Date: 2-9-01
Hudson River PBCs Public Meeting

| bottom will stay stable. We use               |
|---|
| environmental dredging techniques. After we   |
| get done with this, the way we expect it is   |
| that August we would finalize a decision. If  |
| the decision is to move forward, we then      |
| would take three years to design to get the   |
| access, to set all the details of this plan   |
| in motion, and then it would be five years to |
| actually construct it.                        |
| What I didn't mention is part of              |
| the dredging also is aimed at down river      |
| impacts as well. We estimate that roughly     |
| 500 pounds of PCBs flow over the Troy dam     |
| every day, and our estimate is that by doing  |
| this dredging we can take 40 percent of that  |
| load. So 200-pound reduction in the flow      |
| over the dam; we cannot get it down really    |
| much more below that. But we think that       |
| within reason we can certainly remove 200     |
| pounds a year of PCBs that flow over that     |
| dam. I may have said per day earlier, it is   |
| 500 pounds a year and 200 pounds a year that  |
| we would be able to eliminate.                |
| Again, the comment period closes on           |
|   |

10.8221

greatest extent possible, since this type of suction removal appears to be the most efficient and effective technology available.

GE's multimillion dollars public relations lobbying and litigation campaign is a flagrant attempt to mislead the public as to the status of recovery of the Hudson River ecosystem and the impacts that dredging would have on local communities. Moreover, GE's campaign is morally reprehensible in that it seeks to avoid taking responsibility for the cleanup of an ecosystem that it has single-handedly crippled.

GE reports a thriving catch and release system as evidence that the river and surrounding communities are prospering.

However, current fish advisories recommend that women of child bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any fish from any part of the Hudson River south of the Hudson Falls.

The Hudson River has undergone a remarkable transformation over the past 30 years thanks to the efforts of the

- in an environment they do not need to fear.
- 2 A healthy Earth communicates on the deepest
- 3 levels a message of security and trust in the
- 4 future. The heart, our collective spirit,
- 5 longs for justice. President Bush and Mrs.
- 6 Whitman, you should know, it damages our
- 7 collective sense of morality when the most
- 8 powerful, one of the world's most wealthy
- 9 entities can shirk its responsibility. Don't
- we teach our children to respect the property
- of others and to clean up after themselves?
- Tonight as I was leaving the house
- my five-year-old son asked me where I was
- going. And then he asked me what does EPA
- stand for, Environmental Protection Agency.
- 16 He then said, I quote, "By the name of that
- organization I can tell what the answer is
- going to be: General Electric, clean up the
- 19 Hudson." Thank you very much.
- MR. CASPE: John Dyba.
- MR. JOHN DYBA: My name is John
- 22 Dyba. I live in Pearl River, New York.
- I was at an environmental
- 24 management council meeting on January the

non-cancer effects.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Marian Olsen is our risk assessment, human health expert.

MS. MARIAN OLSEN: I'd just like to address some of the issues that you raised. The National Toxicology Program is the nation's group that's responsible for evaluating animal studies and determining classifications of carcinogenicity, and they evaluated the data back in the 1980s and came to the conclusion that PCBs are probable human carcinogens. In 1996 EPA evaluated human epidemiological studies, and these were conducted in workers who had worked in plants and were exposed to PCBs as part of their jobs. These studies were conducted around the world. And what EPA concluded at that time is that this evidence is suggestive of PCBs causing cancer in humans.

In addition, EPA evaluated a number of animal studies, and there is a consistency in those studies. We have found that PCBs are causing cancer in the animals. Animals have been shown to be an excellent indication

| 1  | in eradicating PCBs from our fisheries         |
|----|--|
| 2  | forever. We don't want to see continued PCB    |
| 3  | contamination coming down from these hot       |
| 4  | spots for generations. We would like them to   |
| 5  | clean this up now, once and for all.           |
| 6  | GE claims the river is cleaning                |
| 7  | itself of PCBs, although our studies have      |
| 8  | shown PCBs are not being universally buried    |
| 9  | and often remain in the top nine inches of the |
| 10 | sediment. Less than ten percent is             |
| 11 | dechlorinated over the past 20 years. These    |
| 12 | less chlorinated PCBs are still toxic and more |
| 13 | mobile. The estimated \$460 billion            |
| 14 | remediation will greatly enhance the level in  |
| 15 | economy. This amount of money is a very        |
| 16 | small price to pay for the restoration of our  |
| 17 | river. The State of New York is now            |
| 18 | considering spending more than \$4 billion on  |
| 19 | a new Tappan Zee bridge project that is        |
| 20 | unnecessary. Why can't we ask this             |
| 21 | corporation who has destroyed our river to     |
| 22 | invest a small fraction of that amount to      |
| 23 | return it to health. And why can't we ask GE   |
| 24 | to bring this river back to life. Thank you.   |

