharon

Rugey.

SPEAKER: Hello, everybody.

I am Bill Sheehan and I am the Riverkeeper for the Hackensack River. The Hackensack River is kind of like a little sister to the Hudson River, but the Hackensack River has its problems, also.

I'm here to support the EPA's decision to dredge the Upper Hudson. I'm here to support the EPA in any way that I can to make sure that GE doesn't get off the hook on this one.

What I'm looking at this process process for and hoping that this process will be able to do is set some precedents because we've got contaminants in our river system that need to be dredged, that need to be cleaned, that need to be removed and

we need to hold certain parties responsible for that, such as Diamond Shamrock. And if we can get GE to come up to the table and pay what they're supposed to pay, then maybe the EPA will be able to get off of GE's back for a while and get on the backs of the polluters that have been having their field day with the Passaic and the Hackensack.

And while we're at it, I'm glad that there's someone here from the State Attorney General's office, because after all is said and done and we finally do get GE to pay up for dredging the river and get a natural resource damage assessment against them, I think there are some high level executives at General Electric that probably belong in Federal Prison or at least in State Prison and then you can solve your disposal problem by just putting some of this PCB contaminants in the cell with them, all right.

Thanks.

SPEAKER: My name is Charles

Stamm. I'm with -- I'm Director of the Hudson River Fishermen's Association here in New Jersey.

I would like to thank the EPA for holding one -- and scheduling one of these hearings here in New Jersey.

The PCBs which remain in the sediments of the river continue to place health restrictions on the striped bass and other fish of the Hudson estuary. The New Jersey Chapter of the Hudson River Fishermen's Association fully supports the EPA in their recommendation to remove the PCBs from the sediments of the Hudson River.

We agree that the delays have gone on long enough. We have enough test results. We've studied this problem long enough. We have enough scientific evidence. We have been dealing with this problem for twenty-five years. It's time to make a decision.

If left in the river, these sediments will continue to affect the fish

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the wildlife that surrounds this estuary for generations to come. Health restrictions on human consumption of these fish will continue. The Hudson River is the second largest spawning estuary on the east coast for the striped bass. As fishermen, we insist this critical estuary be protected and cleaned up and cleaned up now.

This is not just a New York problem, this is not just a Hudson River problem. As I said before, the striped bass that spawn in the Hudson River travel widely up and down the east coast of the United States. Our tagging studies show that the striped bass spawned in the Hudson River spend the first five years of their lives in the Hudson River. After that, they migrate up and down the east coast and return each spring to the upper sections of the Hudson River to spawn. The time that these fish spend in the Hudson River makes them susceptible to accumulating these toxins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One solution to this problem promoted by the General Electric Corporation is to let the PCBs remain in the sediments. General Electric claims that the river is cleansing itself. I like that term, 'cleansing itself.' What does that mean? It means that a portion of these PCBs leave those areas and they come down to Jersey. The problem doesn't go away, it just comes down to us and we get The solution, although more economically sound for General Electric, is unacceptable to the citizens of New Jersey. If you give me just a minute, okay.

We feel the PCBs do not belong in the Hudson River and that they don't belong in any river and we would support the cleanup of this river as soon as possible.

Thank you.

SPEAKER: My full name is
Elliot Eisenbach, not E.E. This is a very
different meeting than the one I went to at
the new school in Manhattan four or five

weeks ago.

I'm not a fancypants

pharmaceutical chemist. I worked thirty

years as an industrial formulating chemist

closely allied with factories and factory

workers. I admire and respect those who've

worked with their hands here that have

spoken tonight and I'm at somewhat of a

loss in a minute and-a-half to discuss the

mathematics which the EPA has not suggested

to you.

It stuns me that the people at EPA have not told the people down river, and I'm speaking strictly off the cuff and I wish I had a better presentation, but to reduce the PCBs flowing over the damn at Waterford for two and-a-half, three pounds a day to one, one and-a-half pounds, don't have exactly the figures, to divide that difference with a two-pound difference over the tens of thousands of acres from Waterford Town to Albany, to Kingston, Poughkeepsie, Haverstraw, Fort Lee, down to Sandy Hook, absolutely stuns me.

10.8282

Take off after GE? Fine. I have no problems with that, but to think that dredging below there is going to in any way change the situation downstream is beyond my imagination and I'm really stunned that the EPA people have not been honest or straightforward with you.

MR. MC CABE: Thank you, Elliot. Just one comment on that.

I'm not sure about the honesty or openness or whatever, but I mentioned there's about 500 pounds a day -- or a year going over the Troy Dam and that we would reduce it by about 40 percent.

I'm not sure what part wasn't honest, but those are the facts that we have.

Alfred.

SPEAKER: My name is Alfred White. I'm a concerned citizen, a fisherman and affiliated with the Hudson River Fishing Association and I live in Tenafly, New Jersey. I'm here to support the EPA in their efforts to get the Hudson River cleaned up. I'm concerned that

nothing has been done with the lower section of the Hudson River.

As the EPA knows and is aware, there's PCBs down in our area, too. It affects our lifestyle, et cetera. Once again, I'm here to support your efforts in the Upper Hudson, but I would like to know when we will get some relief down in the Lower Hudson, too.

Thank you.

MR. TOMCHUK: I'd like to add just a statement about that.

One of the things that you can look at the -- one of the ways you can look at the proposed plan that we, you know, have put out is that it would be a source control for the Lower Hudson.

Basically the natural recovery processes of sedimentation and burial can be enabled to start in the Lower Hudson when the 500 pounds per year contributed from the Upper Hudson is reduced.

So basically a remediation of the Upper Hudson is the first step in

March 7, 2001 1 seeing a cleaner Lower Hudson as well. 2 MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Doug. 3 4 And, Sharon, before you get up, let me just 5 mention -- please get up, I'm sorry. Before you speak, let me just say the next 6 7 five. Marilyn Pulber, Craig 8 9 Michaels, Wayne Tomasi, Glenn Blank it looks like, Tony Evangelista. 10 11 SPEAKER: Thank you very 12 much. Good evening. My name is 13 14 Sharon Rugey. I am a resident and 15 Department Supervisor in the Town of Fort 16 Edward. I have spent the better part 17 18 of the last twenty years fighting for a cleaner Hudson, but without dredging. 19 the last ten years, I've been a member of 20 the EPA's Environmental Liaison Committee. 21 22 This evening I do have one question first, and that is, upon reading 23 the feasibility study, I'm trying to 24

understand what percent of suspension did

March 7, 2001 1 2 you calculate for your model. 3 MR. MC CABE: How much resuspension? 4 5 SPEAKER: Yes. 6 MR. MC CABE: Do you have the 7 figure, Doug, or... 8 MR. TOMCHUK: You're 9 referring to the dredging operations? SPEAKER: Correct. 10 11 MR. TOMCHUK: Okay. I think it's approximately .3 percent resuspension 12 from the dredges. 13 14 SPEAKER: Now, as I read the documents, it looks like the best that has 15 been done at any site is 2.2 percent. 16 MR. TOMCHUK: There is one 17 paper that is -- that I guess you're 18 referring to, the Fox River USGS just out 19 in December. 20 Basically that's the highest 21 that we've ever seen, though, we know of no 22 other site that has that type of 23 resuspension and the models that had been 24 25 developed by a professor at the University

of Utah, who is a consultant and consulting for us, but his models were based on other sites.

I have to find out the details of that, but, you know, obviously he had developed the models for the data that was available for resuspension at other sites.

SPEAKER: Well, when studying the other sites, it looks like all sites ran between 2.2 percent and 10 percent. If you go as low as 2.2, which was the lowest reported, that would possibly subject us to up to one ton of PCB being resuspended and I'm wondering how you calculate that into fish recovery.

MR. TOMCHUK: Basically -okay, first of all, put into perspective
that would be a doubling of -- actually,
there's more than that going over the damn
every year, but a flat hundred pounds, so
if you're talking about four hundred pounds
a year by resuspension by your calculation,
are about the same amount. I don't believe

that that is an accurate figure. I'm not sure where those other numbers came from. If you have studies here, I'd gladly take them.

We have not calculated that into the fish at this time. I would expect we'd see increases in resuspension. If that was the case, that might be similar to what came out of the Hudson Falls plant site in 1991, just because that was about 700 hundred pounds per year in 1992. So that release -- it's smaller than that release on a yearly basis and what we see now is the fish numbers recover fairly quickly from an increase like that.

So basically if we did see an increase, it will be fairly local to the environment that it happened such as you see at -- in the Upper River. You don't see those numbers propagated down into the lower river and you would see decreases falling off shortly after that operation.

Plus, they would fall off even further than they are now because the

source material would be gone, so you wouldn't have that constant load of 500 pounds a year.

MR. MC CABE: And as Doug said, Sharon, if you have some information, I'm not familiar with the information you're sharing with us, but we'd like to see it, obviously, and be able to comment it on.

SPEAKER: Yeah, I didn't bring it with me, but it is available and I'll make sure that you get it, but I have -- because of this crowd being very concerned about the fish, I think that we have to look very seriously at the resuspension and to know if we're actually going to make the problem worse with the proposed dredge.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Sharon.

I think as Doug mentioned, any -- we obviously have not calculated numbers as Sharon has cited and we certainly expect any of those numbers to be

far less than what is currently being contributed to the river by the sediments, but, in any event, whatever happens during dredging, which we will minimize as much as possible, will be a one-time deal and will be dissipated quickly over time as opposed to leaving it there forever, so...

Marilyn.

Everett Town Supervisor. I became involved in this dredging proposal back in the late seventies, early eighties. Site 10 was adjacent to my dairy farm, basically going to put the farming community out of business. That's why I stood up and became involved. We won at all levels of the State Court system and in 1984 EPA's decision was a record of leaving the river alone because it would be devastating to the ecological system of the river.

Today I'm here as a supervisor of a community that will be most dramatically impacted by the proposed EPA plan. I am here tonight as that supervisor

because I wanted to put a face, a real face of the people of the Upper River, I wanted to put a face on the communities that are going to be most dramatically impacted, the communities that have joined hands with the Town of Fort Edward in opposing the dredging of the Hudson River.

Mr. McCabe, I have several questions that I feel EPA needs to answer. While reading the survey of other environmental dredging projects, the following questions came to mind.

How many dredge sites have been totally successful encountering no dredging problems and achieving targeted fish goals? At how many sites has EPA had silt curtain failures? How many sites did large debris, including rocks, boulders, cobbles, logs, et cetera, cause the bucket to not close properly? And how many of those sites did the debris have to be removed before dredging could begin? How many sites did EPA have to cease operation to clean out areas of large debris with

backhoes? How many sites did re-dredging need to be required due to suspended sediment settling? At how many sites did EPA find the proposed dredging didn't work because EPA -- causing EPA to change dredging techniques? And how many weather delays have caused projects to go on longer than projected? And how many sites have volatilization of exceeded PCB in air limits causing dredging to be suspended and operations to be modified.

Mr. McCabe, where is EPA's success story? From EPA's own documents, where is its success story? Every single site in this document has unforeseen problems. Dredging is not the science EPA would like us to believe.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Let me just -she had a lot of questions. I'll try to
answer a few of them and if anyone else can
contribute, fine.

I guess the overall question was are you -- do we expect to encounter

any problems. Of course we do. I don't think we would ever say to anyone that we're going to have a perfect, ideal operation. I don't believe there's any such thing, whether it's in dredging or building a house or anything. But to suggest that this is some sort of rocket science that can't be done is also, I think, equally absurd.

Dredging is a common You mention where has it been operation. successful, you know, where -- have you run into debris problems, volatilization problems. Any of those kinds of issues, add noise to that, add odor, all of the things like that, we are certainly looking at them because people have brought them up and we intend to deal with them. We don't expect to have those kind of problems -- we expect debris problems, you're always going to have some of that, but where have we Sometimes that's a matter been effective? of perspective.

For instance, in its

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

publication, General Electric has cited a number of sites, whether they be Superfund or not, that EPA was not successful in dredging. And I don't want to speak for the other ones around the country, we're still gathering information on those, but I can speak to the one on the St. Lawrence River of the General Motors site. GE has cited that as being unsuccessful. We had a -- I'm going to speak to it, Marilyn.

We had a goal of one part per million in the river. That was a goal reached with the input of all interested parties, including the Canadians across the river, the St. Regis Mohawk Indian tribe right next door, the State of New York, of course, and ourselves.

We reached a goal -- we reached three parts per million at almost all of the sites except for one small area where they dredged a number of times and they had to cap it, so it's encapsulated.

General Motors has said that they removed 99 point...I don't know, eight

March 7, 2001 1 2 or some percent of the PCB mass from the 3 We look at that as very successful. river. General Electric, on the other hand, points 4 out that we did not meet that specified 5 6 goal, again, it's a goal, therefore, it was 7 unsuccessful. Well, I told you what I felt. 8 9 I'll leave the rest to you as to how you feel about such a removal. Personally I'd 10 be very happy with it if we could 11 12 accomplish that everywhere, but... 13 As far as -- I don't know, did you want to mention -- address any of 14 the other issues, Doug, at any of the other 15 sites? 16 17 MR. TOMCHUK: There are a lot of things here. 18 MR. MC CABE: 19 20 Volatilization... MR. TOMCHUK: Yes. 21 Volatilization, I think, was most 22 predominantly studied at the New Bedford 23 24 Harbor site where they were dredging

material that was over 4,000 parts per

Hudson.

million PCBs, really oily, it was actually in a fairly sandy material, not associated necessarily with the finds.

Basically they had oil that came up every time they moved through the dredge material and actually had to put booms out along the -- to catch the oil, so oil PCBs can volatilize a lot easier than PCBs bound tightly to a clay particle or fine grain sediment, which is more typical of Hudson River sediments.

Sites such as at General

Motors, I'm fairly certain, but that was

more -- highly concentrated, but the Fox

River Manateek Harbor, the air monitors got

nothing, no hits whatsoever.

Volatilization losses, I mean, we've done

the study there basically in very similar

conditions. We would not expect to see

large volatilization losses in the Upper

Some workings around the plant site, you might want to measure those real closely, though, you know, with some

1 March 7, 2001 2 of the removal action right at the Hudson 3 plant site, there's a lot of oil there that I would be concerned about. 4 5 Silt curtain failures. Silt 6 curtains are not a cure-all, they're a 7 secondary line of defense, they help slow 8 down some of the particles. I think the 9 operation of the dredge is the key thing to preventing resuspension, so basically you 10 have to make sure that the operator is 11 working under specific orders to make sure 12 that he's not creating a resuspension. 13 experienced operator is the key issue 14 15 there. I think Bill covered most of 16 the other points, so... 17 18 MR. MC CABE: That's good. 19 Thanks, Doug. 20 SPEAKER: Craiq. 21 SPEAKER: No, Glenn. 22 SPEAKER: Well, I'm here My name is Glenn Blank. 23 anyways. I'm a member of the Hudson 24

River Fishermen's Association, New Jersey

Chapter, and I live in Cliffside Park, New Jersey. And I've been going down the Hudson River my entire life and I've been boating and everything else on the Hudson.

And I just want to support you guys for the cleanup and I don't care whether this cleanup costs 460 million or 460 billion, it doesn't make no difference to me, just give GE the bill.

Now, considering...

(Applause).

Considering what General
Electric did to our Hudson River, if a
foreign government did what General
Electric did to our Hudson by contaminating
our water and food supply, our own
government would have declared that this
was biological warfare against the people
of this country and New Jersey and New York
and the people of the Hudson Valley area.

(Applause).

I'd also like to point out that due to the fact due to the PCB contaminations that have come down into the

lower portion of the Hudson and New Jersey, on the New Jersey side of the Hudson River, they do not dredge the Jersey side no more. And what's going on is we had this continued buildup of the mud flats in our area and what's happening is our membership is losing access and the general public also, we're losing access to our local marinas and several boat clubs actually had to close up due to the silt buildup from not being able to dredge from the PCB contaminations.

And I got pictures here of some of the local boat clubs that actually were closed up in the early nineties due to the fact that they don't dredge the west channel of the Hudson River no more due to the PCBs and I'm getting sick and tired of it. I want General Electric to start cleaning this up right now and I want you guys to do your job and get on their case and get the job done now.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Glenn.

SPEAKER: My name is Craig Michaels. I work with the Hudson Riverkeeper.

I'm glad to see the EPA here in New Jersey to hear from some of the fishermen tonight whose lives have been and will continue to be affected by GE's PCBs and what is or is not done to remove them from the river.

You know, I think the real tragedy here is that corporations like GE rake in enormous profits while literally poisoning communities and watersheds and, you know, the Hudson River Valley, we're here tonight, and Riverkeeper, we're not here to go after GE. If it was the EPA, if it was Exxon, Argo, City of New York, we'd go after them. It just happens they're the entity that is responsible for single-handedly crippling, destroying a century's old fishing industry and river-based culture. And we're here tonight because their past pollution threatens the ecological integrity of

Hudson River watershed, still threatens it and, of course, their current activities, their huge \$2 million a week misinformation campaign continues to threaten the cleanup and restoration of the Hudson River.

And GE's been very effective at dividing communities and making this an up river versus down river scenario and I think the people here tonight realize that that is simply not the case. The people up river have been tremendously affected by this contamination and will be tremendously affected by the dredging operations, but the people down river at 500 pounds coming over the damn a year, you can't say that doesn't affect down river communities, it does.

So, you know, it's unfortunate GE has been very effective at dividing these communities and, really, when it's all said and done, GE are going to be long gone and it's going to be the people at Hudson Falls South all together who are going to have to deal with this

problem.

So if GE really brings things to life, they should really step up to the plate, sit down at the table and talk about how they're going to effectively work with us all to clean this up.

You know, they're proposing a 20- to 30-million-dollar tunnel project.

All they talk about is stopping the source.

And as far as the EPA's peer-reviewed science is concerned, the source is the contaminated sediments, that is the main source, not the stuff that is still leaking from GE plants twenty-five years later after this chemical was banned.

Thanks.

MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Craig.

Just for informational

purposes, since a lot of people have mentioned the name General Electric, let me just let you know what the process is.

Obviously what we're here for now is we have a proposed plan on the street and we want to sign a Record of

Decision. That's a technical document that has nothing to do with General Electric, you know, what's right or the way we're going to go out with it and, hopefully, that will be in August. After that is when we start worrying about General Electric or anyone else.

And then we will attempt to have General Electric or any responsible party, which is basically General Electric, implement the remedy, do the design and do the construction. We have legal means to go after them, but that's for another day, obviously.

For now what we're really concerned about is do we have the right remedy and let's go forward with the remedy, worry about that part of it a little bit later.

SPEAKER: My name is Wayne
Tomasi. I'm President of New York State
Bass Federation. I live in the
Poughkeepsie area on the Hudson River.
To protect our national

10.8303

environment and aquatic resources, the Organized Bass Fishing Organization is one of the missions of the New York Bass. We wonder why EPA has reversed its decision in 1984 to do dredging on the Hudson when they said it's environmentally devastating to do so.

We, therefore, have the following question. Our position is to request the EPA Supervisor in the Feasibility Study to evaluate the actual results of dredging on a small river test site. Do one test for us and demonstrate that you can do this with no detrimental impacts to our fishery and we would have no problems.

We question the use of clam shell technology in your dredging because of the fact that we're worried that it will decrease or hurt our fish.

Our focus is mainly on bass fishing. We question the dredging of 500 acres of land, especially 70 to 100 acres of land which we know are prime spawning

habitat for our large mouth and small mouth bass and 17 miles of shore line which is a primary spawning for small mouth bass.

We believe that the dredging of causing the silt in the river by a clam shell technology will actually, you know, provide further silt in the system and affect our bass.

With PCBs levels declining in the river, we're very happy that the New York State DEC opened up the Upper Hudson seven years ago, not one year ago, to fishing again. It is a catch and release season as of 1994 --

MR. MC CABE: 1995.

SPEAKER: Not one year, so we would like that to be corrected on that.

Many of our anglers now do enjoy the recreational fishing in that river since that was reopened.

Although since bass fishing is our primary focus, we also support the communities in the area. We worry about their concerns of use of highways, et

cetera, to get the equipment in, to handling and installing of toxic waste, which is the mud that you're pulling out of the river. You're saying they're going to be shipping it away, yet you have to dewater it someplace, you have to place it someplace before you get it in the trains and the barges to get it out of the area. Where is this going to be done and how is that going to be controlled so that those communities are not impacted?

Again, we thank New York Bass for giving us the chance for being here to talk. We just have a concern about your techniques, your possibilities of causing -- awakening a giant of uncovering PCBs that are in the sediment and waking them up to where it'll take ten, fifteen years again for them to settle out and if you cannot guarantee that it will not impact our fishery, we would oppose this dredging.

Thank you very much.

MR. MC CABE: Thanks, Wayne, a couple of comments.

That was the second time, I guess, that it was mentioned that the 1984 Record of Decision used the words 'ecologically devastating.' What it did say was bank-to-bank dredging, 40 miles of bank-to-bank dredging would be ecologically devastating.

First of all, we're not doing that, and secondly, we have a number of our ecological -- of the trustees, for instance, who have written us and say they also don't even believe that it would be true, that it would be ecologically devastation. Things have changed a lot since 1984. Technology has certainly changed and that's one of the main reasons why we're here, because technology has changed and we can do things a lot better than we did them before.

As far as doing a test site first, we've had that comment before, we're evaluating it, we believe that other sites have accomplished -- we've done dredging at other sites and they've accomplished what

they were supposed to do.

We didn't show tonight, which we have shown upstate, there is a video at the General Motor's site, three years later showing the re-vegetation of the bottom that was without any backfill added for habitat restoration, that was just -- there's a cap there in certain areas and it's quite lush. That was after three years. We know after one year, after two years it's also quite good, so we don't expect ten years or anything like that obviously. We've seen no indication in the record to show that.

The clam shell technology, all I can tell you is that we would be using environmental dredging, if we were using -- well, if it were mechanical dredging, it would not be like you see for navigational dredging. It's a different technology.

As for the dewatering facilities, I did mention there would be two dewatering facilities. It depends on

the kind of dredging as to how big they would be, what exactly they would encompass. Obviously you're going to handle a lot more water if you're doing hydraulic dredging. However, we did mention that we've looked at a number of places, we've noted that there are a couple of areas that we believe that we can do that, where we could put those facilities in.

Yes, we have to be careful, yes, we have to monitor, but it's not -- it's a dewatering facility. It's not a new technology by any means. It's very standard.

MR. TOMCHUK: Two points, Bill.

First of all, the dewatering facilities are flow-through facilities.

It's not that we do all the dredging, store the material until we're done and then start railing it out. It's like the stuff comes in, it gets dewatered and then goes out that day or, you know, within whatever

time the process takes, so it's not a large storage facility.

And as far as waking a sleeping giant, I think that that's a big misconception that a lot of people have in that the giant is awake. Five hundred pounds a year going over the damn is a lot of PCBs in anybody's book here, so...(applause.)

MR. MC CABE: And just before Tony -- the next five after Tony would be Dr. Nina Levinson, Dr. Marvin Ovesky, Gil Hawkins, Cindy Zipf and Allen Sterberg.

Tony.

SPEAKER: Yes, good evening.

First of all, I want to thank the EPA for holding this meeting tonight.

My name is Tony Evangelista. I am Vice

President of the Hudson River Fishermen's

Association, New Jersey Chapter.

Like Glenn, I've also been fishing this river most of my life. I'm fifty-eight years old, I'm fishing this river since I'm eight years old. I lived

in the Town of Fairview, I used to ride my bicycle after school down to the river, so I have a lot of concern with this river and I'm getting quite annoyed myself.

I am in favor of removing the PCBs from the Hudson River. I think the person responsible for removing the PCBs should be the person who deposited the PCBs into the river in the first place.

(Applause).

I don't think the taxpayers should have to foot the bill. GE, you bring good things to life, but your responsibility for placing toxic waste in my river? Hmm. So why don't you try and clean it up in my lifetime.

Thank you.

SPEAKER: Dr. Nina Levinson of Fort Lee. I chair the United Homeowners, a group of 500 families, and I'm a biochemist.

My first question is, do these PCBs have a half-life? You know what a half-life is?

MR. MC CABE: Yes, I do.

MR. TOMCHUK: Now, basically,

I mean, we've looked at the washing through in the system of a half-life, but as far as breaking down, no, PCBs don't. They do degrade biologically, but it's controlled by the concentration and not the time.

SPEAKER: Okay, thank you. I think -- and I thank you for holding this meeting, but I do think that the discharge into the river should have been stopped many, many, many years ago. It should have been stopped and it should be zero tolerance at this time. I believe you still allow some discharge into the river and I think every discharge into the river is too much.

They are very toxic substances, in other words, in very small concentrations they will be toxic. They accumulate in the fat of the flesh of the fish and everything else and as you go up the food chain, of course, it increases in concentration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do think that it needs cleaning up, but I think using the fifty -- I think you're going to clean up about half of it, from what I understand, approximately. I don't think that is quite enough. Are there any bacteria that chew it up? I think there are. I think they have been discovered.

MR. TOMCHUK: There's actually been a lot of research with respect to degradation of PCBs by natural bacteria and by engineered bacteria. Actually, GE has done the most work in that field that I know of and they did a pilot study in the early nineties and that study took dechlorinated sediments where a lot of the PCBs had been already stripped off and tried to subject it to aerobic degradation, so that basically with oxygen in the system to break down the PCB molecule so you don't have PCBs. In the chlorination you still have PCBs.

That was successful up to about 60- or 70-percent rates, so basically

March 7, 2001 1 2 you still had concentrations remaining that 3 would be considered still contaminated, so it was unsuccessful with respect to that. 4 SPEAKER: Oxidation I don't 5 6 think is feasible within the river. 7 don't think you can use it in the river 8 because all you'll do is stir up the mud 9 and the PCBs and send it down to us here. MR. TOMCHUK: Right. 10 SPEAKER: No, I was thinking 11 of totally demolishing the molecules, 12 getting rid of the chlorine with sodium 13 14 chloride which is reasonably innocuous if it's not too high a concentration. 15 16 MR. MC CABE: There are 17 technologies, of course, to destroy PCBs and they've been used at other sites. 18 19 However --20 SPEAKER: You have to ... MR. MC CABE: In terms of 21 this entire process, you would have to 22 23 dredge them up, obviously. SPEAKER: Dredge them up 24

25

first, yes.

MR. MC CABE: You would have to put a treatment facility somewhere.

Obviously we're not going to put a landfill in the Hudson Valley, we're not going to put a treatment facility in Hudson Valley, so that means you would have to truck them or have them taken by rail away somewhere else, destroy them there and then bury the residuals there. That process didn't really seem to make a lot of sense to us.

If, on the other hand, it's very costly, we do consider cost. I know someone said they don't care what it costs, but we have to have cost effective remedies. When licensed facilities are available to take this waste, it really made no sense to then go that extra tenfold in cost or whatever it might be to also destroy them.

Now, if technology were available to deal with the PCBs in situ in place in the river, of course, we'd be interested. We have done extensive -- and our consultants here have done extensive

to put it anyhow?

research and every meeting that we go to, somebody usually comes to us and tells us that they have a great idea and something they've been working on and we should fund it.

And, you know, that's great,
I mean, we'll look at everything.
Whatever's out there, we believe they've
looked at and if anything comes up, we'll
continue to look at it. For instance, just
as they're doing down in this area looking
at the beneficial use of some of the
dredging material, we're looking at that -SPEAKER: Where are you going

MR. MC CABE: Right now?

SPEAKER: Where are you going to put it?

MR. MC CABE: Right now, for costing purposes we've looked at facilities outside the Hudson Valley for the waste that is regulated under the Toxic Substances Control Act. We looked at a facility, again, for costing purposes, in

Texas. For non-toxic material we looked at the Niagra Falls, Buffalo area.

Again, this is a commercial

-- this is a business and at that time it

would be bid out and it would have to go to

a licensed facility. We wouldn't tell

somebody where to put it as long as they

brought it to a licensed facility.

SPEAKER: This brings me to another point.

I really in a way resent
having to come to these meetings. I do go
to them because I feel it's important that
there is public support. However, I feel
that our government, our government
agencies like the EPA should take care of
us and we shouldn't have to do all this and
come and speak and present our views. They
should do it on their own and it should
have been done a long time ago.

