# United States Department of the Interior 

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY<br>Columbia Environmental Research Center 4200 New Haven Road<br>Columbia, Missouri 65201

July 21, 2000

Anne Second<br>US Fish and Wildlife Service<br>New York Field Office<br>3817 Laker Road<br>Cortland, New York 13045

## Dear Anne:

The organochlorine pesticide results for the bald eagle bloods have been added to "Report \#1" of the study entitled, "Chemical Contamination of Nesting Tree Swallows, Great Blue Herons, and Resident/Nesting Bald Eagles Along the Hudson River, New York". This report updates the previous report that contained just the congener-specific PCB results. Please note that we have presented the \%lipid results in a more appropriate manner than shown previously with the PCB results where the values had too many significant figures. We evaluated this measurement and because of the very low sample mass and the low percent lipid in these bloods, presenting the \%lipid value as a range better reflects the precision. Please give me a call if this needs any clarification.

The PCDDs, PCDFs, and non-ortho-PCBs information for the eagle bloods is being generated: PCDD/Fs are being identified/quantified and the non-ortho fractions are currently being analyzed by GC/HRMS. A report of the PCDD/F and non-ortho information looks promising in the next three to four weeks. We are finishing the review of the congener-specific PCB and pesticide results for a large part of the tree swallow investigation (CERC \#18759-18799) and for all of the Great Blue Heron brains (\#18803-18818). We will be able to mail that report to you by the end of this month.

The analyses of the other Hudson River samples are still proceeding well. The prey items have been analyzed by GC/ECD and identification/quantification/reporting will soon begin. Give me a call if you have any questions. We can send the reports electronically whenever you would like. My phone number is 573-876-1823.

Sincerely,


Carl E. Orazio, Ph.D.
Leader, Organic Chemistry Section
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## Project History:

The Hudson River is highly contaminated with PCBs from industrial sources, primarily two capacitor manufacturing facilities operated by General Electric. The 200 river miles from the New York Harbor upstream to Hudson Falls, New York, are designated a Superfund Site. From 1946 until 1977, it is estimated that between 209,000 and 1.3 million pounds of PCBs were discharged into the waters of the Hudson by these two plants. Downstream movement of the PCBs was retarded by the Ft. Edward Dam until its removal in 1973, at which time the heavily contaminated sediments and detritus began to migrate downstream. In addition to contamination of the river itself, dredging operations have deposited contaminated material at nine known upland sites adjacent to the river. In 1993, it was discovered that one of the facilities was continuing to discharge PCBs into the river.

Contamination of water, sediments, and fish along the Hudson River by PCBs has been examined, but less is known about the concentration and movement of the cc ntaminants among other trophic levels. Many resident and migrating avian species mic; be affected, including a fairly substantial population of wintering bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus). The samples described in this report are part of a series of studies designed to expand the knowledge of PCB flux in the food chain of bird species and other biota on and around the Hudson River. In 1995-1997, we took part in a study involving tree swallows (Tachycineta bicolor) as the indicator species along the river. Eggs, pre-fledgling chicks, odonates (emergent insects which comprise a large percentage of the diet of the swallows), and two species of ducks were assessed for contaminant concentrations. In 1997-1999, the scope of the study expanded to include samples from a bald eagle and a number of bald eagle prey species. Several species of fish, tree swallows, bluebirds, wood ducks, and two species of sparrow were analyzed.

The present segment of the study, 1999-2001, expands the diversity of the sample matrices still further. In response to the growing number of wintering, and in some cases, nesting bald eagles on the Hudson, tissue and eggs from a larger group of bald eagles and prey species have been added. The great blue heron (Ardea herodias), another top predator inhabiting the area, was examined. To gain further understanding of the factors influencing the life cycles and reproduction of these animals, more comprehensive organic analyses were conducted. Congener-specific PCBs, non-ortho-chlorinated (dioxin-like) PCBs, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDDs, PCDFs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and a suite of organochlorine pesticides were targeted in this investigation. As the information base on this ecosystem grows, a clearer picture of the remedial efforts required to restore it to its normal function will, hopefully, emerge.

Biota sampled by US Fish \& Wildife Service were analyzed by the Organic Chemistry Section of the Columbia Environmental Research Center. The following analytes were targeted:

Total PCBs and selected PCB congeners, Organochlorine pesticides, 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and -dibenzofurans, Non-ortho PCB congeners,

- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons,

In addition to organic analysis, selected samples will be analyzed by inductively coupled plasma for mercury, arsenic, and selenium. The inorganic analysis will be reported under a separate cover.

