

New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England District

Final Parcel 265 Intertidal After Action Report

ACE-J23-35BG2000-M17-0025

August 2018





New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site

| Project No: | 35BG2000 |
|------------------|---|
| Document Title: | Final Parcel 265 Intertidal After Action Report |
| Document No.: | ACE-J23-35BG2000-M17-0025 |
| Date: | August 2018 |
| Client Name: | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England District |
| Project Manager: | Steve Fox |
| Author: | Mike Morris |

Jacobs Engineering

6 Otis Park Drive Bourne, Massachusetts 02532-3870 United States T +1.508.743.0214 F +1.508.743.9177 www.jacobs.com



Table of Contents

| Ac | ronym | is and Abbreviations | . iii | | | |
|----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Intro | duction | . 1 | | | |
| 2. | Remedial Activities | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Site Preparation | . 1 | | | |
| | 2.2 | Removal of Contaminated Sediments | . 2 | | | |
| | 2.3 | Environmental Sampling | . 2 | | | |
| | 2.4 | Site Restoration | . 3 | | | |
| 3. | Waste Management | | | | | |
| 4. | References | | | | | |

Figures

| Figure 1-1 | Parcel 265 Site and Pre-Excavation Features |
|------------|---|
| Figure 2-1 | Parcel 265 Pre-Excavation Contaminant Boundaries |
| Figure 2-2 | Parcel 265 Pre-Excavation Wetland Cover and Topography |
| Figure 2-3 | Parcel 265 Limits of Excavation |
| Figure 2-4 | Parcel 265 Verification and Confirmation Sample Locations |
| Figure 2-5 | Parcel 265 Record Drawing and Cross Section Location |
| Figure 2-6 | Parcel 265 Cross Section A-A' |
| Figure 2-7 | Parcel 265 Post-Excavation Restoration |
| | |

Tables

- Table 2-2
 Post-Excavation PCB Congener Sample Data
- Table 2-3Site Restoration Summary

Attachment

Attachment 1 95% Upper Confidence Limit Calculation



(intentionally blank)



Acronyms and Abbreviations

| BOD | Basis of Design |
|----------|---|
| CDA | compliance demonstration area |
| CDF | Confined Disposal Facility |
| су | cubic yards |
| DDA | Debris Disposal Area |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| FSP | Field Sampling Plan |
| ft. | feet |
| GPS | global positioning system |
| Jacobs | Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. |
| mg/kg | milligrams per kilogram |
| MHHW | mean higher-high water |
| NAE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – New England District |
| NBHSS | New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site |
| PCB | polychlorinated biphenyl |
| QAPP | Quality Assurance Project Plan |
| RBG | risk-based goals |
| RTK | real-time kinematic |
| Sevenson | Sevenson Environmental Services, Inc. |
| TCL | target cleanup level |
| TSCA | Toxic Substances Control Act |
| UCL | upper confidence limit |



(intentionally blank)



1. Introduction

Remediation and restoration of Parcel 265 intertidal zone were conducted by Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. (Jacobs) under U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - New England District (NAE) Interim Remediation Action Contract No. W912WJ-14-D-0002 between January 11, 2016 and June 27, 2016. The primary objective of remedial action at Parcel 265 was to remove soil and sediment with polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) levels greater than the site-specific target cleanup levels (TCLs) as established in the 1998 Record of Decision for the New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site (EPA 1998), and to restore the site to baseline or comparable conditions. TCLs established for the Parcel 265 Site are 10 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) for mudflats/subtidal areas (regardless of depth), 25 mg/kg for soil and sediment one foot (ft.) deep or less in vegetated marsh areas for recreational users, and 50 mg/kg for soil and sediment deeper than 1 ft. in vegetated marsh areas. A 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) compliance calculation was performed on the final remediated and restored condition of the top foot of the entire Parcel 265 intertidal zone to ensure that PCB concentrations were below the recreational TCL of 25 mg/kg. Parcel 265 is located on the western side of New Bedford Harbor adjacent to the Coggeshall Street Bridge. The site is bounded to the west by the 7-Eleven Store and the overflow parking lot for the Market Basket grocery store. It is bounded on the north by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site (NBHSS) Pilot Confined Disposal Facility (CDF) C, which now serves as the NBHSS project Debris Disposal Area (DDA) (Figure 1-1).