Now, Niagra Falls and Texas,

I wonder what these people are going to say
when everything is dumped in their
backyard. And you're going to have another

feasible.

set of people who now have to come out and work against that and I don't think that's right.

MR. MC CABE: These are licensed facilities that already exist. They're businesses. They take this waste, they want the waste, they make money from the waste, so it's really not --

SPEAKER: Until their water gets contaminated. Until their groundwater gets contaminated and then they have a mess on their hands.

MR. MC CABE: Well, they're licensed and regulated facilities.

What you're saying, is that the perfect solution? No. The perfect solution, obviously, is to destroy every bit of waste that's out there.
Unfortunately, that's economically not very

SPEAKER: I also agree with previous speakers. I think the public should not have to spend the money on it, I think it should be funded by those who

pollute it and, again, I wish to stress that I think discharge, which is now permitted to -- I forget the numbers that you mentioned, but discharge is permitted up to a certain point. I think it should be zero tolerance.

MR. MC CABE: Well, General Electric is attempting in its plan that they submitted to New York State to reduce their -- it's not really a discharge. It's the remaining PCBs, the residuals that are in the bedrock. They are attempting to reduce it to zero.

I mean, we haven't seen the plan yet, we'll certainly look at it, they've been working cooperatively with New York State on both of their plant sites.

They've spent -- well, I don't know, depends on when you talk to them, but they spent a whole lot of money and they have done a good job on source control and we are trying to, and they are trying to with the state, get rid of the rest of that.

If that's successful, they

will help our project even that much more and make it even that much more effective.

SPEAKER: Well, I think they should not be allowed to discharge any of it as of yesterday, as a matter of fact, not today.

Thank you.

MR. FISCHER: If I could just clarify one point, General Electric does have a permit for waste water discharges from its Ford Edward facility and it's my understanding that the limit on that permit for PCBs is non-detect, so they are not authorized to discharge PCBs from that discharge point.

SPEAKER: My name is Marvin
Oresky, I'm a member of the Hudson River
Fishermen's Association and I reside in
Paramus, New Jersey and I am a fisherman.

I wholly support the dredging plan that's proposed by the EPA. I approve of it based on a first stage effort. I personally believe that there should be a second stage which requires the remediation

of every other area of the Hudson River which exceeds the tolerances that are established by the Environmental Protection Agency.

On every remediation effort that I've been involved with, and that's with private companies, the goal has been to bring the land down or the water down to a certain specific level and if you had to dig the whole damn site up, it had to be brought down to that specific level.

And in this case we're letting GE off easy because we're talking about only the hot spots and the hot spots mean that there's so much residual PCB left in the river that the fish are going to be contaminated forever and what's going to happen is our children and our grandchildren and future generations are going to be subjected to these PCBs.

And, God forbid, if there's ever anything underground that takes place and all the soil and everything gets all churned up, then we'll have even a worse

effect than what we presently have right now, or a worse effect than what the remediation is going to do, so I think there really has to be a second stage in this thing which brings the level down to what the EPA guidelines are for PCBs in soil.

I thank you.

MR. MC CABE: One comment I'd like to make on that, and I don't know if Marion wants to expound on it, there are different levels, there are different exposures in soil versus in the river. You're talking about the soil exposure being, you know, dermal contact or inhalation versus in the river where it goes through the food chain as has been stated by --

SPEAKER: No, no, no, you're wrong. It's levels of soil concentration where rainwater filtering through the soil will get it into the water which we drink and that's the levels of concentration that affects how much remediation is done.

MR. MC CABE: But we don't have -- what I'm trying to say is we don't have a specific concentration in the sediment. On soil, on land, we do have guidance values that we use, for instance, one part per million. In the river, it's a different exposure scenario and we have to do the modeling, which goes eventually from the sediment to the water to the fish.

SPEAKER: Then establish it.

MR. MC CABE: There's not set -- well, believe me, we've been trying to do that for the last ten years and we've had -- there's been a great deal of controversy over it, it's not a simple matter. That's what we've been doing in this study. It's in the reports.

SPEAKER: But if you don't make issue of this thing right now, it's going to pass by the boards later on. So if you don't have it now and developing it, just put a separate section in on the thing that says, and when this number here is established, then we'll remediate the river

down to that point, whatever it is.

SPEAKER: My name is Gil
Hawkins. I'm the Environmental Director of
the Hudson River Fishermen's Association.
I'd, first of all, like to thank you all
for coming down here. I asked them up in
Haverstraw to come down and, look, they're
here and that's great.

And there's a point to be made about this and that is that they are receptive, the EPA is receptive to your position, your position from upstate, the position of the bass fishermen upstate, the Hudson River fishermen here and the people that fish on the banks of the river, people from Passaic, all over, the EPA is listening.

In light of the recent
Supreme Court ruling on the Clean Air Act,
it is evident that the country's highest
court stands behind legislation protecting
the environment.

With cries for reducing big government, we lose sight of the reasons

for agencies like the EPA. The General Electric company has exhibited its ugly American corporate face thumbing its nose at all who ask it to act responsibly. Riding high on profits, GE is the rich kid above the law spending tens of millions of dollars to buy data, spin it on upstate airwaves in an effort to confuse and sway what the people up there think and who are -- who they think, GE thinks, are gullible people. Who do you trust? It comes down to that. Who do you trust?

We thank the EPA for standing behind good science. It is their agency that is entrusted with the answerable -- it is their agency that is answerable to the health of the river. It is time to act, time to enforce, time to clean our river.

Thank you.

SPEAKER: Gil, that was great. All of you from the Hudson River Fishermen's Association, terrific work.

My name is Cindy Zipf and I'm the Executive Director of Clean Ocean

2.3

Action, which is a coalition of groups dedicated to protecting and improving the ocean off the New York and New Jersey coasts known as New York Bite.

The ocean is also a downstream victim of GE's PCBs. Twice a day, PCBs from GE wash downstream with the tides toward the ocean. In addition, these PCBs attach to the sediments, as we've heard so much about, and get in ecosystem-wide, including the channels that have over the last half a century been dredged and dumped directly into the ocean.

Nearly half of the PCBs are

-- in the lower bay come from the Hudson

River and most of them are from GE. In

fact, PCBs have found their way into ocean

sediments and marine life. Since PCBs are

man-made compounds, any PCBs are above the

natural background.

A study conducted by the

National Fishery Service in 1996 called -entitled "Contaminant Levels in Muscle

Hepatic Liver Tissue of Lobsters From the

New York Bite" found elevated levels of PCBs in lobsters and these levels were very high, high enough for the State of New Jersey to issue an advisory on the consumption of the green gland from the lobsters. These levels were ranging from 4,000 to 9,000 parts per billion in the green gland.

After twenty years of consideration, study, reconsideration, assessment and reassessment, the EPA has finally announced a proposal to remove the megaloads of GE's PCBs from the river. As an important step in the right direction and with three conditions, Clean Ocean Action supports USEPA's remediation plan, which is a refreshing change for us. We're not normally in agreement with EPA. Right, guys?

Three of the following conditions are, one, that the PCB removal be conducted with the best available technology to minimize resuspension and not spread the PCBs downstream;

The second is that PCB contaminated muck be treated utilizing decontamination technologies. EPA Region 2 is now the national leader in sediment treatment technologies based on the last ten years of work and these technologies should be strong candidates to treat these PCBs, especially when GE is going to be footing the bill;

And, finally, GE corporation must rot conduct the cleanup activities.

The cleanup should be conducted by an entity that is impartial and expert in remediation.

## (Applause.)

Serious efforts to clean up the environment must include a polluter pays policy. It does not bode well for the environment if our environmental police are unable to enforce penalties against acknowledged polluters. It's been a long time, let's clean up, get on with the cleanup of the Hudson River and make GE pay.

1

March 7, 2001

2

And, thank you, guys.

3

SPEAKER: Good evening.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

name's Allen Sternberg, I'm a member of the I live in New Milford, New Jersey. I'm a retired educator and I'm involved in a program through our organization of taking young people from public schools down to the river. And it's

to ten-year-old youngster and saying, gee, that's a nice fish you caught, but I don't think you should be eating it, or I don't think you should be giving it to your older

a very difficult thing looking at a nine-

sister who may have just had a young baby.

I think we have to get the river cleaned up and we have to get the river cleaned up as

Another thing that we have to

think about, also, is our changing demographics. There are more and more

soon as possible.

people coming and settling in this area in

northern New Jersey and southern New York who, through their culture, depend upon

fishing, not so much as for a livelihood,

but to feed their own families. Many of these people don't quite understand the dangers of being exposed to eating fish two, three or four times a week, which many of them do do.

Our organization has been trying to inform them about these dangers, but it would be very nice when somebody comes to one of our meetings to say, yeah, it's okay to eat the fish at least once or twice a week and, therefore, I think something has to be done and done rather quickly.

Thank you so much.

MR. MC CABE: The next five are Paul Mastromarino, James Campbell, Jeff Tittel, Jim Campbell, I don't know if that's different, and Manna Jo Green.

SPEAKER: You're not going to like me. My name's Paul Mastromarina, I live here in New Jersey. I'm a concerned GE shareholder and I'm sure many of you have GE in your mutual fund or IRA.

SPEAKER: No way.

SPEAKER: I think it's very unfair that you don't have GE here.

There's a lot of GE bashing here, you don't have a GE spokesperson come down here --

us a chance to speak at their shareholders meetings. We can't get in there unless we own shares, but we live on the river, so don't talk about fairness. GE's not fair. Is it fair to speak at their shareholder's meeting? When they open their doors up to Hudson River people, like people at Fort Lee and Cliffside and Fairview, then you can speak.

MR. MC CABE: Hold on.

Please, let's have courtesy, let everyone speak.

SPEAKER: Please, believe me,
I feel like a chicken surrounded by foxes,
so...

The issue here is not the size of the PCB cleanup of the Hudson River, but the size of GE's bank account. The PCB issue is just an excuse to somehow

bleed GE dry. If GE was a smaller entity with little money, the PCB issue would probably have not evolved.

The people who cry far and loud for dredging carry a far more ominous political agenda. That agenda strikes at the very heart of American capitalism. What better way to attack the epitome of the American capitalist system than by going after the GE company.

GE is only chastised because they are productive, prosperous and profitable.

SPEAKER: Seventy-six Superfund sites nationwide.

SPEAKER: The words and ideas that strike fear in the hearts of young are dredging. If these people calling for dredging are so concerned with the safety and health of American citizens, then you should support the effort of GE in saving lives. GE medical systems with their CT scanners, tomography machines and MRIs have caught thousands of tumors in men, women

and children. In turn, GE has saved lives by locating disease before they pose a threat to life.

Who exactly are the pro-dredging people hurting? They want to financially hurt the GE executives. The pro-dredging people are really hurting the small investor, the retired man or woman who worked hard and saved all their lives and wisely invested in GE. They are only hurting all the American citizens who have a retirement plan with GE as their core holding.

Now, they're also hurting those citizens living near the proposed dredging area whose lives will forever be dramatically changed for the worst.

Dredging will have an adverse effect on all these citizens and in the end, it will be a waste of money, effort and time and I really hope an equitable solution is reached by GE, the EPA and all you fishermen.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Let me just respond to something. Hang on, let me just respond to something that Paul said.

I tried not to make the issue GE. As I said, it has nothing to do with GE. We'll worry about GE, just as we do at any Superfund site, after the Record of Decision, after we go after the responsible parties. This is a technical decision. I don't care if there were no responsible parties here. We would be coming to the same conclusion. I has absolutely nothing to do with our technical decision.

I don't care how big their bank account or how big anyone else's is. Quite often we find responsible parties doing searches after a Record of Decision. Obviously we know in this case who it is, but we don't worry about those kinds of things, we're not supposed to care about those kinds of things and it makes our job a lot easier, because we don't care how much money they have, because if the responsible party doesn't have the money,

March 7, 2001 1 we go ahead and do it anyway. 2 3 So that is not really an issue of their bank account, I don't really 4 5 care. And I really don't think this is going to hurt the GE medical supplies. 6 7 James Campbell. SPEAKER: I have some moral 8 I have the youngest active member 9 support. 10 and the oldest active member who is going to join me. 11 12 SPEAKER: Anthony Strulese. SPEAKER: Name is Ray 13 Maleone, trustee. 14 SPEAKER: 15 Stand up, Ray. I know it took SPEAKER: 16 17 quite a while to be here, believe me. I remember fishing in that 18 19 Hudson River seventy years ago and it was good then, but it's not good now. 20 21 SPEAKER: My name is Jim Campbell, I gave the recorder my statement 22 and I'll get back to that in a minute, but 23 24 I am a resident of River Edge, New Jersey. 25 I'm a trustee and a member or

1

March 7, 2001

2

representative of the Hudson River Fishermen.

3 4

Anthony.

5

SPEAKER: Again, my name is

6

7

8 9

10

11

And why are you just cleaning

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anthony Strulese and I've been fishing on the Hudson River for about six years and it's atrocious that it took the EPA twenty-five years to actually force GE to clean up its act or to even start.

up the hot spots instead of the whole river? That's the same as if you've got a pest infestation, you're only getting rid of one wall of the pests and they're still covering the halls to the house.

And I think you should go on a larger scale on the dredging, but stay away from the clam shell dredging.

Thank you.

SPEAKER: I just want to say one thing. I worked for Leo Brothers, I was a mechanical supervisor, and because of the PCBs we could not dredge and because we could not dredge, we had to shut the plant

down and it was 2,100 people went out of work. All because of the PCBs.

Thanks.

SPEAKER: We appreciate you holding this meeting in New Jersey. Just a few words.

The HRFANJ is an offspring of the original, which evolved into the Riverkeeper. The history of the HRFA is well known and well documented by Bob Boyle, John Cronin, Robert Kennedy, Jr. and others. The history of the HRFANJ is being written by these people, our young and old in our group, and we intend to follow our New York mentor's footprints.

The HRFA New Jersey is not just a group of fishermen. We are a well-organized, highly motivated and dedicated environmental group who happen to fish. We are networked and have good working relationships with the Bergen County Anglers, Jersey Coast Anglers, Clean Ocean Action, American Littoral Society, Baykeeper, Riverkeeper, the NRPA of Staten

Island and any group that believes we must speak up and defend our natural resources.

It's ironic that we have sometimes had a strange relationship with the EPA, DEP, Army Corps of Engineers and other governmental agencies, specifically agencies that are charged with protecting our precious air.

I got three people here. You gotta -- I won't waste any more time.

Clearly, this should not be.

We are all interested parties, should be a team. As a team, together, each achieves more. Our main goal is to have a positive impact on the Hudson River and its related estuaries. The HRFANJ has a keen interest in preserving and defending any tributary that flows into the Atlantic Ocean. With the benefit of 350 individual members and well over a thousand family members, we intend to protect our rivers and ocean from corporate pollution.

Currently, and thank God, we are on the same page with the EPA. We

wholeheartedly support the EPA's directive that GE is legally, morally and ethically responsible for cleaning up the PCB contamination in the Hudson River. In fact, we request, we would like the EPA to prosecute to the fullest extent any person, any company or corporation that pollutes in our backyards.

Over the earth to General Electric or to any corporation; he gave it to all of us, individually and collectively. Be advised that the HRFA of New Jersey takes this shared responsibility of dominion very seriously and no matter how long it takes, no matter how much it costs, we want the river restored to its former majesty and we want the costs borne by the primary culprit in this fiasco, GE.

Thank you.

(Applause).

MR. MC CABE: Thank you, just one comment on that.

We did look at other

remedies, obviously, we looked at more extensive remedies and we didn't believe that they were cost effective, I guess I would say. We looked at the effects they would have on the fish and on the risks to folks eating the fish and the incremental gain for what it would cost to do it just didn't seem to make sense to us, so we did look at a lot of other things and I think we did pick, again, the most cost effective remedy.

SPEAKER: Jeff Tittel, t-i-t-t-e-l, Director of the New Jersey Sierra Club.

We're here today to say that even though the dredging that's going forward to clean up the river is not everything we want, it's at best a half a glass, but it's better to have a half a glass that we can drink from than to keep having those toxins coming down the river.

Every year that we delay is another 500 pounds and in the twenty-five years that we've talked about problems in

the Hudson River, more than 15,000 pounds have come down from upstate down into the harbor and into our waters. And what's important about it is that it has effects here, too, not just on marine life and fisheries, but also on the economic interests of New Jersey.

One of the keen areas that we are concerned about is the harbors where every year or so they talk about dredging our harbors because of siltation, to let the ships in and some -- major part of our multi-billion-dollar economy and every time they want to dredge the harbors, we're running around with petitions saying don't dump off of Sandy Hook and Cindy calls up our member and gets out there on her little tables and walking up the coast. And part of the reason is because of what GE has done to that river and what comes down and dumps on it and we're tired of New York State dumping on New Jersey.

It used to be raw sewerage and medical waste off our coasts and now

it's PCBs. And the Hudson River is a beautiful river. The modern environmental movement got started in this region thirty years ago when I was a little kid and we fought to stop blowing up Storm King Mountain for pump storage stations. It was one of the most beautiful rivers in the world. At one time, it used to export the sturgeon from the Hudson River to Europe because it was higher quality than even in Russia.

We want to bring that river back. Today more people are using that river swimming, kayaking and fishing on the river than ever before and this is a good start in that direction, that we can make sure the Hudson River is a world class river and people will be able to use it.

And one day maybe we'll be swimming at the beaches back in Palisades and the Palisades State Park like we used to do and the river is of that quality and that river is of drinking water quality, but we have to start somewhere.

## March 7, 2001

And going forward right now, the best thing I can say is that the dredge, if it goes forward, in the first year afterwards, well, guess what, you're going to be taking out at least 200 pounds of PCBs in the river. That's me. So every year you can say at least minimally you can take one of me out, maybe you can take two of me out, and that will protect our water for future generations.

And, most importantly, we've always believed in the issue of polluter pays; you play, you pay. And it's not some anti-capitalist conspiracy; it's about good American common sense. Let's protect the Hudson River, let's clean it up and let's stop this red-bating garbage because people in this country are sick and tired of polluters getting away with poisoning our waters and our society.

Take care, thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Ma'am, before you go, let me just cite the last few. We just have four more. Jim Byrdon, Hugh

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carolla, Jim Drexel and Alfred Demola are the last four.

Go ahead, ma'am.

I'm Manna Jo Green. SPEAKER: I'm the Environmental Director for Hudson River Sloop Clearwater and I bring tonight five petition -- five resolutions from municipalities in New Jersey, the Borough of Edgewater, Fairview, Tenafly, the City of Hoboken, in addition to Fort Lee that's already been mentioned and I would encourage you if you live in other municipalities, see me and I'll give you a blank resolution. Please, these are really important. Our goal is to exceed the sixty that -- it's a false number, but General Electric claims are in opposition and we've done this since December 12th, we have 42 and our goal is sixty by April 17th, so help us out.

Also, you can go on the Clearwater web site to submit public comment easily and directly and your organization can also sign a resolution.

## March 7, 2001

I just want to show you what we saw in our local paper this morning.

This is today's Poughkeepsie Journal. We have estimated that General Electric is spending \$3 million a week on advertising, full-page ads in all of the papers in the mid Hudson and Upper Hudson area. Today it was two full-page ads. I have a box on my desk this big full of advertising and that's only the print advertising. That's from a clipping service.

If you take the \$3 million a week and you multiply that times fifty-two weeks in a year, you get something over \$150 million this year that General Electric is spending on advertising. The first year of the cleanup, if you take the \$460 million, you divide that by five years, that would be \$90 million. They're spending more on advertising than they are on taking responsibility to clean up the river.

(Applause).

And with that, I ask that you

•

all consider helping by either submitting a municipal resolution, an organizational resolution or your personal public comment. I have blank letters and you can go on the web site, w-w-w, dot, Clearwater, dot, org, slash, EPA and customize your own public comment.

March 7, 2001

Thank you very much.

MR. MC CABE: Thank you,

Manna.

SPEAKER: Tim Burton. My name's Tim Burton, I'm a member of the Hudson River Fishermen's Association and I've been scared to fish in Hudson River because I take my kids down there. They're always asking, well, daddy, how come we can't take the fish home and eat it, and I'm bewildered every time I tell them it's not safe. So the EPA has to do something about that and hope my kids or my kids' kids will enjoy the fruits of eating the fish.

I'm tired of the bull, all the ads that's put forth by General

2.3

Thank you.

Electric's public relations firms. It's a bunch of crap. It really is. Let's keep it simple. I mean, you know, if someone had done damage to your property, you'd want them to fix it, make the repairs, correct the situation.

The EPA, you know what you have to do. Let's do it. What we need you to do. Well, stop the bull. Stop the bull. That's what we gotta have them do. They've dumped the PCBs, it's time for them to clean it up and not just worry about up river, we live down here in the bottom part of the river. Do the whole river, clean it all up.

That's all I have to say.

SPEAKER: Thank you very much. My name is Hughey Carolla. I'm the Program Director for Hackensack Riverkeeper and I'm also the President of the FIKE Nature Association of Bergen County.

And I also wanted to thank the folks at the EPA for having this

hearing, for having it here in New Jersey.

And I know that I see a lot of the folks
here that there are a lot of penpals of our
former governor sitting in these chairs
here, I myself am one and certainly we will
be more than happy to contact your boss and
let her know what we think and how much we
support you.

A couple of things that I was listening to.

Number one, unless the PCBs are taken out of the sediment, we're never going to have the fishery back. We're never going to have the fisheries back. We're never going to have the river back, our river. That's a no-brainer.

Another no-brainer is the thing we all learned in kindergarten; when you make the mess, clean it up. That's good old-fashioned personal responsibility. And under the American capitalist system corporations are treated like individuals. Same thing, you make a mess or a corporation makes a mess, that's the person

or corporation responsible to clean it up.

What GE did in a sense in...you know, thinking about it and reading about it and learning about it, basically what GE did was took the moral equivalent of a very large dump in these people's front yard and backyard in the Upper Hudson Valley and what I don't understand is why, rather than, you know, getting these people to clean up the mess, they seemed to be almost identifying with the criminal and that's exactly what GE is, a criminal. And we want you to know that those of us here are not against you folks up there. We have a common problem, a common enemy.

And the last thing I want to say is the insidious thing about PCBs is you can't see them, they don't kill fish immediately, but they're there. I can take you down a couple miles from here and show you the evidence of dumping that you can see in the Hackensack Meadowlands and we, unfortunately, have politicians and

bureaucrats down here that say things like, well, you know, we'll never get that

Hackensack Meadowlands clean. The best we can do, and this is a quote, the best we'll ever get is a kind of Meadowlands clean.

And we don't -- in Hackensack Riverkeeper, we don't go along with that. We want clean to be clean.

And so that may you folks in the Upper Hudson Valley never, ever, ever hear a bureaucrat or elected official or anyone tell you, well, you know, we're never really going to get this river clean, but it'll be Fort Edward clean. We're with you folks.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: Jim Drexel.

SPEAKER: My name's Jim

Drexel and I am a member of the Hudson
River Fishermen's Association as well and I
don't know about you, but when I sat here
listening to this, I felt different
emotions. I was angry and at the same time
I was supportive. I'm very angry that no

one stopped this in the first place. I feel that somebody should be looking out for us and I think that wasn't done twenty-five years ago and so I'm very angry about that.

I know data takes a long time to prove yourself, ten years...science, I know, has to be a very exact science, but we all know why that had to be done, because somebody had to go through a political process of proving that there was a problem first before we had to solve the problem. We all know the problem was there, they just had to go through this step-by-step process because of politics and that's the unfortunate part. When you make a mess, you clean it up. We just heard that before. Let's just reinforce that.

I fish Lake Ontario, I saw what happened in Lake Ontario, the resource that opened up since 1975. And went to Cleveland, Ohio, I saw the flats turn into an area where all of a sudden a river that

was on fire turned into a tremendous resource. Hudson River is an untapped resource. I hope we can clean it up. I hope New York Congress can start to help us down here have access to the river because we pay exorbitant prices to launch our boats and fish the river and we'd like to see somebody help clean up the areas down below so that we can launch down at the bottom, like Glenn mentioned, and get that sediment out of the way.

I've seen people take the fish out of the coolers out of Newburg and I know they're eating them, so let's not pretend that people aren't eating these fish, this is a big, big problem.

I endorse what you're trying to do, but, again, I have to tell you, I feel let down. I feel like nobody was looking out. I feel like somebody caught you guys and you really didn't step up to the plate when it really mattered. And right now, especially the way government is right now with the lack of trust and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everything that's been going on, I feel that you really need to work that much harder to put the trust back in the people that are here to support you.

March 7, 2001

Thank you very much.

MR. MC CABE: Just one point I'd like to clarify.

Believe me, we didn't do a ten-year study for politics. It's actually more to do with the law. We have a law and we have regulations and they specify a certain way we have to do it. It's an open process, therefore, anyone who is interested can comment. General Electric, for one, can comment and did. They've put forth a lot of good science, also. We've used their science in addition to ours. And we wish it didn't take ten years, but with the amount of work that was done, as I mentioned, it was a 25-million-dollar study and probably an equal amount from General Electric. That's what it took. There are other people out there that think we're rushing to a decision.

And the last one, Alfred

Demola.

SPEAKER: Good evening. As a landowner on the Hudson River, be it north of Fort Edward, I am sympathetic to the condition of the water. I have a boat that's on the river in Fort Edward and I would like the EPA to consider that the width of the river up there is sometimes no bigger than the width of this room and what's going to happen to the boat traffic and how are people going to be able to utilize the river recreationally while there's big barges in there.

I mean, everyone thinks of
Haverstraw Bay and this little barge out in
the middle of Haverstraw Bay. They don't
realize the river is as narrow as this room
is wide in some places. I've traveled the
river many times from up and down.

You know, and there's also a little bit of hypocrisy. You know, you have all these fishing groups out here crying about the PCBs, but I see them

launching their two-stroke motors which dump a third of their gasoline into the water and unburned gas into the water and I don't see anyone giving up their outboard motors, which are one of the major polluters of a river and so I think -- you know, I think there's a little hypocrisy on both sides.

Now, I don't know what's the best way to do this, but as some people don't want to say to their son, we used to be able to eat the fish in the river, I don't want to be able to say to my grandson, you know, we used to be able to water-ski on this section of the river, but we can't do it anymore because everything's been stirred up, there's dredging there and there's no way for the traffic to get to go back and forth.

So, you know, I'd like to see, you know, alternatives considered and I don't know who has the best ideas, whether it's the EPA or GE, but the amount of disruption that it will cause to people

in that beautiful section of the river up there is extreme. And I'm sure you know that and I'm sure you realize that these -- I'm sure most of you have done fact-finding tours, I would hope, up there and see what this river looks like up there and it's a beautiful place. And, you know, it's going to be ugly for a lot of years.

So one my questions are, is how long will it take? How fast do the barges actually move down the river? And what about all the other heavy metals that are in that river besides the PCBs and who put them there? And when GE was dumping those PCBs, were they doing that legally or illegally at the time?

Well, if anyone does anything illegal, they should be responsible for cleaning up their mess and I completely agree with that, but I wish the people down state would be sympathetic. I drove two hundred miles to be here to say I understand what's going on down here, but I also have some considerations up north

where we'd like to be able to use the river for recreational purposes and everyone should think every time they start their two-stroke motor up that they're not helping, either.

MR. MC CABF: Just a couple of comments on that.

I'll certainly go back and look at the sections of the river that we are intending to dredge. However, from what I can recall and have seen on the maps and, yes, we've all been up there, obviously, I don't believe we have any areas that are going to be that difficult or that restrictive, but I'll take another look at it. I don't know how fast the barges move. I couldn't really give you an answer to that.

MR. TOMCHUK: Don't know.

SPEAKER: I just meant

progress-wise, you know, how --

MR. MC CABE: We talked about we're confident we can do it within a five-year period and so you can judge it

that way and I don't want to throw things out that are unsubstantiated.

And as far as -- I'll try and do this one last time which is almost the last comment anyway -- GE's legal or illegal discharges, GE was discharging PCBs before there were permits. There were no permits, so it's not legal or illegal, there was no system for permits, so there was a lot of that happening.