A total of 124 samples were investigated. The samples were subdivided into smaller groups and the results of the analysis of the groups will be reported in separate reports. This, the first of those reports, concerns concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and PCB congeners in a set of 19 Bald Eagle blood samples
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1. Analytical scheme for organochlorine pesticides, congener-specific PCBs, non-ortho-PCBs, PCDFs, and PCDDs.

## I. Summary of Analytical Methods for Sample Preparation

The 19 Bald Eagle blood (serum) samples in this set were analyzed for PCB congeners and a suite of organochlorine pesticides (OCs). The samples were received in two groups and were assigned CERC database numbers 19848-19860 and 20026-20031. Where serum and cells had been separated, serum was analyzed. Whole blood was analyzed as received.

## Quality Control:

Matrix QC samples (blanks and spikes) prepared from clean bovine serum were analyzed with each set of semples.

All samples, including QC samples were spiked with method recovery compounds ( 40 ng each) before extraction to monitor recoveries through the cleanup procedures. The following compounds were used:

PCS 029 (2,4,6-trich:.orobiphenyl)
PCB155 ( $2,2^{\prime}, 4,4^{\prime}, 6, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$-hexachlorobiphenyl)
PCB 204 ( $2,2^{\prime}, 3,4,4^{\prime}, 5,6,6^{\prime}$-octachlorobiphenyl)
tetra-chloro-meta-xylene
dibutylchlorendate.
Matrix spikes also were spiked with:
Organochlorine pesticides ( 24 compounds; 40 ng each)
PCBs (mixed Aroclors 1242, 1248, 1254, 1260; 1000 ng total Aroclors).
Sample Preparation: The samples were dehydrated by addition of anhydrous sodium sulfate and method recovery compounds were added. Samples were extracted with methylene chloride, and a small portion of the extract ( $1 \%$ ) was used to determine percent lipid (1). Because of limited sample size and low percent lipids the detectable level is $0.15 \%$, and the precision varies as shown in Tables 1 and 4. The remaining extracts were passed through gravity driven gel permeation chromatography (2) and High Performance Gel Permeation Chromatography (HPGPC) (3) before fractionation on a two-layered octadecyl silica/activated silica gel column into two fractions: one fraction containing PCBs and four of the targeted OCs (SODS-1), and a second fraction containing the remainder of the OCs (SODS-2) (4). SODS-2 was analyzed at this point for organochlorine pesticides by GC/ECD. SODS-1 was further fractionated on high performance Porous Graphitic Carbon (PGC) (5) into the following fractions:

PGC 1 ortho-chlorinated PCB congeners and four of the targeted OCs - Analysis by gas chromatography (GC)/ electron-capture detection (ECD)

PGC 2 non-ortho-chlorinated PCBs

- Analysis by GC/ high resolution mass spectrometry (GC/HRMS)

PGC 3 polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and -furans (PCDD/PCDFs) - Analysis by GC/ high resolution mass spectrometry (GC/HRMS)

PGC 1 results are reported in this document. PGC 2 and PGC 3 results will be reported later.

## II. PCB Congener Analysis and Results

The sample extracts were adjusted to a final volume of 2 mL , and 80 ng of the internal standard mix (PCBs 030 and 207) was added. After vortex mixing, a portion of the solution was transferred to a labeled autosampler vial. Individual PCB congeners were measured in PGC1 fractions by GC/ECD. Results of the PCB analysis are presented in Tables 1-3.

Instrumentation: Analyses were perforn,ed as described in CERC SOP P. 195 (6), a dual column method, using Hewlett-Pacirard 5890 Series II GCs with cool on-column capillary injection systems and Hewlett-Packard model 7673 autosamplers. For all analyses, a $3-\mathrm{m}$ section of 0.53 mm i.d. uncoated and deactivated (Restek Corp., Inc.) capillary retention gap was attached to the front of each analytical column by a "Press-Tight" (Restek Corp., Inc.) union. The analytical columns were a $60-\mathrm{m} \times 0.25-$ mm DB-5 ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m} 5 \%$-phenyl-methylpolysiloxane, J\&W Scientific) and a $60-\mathrm{m} \times$ $0.25-\mathrm{mm}$ DB-17 ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m} 50 \%$-phenyl-methylpolysiloxane, J\&W Scientific). The $\mathrm{H}_{2}-$ carrier gas was pressure regulated at 25 psi . The temperature program for the PCB analysis was as follows: initial temperature $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, immediately ramped to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, then ramped to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, and finally ramped to $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, and held for 1 min . The temperature of the ECD was held at $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