The purpose of this After Action Report is to document the remediation activity and final disposition of the restored Parcel 265 area. Contaminated sediments were removed and the Parcel 265 area was restored according to the *Draft Final Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation Plan* (Work Plan) [Jacobs 2016].

2. Remedial Activities

The methods used to complete the remedial activities at the site are presented below. All site activities were conducted in accordance with the Work Plan.

2.1 Site Preparation

Sampling of sediment and soil from the subtidal, intertidal, and vegetated areas around Parcel 265 was conducted between 1999 and 2015, which provided the horizontal and vertical boundaries for PCB-contaminated sediment excavation. Figure 2-1 and Table 2-1 present the pre-excavation sampling locations and PCB concentrations in sediments for the Parcel 265 intertidal zone.

Pre-existing conditions at Parcel 265 were documented prior to the initiation of remedial activities to establish baseline conditions for backfill, contouring, and re-establishment of native vegetation. This included a pre-excavation elevation survey of the intertidal area (Figure 2-2). Pre-existing vegetative characteristics, including the type and extent of vegetative cover, were outlined in the *Draft Final Restoration Basis of Design / Design Analysis Report* (FW 2002) [Restoration Basis of Design (BOD)], and the *Final Wetland Delineation and Function and Values Update Memorandum* (AECOM 2015) [Wetland Delineation]. Other pre-excavation preparation activities included the installation of security fencing, site clearing, construction of an access road, and mobilization of equipment.



2.2 Removal of Contaminated Sediments

Excavation was conducted by Sevenson Environmental Services, Inc. (Sevenson) with a track-mounted excavator operated in the intertidal zone and guided by real time kinematic Global Positioning System (RTK GPS) (Figure 2-3). Excavated material was temporarily piled and staged in the intertidal zone near the mean higher-high water (MHHW) elevation to allow water to drain from the sediment prior to loading into trucks.

A total of 4,842 cubic yards (cy) of contaminated sediments was removed from the Parcel 265 intertidal zone during field activities based on estimates derived from the pre-excavation and post-excavation survey data. Contaminated sediments at Parcel 265 were removed between 18 January and 2 March 2016. The limits of excavation are presented on Figure 2-3.

2.3 Environmental Sampling

Post-excavation verification sampling was conducted by an independent party in accordance with the Field Sampling Plan (FSP) Addendum #1 to the *Revised Draft Final Confirmatory Sampling Field Sampling Plan, Lower Harbor Winter 2016 Dredge Areas and Parcel 265* (Battelle 2016a) [Confirmatory Sampling FSP] as well as the *Uniform Federal Policy- Quality Assurance Project Plan* (*QAPP*) *Addendum* (Battelle 2016b). Verification samples were collected on a 50-ft. grid from a pre-defined mudflat/subtidal compliance demonstration area (CDA) and a saltmarsh CDA. Jacobs screened the verification samples using immunoassay analysis to evaluate whether any further removal of contaminated sediment was required (Figure 2-4).

A spatially-representative subset of the verification samples pre-designated as confirmatory samples in the Confirmatory Sampling FSP was submitted for PCB congeners following excavation to ensure compliance with the applicable TCL. PCB analysis for 139 PCB congeners was performed by an independent party according to the methods outlined in the *QAPP Addendum* (Battelle 2016b). Post-excavation average concentrations were calculated for the Parcel 265 vegetated intertidal (3.8 mg/kg, prior to placement of clean backfill) and mudflat/subtidal areas (34.4 mg/kg), as summarized in Table 2-2.

Confirmatory samples were collected from the area backfilled with at least 1 ft. of material. Two of these sample locations, C14 and C15, were collected from the deep excavation adjacent to the wooden structure and represent areas that have been converted to subtidal. PCB concentrations for C14 and C15 were 122 and 27.9 mg/kg, respectively. Over-excavation was conducted adjacent to the wooden structure in an effort to remove all contaminated sediment; however, excavation ceased at a depth of 14 ft. upon direction of NAE. This area was backfilled with 4 to 5 ft. of stone, and is now a subtidal area with a subaqueous cap, and therefore no longer represents an area with potential dermal contact (Figure 2-5).

To assess recreational dermal exposure to intertidal soils and sediments, a 95% UCL calculation of the mean was performed on the final remediated and restored condition of the top foot of the entire Parcel 265 intertidal zone (i.e., remediated areas as well as areas not requiring remediation). This 95% UCL was calculated to be 3.719 mg/kg, as detailed further in Attachment 1. Verification and confirmation sample data are presented in the *Draft 2017 Intertidal Verification and Confirmatory Report* (Battelle 2018).