Then for several rears, they did have a legal permit from the state and a certain amount was discharged. Then they may have still had the permit, but basically that was shut off in around 1977, I think, but, anyway, and then after that, time there's been a lot of leakage from the plant.

Obviously, if GE has paid 150 million to clean up the two plant sites, they didn't do that for fun, they did it to clean up PCBs. Obviously, that has nothing to do with discharge. It's not a discharge. It's certainly not an illegal

discharge. It's leakage from the plant, it's in the bedrock, that has to be cleaned up.

That's the plan they have now with New York State, to get the last little bit of that, so it's a combination of things, but as far as we're concerned, they are the responsible property under Superfund. Regardless of the way they generated the stuff, they put it there, that's the end of the story on Superfund. They are responsible.

But, again, our decision is going to be a technical one. We don't care how much money they have. We don't care about that right now. We have to worry about a decision.

The last person, I think Pat O'Hara.

SPEAKER: My name's Pat O'Hara, I'm from Export, Pennsylvania, I drove 352 miles to come here tonight.

Two reasons why I'm here. I am an environmental remediation engineer by

profession. I've been doing it for twenty years since Superfund started. I worked on about thirty-five Superfund sites. I'm currently working on the RIFS study for what was once the largest transforming plant in the world and my meeting on the proposed plan for that study was yesterday, in another EPA region.

The other reason, and that's why I have a strong professional interest, what's happening at Hudson River has affected remedy selection at sites involving contaminated sediment all over the United States, including a site off the coast of California, a site I've been working on, also, so I have a strong interest in what's going on up there.

The second interest I have is that I live three miles from a landfill that takes a lot of waste from New York and New Jersey and western Pennsylvania, 355 miles from here. Honestly, the remedy selection process that has been gone through for this particular Superfund site

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whereby a technology having a regional landfill at the facility screened out early on because of its unpopularity. It's the first in my experience that I've ever heard of that in the Superfund program.

I'm not certain that screening it out at that point rather than waiting until a proposed plan has been put forth based on the best science, then getting community input, then getting state input and then modifying the remedy is much more consistent with my experience. And I fear that as a result, the selective remedy could be viewed by some attorney or some party who objects to it, whether it's a sportsman group or potentially responsible party, is not being consistent with what's called the NCP, the National Contingency Plan, and what that would mean if that was, indeed, found, that the EPA has probably lost its ability to recover funds.

I will be offering comment on the proposed plan. I'm not going to comment on its technical merits as I

1

March 7, 2001

2

3

4

\_

5

6

7

8

\_

9

10

11

- -

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

perceive them because I've only looked at it a few hours and I think it would be highly unprofessional to do it.

My only comment is sediment cleanups are controversial everywhere, they are difficult everywhere and good, honest scientists disagree everywhere on these issues and the dialogue that took place tonight reflected typical experience on my part.

My next meeting at EPA Headquarters will be next week. I'm the former president of the trade association of the companies in North America that do environmental cleanup for a living and I testified before Congress on fixing the Federal Superfund law two years ago, so I commend everybody here in the Hudson Valley for their strong interest in their own environment. The people at EPA have a brutally difficult job in trying to sort their way through it. They're constrained by laws and regulations that are incredibly challenging. Many of us have been trying

to fix them.

My trade association both fights with EPA and then and goes and lobbies for their pledges so they'll have the resources to do their job.

So, thank you, I enjoyed being here tonight. I learned quite a bit. Thanks.

MR. FISCHER: Excuse me,
Bill. I just want to address the screening
process for the local landfill.

We screened out the local landfill as we went through the screening process that's established under the National Contingency Plan, which for those of you who don't know, those are the regulations under which we implement the Superfund program.

When we went through that screening process, we determined it would be likely that it would be administratively infeasible to site a local landfill, meaning that it would probably be impossible or extremely difficult for us to

get the required permits and authorizations to site such a landfill in the Hudson Valley, so that's the basis on which we screened it out from consideration.

SPEAKER: I understand the reasoning. You know, you have the ability to waive permits as the lead agency and it's just a first in my experience that the political popularity of a remedy went into the initial screening phase. I've never seen that before in my twenty years of experience in this program.

MR. FISCHER: Well, permit exemption only applies to remedial work that's performed on site.

SPEAKER: Well, it's broadly defined differently at each site in my experience.

MR. FISCHER: I understand that, but it was not certified any stretch of the imagination that this is --

SPEAKER: I'm not saying this is illegal, I'm not a lawyer. I'm just saying it's different than anything I've

seen in my experience.

MR. MC CABE: It is somewhat unusual, but you have to also remember that the whole process here has been somewhat unusual. The amount of public comment that we've gotten is -- far surpasses anything we've experienced and we set it up that way to get that comment, so we knew a lot more about the community's interest at the early stage rather than waiting for a proposed plan and saying, oh, my, look what they think.

Well, they made it real clear what they think, but we understand your concerns.

SPEAKER: I understand, but Western New York is a community, too, that may well be affected by this remedy.

MR. MC CABE: Yes. To sum it up.

SPEAKER: My name's Anthony
Strulese and I'm from Hasbrouk Heights, New
Jersey and I'm also a member of the HRFA,
the New Jersey Chapter, and the Boy Scouts

of America and I'm preparing for my eagle scout.

All my life I've been told to respect the environment. It's hard to comprehend how GE has been allowed to pollute the river so much. These PCBs are destroying wildlife and ruining the life cycles necessary for survival.

I've been taught to clean up when I make a mess. Now it's time for GE to clean up its mess and to support the EPA in its efforts and only wish it hadn't taken twenty-five years to get started.

Twenty-five years means the Hudson River has never been clean in my life. I only hope some day I'll live long enough to be able to see a clean Hudson River.

Thank you.

MR. MC CABE: That's the end of our scheduled comments.

Does anybody else --

SPEAKER: One last thing is,

I've never really quite made it clear, it's

not that I'm against the dredging, I'd just

1 March 7, 2001 2 like you to consider an alternative as a 3 person who has had land on the river 4 upstate and I just didn't say that. 5 MR. MC CABE: Before I ask, the stenographer may need a break, how many 6 7 folks are going to comment? SPEAKER: Just ask some 8 9 questions. 10 MR. MC CABE: It'll just be a couple minutes, okay. Could you give your 11 12 name again? 13 SPEAKER: Sure, my name is Andy Wilner. 14 My question is this. 15 We sat 16 through and heard opinions. What I think 17 we need to know is how expeditiously we'll move forward. What's the time frame? 18 does the rod come out, when does that 19 20 happen and when can we actually see dredgers on the river? 21 And the second part of my 22 question is, why is the EPA apparently 23 24 moving forward even after twenty-five years

in the Hudson River, but has yet to finish

25

their environmental risk assessment, human health risk assessment on the Passaic River for the Occidental Chemical site, which is also polluting the Hudson?

MR. MC CABE: The schedule for the Hudson River is the comment period closes in about a month and-a-half, April 17th, we then obviously have to answer all the comments. There's going to be -- well, we already have cartons full of comments, we expect to get a whole lot more, so it's going to take us a little time to go through those and respond to them.

We, by law, have to prepare a responsiveness summary that deals with all the significant comments that were received and that's appended to the Record of Decision. Our schedule calls for the Record of Decision to be signed in August of this year. We expect to meet that deadline, we haven't changed that, except, of course, when we extended the comment period, obviously we had to extend that.

Just one quick comment on the

Passaic. That's the next frontier. We are working on that, actually, quite seriously at this point and I hope you'll see some action pretty soon.

Is there another comment?

SPEAKER: Y2s. My name is

Peter Orento and I thank you for doing your
job and I'm a little disappointed when you
said you don't care who's going to pay for
this stuff. I pay for your salary and I
feel like the criminal ran away and nobody
saw him. You know, General Electric is
there, they got billions in funds and I
just -- I was just a little disappointed to
hear you say that it's -- you don't really
care about things.

MR. MC CABE: I hope I didn't use that word again. I did that one other time.

SPEAKER: If I misunderstood you, I'm sorry.

MR. MC CABE: If I said 'care,' that wasn't the right word. What I meant was I wasn't worried about it right

now. Right now is a technical decision; we'll worry about who pays for it later. I'm very interested in having General Electric pay for it, that's the law.

The law says we will go after General Electric. We have a variety of legal mechanisms to do that. If that doesn't work, then we would have to fund it using the Superfund and then try to recover the costs from General Electric, so we have every intention of either having them do it and then pay for it, whatever the remedy might be.

Were there any other questions?

SPEAKER: Charles Stam.

Hudson River Fishermen's Association.

We've heard several people testify how much General Electric is spending recently on their advertising. We think they're going to spend equally amount of money to see this project fail. It would be in their best interest if they take an active role in this cleanup to see

this project fail and then to promote that through their future sites, so we would like to recommend that General Electric be restricted to only financial participation of this cleanup and nothing active.

The other thing I would like to point out is that, how we can tell the fish are getting cleaner, we'd like to see some accurate testing done on the fish.

We shut down in 1996 due to the high concentration of PCBs in the levels in the eggs and in the skin of the striped bass. Currently they test only the filets which don't contain high concentrations of PCBs. We'd like, when testing is done, to know exactly what PCBs or what toxins are in the fish, not just a small portion of it.

So we'd like the testing procedures to reflect what our constituents are eating, what our members and what our neighbors are eating, not just a small portion of the fish. Because a lot of our cultures that are in our area don't eat

just filets, they eat the heads, the eat the entrails, the skin, the eggs, they make stew out of it, so we need more accurate testing procedures done as to what levels of PCBs are in our fish and not just small portions of it.

MR. TOMCHUK: I'd just like to say that it's my understanding that the FDA action level of two parts per million, the Food & Drug Administration level, that controls commerce of the striped bass is based on filets, not a whole fish sampling.

So that, you know, in order to measure against the standard of two parts per million, they need the filet samples. That's why the state collects those.

SPEAKER: The problem with that is it puts these other cultures at risk, primarily minorities, and we have a lot of those in our area that are eating fish out of the Hudson River and they eat just more than the filets. It would be nice if everyone was White Anglo-Saxon and

March 7, 2001 1 2 followed those little recipes, but the 3 foreign cultures don't do that. 4 They eat more than that and 5 they are put at risk by having a test of 6 only a certain portion of the fish which we 7 know do not contain high levels of concentrations of toxins. 8 9 Thank you. MR. MC CABE: I would 10 11 suggest -- I mean, obviously, we're going 12 to have a very extensive monitoring program for whatever remedy we come up with and I 13 14 would suggest that any or I would ask that 15 any suggestions you have, please submit 16 them to us. And I already forget what the 17 18 first question was that you had asked, I'm 19 sorry. SPEAKER: That General 20 Electric not be involved other than 21 22 financially. 23 MR. MC CABE: Oh, right. That's right. 24

25

It's understandable and it's

March 7, 2001 1 2 the way a lot of people felt about 3 Superfund for a long time. However, we've 4 been doing it for a very long time having 5 responsible parties conduct remedies at 6 sites with very strict oversight, so they 7 don't get away -- they or any responsible 8 party. I mean, they have other sites that 9 they do work for us on, they're working for New York State right now. They don't, they 10 11 or anyone else, don't get away with anything. We're there, so it's just 12 another arm of us doing the work. 13 If there are no -- yes, there 14 is. 15 16 SPEAKER: One of the 17 rationales --MR. MC CABE: Could you --18 19 I'm sorry, could you state your name for the record? 20 Beth Ravin. 21 SPEAKER: One of the rationales for the 22 23 dredging is the resuspension that you

believe is occurring. Well, if you leave

100,000 pounds, I think that was your

24

25

number, of PCBs in the sediment, how do you know that what you're leaving is not going to resuspend?

MR. MC CABE: That's a good question. You want to try that first.

MR. TOMCHUK: Yeah.

The reason that we targeted the other 100,000 pounds is because those PCBs are in the areas where they are getting -- are most bioavailable, so basically by going further we would not see as great fish reductions by adding a lot more dredging because the concentrations are fairly low, they're in fairly coarse grain sediments and basically they're not in the areas that are prime fish habitat, so basically what we targeted are the areas to get rid of the exposure to the fish.

Yes, there will be some PCBs that come out of those areas, but the concentration being low reduces the gradient, the difference between the cleaner water and the contaminated sediment, so there's not the force to come

10.8375

into the water column.

A number of reasons, but --SPEAKER: But if you believe

resuspension is occurring and --

MR. TOMCHUK: Mobilization, not necessarily just resuspension. It could be a number of mechanisms, yeah, but it is occurring.

SPEAKER: But if you believe -- and in the report you mention, I believe, a number of times resuspension.

If 100,000 pounds are left there, are those sediments subject to resuspension? Are we trying to solve the problem of getting rid of the PCBs in the fish only to find out after we've done this that what we've left there is continuing the problem?

MR. MC CABE: We've done the analyses. As I mentioned before, there are a number of alternatives that went further than the one we came up with, the one that we recommended. And, as Doug pointed out, we didn't find that there was that much of a difference between the benefits that we

. 7

would gain from doing more versus how much it would cost to do it, so it was taken into account.

Ideally, obviously, you know, you get as much as you can, but that's effectively what we're doing.

SPEAKER: I understand this was a cost benefit decision, but at the end of the day there are a lot of people sitting here thinking that once this is done, the fish are going to be safe.

Is that true?

MR. MC CABE: What we said was that we would expect the fish consumption advisories to be lifted at least a generation sooner. There's a lot of --

SPEAKER: What does -- what does that mean in terms of a year?

MR. MC CABE: There are a lot of assumptions that go into a risk assessment. There is what we call the reasonably maximally exposed individual, let's say RME. That's the one that we go

after as opposed to the average consumer or average exposure. The RME was assuming a half-a pound of fish a week. The average consumer would be a half a pound a fish every two months, if I'm correct, right?

March 7, 2001

So depending upon -- you have a lot of different assumptions. To reach that RME which is, like -- well, it's not the maximum, but it's a reasonable maximum. To reach that number, we have projected out into the future and that would be a very difficult number to reach depending upon the amount of source control that is done at the GE facility. The more that's done, the more likely that that number would be reached.

However, the other numbers, say, essential tendency, the average number, the half a pound of fish every two months, or even another number we've used in the proposed plan, half a pound every month, will be achieved about twenty years sooner.

So you're talking, I don't

1 March 7, 2001 2 know, what was the year? 3 MR. FISCHER: There's 4 actually -- I don't know if you picked up a 5 proposed plan at the information tables in the front, but on page nineteen there's a 6 7 helpful table that has the different times 8 -- the time it will take to achieve 9 different fish concentrations under the different remedial scenarios that we 10 evaluated. 11 12 And if you look at the one 13 meal per month scenario, just for example, it's about thirty years sooner that we 14 project you'll be able to eat one meal a 15 month versus doing nothing. 16 MR. MC CABE: Than doing 17 18 nothing. 19 SPEAKER: So what year we are 20 talking about? MR. MC CABE: Approximately 21 2035 versus 2067, in that neighborhood. 22 23 And, again, that assumes that the source control that GE is doing will still result 24

in some amount coming downstream.

25

If we're

able or they are able to completely shut that off, these numbers will be even better, there will be a larger spread between them. And, obviously, that's what we're hoping.

SPEAKER: I'm sorry, Gil
Hawkins, Hudson River Fishermen's
Association. Just have a quick question.

Are you aware of the fact that the New York State DEC is thinking about opening up the commercial and striped bass fishery in the Hudson River and allowing those fish to be caught in the shagnets and be sold at the Fulton Fish Market?

MR. MC CABE: We're aware of those discussions, yes. Yes, sir.

SPEAKER: Ron Shinella, Glen Rock, New Jersey.

It seems to me the numbers you're presenting here, if I heard you right, you said you're going to remove 100,000 out of the 200,000. If you just forget fish for the moment, it sounds to me

like you're going to reduce the concentration down river here by 50 percent by a factor of two. I feel that's either not work doing or we should do more.

In fact, I think in your report you mentioned a possible amount much higher than that, higher than 1.3 million. If you take 100,000 out of 1.3 million, you're going to do very little to the concentration down here, so I think you should address whether you are doing enough or forget it.

MR. MC CABE: The 1.3 million was an estimate of the so-called discharges from General Electric.

Again, I mentioned that there was a lot of other things -- number one, that's an estimate.

Number two, there are a lot of seeps, leaks, et cetera, that weren't discharges. We have no idea how much that was that got into the river.

However, that is not what remains in the river based upon the

March 7, 2001 1 2 sampling that we've done and the modeling that we've done. We believe that 3 approximately 200,000 -- approximately 4 5 200,000 pounds remains there. 6 MR. TOMCHUK: In the Upper 7 Hudson. MR. MC CABE: Yes, in the 8 9 Upper Hudson. Obviously the rest of it's 10 moved. The reason, again, that we've 11 12 settled on the remedy that we did is we've done the analysis and we don't believe we 13 get that much more for a significant 14 increase in cost to remove any more. 15 16 Again, this is all -- it's 17 not easy to explain. There's a lot of scientific analyses and mathematical 18 modeling that goes into it and that's been 19 the subject of great debate over the last 20 21 ten years, but those are the kinds of numbers that we come up with. 22 Yes, ma'am. 23 24 SPEAKER: I'm Marilyn Leski,

I'm a member of Friends of Clearwater.

25

March 7, 2001 1 2 just wanted to ask if more than one method 3 of dredging has been considered. MR. MC CABE: We're looking 4 5 at both mechanical and hydraulic dredging 6 or a combination of both. 7 SPEAKER: Don't you feel that the hydraulic dredging is superior to the 8 9 mechanical? 10 MR. MC CABE: There are advantages and disadvantages to both ways 11 and, you know, again, if people have 12 13 comments on what they think is better, we'll be happy to take them. 14 15 SPEAKER: Thank you very 16 much. Yes, sir. 17 MR. MC CABE: SPEAKER: Is GE presently 18 being fined for exceeding any discharge 19 limits by the state or by anybody? 20 21 MR. MC CABE: Not that I'm 22 aware of. SPEAKER: Well, if they're 23 not being fined on a daily basis for 24 25 exceeding anything, why the hell should

they do anything? You know, in the past.

MR. MC CABE: We don't have a mechanism -- we don't have a mechanism to fine them. They're not violating anything that I'm aware of.

SPEAKER: Didn't they exceed limitations on what they were supposed to discharge into the Hudson River in past years?

MR. MC CABE: There was a relatively short period of time that they had a permit. I'm sure or I believe there were a couple of incidents where they violated the permit, but, really, that's not the issue, there's so much more than that. That was a short period of time. We're talking about way before there were permits, we're talking about after that. We're talking about seepage from the bedrock, so it's really not a big deal.

SPEAKER: But you're currently concerned with the amount of discharge that GE is going to be doing.

25 MR. MC CABE: That's in the

1 March 7, 2001 2 bedrock, that's not really discharging from their pipes. It's already seeped out from 3 their property into the bedrock. 4 5 SPEAKER: Okay, so the pipes 6 are sealed off. 7 MR. MC CABE: Well, they're not sealed off, but they have a no -- they 8 9 have what? No discharge or non-detect for 10 They have a facility, so naturally they have a discharge. They have a permit. 11 12 Okay, I think we're going to 13 wrap it up, then. I'd like to thank you all very much for our one trip to New 14 15 Jersey, thanks. 16 17 (Proceedings adjourned at 18 10:10 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

BSA		3/7/01		XMAX
Concordance Report	10 [3]	22:7		99 [1]
Unique Words: 2,774	18:12; 57:12; 60:13	2.65 [1]	**5**	64:25
Total Occurrences: 9,253	100 [1]	21:22		
	74:24	20 [1]	5 [1]	* * A * *
Noise Words: 384	100,000 [7]	72:9	10:18	
Total Words In File: 24,218	24:2; 29:12; 144:25; 145:9;	200 [1]	5-mile [1]	ability [2]
Single File Concordance			22:12	131:22; 134:7
	146:13; 150:24; 151:9	113:6	50 [1]	able [20]
Case Sensitive	10022 [1]	200,000 [4]	151:3	13:3, 6; 24:19, 20, 22;
Noise Word List(s):	1:25	24:5; 150:24; 152:4, 5	500 [9]	42:12; 47:22; 48:6; 59:9;
NOISE,NOI	10:10 [1]	2000 [1]	19:9; 23:10; 53:13; 54:21;	69:12; 112:19; 124:13;
	155:18	37:12	59:3; 71:15; 74:23; 81:21;	125:13, 14, 15; 127:2;
Cover Pages ≈ 0	<b>12</b> [2]	2001 [1]	110:24	136:18; 149:15; 150:2
Includes ALL Text	8:21; 23:12	1:11		absolutely [4]
	1201 [1]	2035 [1]	51 [1]	
Occurrences	1:24	149:22	22:21	20:17; 44:10; 52:25; 104:13
Dates ON	12th [1]	2067 [1]	58 [1]	absurd [1]
And December	114:19	149:22	22:13	63:10
Includes Pure Numbers	13-percent [1]	212 [1]	58th [1]	Academy [2]
Possessive Forms ON	23:12		1:24	12:7, 20
		1:25		access [3]
* * DATES * *	133 [1]	25-million-dollar [1]	**6**	69:8, 9, 122:6
DATES	1:24	123:21		accomplish [1]
April 17th [4]	15,000 [1]	25th [1]	6 [2]	65:12
	111:2	30:10	10:3, 12	1 1 2
3:8; 4:11; 114:20; 138:8	150 [2]	<b>26</b> [2]	6-mile [1]	acconplished [2]
August [3]	36:8; 128:20	11:4, 6	22:9	77:24, 25
4:2; 73:6; 138:20	160 [1]	29 [1]	60 [2]	According [1]
December [1]	8:17	10:24		34:17
56:20		10.24	19:5; 83:25	account [4]
December, 2000 [1]	17 [1]	**3**	**7**	101:24; 104:16; 105:4;
37:12	75:3	3		147:4
December 12th [1]	17th [4]	3 [7]	7 (4)	accumulate [1]
• -	3:8; 4:11; 114:20; 138:9		7 [1]	82:22
114:19	1975 [1]	10:24; 11:6; 20:24; 22:18,	1:11	
January 25th [1]	121:23	22; 23:17; 56:12	70 [1]	accumulating [1]
30:10	1977 [2]	3,900 [1]	74:24	50:24
March 7 [1]	14:20; 128:16	23:11	70-percent [1]	accurate [3]
1:11	1979 [1]	30-million-dollar [1]	83:25	58:2; 141:10; 142:4
	15:9	72:9	700 [1]	achieve [2]
**\$**		310 [1]	58:12	28:18; 149:8
	1984 [4]	21:21	750-6434 [1]	achieved [1]
<b>\$150</b> [1]	60:18; 74:6; 77:3, 16	340,000 [1]		148:23
115:16	1991 [1]	22:24	1:25	achieves [1]
\$2 [1]	58:11		78 [1]	
71:4	1992 [1]	350 [1]	14:20	108:14
\$200 [1]	58:12	108:20	79 [1]	achieving [1]
19:22	1994 [1]	352 [1]	14:20	61:16
	75:15	129:23	<b>7:00</b> [1]	acknowledge [1]
<b>\$25</b> [1]	1995 [1]	355 [1]	1:12	6:7
7:25		130:22		acknowledged [1]
\$3 [2]	75:16		**8**	98:22
115:6, 13	1996 [3]	**4**		acres [5]
\$460 [2]	14:9; 96:23; 141:11		85 [1]	23:10, 11; 52:22; 74:24
28:4; 115:19	1999 [1]	4,000 [2]	15:11	1
\$90 [1]	19:8	65:25; 97:8		Act [3]
115:20		40 [5]	**9**	19:21; 86:24; 94:20
	* * 2 * *	8:16; 21:23; 28:25; 53:15;		act [3]
**4**		77:6	9 [2]	95:5, 18; 106:10
	2 [6]		11:7; 19:7	Action [3]
1 [3]	8:3; 10:16; 11:4; 23:16;	40-mile [1]	9,000 [1]	96:2; 97:17; 107:24
18:3, 18; 23:15	33:14; 98:4	23:11		action [5]
	2,100 [1]	42 [3]	97:8	2:17; 23:5; 67:2; 139:5;
1.3 [3]	107:2	10:15; 11:6; 114:19	90-percent [1]	142:10
151:8, 9, 14	2.2 [3]	460 [2]	14:24	
1.5 [3]	1 * -	68:8, 9	91 [1]	active [4]
21:22; 22:6	56:16; 57:12, 13		17:10	105:9, 10; 140:25; 141:6
	2.6 [1]	1		activities [3]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

www.ellengrauer.com

From April 17th to activities

BSA 24:21; 71:3; 98:12 acts [1] actual [1] 74:12 add [4] 8:2; 54:12; 63:16 added [1] 78:7 adding [2] 23:20; 145:13 addition [6] 4:17; 36:12; 42:10; 96:9; 114:11; 123:18 additional [2] 37:15, 16 address [5] 4:9; 33:12; 65:14; 133:11; addresses [1] 4:13 adjacent [1] 60:14 adjourned [1] 155:17 Administration [1] 142:11 administration [1] 32:5 administratively [1] 133:22 admire [1] 52:7 ads [3] 115:7, 9; 116:25 advantages [2] 27:4; 153:11 adverse [1] 103:19 advertising [6] 115:6, 10, 11, 17, 21; 140:21 advised [1] 109:13 advisories [6] 13:12, 13, 23; 28:22; 29:5; 147:16 advisory [1] 97:5 aerobic [1] 83:19 aesthetically [1] 19:19 affect [5] 9:22; 40:10; 49:25; 71:17; affected [5] 70:8; 71:12, 14; 130:13; 135:19 affects [3]

affiliated [1] affiliation [1] aforementioned [1] 156:9 afterwards [1] 113:5 AG's [1] 29:22 age [2] 13:14 agencies [6] 11:20; 45:16; 87:17; 95:2; 108:7,8 AGENCY [1] Agency [1] agency [3] 95:15, 17; 134:8 agenda [2] agree [6] 11:20, 25; 39:25; 49:17; 88:22; 126:21 agreement [1] 97:19 agrees [1] 34:15 Air [1] 94:20 air [3] 62:10; 66:16; 108:9 airwaves [1] 95:9 Alan [2] 17:14; 18:3 Albany [2] 43:8; 52:23 Alfred [5] 47:7; 53:18, 19; 114:2; 124:2 Allen [2] 80:14; 99:4 allied [1] 52:6 Allison [1] 4:12 allow [3] 27:12; 38:21; 82:16 allowed [2] 90:5; 136:6 allowing [2] 45:15; 150:14 alone [4] 11:21; 18:24; 19:16; 60:20 Alter [2] 30:3, 5

alternative [1]

137:2

alternatives [2] 125:22; 146:21 America [2] 132:15; 136:2 American [8] 95:4: 102:8, 10, 21: 103:12: 107:24; 113:16; 118:22 amount [14] 17:17; 22:15; 28:24; 57:25; 123:20, 22; 125:24; 128:14; 135:6; 140:22; 148:14; 149:25; 151:7; 154:23 amounts [1] 21:21 amply [1] 34:15 analyses [2] 146:20; 152:18 analysis [1] 152:13 and-a-half [6] 21:3, 7; 52:10, 18, 19; 138:8 Andy [3] 37:23; 44:22; 137:14 Anglers [3] 39:20: 107:23 anglers [2] 29:9; 75:19 Anglo-Saxon [1] 142:25 angry [3] 120:24, 25; 121:5 animals [2] 11:18; 34:24 **ANN** [1] 1:15 Ann [2] 2:4; 7:3 announced [1] annoyed [1] 81:5 answer [10] 3:24; 7:23; 12:13; 13:21; 21:12: 39:12: 61:10: 62:22: 127:19: 138:9 answerable [2] 95:16, 17 Anthony [4] 105:12; 106:4, 6; 135:22 anti-capitalist [1] 113:15 anybody [2] 136:22; 153:20 anybody's [1] 80:9 anyhow [1] 86:16 anymore [1]