General Detection and Quantification Procedure: Capillary GC/ECD data were collected, archived in digital form, and processed using a PE-NeIson chromatography data system which included the model 970 interface and version 4.1 of Turbochrom ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chromatography software on a Pentium microcomputer. Six to nine levels of PCB standards, a combination of Aroclors 1242, 1248, 1254, 1260 in 1:1:1:1 $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ratio (designated A1111), were used for PCB congeners calibration, with total PCB concentrations ranging from 10 to $8000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. An instrumental internal standard (IIS) method with PCB congener 030 or 207 used to calculate the concentrations of the targeted compounds. Samples were processed and analyzed in two batches. PCB congener results are presented in Table 1, designated by their CERC database number and are cross-referenced to their field identification number, site and description. Concentrations are expressed as nanograms of analyte per gram of sample (wet weight).

Quality Control Procedures and Results: Recovery data for PCBs 030, 155, and 204 are presented in Table 3. All concentrations are reported in nanograms per gram,
except for procedural blank samples, which are reported as a mass amount ( ng ). Quality control data for procedural and matrix blanks, spikes, replicates, and positive controls are presented in Table 2. The method detection limits (MDLs) for individual PCB congeners and for total PCBs are based on procedural blank (PB) results according to the method outlined by Keith et al. $(7,8)$. Briefly, an average and standard deviation are determined. The MDL ( ng ) is calculated using the following formula:

$$
M D L=(P B A v g)+3(P B S D) .
$$

The MDL is then expressed in units of concentration: mass of analyte per mass of sample. If sample masses are within $10 \%$ of each other, an average mass is calculated for the entire set. The lowest MDL for this set of samples was $0.01 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ and $3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for the highest (9) of the individual PCB congeners and the MDL $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for total PCB concentrations. If included, the method quantitation limit (MQL) was calculated as well using the formula $(7,8)$ :

$$
M Q L=(P B A v g)+10(P F S D) .
$$

The mass corrections are made in the same manner as for the MDLs. Two congeners $(048,085)$ were non-quantifiable due to known interferences (Table 1).

Gas chromatographic analysis, peak measurem nt decisions, and quantification were monitored with triplicate injection of the same sample. Precision averaged $4 \%$ for all the sample sets.

Accuracy of the method is monitored through rigorous quality control. Analytical standards have been verified against certified standards. Analyte recoveries are monitored by the following spikes:

1) internal recovery standards in each sample,
2) PCB-spiked control bovine serum.

The spiked recovery compounds, PCBs 029, 155, and 204, which elute in the PGC1 fraction, are presented in Table 3. PCB 029, a trichlorobiphenyl, is representative of more volatile early eluting $\mathrm{PCBs}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right)$. PCB 155, a hexachlorobiphenyl, is representative of mid-range eluting congeners ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ ). PCB 204, an octachlorobiphenyl, is less volatile and representative of later eluting PCBs ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{7}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{10}$ ). Recoveries averaged $33 \pm 11 \%$ for PCB 029, $52 \pm 15 \%$ for PCB 155, and $61 \pm$ $17 \%$ for PCB 204 (Table 3). Recoveries of spiked A1111 PCB congeners ranged from $3 \%$ to $121 \%$ and recovery of total PCBs were $69 \%$ for the matrix spike.

## III. Organochlorine Pesticide Analysis and Results

Organochlorine pesticide fractions (SODS-1/PGC 1 and SODS-2) were adjusted to a final volume of 2 mL and 80 ng internal standard (PCBs 030 and 207) was added.

After vortex mixing, a portion of the solution was transferred to a labeled autosampler vial. Individual organochlorine pesticides were measured in both fractions by GC/ECD. Results of the OC pesticide analysis are presented in Tables 4-6.

Instrumentation: Analyses were performed as described in CERC SOP P. 459 (10), using Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II GCs with cool on-column capillary injection systems and Hewlett-Packard model 7673 autosamplers. For all analyses, a 3-m section of 0.53 mm i.d. uncoated and deactivated (Restek Corp., Inc.) capillary retention gap was attached to the front of the analytical column by a "Press-Tight" (Restek Corp., Inc.) union. The analytical column for the SODS-2 fraction was a 30$m \times 0.25-\mathrm{mm}$ DB-1 (methylsilicone, J\&W Scientific). The SODS-1 fractions were analyzed on the a 60 m DB- 5 in order to confirm the identification of OC pesticides. The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-carrier gas was pressure regulated at 11 psi . The temperature program for the analysis was as follows: initial temperature $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, immediately ramped to $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, held 3 minutes, then ramped to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ with a 5 minute hold, and finally ramped to $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, and held for 1 nin . The temperature of the ECD was held at $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

General Detection and Quantification Procedure: Capillary GC/ECD data were collected, archived in digital form, and processed using a PE-Nelson chromatography data system which included the model 970 interface and version 4.1 of Turbochrom ${ }^{T M}$ chromatography software on a Pentium microcomputer. Six levels of OC pesticide standards were used for calibration, with each pesticide at concentrations ranging from 1 to $80 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. An instrumental internal standard (IIS) method with either PCB 030 or 207 was used to calculate the concentrations of the targeted compounds. Samples were analyzed and processed in two batches. Organochlorine pesticide results are presented in Table 4, designated by their CERC database number and are cross-referenced to their field identification number, site, and sample description. Concentrations are expressed as nanograms of analyte per gram of sample (wet weight).