Ambient air and particulate monitoring was conducted by an independent party at fixed monitoring locations during Parcel 265 remedial activities in accordance with the Draft Final Ambient Air Monitoring Plan for



Remediation Activities (Jacobs 2015). No exceedances to risk-based goals (RBGs) were identified (USEPA 2018).

2.4 Site Restoration

Site restoration activities were completed following the removal of contaminated sediments according to the methods defined in the Work Plan. Restoration activities included backfill, revegetation, and removal of security fencing and an access road. Backfill of excavated areas was performed by Sevenson using fill material from an uncontaminated virgin source as specified in the Work Plan (Figures 2-5 and 2-6).

The plant community composition at the Site was restored on an approximate 1:1 basis, as compared to the *Wetland Delineation* (AECOM 2015). The exception to this restoration ratio is the combination mudflat and beach (subtidal), where the pre-excavation survey (1.29 acres in 2015) and the post-excavation survey (0.283 acres in 2016) differ. The difference is the excavated mudflat areas were not restored, except to establish a stable slope near the low marsh border. A post-excavation wetlands cover map is presented in Figure 2-7.

Site monitoring and maintenance will continue through the first five full growing seasons (Fall 2021) to document the extent to which the wetland restoration and, where applicable, upland restoration goals of the project are being met. The monitoring protocols are described in the Work Plan. Additional site restoration details are provided in Table 2-3.

3. Waste Management

Sediment generated from the Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation was disposed in accordance with the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). A total of 6,964.08 tons of stabilized sediment generated during the Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation was transported via truck to Worcester, Massachusetts where it was transloaded to rail to Wayne Disposal, Inc. Site #2 Landfill, operated by US Ecology, Inc. in Belleville, MI.

4. References

AECOM. 2015. Final Wetland Delineation and Function and Values Update Memorandum. October.

- Battelle. 2016a. Field Sampling Plan Addendum #1 to the Revised Draft Final Confirmatory Sampling Field Sampling Plan, Lower Harbor Winter 2016 Dredge Areas and Parcel 265.
 - 2016b. Draft Uniform Federal Policy Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum Revision #9, Environmental Monitoring, Sampling and Analysis at the New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site, New Bedford, Massachusetts. Prepared under Contract W912WJ-12-D-0004 Task Order No. 10 for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England District, Concord, MA. September.
- ———. 2018. Draft 2017 Intertidal Verification and Confirmatory Sampling Report, 2016-2017 Dredge Seasons. New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site, New Bedford, MA. May.
- Foster Wheeler (FW). 2002. Draft Final Restoration Basis of Design/Design Analysis Report. New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site, New Bedford, MA.
- Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. (Jacobs). 2016. Draft Final Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation Plan, New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site. ACE-J23-35BG1001-M1-0029. January.



- 2015. Draft Final Ambient Air Monitoring Plan for Remediation Activities. ACE-J23-35BG1001-M17-0009. New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site. July.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1998. Record of Decision for the Upper and Lower Harbor Operable Unit, New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site. September 1998. USEPA Region 1 – New England.
- 2018. Air Monitoring Data Status as of April 2018. Table E-1, Ambient Air Monitoring Program—Total Detectable PCB Homologues. <u>http://www2.epa.gov/new-bedfordharbor/new-bedford-harbor-cleanup-plans-technical-documents-and-environmental-data</u>



















<u>Legend</u>

n: Y:/NBH/Projects/35BG100120180518/ArcG1

Est. MLLW based on Post Bathy Surface MHHW Parcel 265 Boundary Limits of Excavation

Sub-Aqueous Cap Extent

Conservation Mix Grasses Contractors Mix Grasses American Beach Grass Low Marsh Mudflat

Pre-existing Riprap

Aerial Photography MASSGIS 2014

Post-Excavation/Restoration Topography (1ft Contour)