105:2; 128:6, 17 anyways [1] apparently [1] 137:23 appended [1] 138:18 applaud [3] 33:13, 16; 38:12 Applause [6] 68:12, 22; 81:11; 98:16; 109:22; 115:24 applause [2] 9:3; 80:10 applies [1] 134:15 appreciate [3] 38:15; 42:15; 107:5 approve [1] approximate [1] Approximately [1] approximately [5] 22:7; 56:12; 83:6; 152:4 April [4] 3:8; 4:11; 114:20; 138:8 aquatic [1] 74:2 aquiculture [1] 41:19 arabic [2] 4:21; 5:7 area [27] 9:14; 10:11; 17:10; 18:4; 22:8, 16; 23:13; 25:24; 27:17; 40:14; 43:8; 54:5; 64:21; 68:21; 69:7; 73:24; 75:24; 76:9; 86:12; 87:3; 91:2; 99:22; 103:17; 115:8; 121:25; 141:25; 142:22 areas [17] 22:4, 16, 20; 23:20; 33:6; 41:2: 51:9: 61:25: 78:9: 79:9: 111:9: 122:9: 127:15: 145:10, 17, 18, 21 aren't [2] 29:4; 122:16 Argo [1] 70:18 arm [1] 144:13 Army [1] 108:6 arrived [1] asking [1] 116:17

assessment [5]

48:17; 97:12; 138:2, 3;

147:23 assessments [3] 7:8; 8:11; 47:2 assisting [1] associated [1] Association [16] 8:25; 38:9; 39:21; 49:3, 13; 53:22; 67:25; 80:21; 90:19; 94:5; 95:23; 116:14; 117:23; 120:21; 140:18; 150:9 association [2] 132:14; 133:3 assumes [1] 149:23 assuming [1] 148:3 assumptions [2] 147:22; 148:8 assurance [1] 27.6 assured [1] 25:19 ate [1] 38:18 Atlantic [1] 108:19 atrocious [1] 106:8 attach [1] 96:10 attached [1] 15:21 attack [1] 102:9 attempt [1] 73:9 attempting [3] 25:6; 89:9, 13 ATTENDANCE [1] Attorney [4] 6:16; 32:20; 33:3; 48:13 attorney [2] 7:9; 131:15 attorneys [1] 30:20 August [3] 4:2; 73:6; 138:20 authorizations [1] 134:2 authorized [1] 90:15 available [7] 32:25; 34:23; 57:8; 59:12; 85:17, 22; 97:23 average [6] 10:13; 11:4; 148:2, 3, 4, 19

From acts to awake

32:12; 54:6; 92:25

www.ellengrauer.com

125:17

anyway [3]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

awake [1]

80:7

### 3/7/01

Bob [1]

107:11

**BSA** awakening [1] 76:17 aware [5] 54:5; 150:10, 17; 153:22; 154:6 \*\*B\*\* baby [1] 99:15 backfill [3] 23:20; 27:24; 78:7 background [1] 96:21 backgrounds [1] 30:15 backhoes [1] 62:2 backs [2] 13:7; 48:7 backyard [2] 87:25; 119:8 backyards [1] 109:9 bacteria [3] 83:7, 13 bank [3] 101:24; 104:16; 105:4 bank-to-bank [2] 77:6, 7 banks [1] 94:16

banned [1]

barge [4]

barges [5]

27:19, 25; 28:2; 124:17

25:4; 76:9; 124:15; 126:12;

57:3; 90:23; 98:6; 131:10;

18:13; 54:19; 56:21; 57:18;

13:13; 54:24; 58:17; 60:14;

66:19; 67:10; 73:11; 82:3;

83:20, 25; 119:6; 128:16;

73:23; 74:3, 4; 76:13

16:24; 17:9; 40:13, 22, 25;

72:16

127:18

41:10

34:11

101:4

66:5

base [1]

Based [1]

based [6]

142:13; 151:25

bashing [1]

Basically [5]

basically [15]

145:12, 16, 18

basis [4]

Bass [4]

bass [19]

Battery [1] Bay [3] 42:24; 124:17, 18 bay [3] 42:24; 96:16 Baykeeper [1] 107:25 baykeeper [1] 44:24 beaches [1] 112:21 bearing [1] 13:14 beautiful [4] 112:3, 8; 126:2, 8 Bedford [1] 65:23 bedrock [5] 89:13; 129:3; 154:21; 155:2, behind [4] 5:19; 23:25; 94:22; 95:15 Believe [1] 123:9 believe [37] 2:17; 12:24; 13:2, 10, 22, 25; 20:13, 16; 21:19; 24:4; 28:10, 14, 22; 30:23; 46:10; 57:25; 62:18; 63:5; 75:5; 77:13, 23; 79:9; 82:15; 86:9; 90:24; 93:13; 101:19; 105:17; 110:3; 127:14; 144:24; 146:4, 11, 12; 152:3, 13; 154:13 believed [1] 113:13 believes [1] 108:2 belong [5] 42:2; 45:11; 48:19; 51:17, belongs [1] 45:12 Bemin [1] 42:20 Bemis [1] beneficial [1] 86:13 benefit [3] 23:4; 108:20; 147:9 benefits [2] 36:10; 146:25 15:19; 58:14; 134:4; 153:24 Bergen [3]

30:5; 107:22; 117:23

besides [1]

126:14

41:5; 49:10; 50:7, 14, 17;

141:14: 142:12: 150:13

74:22: 75:3, 4, 9, 22: 94:14:

Beth [1] 144:21 bewildered [1] 116:19 bicycle [1] 81:3 bid [1] 87:6 bigger [1] 124:11 BILL [1] 1:15 Bill [6] 6:23; 47:6, 10; 67:16; 79:18; 133:11 bill [3] 68:10; 81:13; 98:10 billion [3] 46:4; 68:9; 97:8 billions [2] 45:25; 139:14 bioavailable [1] 145:11 biochemist [1] 81:22 biological [1] 68:19 biologically [1] bipartisan [1] 44:18 biphenyl [1] 15:22 birth [1] 12:3 bit [10] 8:23; 12:15; 17:21, 22; 21:17; 73:20; 88:19; 124:23; 129:7; 133:8 Bite [2] 96:5; 97:2 black [1] 16:24 Blank [2] 55:9; 67:23 blank [2] 114:15; 116:5 bleed [1] 102:2 blowing [1] 112:6 blue [1] 41:15 boards [1] 93:21 boat [4] 69:10, 15; 124:7, 12 boating [1] 68:5

bode [1] 98:19 body [1] 36:14 bombarded [1] 31:18 book [1] 80:9 booms [1] borne [1] 109:19 Borough [4] 6:14; 30:3; 42:20; 114:9 boss [1] 118:7 boulders [1] 61:19 bound [1] 66:10 box [1] 115:9 boxes [1] Boy [1] 135:25 Boyle [1] 107:12 break [2] 83:21; 137:6 breaking [1] Bridge [1] 30:25 Brief [2] 30:18; 38:2 brief [3] 2:14; 7:16; 29:14 brings [3] 72:3; 87:10; 92:6 broadly [1] 134:17 broke [1] 44:2 Brook [3] 1:10; 3:7 Brothers [1] 106:22 Brown [1] 17:25 Browner [2] 32:7,9 brutally [1] 132:22 bucket [1] 61:20

Buffalo [1]

building [1]

87:3

63:7 buildup [2] 69:6, 11 built [2] 25:12; 26:5 **bull** [3] 116:24; 117:10 Bullhead [2] 17:4, 25 bunch [1] 117:3 bureaucrat [1] 120:12 bureaucrats [1] 120:2 burial [2] 18:19; 54:20 Burton [2] 116:12, 13 bury [1] 85:9 business [2] 60:16; 87:5 businesses [i] 88:7 **buy** [1] 95:8 Byrdon [1] 113:25

### \* \* C \* \*

**CABE** [72] 1:15; 7:3; 32:18; 37:21; 38:4; 39:16; 42:9; 46:19; 53:9; 55:3; 56:3, 6; 59:5, 21; 62:20; 65:19; 67:18; 69:25; 72:18; 75:16; 76:24; 80:11; 82:2; 84:16, 21; 85:2; 86:17, 20; 88:5, 14; 89:8; 92:10; 93:2, 12; 100:16; 101:16; 104:2; 109:23; 113:23; 116:10; 120:18; 123:7; 127:7, 23; 135:3, 20; 136:20; 137:5, 10; 138:6; 139:18, 23; 143:10, 23; 144:18; 145:5; 146:19; 147:14, 21; 149:17, 21; 150:17; 151:14; 152:8; 153:4, 10, 17, 21; 154:3, 11, 25; 155:7 Cahill [1] 33:18 calculate [2] 56:2; 57:16 calculated [2] 58:6; 59:23 calculation [1] 57:24 California [1]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

www.ellengrauer.com

boats [2]

24:21; 122:8

From awakening to call

130:16

call [2]

20.02.447.02
29:23; 147:23
calling [1]
102:19
calls [2]
111:17; 138:1 <b>9</b>
calmed [1]
17:21
campaign [1]
71:5
Campbell [4]
100:17, 18; 105:7, 22
Canadians [1]
64:15
cancer [4]
11:17; 12:21; 43:20, 22
candidates [1]
98:8
cap [2]
64:23; 78:9
capitalism [1]
102:8
capitalist [2]
102:10; 118:22
carcinogens [3]
11:18; 34:21; 43:21
card [1]
4:7
care [17]
20:7, 11; 33:15; 68:7; 85:14
87:17; 104:11, 15, 21, 23;
105:5; 113:22; 129:15, 16;
139:10, 17, 24
careful [1]
79:12
Carol [2]
32:6, 9
Carolina [1]
41:7
Carolla [2]
114:2; 117:20
carry [1]
102:6
cartons [1]
138:11
case [6]
58:9; 69:22; 71:11; 91:13;
104:19; 156:10
catch [6]
13:18, 19; 43:2; 66:8; 75:14
caught [6]
9:21; 40:25; 99:12; 102:25;
122:21; 150:14
caused [1]
62:8
cease [1]
61:24
cell [1]
48:23
century [1]
96:13
namerical a fet

70:22 certified [1] 134:21 certify [1] 156:7 cetera [7] 25:4; 26:8; 27:24; 54:6; 61:20; 76:2; 151:21 chain [3] 41:12; 82:24; 92:18 chair [1] 81:20 chairs [1] 118:5 challenging [1] 132:25 chance [2] 76:14; 101:7 change [4] 32:4; 53:5; 62:6; 97:18 changed [6] 18:14; 77:15, 17, 19; 103:18; 138:22 changing [1] 99:20 Channel [1] channel [1] 69:18 channels [4] 22:25; 23:6; 24:22; 96:12 Chapter [5] 9:2; 49:12; 68:2; 80:21; 135:25 charged [1] 108:8 Charles [3] 47:6; 48:25; 140:17 chart [2] 15:17; 16:8 charts [2] 16:20, 21 chastised [1] 102:12 Chemical [1] 138:4 chemical [1] 72:16 chemist [2] 52:4, 5 chew [1] 83:7 chicken [1] 101:20 chickens [1] 41:18 child [1] 13:13 children [4] 13:14; 38:18; 91:19; 103:2

chloride [1]

84:14 chlorination [1] 83:22 chlorine [1] 84:13 chlorines [1] 15:21 Christine [1] 32:8 churned [1] 91:25 Cindy [3] 80:14; 95:24; 111:17 cite [2] 7:10; 113:24 cited [3] 59:24: 64:2, 10 citizen [1] 53:20 citizenry [1] 46:11 citizens [5] 51:14; 102:21; 103:12, 16, 20 City [2] 70:18; 114:10 claim [1] 46:16 claims [4] 45:17, 25; 51:5; 114:18 clam [4] 74:18; 75:6; 78:16; 106:19 clarify [3] 46:20; 90:10; 123:8 class [2] 30:15; 112:18 clay [1] 66:10 Clean [6] 1:6; 19:21; 94:20; 95:25; 97:16; 107:23 clean [39] 2:10; 18:20, 22; 34:2, 4; 36:15; 37:3; 41:25; 61:25; 72:7; 81:17; 83:4; 95:19; 98:17, 23; 106:10; 110:18; 113:17; 115:22; 117:13, 15; 118:20; 119:2, 11; 120:4, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15; 121:18; 122:4, 9; 128:21, 23; 136:10, 12, 16, 18 cleaned [12] 19:24; 30:17; 36:17; 40:19, 20; 47:25; 50:9; 53:25; 99:16, 17; 129:3 cleaner [7] 19:15; 35:6; 37:5; 55:2, 19; 141:9; 145:24 cleaning [9] 18:22; 31:25; 35:5; 37:8; 69:21; 83:3; 106:11; 109:4;

126:20 cleansing [2] 51:6, 7 cleanup [21] 30:8; 32:8, 11; 34:8, 10; 36:25; 37:9, 19; 46:3; 51:19; 68:7, 8; 71:5; 98:12, 13, 24; 101:23; 115:18; 132:16; 140:25; 141:6 cleanups [1] 132:6 clear [4] 3:18; 43:25; 135:14; 136:24 Clearwater [4] 114:7, 23; 116:6; 152:25 Cieveland [1] 121:24 Cliffside [2] 68:2; 101:14 clipping [1] 115:12 clog [1] 24:18 closed [1] 69:16 closes [1] 138:8 Club [1] 110:15 Clubs [1] 39:22 clubs [2] 69:10, 15 coalition [1] 96:2 coarse [1] 145:15 Coast [2] 39:20; 107:23 coast [9] 40:14, 24; 41:2, 12; 50:7, 15, 20; 111:19; 130:16 coasts [2] 96:5; 111:25 cobbles [1] 61:20 coincidentally [1] 12:23 collapsed [1] 17:16 collectively [1] 109:13 collects [1] 142:17 color [1] 24:12 column [5] 11:13; 16:11, 13; 17:18; 146:2 95:3; 102:11; 109:8 compelling [1] combination [3] 26:17; 129:7; 153:6 34:2

coming [15] 2:3; 6:19; 16:2; 17:7; 19:10; 20:25; 21:2; 27:22; 42:18; 71:15; 94:7; 99:22; 104:12; 110:22; 149:25 commend [1] 132:19 comment [36] 2:22; 3:2, 8, 14; 4:4, 5, 6, 18, 19; 5:5; 13:3; 30:11; 43:15; 53:10; 59:9; 77:22; 92:10; 109:24; 114:24; 116:4, 8; 123:15, 16; 128:6; 131:23, 25; 132:5; 135:6, 9; 137:7; 138:7, 23, 25; 139:6 commentors [1] 47:5 comments [13] 3:9, 20, 23; 4:10; 5:18; 6:25; 76:25: 127:8: 136:21: 138:10, 11, 17; 153:13 Commerce [1] 45:23 commerce [1] 142:12 commercial [2] 87:4; 150:12 commissioned [1] Commissioner [1] commitment [1] 37:14 committed [1] 37:11 Committee [1] 55:21 common [6] 36:21; 45:6; 63:11; 113:16; 119:16, 17 commons [1] 45:3 communities [10] 27:18; 31:3; 61:4, 6; 70:14; 71:8, 17, 21; 75:24; 76:12 Community [2] 1:15; 2:5 community [4] 60:15, 23; 131:11; 135:18 community's [1] 135:10 Companies [1] 34:3 companies [3] 36:16; 91:8; 132:15 COMPANY [1] 1:24 company [3]

From calling to compelling

century's [1]

www.ellengrauer.com

**Ellen Grauer Court Reporting** (212) 750-6434

compensate [1] 46:6 complete [1] 32:25 completely [2] 126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	consider [8] 8:14; 22:22; 23:17; 40:24; 85:13; 116:2; 124:9; 137:2 consideration [2] 97:11; 134:5 considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1] 113:15	8:20; 9:6, 10; 11:13; 16:19; 18:7; 23:4, 24; 25:13, 14; 71:13; 109:5 contaminations [2] 68:25; 69:13 Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5 continuing [2]	corrected [1] 75:18 corresponding [1] 30:8 cost [11] 28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	criminal [3] 119:13, 14; 139:12 crippling [1] 70:21 critical [3] 34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5 crying [1]
complete [1] 32:25 completely [2] 126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	85:13; 116:2; 124:9; 137:2 consideration [2] 97:11; 134:5 considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	71:13; 109:5 contaminations [2] 68:25; 69:13 Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	corresponding [1] 30:8 cost [11] 28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	crippling [1] 70:21 critical [3] 34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
32:25 completely [2] 126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	85:13; 116:2; 124:9; 137:2 consideration [2] 97:11; 134:5 considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	contaminations [2] 68:25; 69:13 Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	30:8 cost [11] 28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	70:21 critical [3] 34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
32:25 completely [2] 126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	consideration [2] 97:11; 134:5 considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	contaminations [2] 68:25; 69:13 Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	30:8 cost [11] 28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	70:21 critical [3] 34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
completely [2] 126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	97:11; 134:5 considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	68:25; 69:13 Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	cost [11] 28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
126:20; 150:2 compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	considerations [1] 126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	Contingency [2] 131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	28:3; 46:5; 85:13, 15, 19; 110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	34:13; 40:19; 50:8 Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
compounds [1] 96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	126:25 considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	131:19; 133:16 continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	110:4, 8, 11; 147:3, 9; 152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	Cronin [1] 107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
96:20 comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	considered [4] 38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	continue [9] 13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	152:15 costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	107:12 crowd [1] 59:14 cry [1] 102:5
comprehend [1] 136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	38:15; 84:3; 125:22; 153:3 Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	13:10; 29:25; 40:9; 45:15; 49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	costing [3] 25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	crowd [1]   59:14   cry [1]   102:5
136:6 comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	Considering [1] 68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	49:9, 25; 50:5; 70:8; 86:11 continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	25:21; 86:21, 25 costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	59:14 <b>cry</b> [1] 102:5
comprehensive [1] 41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	68:13 considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	continued [1] 69:6 continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	costly [1] 85:13 costs [5]	cry [1] 102:5
41:25 concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	considering [1] 68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	69:6 <b>continues</b> [2] 32:9; 71:5	85:13 costs [5]	102:5
concentrated [5] 8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	68:11 consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	continues [2] 32:9; 71:5	costs [5]	
8:18; 22:5, 9, 14; 66:15 concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	consistent [2] 131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]	32:9; 71:5		
concentration [11] 10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	131:13, 18 conspiracy [1]		1 CO.D. DE.44. 400.47 40.	124:25
10:14; 82:8, 25; 84:15; 92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11	conspiracy [1]		68:8; 85:14; 109:17, 19;	CSR [2]
92:21, 24; 93:4; 141:12; 145:22; 151:3, 11			140:11	1
145:22; 151:3, 11		35:24; 146:18	Council [2]	1:20; 156:19
		contrary [1]	7:9; 30:4	CT [1]
	constant [1]	36:21	council [1]	102:23
concentrations [6]	59:3	contribute [1]	31:21	cubic [5]
82:21: 84:2: 141:16: 143:8:	constituents [1]	62:23	Councilman [2]	21:23; 22:6, 14, 21, 24
145:14; 149:9	141:21	contributed [2]	6:14; 29:20	cuff [1]
concern [4]	constitutes [1]	54:22; 60:3	Counsel [1]	52:15
25:18; 27:17; 76:15; 81:4	3:22	Control [1]	1:17	culprit [1]
concerned [11]	constrained [1]	86:24	country [5]	109:19
53:20, 25; 59:15; 67:4;	132:23	control [8]	8:4; 34:5; 64:6; 68:20;	culture [2]
72:12; 73:17; 100:22;	construction [3]	19:16; 20:5, 14; 35:24;	113:19	70:23; 99:24
102:20; 111:10; 129:8;	26:2, 15; 73:13	54:18; 89:22; 148:14;	country's [1]	cultures [3]
154:23	consultant [1]	149:24	94:21	141:25; 142:20; 143:3
concerns [2]	57:2	controlled [3]	County [3]	cure-all [1]
75:25; 135:16	consultants [1]	21:9; 76:11; 82:7	30:5; 107:23; 117:23	67:6
conclusion [4]	85:25	controls [1]	couple [11]	current [1]
33:16; 41:23; 43:4; 104:13	consulting [1]	142:12	6:8; 22:20; 23:21; 29:16;	71:3
condition [1]	57:2	controversial [1]	76:25; 79:8; 118:10; 119:22;	Currently [2]
124:7	consumer [2]	132:6	127:7; 137:11; 154:14	108:24; 141:14
conditions [3]	148:2, 5	controversy [1]	course [15]	currently [3]
66:20; 97:16, 22	consumes [1]	93:16	2:17; 7:22; 9:23; 11:14;	60:2; 130:5; 154:23
conduct [2]	41:14	coolers [1]	13:22; 26:22; 28:21, 25;	curtain [2]
98:12; 144:5	consumption [8]	122:14	63:2; 64:18; 71:3; 82:24;	61:18; 67:5
conducted [3]	13:11, 23; 28:22; 29:5;	cooperatively [1]	84:17; 85:23; 138:23	curtains [1]
96:22; 97:23; 98:13	40:16; 50:4; 97:6; 147:16	89:17	COURT [1]	67:6
confident [3]	contact [2]	Coordinator [1]	1:24	customize [1]
28:11, 15; 127:24	92:16; 118:7	2:5	Court [2]	116:7
confuse [2]	contain [2]	Corado [1]	60:18; 94:20	cut [1]
32:3; 95:9	141:15; 143:7	6:11	court [1]	36:6
confused [1]	Contaminant [1]	core [1]	94:22	cycles [1]
17:11	96:24	103:13	courtesy [1]	136:9
congratulate [2]	contaminants [3]	cores [2]	101:17	130.9
			1	**D**
37:13; 41:24	34:4; 47:23; 48:22	19:3, 5	covered [1]	
Congress [6]	Contaminated [1]	coring [1]	67:16	daddy [1]
12:11; 33:23; 44:17, 19;	1:18	19:3	covering [2]	116:17
122:5; 132:17	contaminated [20]	corporate [2]	18:23; 106:16	daily [1]
	2:10; 18:19; 23:2; 33:6, 9,	95:4; 108:23	crabbed [1]	153:24
6:12	10, 11; 35:2, 20; 39:11;	Corporation [1]	30:25	dairy [1]
	41:13, 14; 72:13; 84:3;	51:4	Craig [4]	60:14
	88:11, 12; 91:18; 98:3;	corporation [6]	55:8; 67:20; 70:2; 72:18	Dam [11]
	130:14; 145:24	31:23; 98:11; 109:8, 12;	crap [1]	10:4, 18, 25; 13:16; 15:4;
27:8	contaminating [1]	118:25; 119:2	117:3	19:9, 24; 22:11; 28:25; 36:7;
Conservation [1]	68:16	corporations [2]	creating [1]	19:9, 24; 22:11; 28:25; 30:7; 53:14
33:17	Contamination [1]	70:12; 118:23	67:13	ł .
conservationists [1]	1:6	Corps [1]	cries [1]	damage [5] 45:24; 46:16; 48:16; 117:5
40:18	contamination [12]	108:6	94:24	damages [2]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

www.ellengrauer.com

From compensate to damages

door [1]

#### 3/7/01

BSA
45:17; 46:21
damn [7]
4:23; 22:3; 52:17; 57:21;
71:16; 80:8; 91:11
dangerous [1]
.41:22
dangers [2]
100:4, 8
data [4]
37:16; 57:7; 95:8; 121:7
day [11]
20:25; 21:4; 48:9; 52:19;
53:13; 73:14; 79:25; 96:8;
112:20; 136:17; 147:10
days [3]
13:5; 44:15, 16
DC [1]
44:15
deadline [1]
138:22
deal [9]
20:9; 23:23; 29:4; 60:6;
63:19; 71:25; 85:22; 93:15;
154:21
dealing [3]
23:9; 47:3; 49:21
deals [2]
7:7; 138:16
dealt [2]
26:2, 12
debate [1]
152:20
debris [5]
61:19, 22, 25; 63:14, 21
DEC [4]
34:14; 37:14; 75:12; 150:11
decades [1]
34:9
December [3]
37:12; 56:20; 114:19
decent [1]
22:15
dechlorinated [1]
83:17
dechlorination [1]
18:8
decided [2]
26:8, 25
20.0, 20 Decision (0)
Decision [6]
73:2; 77:4; 104:9, 18;
138:19, 20
decision [18]
2:24; 3:25; 8:5; 33:5, 23;
40:7; 44:9; 47:16; 49:23;
60:19; 74:5; 104:10, 14;
123:25; 129:14, 18; 140:2;
147:9
declared [1]
decline [1]
17:6

declining [1]
75:10
decontamination [1] 98:4
decrease [2]
36:4; 74:21
decreased [1]
decreases [1]
58:22
dedicated [2] 96:3; 107:20
default [1]
45:15
defend [1] 108:3
defending [1]
108:18
defense [1]
67:7   deficiencies [1]
12:6
defined [1]
134:18 degradation [2]
83:12, 19
degrade [1]
82:7 delay [1]
110:23
delayed [1]
37:10 delays [3]
40:2; 49:17; 62:8
deliver [1]
37:18   demands [1]
37:5
demographics [1]
99:21 Demola [2]
114:2; 124:3
demolishing [1]
84:12 demonstrate [1]
74:14
DENTE [1]
156:19   Dente [1]
1:20
DEP [1]
108:6
Department [7] 14:10, 11; 33:17, 20; 45:22;
55:15
depend [1] 99:24
depending [2]
148:7, 13
depends [2]
78:25; 89:20

3/7/01
deposited [1]
81:9 <b>Deputy [</b> 1]
1:15
<b>dermal [1]</b> 92:16
deserted [1] 43:7
design [3]
26:13; 28:7; 73:12 desk [1]
115:10
despite [1] 30:13
destroy [4]
84:17; 85:9, 20; 88:18 <b>destroying</b> [2]
70:21; 136:8 details [2]
7:20; 57:6
determinations [1] 34:14
determined [1]
133:21 detriment [2]
45:5, 9
detrimental [1] 74:15
devastating [4] 60:20; 74:7; 77:5, 8
devastation [1]
77:15 <b>developed</b> [2]
56:25; 57:7
<b>developing</b> [1] 93:22
dewater [2]
26:7; 76:7 <b>dewatered [1]</b>
79:24 dewatering [5]
26:4; 78:23, 25; 79:14, 19
<b>dialogue</b> [1] 132:9
Diamond [1]
48:3 <b>difference</b> [6]
21:6; 52:21; 68:9; 145:23; 146:25
differently [2]
36:20; 134:18 difficult [6]
99:10; 127:15; 132:7, 22;
133:25; 148:13 dig [1]
91:11
direction [2] 97:15; 112:17
directive [1] 109:2
Director (8)

1:15; 32:6; 49:2; 94:4; 95:25; 110:14; 114:6; 117:21 dirty [1] 18:23 disadvantages [2] 27:5; 153:11 disagree [1] 132:8 disappointed [3] 12:16; 139:9, 15 discharge [19] 15:6, 7; 82:11, 16, 17; 89:3, 5, 11; 90:5, 15, 16; 128:24, 25; 129:2; 153:19; 154:9, 24; 155:9, 11 discharged [1] 128:14 discharges [8] 35:10, 24; 36:18, 22; 90:11; 128:7; 151:15, 22 discharging [2] 128:7: 155:2 discovered [4] 10:9; 18:11; 44:17; 83:9 discuss [1] 52:10 discussing [1] 9:24 discussions [1] 150:18 disease [2] 12:5; 103:3 dispersal [2] 24:14, 25 disposal [2] 25:13; 48:21 disruption [1] 125:25 dissipated [1] 60:7 divide [2] 52:20: 115:19 divided [1] 10:2 dividing [2] 71:8, 21 document [2] 62:16; 73:2 documented [1] 107:11 documents [3] 5:10; 56:15; 62:14 doesn't [9] 9:7; 19:25; 45:11; 47:18; 51:10; 68:9; 71:17; 104:25; 140:9 dollars [4] 32:3; 46:2, 4; 95:8 dominion [2] 109:10, 15

```
64:17
doors [1]
101:12
dot [8]
4:19, 20, 21; 5:6, 7, 13;
doubling [1]
57:20
DOUG [1]
1:16
Doug [15]
4:11; 7:8, 10; 11:8; 14:16;
15:7; 24:11; 55:3; 56:7;
59:5, 22; 65:15; 67:19;
146:23; 152:5
DOUGLAS [1]
1:17
downstate [1]
32:12
downstream [5]
53:5; 96:7, 8; 97:25; 149:25
Dr [3]
80:13; 81:19
dragging [1]
44:5
dramatically [4]
36:4; 60:24; 61:5; 103:18
Dredge [1]
84:24
dredge [17]
27:23, 25; 33:5; 47:16;
59:19; 61:14; 66:7; 67:9;
69:4, 12, 17; 84:23; 106:24,
25; 111:15; 113:4; 127:11
dredged [5]
23:7, 21; 47:24; 64:22;
96:14
dredgers [1]
137:21
dredges [2]
25:3; 56:13
Dredging [3]
62:17; 63:11; 103:19
dredging [67]
15:10; 21:22; 22:5, 7, 15;
23:9, 10, 14, 18; 26:7, 10;
27:5, 9; 35:21; 44:10, 12;
46:5; 48:15; 53:4; 55:19;
56:9; 60:5, 12; 61:8, 12, 16,
23; 62:5, 7, 11; 63:6; 64:5;
65:24; 71:14; 74:6, 13, 19,
23; 75:5; 76:22; 77:6, 7, 24;
78:18, 20, 21; 79:2, 6, 21;
86:14; 90:21; 102:6, 19, 20;
103:17; 106:18, 19; 110:17;
111:11; 125:18; 136:25;
144:23; 145:14; 153:3, 5, 8
Drexel [3]
114:2; 120:18, 20
drink [2]
```