Quality Control Procedures and Results: Quality control data for procedural and matrix blanks, spikes, replicates, and positive controls are presented in Table 5. All concentrations of QC samples are reported in total nanograms, except for matrix blank samples, which are reported as nanograms per gram. The method detection limits (MDLs) for individual compounds are calculated by the method described in the previous section and are mass normalized as discussed above.

The gas chromatographic precision of the pesticide analysis was determined to be $2 \%$ for this OC pesticide sample set based upon triplicate injections of the same sample (MS 102699 \#1). This measures variability in gas chromatographic analyșis (injection, etc.), peak measurement decisions, and quantification.

Recoveries were monitored by the analysis of spiked control bovine serum. The procedural recovery compounds, PCBs 029 and 204 elute in SODS-1. Recoveries of these compounds averaged $40 \pm 7 \%$ and $71 \pm 4 \%$, respectively (Table 6). SODS-1
compound concentrations, HCB, heptachlor were corrected using PCB 029 recoveries; p,p'-DDE, and mirex, were corrected using PCB 204 recoveries. The SODS-2 recovery compound, dibutylchlorendate, averaged $89 \pm 8 \%$ recovery. The OC pesticides that elute in SODS-2 were corrected using dibutylchlorendate recoveries. DDT compounds averaged $87 \pm 15 \%$; chlordanes averaged $85 \pm 2 \%$; BHC compounds averaged $82 \pm 6 \%$; endosulfans averaged $85 \pm 3 \%$.

## IV. Summary of PCB and OC-Pesticide Results

This report is part of the much larger investigation of exposure of biota to contaminants along the Hudson River, NY. Fish, birds, prey items, eagle bloods are being analyzed for organochlorine pesticides, PCB congeners, non-ortho-PCBs, and PCDDs/PCDFs. This report includes the organochlorine and PCB congener results for a selected set of 19 eagle bloods.

The OC-pesticide and PCB data quality is described earlier in the report. Tine quality control samples show that the results fall well within QC limits. Background levels of PCBs were very low and use of the bovine serum allowed for accurate assessment of the method through matrix spikes. Furthermore, each sample is spiked with appropriate chemical compounds that enable the quality of each analysis to be monitored.

The concentrations of total-PCBs in the eagle serums and bloods rangec from 214 $14,240 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$. Percent lipids ranged from 0.16-1.22\%, however there appears to be no correlation between the percent lipid and total-PCB concentration. At this time, the site information remains undisclosed, so correlation to environmental conditions and spatial distributions cannot be made. Patterns of the PCBs in the blood and serum show low amounts of mono-chloro through tri-chloro PCBs and greater amounts of the higher chlorinated congeners. Congeners with the highest concentrations in any of the samples were 153, 138, 118, and 180. Dioxin-like, mono-ortho congener concentrations in serum and blood ranged from: $2.8-260 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for $105,0.28-29 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for $114,6.4-892 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for $118,0.1-20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for $123,0.4-$ $122 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for $156,0.2-19 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for 157, and $0.15-10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ for 189 . Once the nonortho PCB results and the PCDD/PCDF information are available, the total dioxin-like concentrations can be assessed.

Concentrations of p,p'-DDE in serums and bloods ranged from 11 to $986 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$. Generally, the only pesticides found in these samples were DDT and chlordane components. Other pesticides-dieldrin and mirex-were found at low levels only. There was no correlation between higher percent lipid levels and higher p,p'-DDE concentrations. Higher DDE concentrations correlated with higher total PCBs.
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Table 4. Organochlorine Pesticides in Eagle Blood ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ )

| Sample <br> Name | Field ID | Species | Total geq for Analyals | Percent <br> Lipid | A-BHC | B-BHC | HCB | PCA | Lindane | D-BHC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19848-s | BE-A-BL.904-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.65 | $0.45+1-0.08$ | < 0.01 | $<0.4$. | < 0.8 | 0.1 | < 0.02 | $<0.05$ |
| 19849-s | BE-A-BL949-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.87 | $0.56+1-0.06$ | 0.1 | < 0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | <0.02 | < 0.05 |
| 19850-s | BE-M-BL935-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.25 | $0.37+/-0.07$ | 0.1 | < 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | <0.02 | < 0.05 |
| 19851-s | BE-A-BL913-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.63 | $0.46+/-0.08$ | < 0.01 , | < 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | <0.02 | $<0.05$ |
| 19852-s | BE-A-BL956-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.18 | $1.0+1-0.1$ | $<0.01$ | < 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | $<0.02$ | $<0.05$ |
| 19853-s | BE-IM-BL914-98 | Eagle Blood | 0.99 | $1.22+1-0.22$ | < 0.01 . | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | $<0.02$. | < 0.05 |
| 19854-s | BE-BL921-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.92 | $0.75+1-0.08$ | $<0.01$ | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | $<0.02$ | $<0.05$ |
| 19855-s | BE-A-BL898-97 | Eagle Blood | 3.14 | $0.38+1-0.07$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.4$ | <0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.05 |
| 19856-s | BE-A-BL968-99 | Eagle Blood | 1.52 | $1.11+1-0.15$ | < 0.01 . | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | $<0.05$ |
| 19857-s | BE-NE-BL950-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.93 | $0.93+/-0.06$ | 0.1 | < 0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | $<0.02$ | <0.05 |
| 19858-s | BE-NE-BL911A-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.27 | $0.67+1-0.07$ | 0.1 | <0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.2 | $<0.02$ | $<0.05$ |
| 19859-s | BE-NE-BL911B-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.43 | $0.49+1-0.06$ | $<0.01$. | <0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | $<0.02$ | < 0.05 |
| 19860-s | BE-NE-BL911C-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.17 | $0.22+1-0.07$ | $<0.01$. | < 0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | <0.02 | $<0.05$ |
| 20026-s | BE-IM-BL976-99 | Eagle Blood | 2.87 | $0.42+1 / 0.08$ | 0.1: | <0.4. | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | $<0.05$ |
| 20027-w | BE-NE-BL972A-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.05 | $0.55+1-0.07$ | $<0.01$ | <0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | $<0.02$ | < 0.05 |
| 20028-w | BE-NE-BL972B-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.16 | $0.38+1-0.07$ | < 0.01 : | < 0.4 | $<0.8$ | 0.1 | $<0.02$ | $<0.05$ |
| 20029-w | BE-NE-BL971-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.08 | $0.23+1-0.07$ | $<0.01$. | < 0.4 . | < 0.8 ! | 0.1 | <0.02 | $<0.05$ |
| 20030-w | BE-NE-BL974-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.05 | $0.23+1-0.07$ | < 0.01 ; | $<0.4$ | < 0.8 | 0.1 | <0.02 | < 0.05 |
| 20031-w | BE-IM-BL981-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.50 | $0.34+0.06$ | 0.1 . | < 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $<0.05$ |
| $s=$ Blood Serum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ Whole Blood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mass corrected | DL | average mass $=$ | 2.86 | grams | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 |

Table 4. Organochlorine Pesticides in Eagle Blood (ng/g)

| Sample <br> Name | Heptachlor | Dacthal | Heptachlor Epoxide | Oxychlordane | trans- <br> Chlordane | o,p-DDE | Endosulfan 1 | cisChlordane | trans- <br> Nonachlor | Dieldrin | p, p'-DDE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19848-s | < 0.01 | $<3.3$ | 0.2 | 1.0 | < 0.3 | $<0.01$ | < 0.01 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 79 |
| 19849-s | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 0.5 | 1.6 | <0.3. | < 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 110 |
| 19850-s | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 2.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | $<0.01$. | 2.7 | 16 | 9.0 | 210 |
| 19851-s | < 0.01 | < 3.3 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $<0.01$ | 1.2 | 17 | 16 | 260 |
| 19852-s | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 0.6 | 2.0 | < 0.3 | $<0.01$. | $<0.01$ | 0.7 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 150 |
| 19853-s | < 0.01 | $<3.3$ | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | $<0.01$ | 4.6 | 12 | 3.9 | 160 |
| 19854-s | 0.1 | $<3.3$ | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $<0.01$ | 3.1 | 10 | 9.1 | 99 |
| 19855-s | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 1.5 | 4.7 | < 0.3 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 1.2 | 21 | 9.5 | 350 |
| 19856-s | 0.8 | $<3.3$ | 3.8 | 11 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 59 | 28 | 990 |
| 19857-s | 0.1 | < 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | $<0.01$. | $<0.01$, | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 64 |
| 19858-s | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | <0.01 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 21 |
| 19859-s | < 0.01 | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | <0.3! | < 0.01 | < 0.01; | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 19860-s | < 0.01 | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.3 : | $<0.01$. | $<0.01$. | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 11 |
| 20026-s | <0.01 | <3.3 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 21. | 24 | 870 |
| 20027-w | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | $<0.01$ | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 27 |
| 20028-w | $<0.01$ | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | < 0.3 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.71 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 22 |
| 20029-w | $<0.01$ | <3.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $<0.3$ | <0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 16 |
| 20030-w | < 0.01 | $<3.3$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | $<0.3$ | $<0.01$. | < 0.01 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 20 |
| 20031-w | $<0.01$ | <3.3 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | < 0.01 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 91 |
| s=Blood Serum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ Whole Blood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mass corrected | 0.01 | 3.3 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

