GERALD & SOLOMON

DEM DISHMAN OF THE PER

MEMBER D. CONCRESS BUTH BUTHALL MEM YORK MADUL SEES BATHARD SULDING WASHING TON BE 36 \$1 \$ MAY 325 2514

9451641 801445 84441 847454 47 18661 84448884 474

Filmin Marinda ng Jorge Roaf Beudhe Jaa Raw ng Jeringsipe Mila Traintige ni pheleng Mila Traintige ni pheleng

Congress of the United States Kouse of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

May 9, 1991

RULES COMMITTEE

WINTER

WOUSE TAJE TORCE ON

En .9 EART BRUSE "

SOLGATION AND THE ELDTH

46 PHE AND AL GOT WHAT

36708

The Honorable William K. Reilly Administrator
Environmental Protection Agen.
401 M Street, SW
Suite 1200-W
Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Bill:

I would respectfully request that you personally intervene to prevent the release of a PCB risk assessment until it can be based on new and accurate scientific information.

On May 15 your regional office in New York City intends to release a Preliminary Risk Assessment for the Mudson River, a document which will be based on outdated and inadequate information. This risk assessment cannot possibly comply with EPA regulations requiring that all risk assessments be based on specific facts which reflect the current condition of the site.

For some unknown reason, this document is being mushed out in apparent violation of the National contingency plans by the Regional Office staff only a few months after the start of the overall Hudson River Reassessment. The document relies on data that is literally years old. Further, it will not consider the beneficial effects of the recently completed \$15 million remediation project for the so called "remaint deposits" of PCB's located along the banks of the upper Hudson. Consequently the risk assessment will not reflect the changing and improving conditions of the river. Instead, it will serve the purpose of spreading misinformation and alarm among citizens and local government officials who have been assured that EPA will make decisions based on good and defensible science.

Perhaps even worse, this document will lead to a loss of credibility for EPA

The publication of this flawed risk assessment is only the latest in a series of events which indicate that decision making for the Hudson River is critically in need of oversight from your office. For example, I have been told by representatives of GE that they are able to meet only with the staff of the Regional Director to discuss important issues — such as the risk assessment. The complex scientific and public policy issues which surround the Hudson River project, make this lack of communication difficult to understand. It is particularly puzzling given your interest and support for biodequadation and other new technologies that might be better solutions for hexardous waste sites across the country.

001 0401

Bill, as you may know, GE is investing some \$50 million to develop biodegradation as a viable means of remediating sediments. In fact, a demonstration of this technology is scheduled to begin in the Hudson within a few weeks. Additionally, extensive natural biodegradation of PCB's is taking place in the Hudson, raducing the toxicity of the chemicals and actually destroying them. The condition of the Hudson appears to be improving dramatically, with further improvements expected.

The risk assessment being released next week considers none of this information, nor does it consider the emerging science which recognizes that PCE's are a family of over 200 chemicals with widely varying degrees of toxicity.

Given your policy of making decisions based on the best science, I ask that you determine whether the publication of this risk assessment is good policy, and whether an open and fair process is being followed which will lead to best decision for the Hudson.

The state of the s

report of Congress