From damn to drink

www.ellengrauer.com

Director [8]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

established [3]

92:23; 110:21
drinking [1]
112:24
drop [1]
14:24
drove [2]
126:22; 129:23
Drug [1]
142:11
dry [1]
102:2
due [7]
62:3; 68:24; 69:11, 16, 18
141:11
dump [3]
111:17; 119:7; 125:3
dumped [3]
87:24; 96:14; 117:12
dumping [3]
111:23; 119:23; 126:15
dumps [1]
111:22
**E**

e-mail [1] 5:5 E.E. [1] 51:23 eagle [1] 136:2 early [7] 14:19; 16:23; 60:13; 69:16; 83:16; 131:3; 135:10 earth [1] 109:11 easier [2] 66:9; 104:23 easily [1] 114:24 East [1] 1:24 east [5] 40:14; 41:2; 50:7, 15, 20 easy [2] 91:14: 152:17 eat [13] 9:17; 13:15, 17; 29:8; 100:11; 116:18; 125:13; 141:25; 142:2, 23; 143:4; 149:15 eaten [2] 38:19, 20 eating [12] 14:7, 14; 35:2; 99:13; 100:4; 110:7; 116:22; 122:15, 16; 141:22, 23; 142:22 echos [1] 12:20 ecological [3]

77:5, 7, 14 economic [1] economically [2] 51:13; 88:20 economy [1] 111:14 ecosystem-wide [1] 96:12 Edge [1] 105:24 Edgewater [2] 31:3; 114:10 educator [1] 99:6 Edward [9] 15:4; 20:10; 35:16; 55:16; 61:7; 90:12; 120:15; 124:6, effect [3] 92:2, 3; 103:19 effective [8] 11:16; 63:23; 71:7, 20; 85:15; 90:3; 110:4, 11 effectively [2] 72:6; 147:7 effects [4] 12:3, 22; 110:5; 111:5 effort [9] 22:5; 24:9; 26:24; 33:19; 90:23; 91:6; 95:9; 102:22; 103:21 efforts [4] 53:24; 54:7; 98:17; 136:13 eggs [2] 141:13; 142:3 eight [2] 64:25; 80:25 eighties [1] 60:13 Eisenbach [2] 47:7; 51:23 elected [1] 120:12 Electric [36] 15:5; 20:6; 31:15, 18; 32:15; 48:19; 51:3, 5, 13; 64:2; 65:4; 68:14, 16; 69:20; 72:21; 73:3, 7, 10, 11; 89:9; 90:10: 95:3; 109:11; 114:18; 115:5, 17; 123:15, 23: 139:13: 140:5, 7, 11, 20: 141:4; 143:21; 151:16 Electric's [1]

Elliot [5] 6:18; 32:21; 47:7; 51:23; 53:10 else's [1] 104:16 elsewhere [1] 14:6 emission [1] 15:6 emotions [1] 120:24 enabled [1] 54:20 encapsulated [1] 64:23 encompass [1] encounter [1] 62:25 encountering [1] 61:15 encourage [1] 114:13 end [7] 6:3; 32:13; 42:21; 103:20; 129:12; 136;20; 147:9 ended [1] 15:10 endocrine [1] 34:20 endorse [3] 45:19; 46:8; 122:18 enemy [1] 119:17 enforce [2] 95:19; 98:21 engineer [1] 129:25 engineered [1] 83:13 Engineers [1] 108:6 enjoy [2] 75:19; 116:22 enjoyed [1] 133:7 enormous [1] 70:13 enter [1] 4:9 entitled [1] 96:24 entity [3] 70:20; 98:14; 102:2 entrails [1] 142:3 entrusted [1] 95:16 envelope [1]

44:5; 58:19; 74:2; 94:23; 98:18, 20; 132:21; 136:5 ENVIRONMENTAL [1] Environmental [7] 1:16; 11:22; 33:17; 55:21; 91:4; 94:4; 114:6 environmental [8] 61:12; 78:18; 98:20; 107:20; 112:3; 129:25; 132:16; 138:2 environmentalists [1] 40.17 environmentally [3] 27:8; 42:6; 74:7 **EPA** [81] 1:13, 15; 2:9, 23, 4:12, 21; 5:7, 13; 11:19, 21; 32:6, 10, 14, 23; 33:13; 34:17; 35:11; 36:13; 37:11, 13, 14; 40:2; 41:24; 43:3, 14; 44:6; 45:21; 46:12, 22; 47:17; 48:6; 49:5, 14; 52:11, 14; 53:7, 24; 54:4; 60:24; 61:10, 17, 24; 62:5, 6, 17; 64:4; 70:5, 17; 74:5, 11; 80:18; 87:17; 90:22; 92:7; 94:12, 17; 95:2, 14; 97:12, 19; 98:4; 103:23; 106:8; 108:6, 25; 109:6; 116:7, 20; 117:8, 25; 124:9; 125:24; 130:9; 131:21; 132:12, 21; 133:4; 136:12; 137:23 EPA's [14] 1:5; 33:5, 21; 34:12, 16; 44:17; 45:19; 47:15; 55:21; 60:18; 62:13, 14; 72:11; 109:2 epitome [1] 102:9 equal [2] 31:14; 123:22 equally [2] 63:10; 140:22 equipment [3] 24:14, 24; 76:2 equitable [1] 103:22 equivalent [1] 119:7 equivalents [1] 36:19 essential [1] 148:19 Essentially [1] 17:3 essentially [6] 15:22; 16:10, 21; 17:5, 23; 35:18 establish [1]

91:4; 93:25; 133:15 estimate [2] 151:15, 19 estimated [2] 9:9; 115:5 estuaries [2] 41:10; 108:17 estuary [7] 40:11, 13, 19; 49:11; 50:3, 6.8 et [7] 25:4; 26:7; 27:24; 54:6; 61:20; 75:25; 151:21 ethically [1] 109:3 Eugene [2] 6:16; 29:21 Europe [1] 112:10 evaluate [1] 74:12 evaluated [1] 149:11 evaluating [1] 77:23 Evan [1] 6:11 Evangelista [2] 55:10: 80:19 evening [8] 2:3, 13; 6:15; 55:13, 22; 80:16; 99:3; 124:4 event [5] 12:19; 18:4; 21:20; 25:25; 60:4 eventually [1] 93:9 Everett [1] 60:11 everybody [3] 42:18; 47:9; 132:19 Everyone's [1] 12:12 everything's [2] 39:11; 125:17 evidence [5] 18:17; 34:12; 40:5; 49:21; 119:23 evident [1] 94:21 evolved [2] 102:4; 107:9 exact [1] 121:9 exactly [7] 2:18; 26:3; 52:20; 79:3; 103:5; 119:13; 141:17 example [1] 149:13 exceed [3]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

60:21; 70:25; 77:11

ecologically [3]

117:2

97:2

1:24

elevated [1]

eliminate [2]

20:20; 29:10

ELLEN [1]

www.ellengrauer.com

environment [8]

93:11

From drinking to exceed

BSA
F 04: 444.40: 454.7
5:24; 114:16; 154:7
exceeded [1]
62:10
exceeding [2]
153:19, 25
exceeds [1]
91:3
excellent [1]
5:12
except [2]
64:21; 138:22
excluded [1]
46:23
Excuse [1]
133:10
excuse [1]
101:25
Executive [1]
95:25
executive [2]
12:9; 13:8
executives [2]
48:18; 103:7
exemption [1]
134:15
exhaustive [1]
5:12
exhibited [2]
33:15; 95:3
exist [1]
88:6
exorbitant [1]
122:7
expect [10]
58:7; 59:25; 62:25; 63:20,
21; 66:20; 78:13; 138:12,
21; 147:15
expeditiously [1]
137:17
expended [2]
33:20; 35:8
experience [7]
131:5, 13; 132:10; 134:9,
13, 19; 135:2
experienced [2]
67:14; 135:8
expert [1]
98:14
experts [2]
8:10; 28:10
explain [1]
152:17
Export [1]
129:22
export [1]
112:9
exposed [3]
33:10; 100:4; 147:24
exposure [4]
92:15; 93:8; 145:19; 148:3
exposures [1]

```
92:14
expound [1]
92:12
expressed [1]
25:17
extend [1]
138:24
extended [1]
138:23
extensive [6]
8:3; 34:11; 85:24, 25; 110:3;
143:12
extensively [1]
11:3
extent [2]
27:19; 109:7
extra [1]
85:18
extreme [1]
126:3
extremely [1]
133:25
Exxon [i]
70:18
eye [1]
5:19
```

\* \* F \* \* face [4] 61:2, 4: 95:4 facilities [13] 15:8; 19:23; 25:22; 26:5; 78:24, 25; 79:10, 20; 85:16; 86:21; 88:6, 15 facility [12] 17:15; 79:14; 80:3; 85:3, 6; 86:25; 87:7, 9; 90:12; 131:3; 148:15: 155:10 fact [15] 9:18; 14:9; 26:8; 30:14, 16, 22; 31:22; 68:24; 69:17; 74:20; 90:6; 96:18; 109:6; 150:10; 151:6 fact-finding [1] 126:5 factor [1] 151:4 factories [1] 52:6 factory [1] 52:6 facts [1] 53:17 fail [2] 140:23; 141:2 failed [1] 29:3 failures [2]

61:18; 67:5

101:10, 11

fair [2]

fairly [6] 58:15, 18; 66:3, 14; 145:15 fairness [2] 37:5; 101:10 Fairview [3] 81:2; 101:14; 114:10 fall [1] 58:24 fallacies [1] 31:19 falling [1] 58:23 Falls [11] 17:15; 20:8, 10; 21:2; 33:8; 35:16, 25: 58:10: 71:24: 87:3, 22 false [1] 114:17 familiar [1] 59:7 families [2] 81:21; 100:2 family [1] 108:21 fancypants [1] 52:3 farm [1] 60:14 farming [1] 60:15 fast [3] 4:23; 126:11; 127:17 fastest [1] 42:3 fat [1] 82:22 favor [3] 32:7, 10; 81:6 FDA [1] 142:10 fear [2] 102:18; 131:14 Feasibility [1] 74:12 feasibility [3] 2:23; 20:18; 55:24 feasible [2] 84:6; 88:21 features [1] 2:15 fed [1] 41:18 Federal [3] 36:18; 48:19; 132:18 federal [1] 35:10 Federation [2] 39:22: 73:23 feed [1]

51:16; 61:10; 65:10; 87:14, 15; 101:20; 121:3; 122:20, 21; 123:2; 139:12; 151:4; 153:7 felt [3] 65:8; 120:23; 144:2 fiasco [1] 109:20 Field [1] 43:18 field [2] 48:9; 83:15 fifteen [4] 10:19, 21; 13:15; 76:19 fifty [1] 83:3 fifty-eight [1] 80:24 fifty-two [1] 115:14 fighting [1] 55:18 fights [1] 133:4 figure [2] 56:7; 58:2 figures [1] 52:20 FIKE [1] 117:22 filet [1] 142:16 filets [4] 141:15; 142:2, 13, 24 filtering [1] 92:22 financial [1] 141:5 financially [2] 103:7; 143:22 find [6] 5:12; 57:5; 62:5; 104:17; 146:16, 24 findings [1] 36:13 finds [1] 66:4 Fine [1] 53:2 fine [4] 18:25; 62:23; 66:11; 154:5 fined [2] 153:19, 24 finish [2] 46:8; 137:25 fire [1] 122:2 firms [1] 117:2 First [5] 7:4; 43:14; 77:9; 79:19;

80:17 first [27] 8:14, 22; 10:3; 15:25; 21:25; 29:20; 37:21; 41:4; 42:17; 50:18; 54:25; 55:23; 57:19; 77:22; 81:10, 23; 84:25; 90:23; 94:6; 113:4; 115:18; 121:2, 13; 131:5; 134:9; 143:18; 145:6 FISCHER [6] 1:17; 90:9; 133:10; 134:14, 20: 149:3 Fischer [1] 7:8 Fish [2] 33:7: 150:15 fish [87] 9:16, 17, 21; 11:14; 13:11, 15, 17, 22; 14:7, 12, 13; 16:18, 19, 21; 17:19; 20:3; 28:21, 22; 29:5, 8; 34:23; 35:2, 13, 19; 38:18, 19, 20; 39:4, 10; 40:10, 15, 17; 41:15, 19; 42:25; 45:8, 9; 49:11, 25; 50:5, 23; 57:17; 58:7, 15; 59:15; 61:17; 74:21; 82:23; 91:17; 93:10; 94:16; 99:12; 100:4, 11; 107:21; 110:6, 7; 116:15, 18, 23; 119:20; 121:21; 122:8, 14, 17; 125:13; 141:9, 10, 18, 24; 142:6, 13, 23; 143:6; 145:13, 17, 19; 146:16; 147:12, 15; 148:4, 5, 20; 149:9; 150:14, 25 fished [2] 30:25; 38:17 fisheries [2] 111:7; 118:15 fisherman [4] 45:4, 7; 53:21; 90:20 Fishermen [1] 106;3 fishermen [9] 14:8; 40:18; 45:6; 50:8; 70:7; 94:14, 15; 103:24; 107:18 Fishermen's [13] 8:25; 38:9; 49:3, 13; 67:25; 80:20; 90:19; 94:5; 95:23; 116:14: 120:21: 140:18: 150:8 Fishery [1] 96:23 fishery [4] 74:16; 76:22; 118:14; 150:13 fishes [1] 45:4 Fishing [2]

100:2

feei [14]

\* \* G \* \*

BOA
•
53:22; 74:3
fishing [14]
44:3; 46:24; 70:22; 74:23;
75:14, 20, 22; 80:23, 24;
99:25; 105:18; 106:6;
112:15; 124:24
fishmeal [1]
41:18
Five [1]
80:7
five [16]
7:14; 8:9; 10:25; 28:12;
29:23; 37:21; 41:3; 47:5;
50:18; 51:25; 55:7; 80:12;
100:16; 114:8; 115:19
five-year [2]
28:7; 127:25
fix [2]
117:6; 133:2
fixing [1]
132:17
flat [1]
57:22
flats [2]
69:6; 121:24
fleet [1]
30:20
flesh [1]
82:22
flow [1]
36:6
flow-through [1]
79:20
flowing [1]
52:17
flows [1]
108:19
focus [2]
74:22; 75:23
folks [18]
7:5, 14; 9:12; 19:12; 25:11;
27:16; 28:9; 29:16, 23; 42:9,
11; 110:7; 117:25; 118:3;
119:15; 120:10, 16; 137:7
follow [3]
13:11, 25; 107:15
followed [1]
143:2
following [3]
61:13; 74:10; 97:21
Food [1]
142:11
food [4]
41:11; 68:17; 82:24; 92:18
foot [2]
()
23:20; 81:13
23:20; 81:13 footing [2]
23:20; 81:13 footing [2] 31:15; 98:10
23:20; 81:13 footing [2] 31:15; 98:10 footprints [1]
23:20; 81:13 footing [2] 31:15; 98:10
23:20; 81:13 footing [2] 31:15; 98:10 footprints [1]

```
91:22
force [2]
106:9; 145:25
Ford [1]
90:12
foreign [2]
68:15: 143:3
forever [3]
60:8; 91:18; 103:17
forget [4]
89:4; 143:17; 150:25;
151:13
form [1]
21:19
former [4]
32:6; 109:18; 118:5; 132:14
formulating [1]
52:5
Fort [21]
6:15; 15:4; 20:10; 30:3; 12;
31:2, 10, 12, 17; 32:14;
35:16; 52:24; 55:15; 60:10;
61:7; 81:20; 101:13; 114:11;
120:15; 124:6, 8
forth [6]
18:11, 21; 116:25; 123:17;
125:20: 131:10
forty [2]
10:9, 21
forward [7]
46:15; 73:18; 110:18; 113:2,
4; 137:18, 24
fought [1]
112:6
found [9]
2:16; 10:8; 18:16; 19:2, 6;
35:11; 96:18; 97:2; 131:21
four [11]
7:14; 16:21; 34:13, 15;
35:21; 44:15; 51:25; 57:23;
100:5; 113:25; 114:3
Fox [2]
56:19; 66:15
foxes [1]
101:20
frame [1]
137:18
Freeholder [1]
30:5
Friends [1]
152:25
friends [1]
9:13
front [2]
119:8; 149:6
frontier [1]
139:2
fruits [1]
116:22
full [3]
```

51:22; 115:10; 138:11

```
full-page [2]
115:7, 9
fullest [1]
109:7
fully [4]
21:10; 39:22; 44:10; 49:13
Fulton [1]
150:15
fun [1]
128:22
fund [3]
86:5; 100:24; 140:9
funded [2]
19:22; 88:25
funds [2]
131:22; 139:14
future [4]
91:20; 113:11; 141:3;
148:12
gain [3]
19:4; 110:8; 147:2
game [1]
31:16
garbage [1]
113:18
gas [1]
125:4
gasoline [1]
125:3
gate [1]
17:16
gather [1]
37:15
gathering [1]
64:7
gave [2]
105:22; 109:12
GE [75]
17:15; 30:20; 31:22; 35:15;
36:15, 20, 25; 37:6; 43:5, 9,
24: 44:11: 45:7, 12, 14, 25:
47:18; 48:4, 15; 53:2; 64:9;
68:10; 70:12, 17; 71:20, 22;
72:3, 15; 81:13; 83:14;
91:14; 95:6, 11; 96:8, 17;
98:9, 11, 24; 100:23, 24;
101:3, 4, 5; 102:2, 11, 12,
22, 23; 103:2, 7, 11, 13, 23;
104:6, 7; 105:6; 106:9;
109:3, 20; 111:20; 119:3, 6,
13; 125:24; 126:15; 128:7,
20; 136:6, 11; 148:15;
149:24; 153:18; 154:24
GE's [10]
36:22; 46:7; 48:7; 70:8;
```

```
Gene [1]
32:19
General's [2]
6:17; 48:13
generated [1]
129:11
generation [2]
28:23; 147:17
generations [4]
40:11; 50:3; 91:20; 113:11
generic [1]
12:16
gentle [1]
5:23
geochemistry [1]
8:11
George [1]
30:24
gets [6]
5:20; 79:24; 88:11, 12;
91:24; 111:18
giant [3]
76:17; 80:5, 7
Gil [4]
80:13; 94:3; 95:21; 150:7
give [11]
3:15, 16; 7:16, 27:7; 51:15;
68:10: 101:6: 109:10:
114:14: 127:18: 137:11
given [1]
42:19
giving [3]
76:14; 99:14; 125:5
glad [2]
48:12; 70:5
gladly [1]
58:4
gland [2]
97:6, 9
glass [2]
110:20, 21
Glen [1]
150:19
Glenn [6]
55:9; 67:21, 23; 69:25;
80:22; 122:11
goal (9)
64:12, 13, 19; 65:6; 91:8;
108:15; 114:16, 20
goals [1]
61:17
God [3]
91:22; 108:24; 109:10
goes [13]
10:4, 17; 17:7; 41:20; 45:20;
46:5, 10; 79:24; 92:18; 93:9;
113:4; 133:4; 152:19
gotta [3]
27:22; 108:11; 117:11
gotten [1]
135:7
```

```
gov [3]
4:21; 5:7, 13
government [6]
68:15, 18; 87:16; 94:25;
122:24
governmental [1]
108:7
Governor [1]
32:8
governor [1]
118:5
gradient [1]
145:23
grain [2]
66:11; 145:16
grandchildren [2]
38:19; 91:20
grandfathers [1]
31:5
grandson [1]
125:15
graph [1]
21:18
GRAUER [1]
1:24
great [9]
14:3; 20:9; 86:4, 7; 93:15;
94:9; 95:22; 145:13; 152:20
great-grandfathers [1]
31:5
Green [2]
100:19; 114:5
green [3]
5:25; 97:6, 9
grew [2]
30:22; 31:6
groundwater [1]
88:11
group [6]
81:21; 107:15, 18, 20;
108:2; 131:17
groups [2]
96:2; 124:24
guarantee [1]
76:21
guess [8]
6:21; 29:15; 31:8; 56:18;
62:24; 77:3; 110:4; 113:5
guidance [1]
93:6
guide [1]
26:23
guidelines [1]
92:7
Guine [2]
37:23; 43:14
gullible [1]
95:11
guys [5]
68:7; 69:22; 97:20; 99:2;
```

71:7; 96:7; 97:14; 101:10,

24: 128:6

gee [1]

99:11

122:22

hours [2]

# \* \* H \* \*

habitat [4] 23:22; 75:2; 78:8; 145:17 Hackensack [8] 47:11, 13; 48:10; 117:21; 119:24; 120:4, 7 hadn't [1] 136:13 half [12] 9:9; 24:6; 36:6; 46:4; 83:4; 96:13, 15; 110:19, 20; 148:5, 20, 22 half-a [1] 148:4 half-life [3] 81:24, 25; 82:5 halls [1] 106:16 hand [4] 14:25; 15:2; 65:4; 85:12 handle [4] 13:3; 26:6; 29:19; 79:5 handling [1] 76:3 hands [3] 52:8; 61:6; 88:13 Hang [1] 104:3 happening [3] 69:7; 128:11; 130:12 happens [5] 3:19; 19:17; 20:12; 60:4; 70:19 happy [6] 3:6; 7:22; 65:11; 75:11; 118:7; 153:14 Harbor [3] 44:25: 65:24: 66:16 harbor [1] 111:4 harbors [3] 111:10, 12, 15 hard [4] 21:17; 25:4; 103:10; 136:5 harder [2] 24:10; 123:4 harm [2] 34:18, 19

he's [2] 6:23: 67:13 Headquarters [1] 132:13 heads [1] 142:2 Health [6] 11:23, 24, 25; 14:10, 11; health [7] 12:3, 21; 36:4; 49:10; 95:18; 102:21: 138:3 hear [5] 7:15, 22; 70:6; 120:12; 139:16 heard [7] 14:21; 96:11; 121:19; 131:5; 137:16; 140:19; 150:22 hearing [1] 118:2 hearings [1] 49:7 heart [1] 102:8 hearts [1] 102:18 heaviest [1] heavy [2] 23:16; 126:13 heels [1] 44:6 Heights [1] 135:23 held [1] 3:4 hell [1] 153:25 Hello [1] 47:9 help [6] 35:10; 67:7; 90:2; 114:21; 122:5, 9 helped [1] 44:3 helpful [1] 149:7 helping [3] 44:11; 116:2; 127:6 Hepatic [1] 96:25 hereby [1] 156:7 herein [1] 156:8 Hess [1] 4:12 Hi m 60:10

high [9] 14:21; 48:18; 84:15; 95:6; 97:4; 141:12, 15; 143:7 high-rises [1] 30:14 higher [4] 45:17; 112:11; 151:8 highest [4] 8:19; 19:6; 56:21; 94:21 Highland [1] 42:20 highly [4] 14:15; 66:15; 107:19; 132:4 highways [1] 75:25 hill [1] 44:16 historically [2] 10:5.6 history [2] 107:10, 13 hits [1] 66:17 Hmm [1] 81:16 Hoboken [1] 114:11 Hold [1] 101:16 hold [1] 48:2 holding [6] 43:15; 49:6; 80:18; 82:10; 103:14; 107:6 home [2] 4:14; 116:18 Homeowners [1] 81:21 homologue [2] 15:19; 16:9 honest [3] 53:8, 16; 132:7 Honestly [1] 130:23 honesty [1] 53:12 Hook [3] 42:24; 52:25; 111:17 hook [1] 47:19 hope [13] 20:20: 32:8: 38:23: 43:21: 103:22; 116:21; 122:4, 5; 126:6; 136:17; 139:4, 18 hopefully [1] 73:5 hoping [2] 47:21; 150:6 hot [8]

7:14; 132:3 house [2] 63:7; 106:16 HRFA [5] 99:5; 107:10, 17; 109:14; 135:24 HRFANJ [3] 107:8, 13; 108:17 HUDSON 121 1:2, 13 Hudson [161] 1:7; 2:6, 11; 3:5; 4:19; 5:5, 13; 7:12, 24; 8:15, 16, 17, 19, 24; 9:11, 14, 16, 25; 12:13; 13:15, 17; 14:5, 6; 17:15; 20:8, 9, 22, 25; 25:15, 24; 28:14; 33:6, 7, 8; 34:8, 22; 35:3, 4, 16, 22, 25; 36:5, 9, 16; 37:5, 7, 10: 38:8, 17; 39:5, 25; 40:12, 21, 23; 41:4, 6, 11, 21; 42:2, 4; 43:6; 45:8, 10, 11; 47:13, 16; 49:3, 11, 12, 15; 50:5, 12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23; 51:17; 53:21, 24; 54:3, 8, 10, 18, 21, 23, 25; 55:2, 19; 58:10; 61:8; 66:12, 22; 67:2, 24; 68:4, 5, 14, 16, 21; 69:2, 3, 18; 70:3, 15; 71:2, 6, 24; 73:24; 74:6; 75:12; 80:20; 81:7; 85:5, 6; 86:22; 90:18; 91:2; 94:5, 15, 95:22; 96:16; 98:24; 101:13, 23; 105:19; 106:2, 7; 108:16; 109:5; 111:2; 112:2, 10, 18; 113:17; 114:6; 115:8; 116:14, 15; 119:9; 120:11, 20; 122:3; 124:5; 130:12; 132:19; 134:3; 136:15, 18; 137:25; 138:5, 7; 140:18; 142:23; 150:8, 13; 152:7, 9; 154:9 huge [1] 71:4 Hugh [1] 113:25 Hughey [1] 117:20 human [5] 11:18; 34:21; 36:4; 50:4; 138:2 Humans [1] 33:10 humans [2] 34:18, 25 hundred [5] 57:22, 23; 58:12; 80:7; 126:23 hurt [3]

74:21; 103:7; 105:6

hurting [4] 103:6, 8, 12, 15 hydraulic [4] 26:10; 79:6; 153:5, 8 hypocrisy [2] 124:23; 125:8

# \* \* | \* \*

l'd [18] 7:4; 11:8; 42:17; 43:14, 23; 44:14; 46:19; 54:12; 58:4; 65:10; 68:23; 92:10; 94:6; 123:8; 125:21; 136:25; 142:8; 155:13 I've [21] 12:20; 43:6, 16; 55:20; 68:3, 4; 80:22; 91:7; 106:6; 116:15; 122:13; 124:20; 130:2, 16; 131:5; 132:2; 134:11, 25; 136:4, 10, 24 idea [2] 86:4; 151:22 ideal [1] 63:4 Ideally [1] 147:5 ideas [2] 102:17; 125:23 identical [1] 16:10 identifying [1] 119:12 idleness [1] 46:14 ignores [1] 14:4 illegal [5] 126:19; 128:7, 9, 25; 134:24 illegally [1] 126:17 imagination [2] 53:6; 134:22 immediately [1] 119:21 immune [1] 34:19 immunological [1] 12.5 impact [5] 25:8; 41:20, 21; 76:21; 108:16 impacted [4] 29:5; 60:24; 61:5; 76:12 impacts [2] 36:11; 74:16 impartial [1] 98:14 implement [2] 73:12: 133:18 implementation [1]