Table 4. Organochlorine Pesticides in Eagie Blood (ng/g)

| Sample <br> Name | O,p'-DDD | Endrin | Endosulfan 2 ! | p,p'-DDD | cis- <br> Nonachlor | o,p'-DDT | Endosulfate | p, p'-DDT | Methoxychlor | Mirex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19848-s | 0.5 | 0.3 | $<0.01$ | 1.2 | 1.0 | $<0.01$ | $<0.5$ | 0.9 | < 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 19849-5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | $<0.5$ | 0.6 | <1.5 | 3.4 |
| 19850-s | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 1.1 | $<0.5$ | 0.7 | $<1.5$ | 12 |
| 19851-s | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.5 | $<0.5$ | 0.9 | < 1.5 | 25 |
| 19852-s | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | < 0.01 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.8 | < 0.5 | 1.0 | < 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 19853-5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11. | 4.5 | 0.8 | $<0.5$ | 6.9 | $<1.5$ | 1.1 |
| 19854-s | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 4.4 | 0.9 | $<0.5$ | 0.8 | $<1.5$ | 2.1 |
| 19855-s | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 0.4 | $<0.5$ | 2.1 | < 1.5 | 8.3 |
| 19856-s | 0.8 | $<0.1$ | 1.0 | 47 | 19 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 4.3 | <1.5 | 7.3 |
| 19857-s | 0.3 | $<0.1$ | < 0.01 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | $<0.5$ | 0.7 | $<1.5$ | 0.3 |
| 19858-s | 0.2 | <0.1 | 0.1' | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | < 0.5 | 0.7 | $<1.5$ | 0.2 |
| 19859-s | 0.0 | < 0.1. | < 0.01 | 1.3 | 0.5 | < 0.01 ; | < 0.5 | 0.6 | $<1.5$ | 0.2 |
| 19860-s | 0.2 | $<0.1$ | < 0.01 | 1.2 | 0.4 | < 0.01 | $<0.5$ | 0.8 | < 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 20026-s | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 80 | 12 | 1.9 | $<0.5$ | 2.8 | < 1.5 | 3.5 |
| 20027-w | 0.4 | $<0.1$ | < 0.01 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | < 0.5 | 0.7 | $<1.5$ | 0.2 |
| 20028-w | 0.3 | <0.1 | $<0.01$ | 1.7 | 0.4 | < 0.01 | < 0.5 | 0.6 | $<1.5$ | 0.2 |
| 20029-w | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | $<0.01$ | 1.2 | 0.3 | $<0.01$. | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | < 1.5 | $<0.1$ |
| 20030-w | 0.3 | 0.1 | $<0.01$ | 1.5 | 0.5 | < 0.01 | < 0.5 | 0.9 | < 1.5 | 0.3 |
| 20031-w | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | $<0.5$ | 0.9 | < 1.5 | 2.0 |
| s=Blood Serum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ! |  |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ Whole Blood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mass corrected A | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 |