0

- MU28\Area T Dredge Boundary

100

Feet 1:900



Parcel 265 Post-Excavation Restoration

New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site

NAME: jpiccuito Date: 8/30/2018 Figure 2-7

Tables

| Location | Depth Interval (feet) | Collection Date | Area-Specific Shoreline Cleanup Levels ¹ | Total PCB ^{2,4} (mg/kg) | Qual |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| 265-01 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 9.6 | |
| 265-01 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 7.3 | |
| 265-02 | 0-1 | 11/17/14 | Intertidal | 20.2 | |
| 265-02 | 1-2 | 11/17/14 | Intertidal | 3.4 | |
| 265-03 | 0-1 | 11/17/14 | Subtidal | 6.56 | |
| 265-03 | 1-2 | 11/17/14 | Subtidal | 4.6 | |
| 265-04 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 40 | а |
| 265-04 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 3.9 | а |
| 265-05 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 24.2 | |
| 265-05 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 9.36 | |
| 265-06 | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 1.6 | |
| 265-06 | 1-2 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 1.7 | |
| 265-07 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 60.0 | |
| 265-07 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal 44 | | b |
| 265-07 | 2-3 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 11 | |
| 265-08 | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 8.35 | |
| 265-08 | 1-2 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 18 | |
| 265-08 | 2-3 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 11.1 | |
| 265-09 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 71 | |
| 265-09 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 60 | |
| 265-09 | 2-3 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 22.3 | |
| 265-10 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 27 | |
| 265-10 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 45 | b |
| 265-10 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 11.6 | |
| 265-11 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 6.3 | |
| 265-11 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 18.0 | |
| 265-11 | 2.0-2.1 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 37 | |
| 265-11A | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 6.47 | |
| 265-11A | 1-2 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 8.4 | |
| 265-12 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 226 | |

Table 2-1Pre-Remediation PCB Data Points

| Location | Depth Interval (feet) | Collection Date | Area-Specific Shoreline Cleanup Levels1Total PCB 2,4 (mg/kg) | | Qual |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|------|------|
| 265-12 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 88 | |
| 265-12 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 57 | С |
| 265-13 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 5.6 | |
| 265-13 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 16.9 | |
| 265-13 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 11 | |
| 265-14 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 41 | |
| 265-14 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 3.58 | |
| 265-15 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 37 | |
| 265-15 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 64 | |
| 265-15 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 17.7 | |
| 265-16 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 12 | |
| 265-16 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 12.0 | |
| 265-16 | 2.0-2.8 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 16 | |
| 265-17 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | 5.1 | |
| 265-17 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Intertidal | ND | |
| 265-18 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 163 | |
| 265-18 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 430 | |
| 265-18 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 378 | с |
| 265-19 | 0-1 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 79 | |
| 265-19 | 1-2 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 336 | |
| 265-19 | 2-3 | 11/05/14 | Subtidal | 853 | С |
| 265-20 | 0-1 | 11/18/14 | Subtidal | 391 | |
| 265-20 | 1-2 | 11/18/14 | Subtidal | 6.5 | |
| 265-21 | 0-1 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 28 | |
| 265-21 | 1-2 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 214 | |
| 265-21 | 2-3 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 184 | С |
| 265-22 | 0-1 | 11/21/14 | Subtidal | 21 | b |
| 265-22 | 1-2 | 11/21/14 | Subtidal | 50 | b |
| 265-22 | 2-3 | 11/21/14 | Subtidal | 8.51 | |
| 265-23 | 0-1 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 55 | |

Table 2-1Pre-Remediation PCB Data Points

| Location | Depth Interval (feet) | Collection Date | Area-Specific Shoreline Cleanup Levels ¹ | Total PCB ^{2,4} (mg/kg) | Qual |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| 265-23 | 1-2 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 98 | |
| 265-23 | 2-3 | 11/06/14 | Subtidal | 8.3 | |
| 265-24 | 0-1 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 17.5 | |
| 265-24 | 1-2 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 8.8 | |
| 265-25 | 0-1 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 76.6 | |
| 265-25 | 1-2 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 5.3 | |
| 265-26 | 0-1 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 12 | |
| 265-26 | 1-2 | 11/06/14 | Intertidal | 1.0 | |
| 265-27 | 0-1 | 11/21/14 | Subtidal | 14.9 | |
| 265-27 | 1-2 | 11/21/14 | Subtidal | 4.3 | |
| 265-28 | 0-1 | 11/07/14 | Intertidal | 51.3 | |
| 265-28 | 1-2 | 11/07/14 | Intertidal | 0.7 | а |
| 265-29 | 0-1 | 11/07/14 | Subtidal | 17 | |
| 265-29 | 1-2 | 11/07/14 | Subtidal | 3.4 | |
| 265-30 | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | 11/11/14 Subtidal 17 | | |
| 265-30 | 1-2 | 11/11/14 | Subtidal | 43 | b |
| 265-30 | 2-3 | 11/11/14 | Subtidal | 67 c | |
| 265-31 | 0-1 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 67 | |
| 265-31 | 1-2 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 63 | |
| 265-31 | 2.0-2.7 | 11/20/14 | Subtidal | 35 | |
| 265-32 | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 11.7 | |
| 265-32 | 1.0-1.5 | 11/11/14 | Intertidal | 0.6 | |
| 265-33 | 0-1 | 11/11/14 | Subtidal | 91 | |
| 265-33 | 1-2 | 11/11/14 | Subtidal | 64 | |
| 265-33 | 2-3 | 11/11/14 | Subtidal | 55 | с |
| 265-34 | 0-1 | 04/27/15 | Intertidal | 8.1 | |
| 265-35 | 3-4 | 04/21/15 | Subtidal | 768 | |
| 265-35 | 4-5 | 04/21/15 | Subtidal | 324 | |
| 265-35 | 5-6 | 04/21/15 | Subtidal | 1.8 | |
| 265-35 | 6-6.4 | 04/21/15 | Subtidal 113 | | с |