From habitat to implementation

hi [1]

6:13

harvested [1]

Hasbrouk [1]

haven't [3]

HAUPTMAN [1]

26:8; 89:15; 138:22

Haverstraw [4]

80:14; 94:4; 150:8

Hawkins [3]

52:24; 94:8; 124:17, 18

41:16

135:23

1:17

www.ellengrauer.com

10:8, 19, 21, 25; 35:21;

91:15; 106:12

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

28:7

85:4; 130:20; 131:3; 133:12,

14, 23; 134:3

landfills [1]

landowner [1]

25:12

BSA
important [10]
9:21; 21:8; 25:11, 16, 17;
31:10; 87:14; 97:15; 111:5;
114:16
importantly [1]
113:12
impossible [1]
133:25
improvements [2]
19:22; 36:2
improving [1]
96:3
inches [1]
19.8
incidents [1]
154:14
include [1]
98:18
increase [3]
58:16, 18; 152:15
increased [1]
16:14
increases [2]
58:8; 82:24
incredible [2]
44:7, 8
incredibly [1]
132:24
incremental [1]
110:7
incurred [1]
46:7
independent [1]
8:9
index [1]
4:7
Indian [1]
64:16
indication [1]
78:14
individual [2]
108:20; 147:24
individually [1]
109:13
individuals [1]
118:23
industrial [2]
35:10; 52:5
industries [1]
37:2
industry [4]
26:20; 41:17; 44:3; 70:22
infeasible [1]
133:23
infestation [1]
106:14
inform [1]
100:8
information [6]
4:16; 5:9; 59:6, 7; 64:7;
149:5

```
informational [1]
72:19
ingested [1]
34:25
inhalation [1]
92:17
initial [1]
134:11
innocuous [1]
84:14
input [3]
64:14; 131:11, 12
insidious [1]
119:19
insist [2]
40:18; 50:8
installing [1]
76:3
instance [4]
63:25; 77:12; 86:11; 93:6
Institute [3]
11:22, 23; 43:18
integrity [1]
70:25
intend [4]
27:18; 63:19; 107:15;
108:22
intending [1]
127:11
intent [1]
27:11
intention [1]
140:12
interest [9]
31:23, 24; 108:17; 130:11,
18, 19; 132:20; 135:10;
interested [7]
31:25; 32:2; 64:14; 85:24;
108:13; 123:15; 140:4
interests [1]
111:8
Interior [1]
45:22
interruption [2]
30:18; 38:2
interviewed [1]
14:12
introduce [1]
7:4
inventory [2]
19:4, 5
invested [1]
103:11
investor [1]
103:9
invisible [1]
35:13
involved [5]
60:11, 17; 91:7; 99:7;
```

```
involving [1]
130:14
IRA [1]
100:24
ironic [1]
108:4
Island [12]
10:4; 15:23, 24; 16:3, 5;
17:25; 18:2, 17; 21:5; 22:2;
24:14; 108:2
issue [16]
9:5; 17:12; 28:13; 32:3;
37:11; 41:8; 67:14; 93:20;
97:5; 101:22, 25; 102:3;
104:5; 105:4; 113:13;
154:16
issues [3]
63:15; 65:15; 132:9
It'll [1]
137:10
it'll [3]
28:24: 76:19; 120:15
itern [1]
46:20
         **J**
```

Jack [2] 30:3, 4 James [3] 42:20; 100:17; 105:7 January [1] 30:10 **Jeff** [2] 100:17; 110:13 Jersey [49] 1:10; 5:25; 9:2, 14; 34:6; 37:4; 38:10; 39:20, 21; 42:21; 44:19, 24, 25; 45:24; 49:4, 7, 12; 51:10, 14; 53:23; 67:25; 68:3, 20; 69:2, 3, 4; 70:6; 80:21; 90:20; 96:4; 97:5; 99:5, 23; 100:22; 105:24; 107:6, 17, 23; 109:14; 110:14; 111:8, 23; 114:9; 118:2; 130:22; 135:24, 25; 150:20; 155:15 Jim [9] 37:23; 42:16; 43:13; 100:18; 105:21; 113:25; 114:2; 120:18, 19 Jo [2] 100:19; 114:5 job [7] 69:22, 23; 89:22; 104:22; 132:22; 133:6; 139:9 John [2] 33:18; 107:12 join [1] 105:11 joined [1]

Journal [1]
115:4
Jr [1]
107:12
judge [1]
127:25
judgments [1]
34:7
jump [1]
17:11
justify [1]
34:16

\*\*K\*\* Karen [1] 5:24 kayaking [1] 112:15 keen [2] 108:17; 111:9 **keep** [3] 5:19; 110:21; 117:3 keeps [1] 17.7 Kennedy [1] 107:12 key [2] 67:9, 14 Keyport [1] 44:23 kid [2] 95:6; 112:5 kids [4] 116:16, 21, 22 kili [2] 44:3; 119:20 kindergarten [1] 118:19 kinds [7] 11:21; 12:18; 27:5; 63:15; 104:20, 22; 152:21 King [1] 112:6 Kingston [1] 52:23 Krieger [1]

6:12

lab [1]

lack [1]

ladies [1]

Lake [2]

121:21, 22

landfill [7]

land [5]

122:25

5:19

11:17

\*\*| \*\*

74:24, 25; 91:9; 93:5; 137:3

124:5 language [1] 31:20 Large [1] 17:9 large [7] 35:22; 61:19, 25; 66:21; 75:2; 80:2; 119:7 largely [1] 35:7 larger [4] 21:19; 40:17; 106:18; 150:4 largest [4] 8:2; 40:12; 50:6; 130:6 last [21] 15:9; 17:24; 35:17; 42:25; 44:15, 16; 55:18, 20; 93:14; 96:13; 98:6; 113:24; 114:3; 119:18; 124:2; 128:5, 6; 129:6, 19; 136:23; 152:20 late [1] 60:12 latest [1] 20:24 launch [2] 122:7, 10 launching [1] 125:2 law [9] 36:14; 44:2; 95:7; 123:11; 132:18; 138:15; 140:5, 6 Lawrence [1] 64:8 laws [2] 34:8; 132:24 lawyer [1] 134:24 lead [2] 35:25; 134:8 Leader [1] 1:17 leader [1] 98:5 leak [1] 15:6 leakage [2] 128:18; 129:2 leaking [1] 72:14 leaks [1] 151:21

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

143:21

www.eilengrauer.com

61:6

From important to leave

11:10; 18:8; 118:19; 133:8

learned [4]

learning [2]

12:4: 119:5

leave [7]

### 3/7/01

504
18:24; 19:15; 21:13; 26:19
51:9; 65:9; 144:24
leaving [4]
37:8; 60:8, 19; 145:3
Lee [12]
6:15; 30:3, 12; 31:2, 10, 13
17; 32:14; 52:24; 81:20;
101:14; 114:11
Leff [2]
6:16; 29:21 legal [8]
3:18, 21; 36:23; <b>7</b> 3:13;
128:6, 9, 13; 140:8
legally [2]
109:3; 126:16
legend [1]
25:5
legislation [1]
94:22 legislature [1]
30:9
lengthy [1]
32:22
Leo [1]
106:22
Leski [1]
152:24
Let's [4]
113:16; 117:3, 9; 121:19 <b>let's</b> [10]
9:15; 19:17; 20:11; 73:18;
98:23; 101:17; 113:17;
122:15; 147:25
letters [1]
116:5
letting [1]
91:14
level [6]
48:18; 91:10, 12; 92:6;
142:10, 11 leveled [3]
17:3, 5, 8
leveling [3]
15:13; 17:23; 18:5
Levels [1]
96:24
levels [18]
14:21; 17:19; 19:6; 28:20;
29:2; 35:13, 17; 60:17;
75:10; 92:13, 21, 24; 97:2, 3, 7; 141:13; 142:5; 143:7
Levinson [2]
80:13; 81:19
Liaison [1]
55:21
licensed [5]
85:16; 87:7, 9; 88:6, 15
lies [1]
31:19
life [12] 41:3, 4; 68:4; 72:4; 80:23;
T1.0, T, 00.4, 12.4; 00.23;

```
81:14; 96:19; 103:4; 111:6;
136:4, 8, 16
lifestyle [1]
lifetime [1]
81:17
lifted [1]
147:16
light [2]
20:17; 94:19
limit [3]
5:24; 26:16; 90:13
limitations [1]
154:8
limited [1]
36:10
limits [2]
62:11; 153:20
limnology [1]
43:18
line [2]
67:7; 75:3
listen [2]
25:18: 42:12
listening [4]
42:11; 94:18; 118:11;
120:23
literally [1]
70:13
Littoral [1]
107:24
live [15]
9:13, 14; 42:20; 43:22;
44:23; 53:22; 68:2; 73:23;
99:5; 100:22; 101:9; 114:13;
117:14; 130:20; 136:17
lived [2]
43:19; 80:25
livelihood [1]
99:25
Liver [1]
96:25
lives [7]
31:4; 50:19; 70:7; 102:23;
103:2, 10, 17
livestock [1]
41:19
living [3]
31:7; 103:16; 132:16
livings [1]
31:9
load [1]
59:3
loads [1]
5:9
lobbies [1]
133:5
Lobsters [1]
96:25
lobsters [2]
```

3/7/01
1 -1 -0
local [9]
25:12; 45:21; 58:18; 69:9,
15; 115:3; 133:12, 13, 23
locating [1]
103:3
loge [1]
61:20
long-awaited [1]
12:7
long-term [2]
13:23; 36:9
looks [7]
19:14; 47:6; 55:10; 56:15;
57:11; 126:7
Loretta [1]
30:6
lose [1]
94:25
losing [2]
69:8, 9
loss [4]
18:13; 19:4; 46:6; 52:10
losses [2]
66:18, 21
lost [1]
131:22
iot [59]
13:12; 14:22; 15:13; 16:2;
19:13, 14, 20, 21; 22:3, 19;
25:7; 26:25; 27:9, 15, 16;
28:4, 8, 9; 38:18; 43:5;
62:21; 65:17; 66:9; 67:3;
72:20; 77:15, 19; 79:5; 80:6,
0 04 4 00 44 47 05 44
8; 81:4; 83:11, 17; 85:11; 89:21; 101:4; 104:23; 110:10; 118:3, 4; 123:17;
110:10; 118:3, 4; 123:17;
126:9; 128:11, 18; 130:21;
135:9; 138:12; 141:24;
142:22; 144:2; 145:13;
147:10, 17, 21; 148:8;
151:18, 20; 152:17
loud [1]
102:6
lovely [1]
5:23
low [4]
12:3; 57:13; 145:15, 22
Lower [9]
8:15, 17; 9:14; 14:5; 36:9;
54:10, 18, 21; 55:2
lower [6]
42:23, 24; 54:2; 58:22; 69:2;
96:16
lowest [1]
57:13
lush [1]
78:10
* * M * *

ma'am [2] 114:4; 152:23 machines [1] 102:24 main [4] 28:19; 72:13; 77:17; 108:15 Maine [1] 41:7 mainly [1] 74:22 majesty [1] 109:18 major [5] 27:24; 28:13; 35:25; 111:13; 125:6 Maleone [1] 105:14 man [1] 103:9 man-made [1] 96:20 management [1] 13:24 Manager [1] 1:16 Managers [1] 7:11 Manateek [1] 66:16 Manhattan [1] 51:25 Manna [3] 100:19; 114:5; 116:11 manner [2] 8:7; 27:8 maps [1] 127:12 March [1] 1:11 marginally [1] 35:14 MARIAN [1] 1:16 Marian [1] 7:6 Marilyn [4] 55:8; 60:9; 64:11; 152:24 marinas [1] 69:10 marine [2] 96:19; 111:6 Marion [1] 92:12 Market [1] 150:16 Marriott [1] 1:10 Martin [2] 6:16; 29:21 Marvin [2] 80:13; 90:17

```
mass [4]
18:13, 14; 29:11; 65:2
massive [1]
32:10
Mastromarina [1]
100:21
Mastromarino [1]
100:17
material [8]
27:23; 59:2; 65:25; 66:3, 7;
79:22; 86:14; 87:2
mathematical [2]
8:12; 152:18
mathematics [1]
52:11
matter [6]
63:23; 90:6; 93:17; 109:16,
17; 156:9
mattered [1]
122:23
maximally [1]
147:24
maximum [3]
27:19; 148:10
Mayor [1]
30:4
MC [72]
1:15; 7:3; 32:18; 37:21;
38:4; 39:16; 42:9; 46:19;
53:9; 55:3; 56:3, 6; 59:5, 21;
62:20: 65:19: 67:18: 69:25:
72:18; 75:16; 76:24; 80:11;
82:2; 84:16, 21; 85:2; 86:17,
20; 88:5, 14; 89:8; 92:10;
93:2, 12; 100:16; 101:16;
104:2; 109:23; 113:23;
116:10; 120:18; 123:7;
127:7, 23; 135:3, 20;
136:20; 137:5, 10; 138:6;
139:18, 23; 143:10, 23;
144:18; 145:5; 146:19;
147:14, 21; 149:17, 21;
150:17; 151:14; 152:8;
153:4, 10, 17, 21; 154:3, 11,
25: 155:7
McCabe [3]
6:23; 61:9; 62:13
Meadowlands [3]
119:24; 120:4, 6
meal [2]
149:13, 15
mean [24]
13:9; 19:25; 38:13, 21, 24;
39:4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13; 51:8;
66:18; 82:4; 86:8; 89:15;
91:16; 117:4; 124:16;
131:20; 143:11; 144:8;
147:20
meaning [1]
133:24
means [7]
```

97:3, 7

Ma'am [1]

113:23

105:8; 119:6

morally [1]

morning [1]

109:3

BSA
E-25- 45-40- 54-9- 72-42-
5:25; 15:19; 51:8; 73:13; 79:15; 85:7; 136:15
meant [2]
127:21; 139:25
measure [2]
66:24; 142:15
mechanical [6]
24:13; 26:10; 78:19; 106:23;
153:5, 9
mechanism [2]
154:4
mechanisms [2]
140:8; 146:8
medical [3]
102:23; 105:6; 111:25
meet [2]
65:5; 138:21
Meeting [1]
1:5 meeting [10]
37:13; 38:10; 51:24; 80:18;
82:11; 86:2; 101:12; 107:6;
130:7; 132:12
meetings [5]
3:5; 31:21; 87:13; 100:10;
101:8
megaloads [1]
97:14
MEL [1]
1:17
Member [1]
1:17
member [13]
38:8; 55:20; 67:24; 90:18;
99:4; 105:9, 10, 25; 111:18;
116:13; 120:20; 135:24;
152:25
MEMBERS [1]
1:13 members [4]
44:19; 108:20, 21; 141:22
membership [1]
69:7
memory [1]
12:4
men [1]
102:25
Menhaden [1]
41:16
menhaden [2]
41:8, 21
mention [8]
29:3; 44:14; 55:5; 63:12;
65:14; 78:24; 79:7; 146:11 mentioned [18]
9:25; 14:4; 16:14; 19:12;
22:2; 29:9, 12; 53:13; 59:22;
72:21; 77:3; 89:5; 114:12;
122:11; 123:21; 146:20;
151:7, 17
mentor's [1]
• •

```
107:16
merits [1]
131:25
mess [9]
88:12; 118:20, 24, 25;
119:11; 121:18; 126:20;
136:11, 12
metals [1]
126:13
method [1]
153:2
methods [1]
42:6
Meyer [1]
30:3
Meyers [2]
6:14; 29:21
mic [4]
3:13; 29:24; 37:24; 42:14
Michaels [2]
55:9; 70:3
microphone [2]
5:21; 6:19
mics [1]
2:20
mid [1]
115:8
mid-seventies [1]
10:10
middle [1]
124:18
migrate [2]
41:6; 50:20
miles [15]
8:16, 18; 10:3, 12, 18, 24;
21:23; 36:8; 75:3; 77:6;
119:22; 126:23; 129:23;
130:20, 23
Milford [1]
99:5
Mill [2]
17:15; 18:3
mill [1]
17:14
million [28]
7:25; 10:15; 11:5, 7; 19:22;
21:23; 22:6, 13, 21; 28:4;
43:16; 64:13, 20; 66:2; 68:8;
71:4; 93:7; 115:6, 13, 16,
19, 20; 128:21; 142:10, 16;
151:8, 9, 14
millions [3]
32:2, 3; 95:7
mind [2]
42:10; 61:13
minds [1]
39:2
mine [1]
9:13
minimal [1]
27:20
```

```
minimally [1]
113:8
minimize [2]
60:5; 97:24
minorities [1]
142:21
minute [3]
51:15; 52:10; 105:23
minutes [3]
5:20, 22; 137:11
misconception [1]
80:6
misinformation [1]
71:4
misperception [1]
36:22
missing [2]
39:9, 14
missions [1]
74:4
misunderstood [1]
139:21
Mobilization [1]
146:6
model [1]
56:2
modeling [4]
8:12; 93:9; 152:2, 19
models [4]
37:17; 56:24; 57:3, 7
modern [1]
112:3
modified [1]
62:12
modifying [1]
131:12
Mohawk [1]
64:16
molecule [2]
15:22; 83:21
molecules [1]
84:12
moment [1]
150:25
money [12]
28:5; 43:5, 10; 88:8, 24;
89:21; 102:3; 103:21;
104:24, 25; 129:16; 140:23
monitor [1]
79:13
monitoring [1]
143:12
monitors [1]
66:16
Montauk [1]
40:25
month [4]
138:8; 148:23; 149:13, 16
months [2]
148:6, 21
moral [2]
```

motivated [1] 107:19 motor [1] 127:5 Motor's [1] 78:5 Motors [3] 64:9, 24; 66:14 motors [2] 125.2, 6 Mountain [1] 112:7 mouth [4] 17:9; 75:2, 4 move [4] 46:14; 126:12; 127:18; 137:18 moved [2] 66:6; 152:10 movement [1] 112:4 moving [1] 137:24 MR [92] 7:3; 32:18; 37:21; 38:4; 39:16; 42:9; 46:19; 53:9; 54:12; 55:3; 56:3, 6, 8, 11, 17; 57:18; 59:5, 21; 62:20; 65:17, 19, 21; 67:18; 69:25; 72:18; 75:16; 76:24; 79:17; 80:11; 82:2, 3; 83:10; 84:10, 16, 21; 85:2; 86:17, 20; 88:5, 14; 89:8; 90:9; 92:10; 93:2, 12; 100:16; 101:16; 104:2; 109:23; 113:23; 116:10; 120:18; 123:7; 127:7, 20, 23; 133:10; 134:14, 20; 135:3, 20; 136:20; 137:5, 10; 138:6; 139:18, 23; 142:8; 143:10, 23; 144:18; 145:5, 7; 146:6, 19; 147:14, 21; 149:3, 17, 21; 150:17; 151:14; 152:6, 8; 153:4, 10, 17, 21; 154:3, 11, 25; 155:7 Mr [2] 61:9; 62:13 MRIs [1] 102:24 MS [1] 2:2 muck [1] 98:3 **mud** [3] 69:6; 76:4; 84:8 multi-billion-dollar [1]

```
111:14
multinational [1]
31:23
multiply [1]
115:14
municipal [1]
116:3
municipalities [2]
114:9, 14
Muscle [1]
96:24
mutual [1]
100:24
myself [3]
30:16; 81:5; 118:6
```

## \* \* N \* \*

```
Name [1]
105:13
name [24]
2:4; 3:15; 4:9; 42:19; 43:13;
48:25; 51:22; 53:19; 55:13;
67:23; 70:2; 72:21; 73:21;
80:19; 90:17; 94:3; 95:24;
105:21; 106:5; 117:20;
137:12, 13; 139:7; 144:19
name's [8]
39:19; 44:22; 99:4; 100:21;
116:13; 120:19; 129:21;
135:22
naming [1]
9:15
narrow [1]
124:19
National [7]
11:22, 23; 12:7, 19; 96:23;
131:19; 133:16
national [3]
14:3; 73:25; 98:5
nationwide [1]
102:16
natural [10]
18:8; 45:24; 46:15, 16, 21;
48:16; 54:19; 83:12; 96:21;
108:3
naturally [1]
155:10
Nature [1]
117:23
navigation [2]
24:8; 25:9
navigational [6]
15:9; 22:25; 23:6; 24:22;
27:9; 78:21
NCP [1]
131:19
needs [3]
30:17; 61:10; 83:2
neighborhood [3]
15:12; 24:5; 149:22
neighbors [1]
```

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434 www.ellengrauer.com

From meant to neighbors

BSA
141:23
nervous [1]
34:20
networked [1]
• •
107:21
Newburg [1]
122:14
Niagra [2]
87:3, 22
nice [6]
5:22; 6:4; 31:20; 99:12;
100:9; 142:25
Nina [2]
80:13; 81:19
nine-to [1]
99:10
nineteen [1]
149:6
nineties [2]
69:16; 83:16
no-brainer [2]
118:17, 18
nobody [3]
43:21; 122:20; 139:12
noise [1]
63:16
non-cancer [2]
12:3, 21
non-detect [2]
90:14; 155:9
non-toxic [1]
87:2
normally [3]
26:14; 31:20; 97:19
North [4]
10:17; 22:11; 41:7; 132:15
north [4]
2:11; 26:6; 124:5; 126:25
northern [1]
99:23
nose [1]
95:4
noted [1]
79:8
notes [1]
156:8
notice [1]
17:9
nowhere [2]
45:20; 46:5
NRPA [1]
107:25
Number [2]
118:12; 151:20
number [20]
3:4; 4:3; 15:21; 64:3, 22;
77:10; 79:7; 93:24; 114:17
145:2; 146:3, 8, 12, 21;
148:11, 13, 16, 20, 21;
151:18
101.10 millione (44)

```
20:24; 58:3, 15, 21; 59:24,
25; 89:4; 148:18; 150:3, 21;
152:22
numeral [2]
4:21; 5:7
nursery [1]
40:14
        * * 0 * *
O'Hara [2]
129:20, 22
objects [1]
131:16
obtain [1]
                            1:16
37:17
Obviously [13]
11:2; 20:2; 21:10; 27:21;
28:19; 29:3; 72:23; 79:4;
85:4; 104:19; 128:20, 23;
152:9
                            60:6
obviously [17]
9:6; 23:5; 44:12; 57:6; 59:9,
                            64:6
23; 73:15; 78:14; 84:23;
88:18; 110:2; 127:14; 138:9,
24; 143:11; 147:5; 150:5
Occidental [1]
Occupational [1]
11:24
occurring [3]
144:24; 146:5, 9
occurs [1]
41:10
Ocean [4]
95:25; 97:16; 107:24;
108:19
ocean [6]
96:4, 6, 9, 14, 18; 108:22
odor [1]
63:16
offering [1]
131:23
Office [1]
office [5]
6:12, 17, 18; 29:22; 48:13
official [1]
120:12
offspring [1]
107:8
Oh [2]
11:3; 143:23
oh [4]
4:21; 15:11; 22:23; 135:12
Ohio [1]
121:24
```

```
5:3, 4; 6:13; 56:11; 82:9;
155:5, 12
okay [4]
51:15; 57:19; 100:11;
137:11
old [4]
70:22; 80:24, 25; 107:14
old-fashioned [1]
118:21
older [1]
99:14
oldest [1]
105:10
OLSEN [1]
Olsen [1]
ominous [1]
102:6
one-time [1]
ones [1]
Ontario [2]
121:21, 22
open [7]
2:20; 5:17; 24:8, 23; 26:20;
101:12; 123:13
opened [2]
75:12; 121:23
opening [1]
150:12
openness [1]
53:12
operation [6]
26:7; 58:23; 61:24; 63:5, 12;
operations [3]
56:9; 62:12; 71:14
operator [2]
67:11, 14
opinions [1]
137:16
oppose [1]
76:22
opposed [2]
60:7; 148:2
opposing [1]
opposition [1]
114:18
order [1]
142:14
orders [1]
67:12
Orento [1]
                           90:20
139:8
                           Park [2]
```

```
Organization [2]
11:25; 74:3
organization [3]
99:8; 100:7; 114:25
organizational [1]
116:3
Organized [1]
74:3
original [1]
107:9
ounces [2]
20:25; 21:6
ours [1]
123:18
ourseives [1]
64:18
outboard [1]
125:5
outside [4]
4:6; 21:18; 33:2; 86:22
outweigh [1]
36:10
overall [1]
62:24
overdue [1]
34:10
oversight [1]
144:6
Ovesky [1]
80:13
owners [1]
46:12
ownership [1]
45:14
Oxidation [1]
84:5
oxygen [1]
83:20
        * * P * *
p.m. [2]
1:12; 155:18
page [2]
108:25; 149:6
paid [1]
128:20
Palisades [2]
112:21, 22
panels [1]
8:9
paper [2]
56:18; 115:3
papers [1]
115:7
Paramus [1]
```

```
73:19; 93:7; 111:13, 19;
117:14; 121:17; 132:11;
137:22
participation [1]
141:5
particle [1]
66:10
particles [1]
67:8
parties [7]
48:2; 64:15; 104:10, 12, 17;
108:13; 144:5
parts [8]
10:15; 11:4, 7; 64:20; 65:25;
97:8; 142:10, 16
party [5]
73:11; 104:25; 131:16, 18;
144:8
pass [1]
93:21
Passaic [4]
48:9; 94:17; 138:3; 139:2
passed [1]
30:10
passing [1]
34:7
Pat [2]
129:19, 21
pattern [2]
16:10; 17:23
patterns [1]
16:9
Paul [3]
100:17, 21; 104:4
pay [11]
36:25; 48:5, 15; 98:25;
113:14; 122:7; 139:10, 11;
140:5, 13
pays [3]
98:19; 113:14; 140:3
PCB [28]
1:6; 2:6, 10; 8:19; 11:10, 12;
16:18; 18:6, 19; 19:6; 23:24;
28:20; 35:13, 17; 48:22;
57:15; 62:10; 65:2; 68:24;
69:12; 83:21; 91:16; 97:22;
98:2; 101:23, 25; 102:3;
109:4
PCBs [106]
1:2; 4:25; 10:15; 11:11, 17;
12:22; 15:8, 19; 16:18;
17:17; 18:13, 14, 15; 19:9,
25; 20:2, 21, 25; 21:4; 24:3;
28:24; 29:2, 11, 12; 33:9,
11; 34:18, 20, 22; 35:5, 11,
15, 19, 23; 36; 7, 15; 39:24;
40:9: 41:13: 42:5, 22: 49:8,
15; 51:4, 9, 16; 52:17; 54:5;
66:2, 9, 10; 69:19; 70:8;
75:10; 76:17; 80:9; 81:7, 8,
9, 24; 82:6; 83:12, 18, 22,
```

From nervous to PCBs

numbers [11]

oil [4]

oily [1]

Okay [7]

66:2

66:5, 8, 9; 67:3

www.ellengrauer.com

68:2; 112:22

2:22; 3:21; 20:15; 31:4;

47:4; 53:16; 55:17; 64:12;

part [17]

Oresky [1]