## Table 5. Organochlorine Pesticide QC--Eagle Blood (ng)

| all in ng amounts Sample Name | Field ID | Species | Gram equivalents for Analysis | Percent Lipid | A-BHC | B-BHC | HCB | PCA | Lindane | D-BHC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS102699\#1 GCR1 (ng) | Matrix Spike | Bovine Serum | 2.87 | $<0.15$ | 22 | 28 | 19 | 28 | 24 | 25 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR2 (ng) | Matrix Spike | Bovine Serum | 2.87 | < 0.15 | 25 | 32. | 19 | 31 | 28 | 28 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR3 (ng) | Matrix Spike | Bovine Serum | 2.87 | < 0.15 | 26 | 33 | 18 | $31^{\prime}$ | 28 | 29 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 26. | 33 | 18 | 31 | 28 | 29 |
| SD ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| \% RSD |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Percent Recovery |  |  |  |  | 75 | 82 | 41 | 68 | 80 | 90 |
| MB102699\#1 ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Matrix Blank | Bovine Serum | 2.94 | < 0.15 | < 0.01 | < 0.4 | < 0.8 | < 0.05 | < 0.02 | < 0.05 |
| MB102699\#2 (ng/g) | Matrix Blank | Bovine Serum | 2.94 | < 0.15 | <0.01 | < 0.4 | <0.8 | $<0.05$ | <0.02 | $<0.05$ |
| PB102699 GCR1 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | - | -- | 0.00 | 0.20 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| PB102699 GCR2 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | --- | --- | 0.00 | 0.47 | 1.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| PB102699 GCR3 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\cdots$ | --- | 0.00 | 0.48 | 1.08 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| PB102999 GCR1 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | --- | --- | 0.00 | 0.63 | 1.61 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| PB102999 GCR2 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | -- | --- | 0.00 | 0.77 | 1.61 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| PB102999 GCR3 (ng) | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | --- | --- | 0.00 | 0.80 | 1.62 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.56 | 1.34 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| SD ( $n-1$ ) |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| MDL $=$ PB AVG+3(PB SD) | Method Detection Limit |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.23 | 2.25 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.15 |
| mass normalized MDL |  | average mass = | 2.86 | rams | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 |

Table 5. Organochlorine Pesticide QC--Eagle Blood (ng)

| all in ng amounts Sample <br> Name | Heptachlor | Dacthal | Heptachlor Epoxide | Oxychlordane | transChlordane | o,p-DDE | Endosulfan 1 | cisChlordane | transNonachlor | Dieldrin | p,p'-DDE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS102699\#1 GCR1 (ng) | 11 | 29 | 27 | 28. | 27 | 28. | 26 | 28 | 29 | 26 | 20 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR2 (ng) | 12 | 36 | 33 | 34 | 32. | 31. | 31 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 20 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR3 (ng) | 12 | 37 | 34: | 35 | 33 | ? | 31 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 20 |
| Average | - 12 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 32 | 20 |
| SD ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| \% RSD | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Percent Recovery | 26 | 84 | 84 | 80 | 84 | 86 | 82 | 83 | 86 | 85 | 61 |
| MB102699\#1 ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $<0.01$, | $<3.3$ | < 0.03 | < 0.1 | $<0.3$ | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | $<0.2$ | $<0.5$ |
| MB102699\#2 ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | 0.2 | <3.3 | < 0.03 | $<0.1$; | $<0.3$ | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | $<0.01$ | < 0.2 | $<0.5$ |
| PB102699 GCR1 (ng) | 0.00 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.97 |
| PB102699 GCR2 (ng) | 0.02 | 2.34 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.98 |
| PB102699 GCR3 (ng) | 0.03 | 2.25 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 1.08 |
| PB102999 GCR1 (ng) | 0.00 | 5.28 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.02: | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.56 |
| PB102999 GCR2 (ng) | 0.00 | 5.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.58 |
| PB102999 GCR3 (ng) | 0.00 | 5.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.58 |
| Average | 0.01 | 3.90 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.79 |
| SD ( $n-1$ ) | 0.01 | 1.80 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.24 |
| MDL=PB AVG+3(PB SD) | 0.04 | 9.30 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.51 | 1.52 |
| mass normalized MDL | 0.01 | 3.3 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

Table 5. Organochlorine Pesticide QC--Eagle Blood (ng)

| all in ng amounts Sample Name | O,p'-DDD | Endrin | Endosulfan 2 | P, p'-DDD | cisNonachlor | o,p'-DDT | Endosulfate | p,p'-DDT | Methoxychior | Mirex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS102699\#1 GCR1 (ng) | 27 | 19.3 | 27. | 24 | 28 | 17 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 23 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR2 (ng) | 34 | 22.7 : | 33. | 30 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 38 | 24 |
| MS102699\#1 GCR3 (ng) | 34 | 23.7 | 34. | 31 | 34 | 37. | 34 | 37 | 43 | 25 |
| Average | 34 | 23.2 | 33 | 20 | 34 | 36 | 33 | 35 | 40 | 25 |
| SD ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) | 0.5 | 0.7. | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7. | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| \% RSD | 1.5 | - . 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 4. | 3.0 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 |
| Percent Recovery | 84 | 58. | 85 | 95 | 87 | 93 | 87 | 105. | 113 | 45 |
| MB102699\#1 ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | < 0.6 | <0.1 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 ' | $<0.05$ | $<0.01{ }^{\text {' }}$ | $<0.5$ | 0.7 | $<1.5$ | $<0.1$ |
| MB102699\#2 ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $<0.6$ | <0.1 | < 0.01 ' | < 0.01 | $<0.05$. | < 0.01 | $<0.5$ | 0.6 | $\leq 1.5$ | $<0.1$ |
| PB102699 GCR1 (ng) | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| PB102699 GCR2 (ng) | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.11 |
| PB102699 GCR3 (ng) | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 : | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.76 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| PB102999 GCR1 (ng) | 0.95 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 1.12 | 2.23 | 0.04 |
| PB102999 GCR2 (ng) | 0.95 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 2.22 | 0.06 |
| PB102999 GCR3 (ng) | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 1.76 | 0.05 |
| Average | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.88 | 1.09 | 0.08 |
| SD ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 1.08 | 0.04 |
| MDL=PB AVG+3(PB SD) | 1.72 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 1.54 | 1.53 | 4.35 | 0.19 |
| mass normalized MDL | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 : | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 |