10.8225

| 1  | of residual PCB either adsorbed or absorbed onto        |
|----|---|
| 2  | silt soil particles remaining after the dredging of the |
| 3  | hot spots is completed?                                 |
| 4  | MR. CASPE: I'm not sure I                               |
| 5  | understand the second question. First question we       |
| 6  | got. Second question?                                   |
| 7  | MR. WANAMAKER: Second                                   |
| 8  | question: Is part of the remedial strategy, enhanced    |
| 9  | bioremediation, not natural attenuation, of residual    |
| 10 | PCB either adsorbed or absorbed onto soil silt          |
| 11 | particles remaining after the hot spots is              |
| 12 | completed?  |
| 13 | MR. TOMCHUK: We've done some                            |
| 14 | modeling to determine the amount of PCBs that           |
| 15 | would be transported downstream as far as               |
| 16 | from the resuspension from a dredging                   |
| 17 | operation. That model really is a sediment-             |
| 18 | based model, so it is really modeling the               |
| 19 | particular transport. So we do have to look             |
| 20 | into the dissolve phase to transport a little           |
| 21 | bit more. We do believe though that because             |
| 22 | the particulate drops out of the water fairly           |
| 23 | quickly as it moves downstream, there will              |
| 24 | not be a long opportunity for the PCBs to               |
| 25 |   |

| 1  | garbage or something when you throw it into      |
|----|--|
| 2  | the river. It kills the fish. It kills the       |
| 3  | fish of their habitat. Now we want to clean this |
| 4  | river of fish killing. There is no ever, ever    |
| 5  | throwing garbage in this river,                  |
| 6  | because these fish are part of a habitat of what |
| 7  | we eat, and we need them to live. And the        |
| 8  | fishermen, when they catch the fish from the     |
| 9  | river, when they catch them it makes them dead   |
| 10 | when we eat them, but we want them to have       |
| 11 | their own habitat to live. We're people and      |
| 12 | they are living too. Not any of us are important |
| 13 | too, just fish, animals, animals are important   |
| 14 | because they need food to live. It's part of a   |
| 15 | habitat.   |
| 16 | MS. MELISSA ROSEN: In Nyack they                 |
| 17 | call me Kati's mother. I'm Melissa Rosen,        |
| 18 | and I have a brief e-mail that I sent the EPA    |
| 19 | earlier to read: I cannot stress                 |
| 20 | emphatically enough my desire to rehabilitate    |
| 21 | the Hudson River of its PCB problem. I've        |
| 22 | read the literature on the EPA website, and      |
| 23 | aside of some minor questions about the          |
| 24 | dredging of the PCBs, and hopefully they         |

| 1  | not just the fish. Not long ago I got a call from |
|----|---|
| 2  | the president of the Chamber of Commerce of       |
| 3  | Fort Edward, New York, who said to me, Mr.        |
| 4  | Higby, I know you're an environmentalist, but I   |
| 5  | need to talk to you about part of this            |
| 6  | issue you may not be familiar with. I said        |
| 7  | what's that. She said it is the economy, we       |
| 8  | are being held hostage here by this               |
| 9  | contamination. She said I wish that we could      |
| 10 | put outside Fort Edwards a sign that said         |
| 11 | welcome to Fort Edward, an historic town with     |
| 12 | a beautiful river running through it. But to      |
| 13 | be honest, we have to put a sign that says        |
| 14 | welcome to Fort Edward, an historic town with     |
| 15 | a toxic Superfund running through it. And so      |
| 16 | of all the reprehensible things that the          |
| 17 | polluter in this case has done and                |
| 18 | certainly they have been reprehensible            |
| 19 | they soiled and polluted one of the world's       |
| 20 | great rivers. And then after it was               |
| 21 | disclosed and after that pollution was made       |
| 22 | illegal, they used lawyers and every trick in     |
| 23 | the book to stall that cleanup, and they have     |
| 24 | been very effective in stalling that cleanup      |
|    |   |

| 1  | and PCBs. And how about the savings and loan    |
|----|---|
| 2  | deregulation. That was done. The government     |
| 3  | did it. They can't blame the savings and        |
| 4  | loan. They weren't supposed to listen to the    |
| 5  | lobbyists. It is the government supposed to     |
| 6  | be in charge. If they let somebody else in      |
| 7  | charge, it is their responsibility. But of      |
| 8  | course, it is the people's responsibility too   |
| 9  | to let the government know. People usually      |
| 10 | do, and the government doesn't want to          |
| 11 | listen.   |
| 12 | We have what I call in the United               |
| 13 | States the second largest white elephant called |
| 14 | Pyramid in Rockland County. We were led to      |
| 15 | believe by the government people who are        |
| 16 | supposed to know about the environment tell     |
| 17 | us oh, it won't cause any problem with the      |
| 18 | environment, in fact, it is magic. It not       |
| 19 | only won't pollute, it will suck up pollution   |
| 20 | that's there. I don't believe this type of      |
| 21 | stuff, and part of it is promulgated by the     |
| 22 | government. I guess that's about bad enough for |
| 23 | now. Thank you.                                 |
| 24 | MR. CASPE: The next speaker is                  |
| 25 |   |

10.8229

| 1  | the program that really is beyond what EPA        |
|----|---|
| 2  | normally does. There are damages that I           |
| 3  | think you get tied into that or that go           |
| 4  | beyond. All we look to do is remediate the        |
| 5  | site. As far as damages that are caused by        |
| 6  | others, there are other people that have authorit |
| 7  | is kind of aside to, and those are the            |
| 8  | resource trustees, which in this case include     |
| 9  | NOAA, Department of Interior and New York         |
| 10 | State. And certainly the State Attorney           |
| 11 | General has been fairly outspoken on that.        |
| 12 | Well, are there any other speakers?               |
| 13 | Nobody else has filled out any other cards. I     |
| 14 | would like to thank you all for coming and        |
| 15 | I would like to thank the Congressman and         |
| 16 | Mayor for having us, and thank you for            |
| 17 | arranging the facility. Thank you for your        |
| 18 | energy and your time and thank you for            |
| 19 | showing up. With that, I'm sure you'll be         |
| 20 | hearing from us and we'll be hearing from you     |
| 21 | thank you.  |
| 22 | (Applause.)                                       |
| 23 | CONGRESSMAN GILMAN: Thank you                     |
| 24 | very much, Mr. Caspe.                             |
| 25 |   |