90:18

116:6

org [1]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

BSA
00. 04.0. 47. 05.00. 00.10
23; 84:9, 17; 85:22; 89:12;
90:14, 15; 91:21; 92:7;
96:7, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20;
97:3, 14, 25; 98:9; 106:24;
107:3; 112:2; 113:7; 117:12
118:12; 119:19; 124:25;
126:14, 16; 128:7, 23;
136:7; 141:12, 16, 17;
142:6; 145:2, 10, 20;
146:16; 155:10
peer [2]
8:8; 37:17
peer-reviewed [1]
72:11
penalties [1]
98:21
Pennsylvania [2]
129:22; 130:22
penpals [1]
118:4
People [1]
25:17
people [66]
6:8; 9:16, 18; 14:5, 12, 22;
24:16; 27:2, 9; 30:4, 13;
31:8, 12; 32:14; 34:25; 39:8
43:2; 44:17; 52:13, 14; 53:7
61:3; 63:18; 68:19, 21;
71:10, 11, 15, 24; 72:20;
80:6; 87:23; 88:2; 94:15, 16
95:10, 12; 99:8, 22; 100:3;
101:13; 102:5, 19; 103:6, 8;
107:2, 14; 108:10; 112:14,
19; 113:18; 119:11; 122:13,
16; 123:4, 24; 124:13;
125:11, 25; 126:21; 132:21;
140:19; 144:2; 147:10;
153:12
people's [1]
119:8
perceive [1]
132:2
percent [11]
18:12; 19:5; 28:25; 53:15;
55:25; 56:12, 16; 57:12;
65:2; 151:3
perfect [3]
63:4; 88:17
performance [1]
26:23
performed [1]
134:16
period [7]
13:4; 15:3; 127:25; 138:7,
24; 154:12, 17
permanent [1]
3:21
permit [8]
90:11, 13; 128:13, 15;
134:14; 154:13, 15; 155:11
permits [6]
tal

```
128:8, 9, 10; 134:2, 8;
 154:19
 permitted [3]
 36:23; 89:4, 5
person [6]
 81:8, 9; 109:7; 118:25;
 129:19; 137:3
 personal [3]
 40:16; 116:4; 118:21
 Personally [1]
 personally [1]
 personnel [1]
 perspective [2]
 57:19; 63:24
 pest [1]
 106:14
 pests [1]
 106:15
 Peter [1]
 139:8
 petition [1]
114:8
petitions [1]
111:16
 pharmaceutical [1]
phase [2]
 26:3; 134:11
 Philip [1]
6:11
 pick [2]
27:3; 110:11
 picked [1]
 149:4
 picture [1]
 8:14
pictures [2]
27:10; 69:14
pilot [1]
 83:15
 pipes [2]
 155:3, 5
 place [10]
 25:2; 43:7; 49:9; 76:7;
 81:10; 85:23; 91:23; 121:2;
 126:8; 132:9
places [2]
 79:8; 124:20
placing [1]
81:15
 Plan [2]
 131:20; 133:16
plan [24]
2:7, 22; 3:22; 4:13; 11:15;
 13:24; 20:15, 19; 41:25;
 54:16: 60:25: 72:24: 89:9.
 16; 90:22; 97:17; 103:13;
 129:5; 130:8; 131:9, 24;
```

```
135:12; 148:22; 149:5
plant [11]
20:21; 35:25; 58:10; 66:24;
67:3; 89:18; 106:25; 128:19,
21; 129:2; 130:7
plants [2]
35:16; 72:15
plate [2]
72:5; 122:23
play [1]
113:14
Please [3]
101:17, 19; 114:15
please [5]
3:14, 15; 5:18; 55:5; 143:15
pleased [1]
3:6
pledges [1]
133:5
Plus [1]
58:24
Point [1]
30:14
point [17]
12:11; 24:7; 26:14, 25;
28:19; 64:25; 68:23; 87:11;
89:6; 90:10, 16; 94:2, 10;
123:7; 131:8; 139:4; 141:8
pointed [1]
146:23
pointing [1]
24:11
points [4]
34:15; 65:4; 67:17; 79:17
poisoning [2]
70:14; 113:20
police [1]
98:20
policy [1]
98:19
political [3]
102:7; 121:12; 134:10
politicians [1]
119:25
politics [2]
121:16; 123:10
pollute [3]
45:16; 89:2; 136:7
polluted [2]
37:8; 45:9
polluter [3]
46:17; 98:18; 113:13
polluters [4]
48:8; 98:22; 113:20; 125:7
pollutes [1]
109:8
polluting [1]
138:5
pollution [2]
70:24; 108:23
Pool [8]
```

15:24; 16:3; 17:4; 18:2, 17; 21:5; 24:15 popular [1] 41:9 popularity [1] 134:10 portion [5] 51:8; 69:2; 141:19, 24; 143:6 portions [1] 142:7 pose [1] 103:3 position [4] 74:10; 94:13, 14 positive [1] 108:15 possibilities [1] 76:16 potentially [1] 131:17 Poughkeepsie [3] 52:24; 73:24; 115:4 pound [8] 21:3, 7; 148:4, 5, 20, 22 pounds [21] 19:9; 24:3, 5; 29:12; 52:18, 19; 53:13; 54:22; 57:22, 23; 58:12; 59:4; 71:15; 80:8; 110:24; 111:2; 113:6; 144:25; 145:9; 146:13; 152:5 PR [1] 31:16 practicable [1] 27:20 precedents [1] 47:22 precious [1] 108:9 precluded [2] 46:23; 47:3 preconstruction [1] 26:13 predominantly [1] 65:23 preferably [1] 34:4 prepare [1] 138:15 preparing [1] 136:2 present [3] 3:12; 28:4; 87:19 presentation [6] 2:14; 5:17; 7:16, 17; 42:19; 52:16 presenting [1] 150:22 presently [2] 92:2; 153:18

```
preserving [1]
 108:18
President [3]
73:22; 80:20; 117:22
president [1]
132:14
pretend [1]
122:16
pretty [7]
17:8; 18:4; 22:4; 23:15;
27:11; 43:7; 139:5
preventing [1]
67:10
previous [1]
88:23
prey [2]
40:16; 41:9
prices [1]
122:7
primarily [1]
142:21
primary [3]
75:4, 23; 109:19
prime [2]
74:25; 145:17
print [1]
115:11
Prison [2]
48:20
private [1]
91:8
pro-dredging [2]
103:6, 8
probable [2]
11:18; 34:21
problem [22]
18:10; 28:5; 33:12; 40:22;
47:4; 48:21; 49:19, 22;
50:12, 13; 51:2, 10; 59:18;
72:2; 119:16; 121:13, 14;
122:17; 142:19; 146:15, 18
problems [14]
9:8; 12:4; 39:6; 47:14; 53:3;
61:16; 62:17; 63:2, 14, 15,
20, 21; 74:17; 110:25
procedures [2]
141:21; 142:5
Proceedings [1]
155:17
proceedings [1]
156:8
process [15]
2:23: 47:21: 72:22: 80:2:
84:22; 85:10; 121:12, 16;
123:14; 130:24; 133:12, 15,
21; 135:5
processes [2]
18:9; 54:19
productive [1]
```

profession [1]

102:13

BSA
130:2
professional [1]
130:11
professor [1]
56:25
profitable [1]
102:14
profits [2]
70:13; 95:6
Program [2]
36:19; 117:21
program [9]
13:18, 19; 19:3; 22:8; 99:7
131:6; 133:19; 134:13;
143:12
progress [1]
22:12
progress-wise [1]
127:22 PROJECT [1]
1:2
Project [2]
1:16; 7:10
project [5]
72:9; 90:2; 140:23; 141:2;
149:15
projected [2]
62:9; 148:11
projects [2]
61:12; 62:8
promote [1]
141:2
promoted [1]
51:3
propagated [1]
58:21
properly [1]
61:21
<b>property</b> [3] 117:5; 129:9; 155:4
Proposal [1]
1:5
proposal [12]
2:9, 14, 25; 3:8; 6:24; 33:2
44:12, 18; 45:19; 46:9;
60:12; 97:13
proposed [22]
2:7, 22; 3:22; 4:13; 20:6, 1
21:15; 34:16; 37:12; 54:16
59:19; 60:24; 62:5; 72:24;
90:22; 103:16; 130:8; 131:
24; 135:11; 148:22; 149:5
proposing [2]
2:19; 72:8
prosecute [1]
109:7
prosperous [1]

protecting [3] 94:22; 96:3; 108:8 PROTECTION [1] Protection [1] 91:4 prove [1] 121:8 provide [3] 9:20; 44:6; 75:8 proving [1] 121:12 Public [1] public [17] 2:21; 3:2, 7, 20; 30:11; 43:15; 44:4; 69:8; 87:15; 88:23; 99:9; 114:23; 116:4, 7; 117:2; 135:6 publication [1] 64:2 Pulber [1] pulling [1] 76:4 pump [1] 112:7 purposes [7] 9:17; 23:22; 25:21; 72:20; 86:21, 25; 127:3 push [1] pushing [1] 45:16 puts [1] 142:20 putting [2] 41:24; 48:22 \* \* Q \* \*

quality [4] 42:3; 112:11, 23, 24 quantities [1] 35:23 question [12] 3:14; 55:23; 62:24; 74:10, 18, 23; 81:23; 137:15, 23; 143:18; 145:6; 150:9 questions [12] 3:23; 6:25; 7:20; 29:16; 39:12; 42:14; 61:10, 13; 62:21; 126:10; 137:9; 140:16 quick [3] 8:7; 138:25; 150:9 quickly [4] 46:15; 58:16; 60:7; 100:14

quote [1]

\* \* R \* \* rail [3] 27:19; 28:2; 85:8 railing [1] 79:23 rainwater [1] 92:22 raised [1] 41:19 rake [1] 70:13 ran [2] 57:12; 139:12 ranging [1] 97:7 rapid [1] 45:17 rates [1] 83:25 rationales [2] 144:17, 22 Ravin [1] 144:21 raw [1] 111:24 Ray [2] 105:13, 15 re-dredging [1] re-vegetation [1] reach [3] 148:8, 11, 13 read [5] 15:18; 25:5; 29:6; 32:23; 56:14 reading [3] 55:23; 61:11; 119:5 reaffirmed [1] 33:25 real [4] 61:2; 66:25; 70:11; 135:14 realize [4] 32:5; 71:10; 124:19; 126:4 reason [7] 9:4; 16:6; 36:20; 111:20; 130:10; 145:8; 152:11 reasonable [1] 148:10 reasonably [2] 84:14; 147:24 reasoning [1] 134:7 reasons [4] 77:17; 94:25; 129:24; 146:3 REASSESSMENT [1]

97:12 recall [1] 127:12 received [1] 138:17 receiving [1] 42:21 recent [1] 94:19 recently [2] 20:19; 140:21 receptive [2] 94:12 recipes [1] 143:2 recommend [1] recommendation [2] 39:23; 49:14 recommendations [2] 12:24; 13:7 recommended [1] 146:23 reconsideration [1] 97:11 Record [6] 72:25; 77:4; 104:8, 18; 138:18, 20 record [8] 3:18, 21; 4:5, 8; 34:12; 60:19; 78:15; 144:20 recorder [1] 105:22 recover [3] 58:15; 131:22; 140:10 recovery [3] 36:8; 54:19; 57:17 recreational [3] 24:21; 75:20; 127:3 recreationally [1] 124:14 **Red** [1] red [5] 22:4, 16, 19, 20; 24:11 red-bating [1] 113:18 reduce [10] 28:20, 24; 29:2, 8; 35:9; 52:17; 53:15; 89:10, 14; 151:2 reduced [2] 28:23; 54:23 reduces [1] 145:22 reducing [1] 94:24 reduction [1]

referenced [1] 15:25 referring [2] 56:9, 19 refine [1] 37:16 reflect [1] 141:21 reflected [1] 132:10 refreshing [1] 97:18 Regardless [1] 129:10 Region [3] 8:3; 33:14; 98:4 region [4] 4:20; 5:6; 112:4; 130:9 Regional [1] regional [1] regions [1] 39:25 Regis [1] 64:16 regulated [2] 86:23; 88:15 regulations [3] 123:12; 132:24; 133:18 reinforce [1] 121:19 related [1] 108:16 Relations [2] 1:15; 2:5 relations [1] relationship [1] relationships [1] 107:22 relatively [1] 154:12 release [5] 13:18, 19; 58:13, 14; 75:14 released [1] 17:17 relief [1] 54:9 rem [1] 21:21 remain [4] 35:12, 20; 49:8; 51:4 remained [1] 35:18 remaining [3] 20:21; 84:2; 89:12 remains [3] 24:8; 151:25; 152:5

From professional to remedial

73:25; 108:22; 113:10, 16

102:13

protect [4]

protected [1]

www.ellengrauer.com

Reassessment [2]

reassessment [1]

41:17

145:13

reductions [1]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

remedial [2]

BSA
134:15; 149:10
remediate [2]
11:15; 93:25
remediation [9]
22:4; 54:24; 90:25; 91:6;
92:4, 25; 97:17; 98:15;
129:25
remedies [4]
85:16; 110:2, 3; 144:5
remedy [20]
20:16; 21:16, 20; 28:17;
34:16; 36:3; 37:12; 73:12,
18, 19; 110:12; 130:13, 23;
131:12, 14; 134:10; 135:19;
140:13; 143:13; 152:12
remember [4]
20:23; 24:7; 105:18; 135:4
removal [4]
42:7; 65:10; 67:2; 97:22
remove [10]
23:3; 35:22; 37:6; 39:24;
42:5; 49:14; 70:9; 97:13;
150:23; 152:15
removed [7]
15:5; 24:3; 29:13; 35:19;
47:25; 61:23; 64:25
removing [4]
24:6; 34:5; 81:6, 8
reopened [1] 75:21
repairs [1]
117:6
repeatedly [1]
33:24
report [7]
12:8, 9, 10, 17; 13:4;
146:11; 151:7
Reported [1]
1:20
reported [1]
57:14
REPORTING [1]
1:24
reports [1]
93:18
represent [1]
30:2
representative [1]
106:2
represented [1]
30:6
representing [2]
6:14; 39:20
reproductive [1]
34:19
request [3]
32:23; 74:11; 109:6
required [2]
62:3; 134:2
requires [1]
90:25

requiring [1] 36:15 research [5] 28:8, 9; 43:17; 83:11; 86:2 resent [1] 87:12 reside [1] 90:19 resident [2] 55:14; 105:24 residents [1] 37:4 residual [2] 23:24; 91:16 residuals [2] 85:10; 89:12 resolution [8] 30:7, 8, 9; 31:14; 114:15, 25: 116:3, 4 resolutions [1] 114:8 resource [8] 14:3; 45:24; 46:16, 21; 48:16; 121:22; 122:3, 4 resources [4] 35:9: 74:2: 108:3: 133:6 respect [4] 52:7; 83:12; 84:4; 136:5 respond [3] 104:3, 4; 138:14 responsibility [4] 81:15; 109:15; 115:22; 118:21 responsible [17] 34:3; 48:2; 70:20; 73:10; 81:8; 104:9, 11, 17, 25; 109:4; 119:2; 126:19; 129:9, 13; 131:17; 144:5, 7 responsibly [1] 95:5 responsiveness [2] 3:24: 138:16 rest [9] 7:19; 8:17; 10:23; 13:25; 22:17; 23:3; 65:9; 89:24; 152:9 restoration [3] 23:23; 71:6; 78:8 restore [1] 42:3 restored [1] 109:18 restricted [1] 141:5 restrictions [2] 49:10; 50:4 restrictive [1] 127:16 result [6] 17:18; 18:12; 24:2; 36:11; 131:14: 149:24

results [6] 7:16; 11:9; 29:15; 40:4; 49:19; 74:13 resuspend [1] 145:4 resuspended [1] 57:15 resuspension [15] 56:4, 12, 24; 57:8, 24; 58:8; 59:17; 67:10, 13; 97:24; 144:23; 146:5, 7, 12, 14 retired [2] 99:6: 103:9 retirement [1] 103:13 return [1] 50:21 reversed [1] 74:5 reviewed [1] reviewing [1] 33:21 reviews [1] 37:17 rich [2] 44:2: 95:6 rid [6] 21:9; 84:13; 89:24; 106:14; 145:19; 146:15 ride [1] 81:2 ridiculous [1] 39:6 Riding [1] 95:6 **RIFS** [1] 130:5 Right [5] 84:10; 86:17, 20; 97:19; 140:2 right [26] 2:17; 3:3; 15:7; 30:24; 38:5; 48:23; 64:17; 67:2; 69:21; 73:4, 17; 88:4; 92:2; 93:20; 97:15; 113:2; 122:24, 25; 129:17; 139:24, 25; 143:23, 24; 144:10; 148:6; 150:23 risk [10] 7:7; 8:11; 29:8; 44:4, 5; 138:2, 3; 142:21; 143:5; 147:22 risks [3] 12:18; 36:4; 110:6 RIVER [2] 1:2, 14 River [110] 1:7; 2:6, 11; 7:12, 24; 8:25; 9:11, 16, 25; 12:13; 13:16, 17; 20:22; 23:15, 17; 33:7; 34:9, 23; 35:3, 4, 22; 36:9,

16; 37:5, 7, 10; 38:9, 17; 39:5; 40:12, 21; 41:11, 21; 42:4; 45:8, 10; 47:11, 12, 13; 49:3, 12, 16; 50:5, 12, 14, 18, 19, 22, 23; 51:17; 53:22, 25; 54:3; 56:19; 58:20: 61:3, 8: 64:9: 66:12, 16; 67:25; 68:4, 14; 69:3, 18; 70:15; 71:2, 6; 73:24; 80:20; 81:7; 90:18; 91:2; 94:5, 15; 95:22; 96:17; 98:24; 101:13, 24; 105:19, 24; 106:2, 7; 108:16; 109:5; 111:2; 112:2, 10, 18; 113:17; 114:7; 116:14, 15; 120:21; 122:3; 124:5; 130:12; 136:15, 18; 137:25; 138:3, 7; 140:18; 142:23; 150:8, 13: 154:9 river [143] 2:16; 9:7, 8; 10:7, 22, 23; 14:2; 15:8; 16:2; 19:10, 20, 24; 21:24; 22:18, 21; 23:14; 24:3, 8, 17; 25:7, 8; 28:6; 29:11, 13; 30:12, 17, 23; 31:2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 25; 32:11; 33:21; 35:6, 12, 19; 36:2; 37:3, 7; 40:8; 42:22, 23; 43:11; 46:3, 12; 47:23; 48:15; 49:9, 24; 51:6, 18, 19; 52:14; 58:22; 60:3, 19, 21; 64:13, 16; 65:3; 70:10; 71:9, 12, 15, 17; 74:13; 75:6, 11, 20; 76:5; 80:23, 25; 81:3, 4, 10, 16; 82:12, 16, 17; 84:6, 7; 85:23; 91:17; 92:14, 17; 93:7, 25; 94:16; 95:18, 19; 97:14; 99:9, 16, 17; 101:9; 106:13; 109:18: 110:18, 22: 111:21: 112:3, 13, 15, 16, 19, 23, 24; 113:7; 115:23; 117:14, 15; 118:16, 17; 120:14; 121:25; 122:6, 8; 124:8, 10, 14, 19, 21; 125:7, 13, 16; 126:2, 7, 12, 14; 127:2, 10; 136:7; 137:3, 21; 151:3, 23, 25 river's [2] 18:21; 19:13 river-based [1] 70:23 Riverkeeper [7] 47:10; 70:4, 16; 107:10, 25; 117:21; 120:7 rivers [2] 108:22; 112:8 **RME** [3] 147:25; 148:3, 9 Robert [1]

Rock [1] 150:20 rocket [1] 63:8 rocks [1] 61:19 rod [1] 137:19 Rogers [2] 15:23; 16:4 role [1] 140:25 Ron [1] 150:19 room [3] 25:7; 124:11, 19 roots [1] 31:11 Rothman's [1] 6:12 Rugey [2] 47:8; 55:14 ruining [1] 136:8 ruling [1] 94:20 run [1] 63:13 running [1] 111:16 rushing [1] 123:25 Russia [1] 112:12 RYCHLENSKI [2] 1:15; 2:2 Rychlenski [1] 2:4 \* \* S \* \*

Saddle [3] 1:10; 3:7 safe [4] 42:6; 116:20; 147:12 Safety [1] 11:24 safety [2] 44:4: 102:20 sailed [1] 43:6 salary [1] 139:11 salient [1] 2:15 samples [1] 142:17 sampling [2] 142:13; 152:2 Sandy [3]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

www.ellengrauer.com

107:12

From remediate to sandy

42:24; 52:25; 111:17

sandy [1]

BSA
66:3
sat [2]
120:22; 137:15
save [2]
7:19; 37:7
saved [2]
103:2, 10
saving [1]
102:22 saying [9]
11:21; 32:13; <b>7</b> 6:5; 88:16;
99:11; 111:16; 134:23, 25;
135:12
scale [1]
106:18
scanners [1]
102:24
scare [2] 43:20, 22
scared [1]
116:15
scenario [3]
71:9; 93:8; 149:13
scenarios [1]
149:10
schedule [2]
138:6, 19 scheduled [2]
4:2; 136:21
scheduling [1]
49:6
school [2]
51:25; 81:3
schools [1]
99:9
<b>science</b> [9] 62:17; 63:9; 72:12; 95:15;
121:8, 9; 123:17, 18; 131:10
Sciences [3]
11:23; 12:7, 20
scientific [6]
8:10; 34:13; 36:13; 40:4;
49:20; 152:18
Scientist [1]
1:16 scientists [1]
132:8
scout [1]
136:3
Scouts [1]
135:25
screened [3]
131:3; 133:13; 134:5
screening [5] 131:8; 133:11, 14, 21;
134:11
sealed [2]
155:6, 8
searches [1]
104:18
season [1]

	75:15
	second [8]
	40:12; 50:6; 77:2; 90:25;
	92:5; 98:2; 130:19; 137:22 secondary [1]
	67:7
	secondly [1]
	77:10
	seconds [1]
	6:2
	Section [10]
	10:16, 24; 11:4, 6; 18:3, 18;
	22:18, 22; 23:15, 17
	section [11]
	10:3, 7, 17, 22; 15:25; 17:2;
	21:25; 54:3; 93:23; 125:16;
	126:2
	sections [3]
	10:2; 50:21; 127:10 sediment [21]
	9:10; 10:14; 11:5; 12:14;
	16:7, 11, 13, 15; 18:7; 62:4;
	66:11; 76:18; 93:5, 10; 98:5;
	118:13; 122:12; 130:14;
	132:5; 145:2, 25
	sedimentation [1]
	54:20
	Sediments [1]
	1:18
	sediments [26]
	2:10; 11:14; 18:19, 20, 22,
	23; 19:7; 20:3; 21:4; 33:5;
	34:23; 39:24; 40:9; 49:9, 15,
	25; 51:5; 60:3; 66:12; 72;13;
	83:17; 96:10, 19; 145:16;
	146:14 seepage [1]
	154:20
	seeped [1]
	155:3
	seeps [1]
	151:21
	select [1]
	21:21
	selected [2]
	21:20; 26:9
	selection [2]
	130:13, 24 selective [1]
	131:14
	sell [1]
	39:10
	selling [1]
	39:4
	send [2]
	4:11; 84:9
	sense [5]
j	85:11, 18; 110:9; 113:16;
j	119:3
	separate [1]

ز	3/7/01
	Serìous [1]
	98:17
	serious [1]
	12:2
	seriously [3] 59:16; 109:16; 139:3
	serve [1]
	23:21
	Service [1]
	96:23 <b>service [</b> 1]
	115:12
	services [1]
	9:20
	settle [1]
	76:20 <b>settled</b> [1]
	152:12
	settling [2]
	62:4; 99:22
	seven [2]
	35:17; 75:13 seventies [1]
	60:13
	seventy [1]
	105:19
ı	Seventy-six [1]
	102:15 sewage [2]
	19:23; 35:9
	sewerage [1]
	111:24
	shagnets [1] 150:15
	shame [1]
	38:20
	Shamrock [1]
	48:3
	<b>share</b> [1] 37:3
	shared [1]
ĺ	109:15
	shareholder [1]
	100:23 shareholder's [1]
	101:11
	shareholders [1]
	101:7
	shares [1] 101:9
	sharing [1]
	59:8
	Sharon [6]
	47:7; 55:4, 14; 59:6, 21, 24
	Sheehan [2] 47:6, 10
	shell [4]
	74:19; 75:7; 78:16; 106:19
	Shinella [1]
	150:19 shipping [1]

76:6
ships [1]
111:13
shore [1]
75:3
short-term [1]
36:11
show [12]
14:18; 16:17, 20, 22; 24:
24; 25:6; 50:16; 78:3, 15
115:2; 119:22
showing [1]
78:6
shows [4]
15:17, 23; 16:9; 21:18
shut [4]
106:25; 128:16; 141:11;
150:2
Siciliano [3]
37:23; 39:17, 19
sick [2]
69:19; 113:19
sides [1]
125:9
Sierra [1]
110:15
sight [1]
94:25
sign [2]
72:25; 114:25
signed [1]
138:20
significant [3]
29:10; 138:17; 152:14
significantly [1]
36:7 Site (8)
Silt [2]
67:5
silt [4]
61:18; 69:11; 75:6, 8
siltation [1] 111:12
simple [2]
93:16; 117:4
simpler [1]
5:14
single [1]
511 <b>9</b> 10 [1] 52:15
single-handedly [1]
70:21
sir [2]
150:18; 153:17
sister [2]
47:12; 99:15
sit [1]
72:5
Site [1]

```
58:11; 62:16; 64:9; 65:24;
     66:24; 67:3; 74:14; 77:21;
     78:5; 91:11; 104:8; 114:23;
     116:6; 130:15, 16, 25;
     133:23; 134:3, 16, 18; 138:4
     Sites [1]
     66:13
     sites [30]
10, 7:13; 12:14, 19; 34:3; 57:4,
    9, 11; 61:14, 17, 18, 22, 24;
     62:2, 4, 9; 64:3, 21; 65:16;
     77:23, 25; 84:18; 89:18;
     102:16; 128:21; 130:4, 13;
     141:3; 144:6, 8
     sitting [2]
     118:5; 147:11
     situ [1]
     85:22
     situation [2]
     53:5; 117:7
     six [3]
     14:12; 22:6; 106:7
     sixty [2]
     114:16, 20
     size [2]
     101:23, 24
     skin [2]
     141:13; 142:3
     slash [2]
     5:13; 116:7
     sleeping [1]
     80:5
     Sloop [1]
     114:7
     sloppy [1]
     27:11
     slotted [1]
    38:7
     slow [2]
    4:24; 67:7
     smaller [2]
    58:13; 102:2
    Smithsonian [1]
    43:17
    so-called [1]
    151:15
     Society [1]
    107:24
    society [1]
    113:21
    sodium [1]
    84:13
    soil [7]
    91:24; 92:8, 14, 15, 21, 22;
    93:5
    sold [1]
    150:15
    solution [5]
    51:2, 12; 88:17, 18; 103:22
    solve [4]
     18:9; 48:21; 121:13; 146:15
```

93:23

60:13 **site** [31]

2:24; 4:18; 5:4, 8; 7:11, 12;

8:15; 20:22; 56:16, 23;