Table 6. Procedural Recoveries for OC Pesticides

| Sample <br> Name | Field id | Species | TOTAL geq for Analysis | PCB 029 | \% Recovery | PCB 204 | \% Recovery | DBC | $\%$ <br> Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19848-s | BE-A-BL-904-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.65 | 6.0 | 38 | 11 | 71 | 16 | 98 |
| 19849-s | BE-A-BL.949-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.87 | 3.8 | 35 | 7.6 | 73 | 9.2 | 85 |
| 19850-s | BE-IM-BL935-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.25 | 6.5 | 50 | 8.9 | 72 | 11 | 85 |
| 19851-s | BE-A-BL913-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.63 | 8.6 | 54 | 11 | 71 | 12 | 74 |
| 19852-s | BE-A-BL956-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.18 | 9.2 | 48 | 12 | 63 | 17 | 89 |
| 19853-s | BE-IM-BL914-98 | Eagle Blood | 0.99 | 13 | 31 | 27 | 67 | 41 | 97 |
| 19854-s | BE-BL921-98 | Eagle Blood | 2.92 | 6.5 | 45 | 10 | 76 | 13 | 89 |
| 19855-s | BE-A-BL898-97 | Eagle Blood | 3.14 | 6.1 | 45 | 9.0 | 70 | 11 | 82 |
| 19856-s | BE-A-BL968-99 | Eagle Blood | 1.52 | 12 | 43 | 18 | 67 | 20 | 73 |
| 19857-s | BE-NE-BL950-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.93 | 3.6 | 34 | 7.: | 69 | 9.7 | 91 |
| 19858-s | BE-NE-BL911A-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.27 | 7.2 | 56 | 9.2 | 75 | 12 | 94 |
| 19859-s | [BE-NE-BL9118-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.43 | 6.2 | 50 | 8.1 | 69 | 12 | 98 |
| 19860-s | BE-NE-BL911C-98 | Eagle Blood | 3.17 | 7.3 | 55 | 9.1 | 72 | 13 | 98 |
| 20026-s | BE-IM-BL976-99 | Eagle Blood | 2.87 | 5.5 | 38 | 10 | 72 | 12 | 83 |
| 20027-w | BE-NE-BL972A-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.05 | 5.4 | 39 | 9.5 | 72 | 14 | 100 |
| 20028-w | BE-NE-BL972B-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.16 | 5.0 | 38 | 9.2 | 72 | 13 | 97 |
| 20029-w | BE-NE-BL971-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.08 | 7.6 | 56 | 10 | 78 | 13 | 98 |
| 20030-w | BE-NE-BL974-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.05 | 3.9 | 29 | 10 | 76 | 13 | 93 |
| 20031-w | BE-M-BL981-99 | Eagle Blood | 3.50 | 4.0 | 33 | -. 3 | 72 | 11 | 88 |
| MB102699\#1 | Matrix Blank | Bovine Serum | 2.94 | 5.7 | 40 | 9.9 | 72 | 39 | 92 |
| MB102699\#2 | Matrix Blank | , Bovine Serum | 2.94 | 4.2 | 30 | 10 | 75 | 39 | 93 |
| MS102699\#1 | Matrix Spike | Bovine Serum | 2.96 | 18 | 43 | 26 | 65 | 40 | 95 |
| PB102699 | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | ... | 12 | 29 | 27 | 66 | 31 | 73 |
| PB102999 | Procedural Blank | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | ..- | 18 | 44 | 29 | 71 | 35 | 85 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 42 |  | 71 |  | 90 |
| Std Dev. |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 4 |  | 8 |

