

Response to Phase 2 by 7-10-'92

Dear Mr. Touchette,

As a resident at 5645 E. River Rd, Rt 4, RD1, Box 1159 Fort Edward I write this letter because we live adjacent to Superfund Site Hudson River (Old Champlain Canal).

First I would like to know the answers to my questions in writing.

① I asked our family be put on mailing list. Are we.

② I'd like to question as I am between 2 sites why our residents have not been given city water with all the PCB's located here in our area. I'm speaking of 1420 Tms of PCB's in Fort Miller Sandfill on Patterson Rd as well as approx 350,000,000 lbs of it in the River. Also in other areas indiscriminately dumped on state and private land. Why did G.E. not be made to supply fresh water. I question as to who if anyone has our best interest at heart. The wells along the river have extremely high counts of Bacteria, etc. I request this be dealt with by DEC-EPA-BONHWS, ETC.

③ I question as to the water shed or aquifer around/near the

Response to Phase 2 work plan

Place where landfill area encompasses. We are (in my information) just straight west of the landfill. On River ledge on E. River Rd. How deep is the aquifer or water shed upon which we depend on water most of which terrible!

④ I would like to say if site 10 is proposed then passed, and even if not, all families in this vicinity should have peace of mind to get decent drinkable water. All I read tells me this is an unhealthy environment for my 4 yr old to grow up in. I make this request because your phase 2 work plan doesn't!

⑤ Why is it no risk assessment has been done concerning the residents involved such as

- (A) Cancer / deaths
- (B) Lung diseases
- (C) Neuro defects
- (D) ETC.
- (E) Learning disabilities - types
- (F) Immune problems
- (G) Birth defects
- (H) Miscarriages, etc.

Since PCB's are toxic why is it no risk assessment was done already

because of the high amount of PCB's in Olandfill (Fort Miller) and also in River. I request this be not overlooked and an assessment be done, NOW. When I read an article in a paper such as "Failure Rate at FE school alarms Board." it makes me wonder, at to what's going on. Maybe its the water we all drink. Article was pg 1 Local section Post Star June 10, 1992. I'm extremely concerned. My concern is my son & the children of this area most importantly THEN the FISH.

⑥ I request some agency talk about People #1 and Freshgood Water #2. The agencies talk of Core samples, fish, hot spots, mass transport, etc.

⑦ I request paperwork be sent to involved families. As a tax payer we are told go to Wash. City & repository to see 800 page report. Bifore 7-92 I make this request.

- ⑧ Does it seem right to EPA people should have to live without decent drinking water.
- ⑨ What does EPA do if PCB's are found on private land?
- ⑩ What does EPA do if PCB's found on state land?
- ⑪ What does EPA do if PCB's found in private wells?
- ⑫ What does EPA do if PCB's found in private residences?
- ⑬ I might add the way the phase 2 work plan review copy was put together numerically was a job to find what pages were what. Do they do that so laymen/taxpayers just put it down as to not being able to decipher what's what. I'm annoyed to pay the least.

If we take time to write because
of the fact you want to get
the study/review. When I
was equipped with numbers
degrees of numbers.

(14) And as for your
community information number
people call long distance
212-264-7214 to get
a recording. It's a joke.
you tell people to call a
number to get a recording
to learn that name &
NOT get a call back. MORE
than 3X.

(15) Since the date given is
7-10-92 I'm writing this
because I'm VERY upset
to say the least.

I write letter after letter &
let "little do it really know"
for "little do they want to
to know".
I realize there is hope yet for
no do accidents in the area.

I'm not singling you out
but it says to send you
comments. So I will &
pray you do something &
can help.

Sincerely,

Judy A Velsor

518-747-8780

4
(3) 3 down gradient
1 up gradient

Wells along Paterson Rd. at
St. Miller landfill