BSA	
somebody [10]	
26:18; 39:12; 43:19; 86:3;	
87:8; 100:9; 121:3, 11;	
122:9, 21	
somehow [1]	
101:25	
someone [5]	
8:20; 26:16; 48:12; 85:14;	
117:4	
someplace [2]	
76:7, 8	
、something's [1]	
39:14	
somewhat [3]	
52:9; 135:3, 5	
somewhere [3]	
85:3, 8; 112:25	
son [1]	
125:12	
sooner [5]	
28:24; 39:2; 147:17; 148:24;	
149:14	
sorry [10]	
4:22, 23; 14:16; 22:23; 28:2;	
55:5; 139:22; 143:19;	
144:19; 150:7	
sort [3]	
17:6; 63:8; 132:22	
sound [1]	
51:13	
sounds [1]	
150:25	
source [15]	
19:16; 20:5, 7, 13, 21; 21:2,	
8; 54:18; 59:2; 72:10, 12,	
14; 89:22; 148:14; 149:23	
sources [1]	
20:7	
South [1]	
71:24	
south [1]	
• •	
26:6	
southern [1]	
99:23	
spawn [3]	
40:22; 50:14, 22	
spawned [2]	
41:6; 50:17	
spawning [4]	
40:13; 50:6; 74:25; 75:4	
speak [11]	
3:14; 55:6; 64:5, 8, 11;	
87:19; 101:7, 11, 15, 18;	
108:3	
SPEAKER [99]	
30:2, 19; 32:20; 38:6; 39:18;	
42:17; 43:13; 44:22; 47:9;	
48:25; 51:22; 53:19; 55:11;	
56:5, 10, 14; 57:10; 59:11;	
60:10; 67:20, 21, 22; 70:2;	
73:21; 75:17; 80:16; 81:19;	
Ellan Graver Court Bonos	_

```
82:9; 84:5, 11, 20, 24;
86:15, 18; 87:10; 88:10, 22;
90:4, 17; 92:20; 93:11, 19;
94:3; 95:21; 99:3; 100:20,
25; 101:2, 6, 19; 102:15, 17;
105:8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21;
106:5, 21; 107:5; 110:13;
114:5; 116:12; 117:19;
120:19; 124:4; 127:21;
129:21; 134:6, 17, 23;
135:17, 22; 136:23; 137:8,
13; 139:7, 21; 140:17;
142:19; 143:20; 144:16, 21;
146:4, 10; 147:8, 19;
149:19; 150:7, 19; 152:24;
153:7, 15, 18, 23; 154:7, 22;
155:5
speakers [2]
37:22; 88:23
speaking [1]
52:15
species [2]
40:15; 41:9
specific [4]
67:12; 91:10, 12; 93:4
specifically [3]
46:22; 47:3; 108:7
specifications [2]
26:23; 27:14
specified [1]
65:5
specify [1]
123:12
spend [4]
50:18, 23; 88:24; 140:22
spending [6]
32:2; 95:7; 115:6, 17, 21;
140:21
spent [5]
41:3; 44:15; 55:17; 89:19,
21
spin [1]
95:8
Spitzer [1]
32:21
Spitzer's [1]
6:18
spoken [1]
52:9
spokesperson [1]
101:5
sportsman [1]
131:17
Sportsmen [1]
39:22
spots [8]
10:8, 19, 21; 11:2; 35:22;
91:15; 106:12
spread [2]
97:25; 150:4
```

```
50:21
St [2]
64:8, 16
stable [1]
35:18
staff [2]
33:18; 34:13
stage [4]
90:23, 25; 92:5; 135:11
Stam [1]
140:17
Stamen [1]
47:6
Stamm [1]
49:2
Stand [1]
105:15
stand [1]
46:14
standard [2]
79:16; 142:15
standing [1]
95:14
stands [1]
94:22
start [11]
37:19; 39:4; 54:21; 69:20;
73:7; 79:23; 106:10; 112:17,
25; 122:5; 127:4
started [3]
112:4: 130:3: 136:14
State [28]
6:17; 10:8, 20; 13:11; 14:10;
20:19; 21:11; 29:22; 32:21;
33:20; 35:8; 39:21; 45:23;
46:25; 48:13, 20; 60:18;
64:17; 73:22; 75:12; 89:10,
18, 97:4; 111:23; 112:22;
129:6; 144:10; 150:11
state [10]
25:22; 30:9; 36:19; 89:24;
126:22; 128:13; 131:11;
142:17; 144:19; 153:20
stated [1]
92:19
statement [5]
29:17; 32:22, 24; 54:13;
105:22
statement's [1]
32:25
statements [3]
6:10, 20; 29:19
Staten [1]
107:25
STATES [1]
States [4]
33:4; 34:5; 50:16; 130:15
station [1]
9:19
```

112:7 stay [2] 9:7; 106:18 stenographer [2] 3:12; 137:6 **step** [6] 45:21; 46:11; 54:25; 72:4; 97:15; 122:22 step-by-step [1] 121:16 Sterberg [1] 80:14 Sternberg [1] 99:4 stew [1] 142:4 Stillwater [2] 16:25; 17:4 stir [1] 84:8 stirred [1] 125:18 stolen [1] 46:2 Stop [1] 117:10 stop [4] 42:25; 112:6; 113:18; 117:10 stopped [5] 15:5; 35:15; 82:12, 14; 121:2 stopping [1] 72:10 storage [2] 80:3; 112:7 store [1] 79:21 Storm [1] 112:6 story [5] 6:3; 45:2; 62:14, 15; 129:12 straightforward [1] 53:8 strange [1] 108:5 strategy [1] 13:24 Street [1] 1:24 street [2] 2:9; 72:25 stress [1] 89:2 stretch [4] 22:9, 13; 23:12; 134:21 strict (2) 26:23; 144:6 strictly [1] 52:15 strike [1]

102:18 strikes [1] 102:7 Striped [1] 40:22 striped [10] 40:13, 25; 41:5; 49:10; 50:7, 13, 17; 141:14; 142:12; 150:12 stripped [1] 83:18 strong [4] 98:8; 130:11, 17; 132:20 strongly [1] 33:4 structure [1] 17:16 Strulese [3] 105:12; 106:6; 135:23 studied [6] 8:7; 10:6, 11; 11:2; 49:19; 65:23 studies [4] 37:16; 41:5; 50:16; 58:4 Study [1] 74:12 **study** [18] 2:23; 5:11; 7:25; 8:3; 11:11; 20:18; 34:9; 55:24; 66:19; 83:16; 93:18; 96:22; 97:11; 123:10, 21; 130:5, 8 study's [1] 40:5 studying [2] 33:21; 57:10 stuff [5] 38:21; 72:14; 79:23; 129:11; 139:11 stunned [1] 53:7 stuns [2] 52:13, 25 sturgeon [1] 112:10 subject [4] 57:14; 83:19; 146:14; 152:20 subjected [1] 91:21 submit [2] 114:23; 143:15 submitted [3] 20:18; 32:22; 89:10 submitting [1] 116:2 subsistence [3] 9:17; 14:7; 29:9 Substances [1] 86:24 substances [1]

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

spring [1]

www.ellengrauer.com

stations [1]

From somebody to substances

82:20

#### 3/7/01

BSA	-	
substantially [1]	9:10; 10:15; 19:7	91:14; 9
29:7	surpasses [1]	149:20;
success [2]	135:7	target
62:14, 15	surrounded [1]	23:9; 61
successful [6]	101:20	target
61:15; 63:13; 64:4; 65:3; 83:24; 89:25	surrounds [3] 40:11; 42:5; 50:2	23:18 taught
sudden [1]	survey [2]	136:10
121:25	14:11; 61:11	taxpay
suffer [1]	survival [1]	36:24; 8
45:7	136:9	TEAM
suffers [1]	susceptible [1]	1:14
45:6	50:24	Team
sufficient [1]	suspended [2]	1:17, 18
18:9	62:3, 11	team
suggest [3]	suspension [1]	108:14
63:8; 143:11, 14	55:25	techn
suggested [1]	swam [1]	34:12; 7
52:11	30:23	129:15;
suggestions [1] 143:15	sway [1]   95:9	techn 62:7; 76
Suite [1]	swim [1]	techn
1:24	43:3	84:17;
sum [1]	swimming [2]	Techr
135:20	112:15, 21	77:16
summary [5]	sympathetic [2]	techn
3:24; 12:10; 13:8; 29:14;	124:6; 126:22	74:19;
138:16	system [12]	22; 79:
Superfund [17]	24:4; 29:11, 13; 47:24;	131:2
1:15; 2:6, 24; 36:18; 64:3;	60:18, 21; 75:8; 82:5; 83:20;	tells [
102:16; 104:8; 129:10, 12;	102:10; 118:22; 128:10	86:3
130:3, 4, 25; 131:6; 132:18;	systems [2]	ten [9]
133:19; 140:10; 144:3	34:20; 102:23	14:13;
<b>superior</b> [1] 153:8	**T**	93:14; 9
Supervisor [3]	-	ten-ye
55:15; 60:11; 74:11	t-i-t-t-e-l [1]	7:25; 1
supervisor [3]	110:14	ten-ye
60:23, 25; 106:23	TABITHA [1]	99:11
supplies [3]	156:19	Tenaf
27:22, 24; 105:6	Tabitha [1]	53:23;
supply [1]	1:20	tende
68:17	table [5] 31:13; 32:25; 48:4; 72:5;	148:19
support [23]	149:7	tenfol
21:10; 32:14, 16; 33:4;	tables [2]	85:18
39:23; 43:3; 44:11, 18;	111:19; 149:5	tens [
47:15, 17; 51:19; 53:23;	Tagging [1]	52:22; 9
54:7; 68:6; 75:23; 87:15;	41:4	term [ 51:7
90:21; 102:22; 105:9; 109:2; 118:9; 123:5; 136:12	tagging [1]	terms
supported [1]	50:16	46:13;
12:6	takes [6]	terrific
supportive [1]	80:2; 91:23; 109:14, 16;	95:23
120:25	121:7; 130:21	test [7
supports [3]	talk [10]	40:3; 49
36:14; 49:13; 97:17	2:8; 4:22; 6:23; 7:13; 72:5,	77:21;
supposed [4]	10; 76:15; 89:20; 101:10;	testifi
48:5; 78:2; 104:21; 154:8	111:11 talked (2)	132:17
Supreme [1]	talked [3] 28:8; 110:25; 127:23	testify
94:20	talking [11]	140:20
surface [3]	Haiking 1111	testin

3/1/01		XMAX(2
		Al-in-l to
1:14; 92:15; 148:25;	141:10, 17, 20; 142:5	third [2]
19:20; 154:18, 19, 20	Texas [3]	16:25; 125:3
rgeted [4]	25:23; 87:2, 22	thirty [5]
3:9; 61:16; 145:8, 18	Thank [35]	6:2; 35:6; 52:4; 112:4;
rgeting [1]	2:3; 7:2; 32:18; 37:20;	149:14
3:18	39:15, 16, 18; 42:8; 43:12;	thirty-five [2]
ught [1]	44:21; 51:21; 53:9; 54:11;	10:21; 130:4
36:10	55:11; 59:20; 62:19; 69:24;	Thompson [9]
xpayers [2]	76:23; 81:18; 90:8; 95:20;	10:4; 15:24; 16:3; 17:25;
5:24; 81:12	100:15; 103:25; 106:20;	18:2, 17; 21:5; 22:2; 24:14
EAM [1]	109:21, 23; 116:9, 10;	thoroughness [1]
14	117:18, 19; 120:17; 123:6;	33:15
	136:19; 143:9; 153:15	thousand [1]
eam [2]		108:21
17, 18	thank [19]	l .
am [2]	32:17; 42:18; 43:14, 24;	thousands [2]
08:14	49:5; 76:13; 80:17; 82:9, 10;	52:22; 102:25
chnical [7]	92:9; 94:6; 95:14; 99:2;	threat [1]
1:12; 73:2; 104:10, 14;	108:24; 113:22; 117:24;	103:4
29:15; 131:25; 140:2	133:7; 139:8; 155:13	threaten [1]
chniques [2]	Thanks [12]	71:5
2:7; 76:16	7:3; 46:18; 48:24; 55:3;	threatens [2]
chnologies [4]	59:21; 67:19; 69:25; 72:17,	70:25; 71:2
1:17; 98:4, 6, 7	18; 76:24; 107:4; 133:9	Three [3]
echnology [1]	thanks [2]	21:6; 35:4; 97:21
':16	44:11: 155:15	three [11]
chnology [9]	theory [2]	10:2; 17:10; 28:12; 52:18;
1:19; 75:7; 77:18; 78:16,	18:10, 20	64:20; 78:5, 10; 97:16;
2; 79:15; 85:21; 97:24;	There's [7]	100:5; 108:10; 130:20
31:2	83:10; 93:12; 101:4; 138:10;	three-year [1]
	147:17; 149:3; 152:17	28:6
ells [1]	l	
5:3	there's [34]	threefold [1]
n [9]	10:19; 17:6; 19:8; 21:5, 17;	16:15
:13; 55:20; 76:19; 78:13;	22:3, 13, 19, 24; 24:4; 25:6;	thriving [1]
3:14; 98:7; 121:8; 123:19;	27:22; 42:13; 48:12; 53:13;	43:9
52:21	54:5; 57:21; 63:5; 67:3;	throw [1]
n-year [3]	78:9; 91:16, 22; 93:15;	128:2
25; 11:11; 123:10	94:10; 124:15, 22; 125:8,	thumbing [1]
n-year-old [1]	18, 19; 128:18; 145:25;	95:4
9:11	149:6; 154:16	thyroid [1]
enafly [2]	they'll [1]	12:5
3:23; 114:10	133:5	tides [1]
ndency [1]	They're [10]	96:9
8:19	20:3, 8; 31:24, 25; 41:11;	tightly [1]
nfold [1]	88:7; 115:20; 116:16;	66:10
5:18	132:23; 154:5	Tim [2]
ns [2]	they're [25]	116:12, 13
2:22; 95:7	12:15; 14:14; 16:22; 25:2;	time's [1]
erm [1]	43:8; 48:5; 67:6; 70:19;	38:7
:7	72:6, 8; 76:5; 86:12; 88:14;	times [6]
rms [3]	94:8; 103:15; 106:15;	64:22; 100:5; 115:14;
5:13; 84:21; 147:20	119:21; 122:15; 127:5;	124:21; 146:12; 149:7
rrific [1]	140:22; 144:9; 145:15, 16;	tired [4]
5:23	153:23; 155:7	69:19; 111:22; 113:19;
st [7]	They've [3]	116:24
):3; 49:18; 74:13, 14;	89:19; 117:11; 123:16	Tissue [1]
':21; 141:14; 143:5	they've [7]	96:25
stified [1]	38:12; 46:2; 77:25; 84:18;	Tittel [2]
2:17	86:5, 9; 89:17	100:18; 110:13
stify [1]	thinking [4]	today's [1]
0:20	84:11; 119:4; 147:11;	115:4
sting [4]	150:11	Todd [1]
<del></del>		

From substantially to Todd

www.ellengrauer.com

Ellen Grauer Court Reporting (212) 750-6434

\*\*\*\*\*

BSA
32:9
tolerance [2]
82:15; 89:7
tolerances [1]
91:3
Tom [6]
6:13; 29:20; 37:22, 23;
39:16, 19
Tomasi [2]
55:9; 73:22
TOMCHUK [17]
1:16; 54:12; 56:8, 11, 17;
57:18; 65:17, 21; 79:17;
82:3; 83:10; 84:10; 127:20;
142:8; 145:7; 146:6; 152:6
Tomchuk [2]
4:12; 7:10
tomography [1]
102:24
ton [1]
57:15
Tonight [1]
3:11
tonight [21]
2:4, 8; 3:6, 19, 20; 4:4; 5:16;
6:8; 7:18; 52:9; 60:25; 70:7,
16, 24; 71:10; 78:3; 80:18;
114:7; 129:23; 132:10;
133:8 Tony (5)
Tony [5]
55:10; 80:12, 15, 19
topics [1]
8:10
totally [3]
32:15; 61:15; 84:12
tours [1]
126:6
Town [5]
52:23; 55:15; 60:11; 61:7;
81:2
town [1]
30:12
towns [3]
9:15, 19; 37:2
Toxic [1]
86:23
toxic [8]
34:2, 8; 36:17; 37:6; 76:3;
81:15; 82:19, 21
toxicity [1]
11:12
toxicologist [1]
7:7
toxins [4]
50:25; 110:22; 141:18;
143:8
trade [2]
132:14; 133:3
traffic [3]
27:21; 124:12; 125:19
Avenue du sor

_	
	45:3; 70:12
	trains [1]
	76:8
	transcription [1]
	156:11
	transfer [1]
	26:5
	transforming [1]
	130:6
	travel [2]
	40:23; 50:14
	traveled [1]
	124:20
	treat [2]
	36:20; 98:8
	treated [2]
	98:3; 118:23 treatment [4]
	19:23; 85:3, 6; 98:6
	tremendous [3]
	17:17; 35:8; 122:2
	tremendously [2]
	71:12, 13
	tribe [1]
	64:16
	tributary [1]
	108:18
	trip [1]
	155:14
	Troy [8]
	2:11; 10:25; 13:16; 19:9, 24;
	28:25; 36:7; 53:14
	truck [2]
	27:20; 85:7
	trucks [1]
	27:16 true (5)
	<b>true</b> [5] 15:2; 19:18; 46:12; 77:14;
,	147:13
	trust [4]
	95:12, 13; 122:25; 123:4
	trustee [2]
İ	105:14, 25
	trustees [2]
	46:24; 77:11
	tumors [1]
	102:25
İ	tunnel [1]
	72:9
	twenty [10]
	10:8, 20; 33:24; 35:15;
	36:16; 55:18; 97:10; 130:2;
	134:12; 148:23
ì	Twenty-five [1]
1	136:15 twenty-five [8]
	40:6; 49:22; 72:15; 106:9;
	110:24; 121:5; 136:14;
ĺ	137:24
	twenty-minute [1]
	7:17
1	· ·

```
Twice [1]
96:7
twice [1]
100:12
two-pound [1]
52:21
two-stroke [2]
125:2; 127:5
type [2]
18:14; 56:23
typical [4]
24:13, 24; 66:11; 132
ugly [2]
95:3; 126:9
uitimate [1]
3:25
Umberland [2]
10:18; 22:11
unable [1]
98:21
unacceptable [1]
51:14
unanimously [1]
30:10
unburned [1]
125:4
uncertain [1]
46:13
uncovering [1]
underground [1]
91:23
understand [12]
31:17; 39:13; 55:25; 8
100:3; 119:10; 126:24
134:6, 20; 135:15, 17
understandable
143:25
understanding [2
90:13; 142:9
unfair [1]
101:3
unforeseen [1]
62:16
unfortunate [2]
71:20; 121:17
Unfortunately [1]
88:20
unfortunately [2]
45:13; 119:25
UNITED [1]
United [4]
33:4; 50:16; 81:20; 13
University [1]
```

	unp 132:4 uns
	128:3 <b>uns</b> 64:10
:10	unta 122:3 unu
	135:4 <b>Upp</b>
	1:7; 2 23, 2 47:16
	61:3; 119:9
	upp 21:23 Ups
	25:23 upst 25:11
	32:11 111:3
	ups1 9:11; USE
	2:6; 3 <b>USE</b> 97:17
33:5;	<b>use</b> 45:10
i; ; 147:8 [1]	USG 56:19 Utah
2]	57:2 utili:
	124:1 utili: 98:3
	Valle 3:5; 2 70:15
	120:1 <b>valu</b>
	93:6 <b>vari</b> e 140:7
30:15 -	verb 4:5 vers
	21:6:

14:15 unpopular [1] 43:24 unpopularity [1] 131:4 Inprofessional [1] ubstantiated [1] uccessful [3] ); 65:7; 84:4 apped [1] sual [2] 1, 6 er [24] 2:11; 8:15, 16, 18; 9:11, 5; 14:6; 28:14; 36:5; 5; 54:8, 22, 25; 58:20; 66:21; 75:12; 115:8; 9; 120:11; 152:6, 9 er [5] 3; 39:25; 42:23; 50:21 tate [1] tate [11] , 17; 27:18; 31:18; ; 78:4; 94:13, 14; 95:8; 3; 137:4 tream [2] 15:24 PA [2] 39:23 PA's [1] rs [1] S [1] 1 [1] ze [1] zing [1] \* \* V \* \* **ey** [13]

```
25:15, 24; 36:5; 68:21;
     5; 85:5, 6; 86:22; 119:9;
      1; 132:19; 134:4
     es [1]
     ety [1]
     ally [1]
      us [7]
21:6; 71:9; 92:14, 17; 147:2;
149:16, 22
via [1]
```

5:5 Vice [1] 80:19 victim [1] 96:7 video [1] 78:4 viewed [1] 131:15 views [1] 87:19 violated [1] 154:15 violating [1] 154:5 vital [1] 41:11 Volatilization [3] 65:20, 22; 66:18 volatilization [3] 62:10; 63:14; 66:21 volatilize [1] voluminous [1]

W-W-W [2] 4:19; 116:6 waiting [3] 12:12; 131:9; 135:11 waive [1] 134:8 waking [2] 76:18; 80:4 walking [1] 111:19 wall [1] 106:15 Walter [2] 37:22; 38:4 wanted [5] 29:17; 61:2, 3; 117:24; 153:2

wants [1] 92:12 warfare [1] 68:19 wash [1] 96:8 washing [2] 9:20; 82:4

Washington [4] 30:25; 32:5; 33:14; 44:15 waste [16]

34:2, 8; 37:6; 76:3; 81:15; 85:17; 86:22; 88:7, 8, 9, 19; 90:11; 103:21; 108:11; 111:25; 130:21

Water [1]

From tolerance to Water

tragedy [2]

56:25

46:7

unlawful [1]

unlikely [1]

#### 3/7/01

BSA		3///01		·
water [19]	82:4; 86:21; 93:13, 14, 17;	wholly [1]	world [3]	Yellow [1]
11:13; 15:14; 16:11, 13;	96:10; 110:25; 113:12;	90:21	112:9, 18; 130:7	6:2
17:18; 68:17; 79:5; 88:10;	114:18; 127:13; 135:7, 8;	wide [3]	worried [3]	yesterday [2
90:11; 91:9; 92:23; 93:10;	144:3; 146:17; 148:21;	41:22; 44:18; 124:20	27:16; 74:20; 139:25	90:6; 130:8
112:24; 113:10; 124:7;	152:2, 3, 11, 12	widely [2]	worry [7]	York [48]
125:4; 145:24; 146:2	weak [1]	40:23; 50:15	73:19; 75:24; 104:7, 20;	1:25; 2:12; 4:22
water-ski [1]	41:15	widespread [1]	117:13; 129:17; 140:3	10:8, 20; 13:11;
125:16	weather [1]	18:18	worrying [1]	20:19; 21:10; 25
Waterford [2]	62:7	width [2]	73:7	31:19; 32:21; 33
52:18, 23	web [5]	124:10, 11	worse [3]	15; 35:8; 37:4;
waters [2]	4:17; 5:4, 8; 114:23; 116:6	Wildlife [1]	59:18; 91:25; 92:3	45:23; 46:25; 50
111:4; 113:21	Wednesday [1]	33:9	worst [1]	68:20; 70:18; 73
watershed [1]	1:11	wildlife [8]	103:18	75:12; 76:13; 89
71:2 watersheds [1]	week [7]	29:2, 4; 34:18; 40:10; 42:4;	worth [1]	96:4, 5; 97:2; 99 111:22; 122:5; 1
70:14	71:4; 100:5, 12; 115:6, 14; 132:13; 148:4	46:24; 50:2; 136:8 Wilner [3]	wouldn't [3]	130:21; 135:18;
Wayne [3]	weeks [2]	37:24; 44:23; 137:14	42:10; 59:3; 87:7	150:21, 155:10,
55:9; 73:21; 76:24	52:2; 115:15	wisely [1]	wrap [1]	you'd [2]
ways [3]	Weglinkski [1]	103:11	155:13	7:21; 117:5
4:3; 54:15; 153:11	37:22	wish [5]	write [2]	you'll [5]
We'd [1]	weights [1]	52:16; 89:2; 123:19; 126:21;	4:6, 10	17:9; 22:10; 84:
141:16	12:4	136:13	written [3]	149:15
<b>we'd</b> [9]	Weinberg [1]	woman [1]	32:22; 77:12; 107:14	you've [4]
42:15; 58:8; 59:8; 70:18;	30:6	103:9	wrong [1]	14:20; 40:3; 42:
85:23; 122:8; 127:2; 141:9,	well-established [1]	women [2]	92:21	young [4]
20	36:14	13:13; 102:25	** Y * *	99:8, 15; 102:18
We'll [1]	well-organized [1]	won [1]		youngest [1]
104:7	107:19	60:17	yard [1]	105:9
we'll [21]	well-researched [2]	won't [5]	119:8	youngster [1
3:7; 4:8; 5:17; 6:24; 7:19,	44:8, 9	7:21; 24:21, 23; 31:20;	yards [5]	99:11
22; 13:5; 24:6; 29:25; 39:3;	weren't [1]	108:11	21:23; 22:6, 14, 21, 24	yourself [1]
86:8, 10; 89:16; 91:25; 93:25; 112:20; 120:3, 5;	151:21  west [1]	wonder [2] 74:5; 87:23	Yeah [2]	121:8
137:17; 140:3; 153:14	69:17	wonderful [1]	59:11; 145:7	* * Z
We're [10]	Western [1]	44:12	yeah [2]	
97:18; 110:16; 118:14, 16;	135:18	wondering [1]	100:10; 146:8	zero [4]
120:15; 144:12; 150:17;	western [1]	57:16	year [24]	27:21; 82:14; 89
153:4; 154:18, 20	130:22	word [7]	4:2; 13:20; 53:14; 54:22;	Zipf [2]
we're [55]	What's [1]	4:20; 5:6; 15:7; 139:19, 24	57:22, 24; 58:12; 59:4;	80:14; 95:24
5:15; 6:4, 5; 16:25; 17:20;	137:18	words [7]	71:16; 75:13, 17; 78:11;	
21:2; 23:13, 19; 24:19, 20,	what's [15]	16:12; 17:6; 24:16; 77:4;	80:8; 110:23; 111:11; 113:5, 8; 115:15, 16, 18; 138:21;	
23; 25:6, 8; 28:9, 11, 15;	16:12; 19:10; 38:11, 15;	82:20; 102:17; 107:7	147:20; 149:2, 19	
29:18, 22; 46:13; 48:11;	69:5, 7; 73:4; 91:18; 111:4;	work [18]	yearly [1]	
59:17; 63:4; 64:6; 69:9;	124:12; 125:10; 126:24;	20:9; 43:19; 45:21; 62:5;	58:14	
70:15, 16, 23; 72:23; 73:4,	130:12, 18; 131:18	70:3; 72:6; 83:14; 88:3;	years [56]	
16; 74:20; 75:11; 77:9, 18,	Whatever's [1]	95:23; 98:7; 107:3; 123:3,	12:11; 14:19; 28:12, 13;	
22; 79:22; 85:4, 5; 86:14; 91:13, 14; 104:21; 111:15,	86:9	20; 134:15; 140:9; 144:9, 13; 151:5	33:24; 35:7, 15, 17; 36:16;	
22; 118:13; 120:13; 123:24;	whatsoever [1] 66:17	worked [6]	37:11, 15; 38:14, 18; 40:6;	
127:24; 129:8; 143:11;	whereby [1]	43:16; 52:4, 8; 103:10;	41:3; 43:6; 45:14; 49:22;	
147:7; 149:25; 150:6;	131:2	106:22; 130:3	50:18; 52:5; 55:18, 20;	
154:19; 155:12	White [3]	workers [1]	72:15; 75:13; 76:19; 78:5,	
We've [12]	47:7; 53:20; 142:25	52:7	11, 12, 13; 80:24, 25; 82:13;	
3:4; 8:7, 18; 9:9; 10:2;	Whitman [1]	working [11]	93:14; 97:10; 98:7; 105:19;	
18:11; 28:8; 49:19; 78:14;	32:9	30:7, 15; 46:25; 67:12; 86:5;	106:7, 9; 110:25; 112:5;	
123:17; 140:19; 146:19	who's [2]	89:17; 107:22; 130:5, 17;	115:20; 121:5, 8; 123:19;	
we've [38]	43:19; 139:10	139:3; 144:9	126:9; 128:12; 130:3;	
8:3, 6; 12:25; 14:21; 18:7;	who've [1]	workings [1]	132:18; 134:12; 136:14, 15;	
19:2; 22:23; 23:8; 25:21;	52:7	66:23	137:24; 148:23; 149:14;  152:21; 154:10	
26:12; 45:12; 47:23; 56:22;	wholeheartedly [1]	World [1]	yell [1]	1
66:18; 77:22, 24; 79:7, 8;	109:2	11:24	4:24	

Yellow [1] 6:2 yesterday [2] 90:6; 130:8 York [48] 1:25; 2:12; 4:22; 6:17; 9:5; 10:8, 20; 13:11; 14:10; 20:19; 21:10; 25:23; 29:22; 31:19; 32:21; 33:4, 19; 34:6, 15; 35:8; 37:4; 44:20, 25; 45:23; 46:25; 50:11; 64:17; 68:20; 70:18; 73:22; 74:4; 75:12; 76:13; 89:10, 18; 96:4, 5; 97:2; 99:23; 107:16; 111:22; 122:5; 129:6; 130:21; 135:18; 144:10; 150:11 you'd [2] 7:21; 117:5 you'll [5] 17:9; 22:10; 84:8; 139:4; 149:15 you've [4] 14:20; 40:3; 42:19; 106:13 young [4] 99:8, 15; 102:18; 107:14 youngest [1] 105:9 youngster [1]

\* \* Z \* \*

zero [4] 27:21; 82:14; 89:7, 14 **Zipf** [2] 80:14; 95:24

From water to Zipf

www.ellengrauer.com

**Ellen Grauer Court Reporting** (212) 750-6434 1 2

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings herein are from the notes taken by me in this matter of the aforementioned case; and that this is a correct

transcription of the same.

Jabilta Deute
TABITHA DENTE, CSR