Table 2-1 **Pre-Remediation PCB Data Points**

| Location | Depth Interval (feet) | Collection Date | Area-Specific Shoreline Cleanup Levels ¹ | Total PCB ^{2,4} (mg/kg) | Qual |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| 265-36 | 3-4 | 04/27/15 | Subtidal | 91.1 | |
| 265-36 | 4-5 | 04/27/15 | Subtidal | 2.6 | |
| 265-36 | 5-6 | 04/27/15 | Subtidal | 1.7 | |
| 265-36 | 6-6.2 | 04/27/15 | Subtidal | 5.0 | |
| BH4 | 0-1 | 11/30/07 | Subtidal | 31.2 | |
| BH4 | 1-2 | 11/30/07 | Subtidal | 6.50 | |
| BH4 | 2-3 | 11/30/07 | Subtidal | 4.16 | |
| BH8 | 0-1 | 11/30/07 | Intertidal | 1.46 | |
| BH8 | 1-2 | 11/30/07 | Intertidal | 0.255 | |
| BH8 | 2-3 | 11/30/07 | Intertidal | 0.286 | |
| BH-E2 | 0-1 | 11/03/10 | Subtidal | 65.0 | |
| BH-E2 | 1-2 | 11/03/10 | Subtidal | ND | |
| BH-E2 | 2-3 | 11/03/10 | Subtidal | ND | |
| BH-E2 | 3-4 | 11/03/10 | Subtidal ND | | |
| BH-F1 | 0-1 | 10/25/10 | D Subtidal 23.9 | | |
| BH-G1 | 0-1 | 10/25/10 | Subtidal | 135 | |
| BH-G1 | 1-2 | 10/25/10 | Subtidal | 0.0192 | |
| BH-K1 | 0-1 | 10/25/10 | Subtidal | 28.6 | |
| BH-K1 | 1-2 | 10/25/10 | Subtidal | 93.6 | С |
| BH-SOIL1 | 0-1 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 4.68 | |
| BH-SOIL1 | 1-2 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 2.50 | |
| BH-SOIL2 | 0-1 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 2.86 | |
| BH-SOIL2 | 1-2 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 4.68 | |
| BH-SOIL3 | 0-1 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 2.50 | |
| BH-SOIL3 | 1-2 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 1.40 | |
| BH-SOIL4 | 0-1 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 5.72 | |
| BH-SOIL4 | 1-2 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 5.46 | |
| BH-SOIL5 | 0-1 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | 0.390 | |
| BH-SOIL5 | 1-2 | 10/27/10 | Intertidal | ND | |
| S-178 | 0-1 | 10/08/99 | Subtidal | 1480 | |

Table 2-1Pre-Remediation PCB Data Points

| Location | Depth Interval (feet) | Collection Date | Area-Specific Shoreline Cleanup Levels ¹ | Total PCB ^{2,4} (mg/kg) | Qual |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| S-178 | 1-2 | 10/08/99 | Subtidal | 72.8 | |
| S-178 | 2-3 | 10/08/99 | Subtidal | 3120 | |
| S-178 | 3-4 | 10/08/99 | Subtidal | 936 | С |
| S-3618 | 1.4-1.9 | 09/18/01 | Subtidal | 1120 | |
| S-3618 | 1.9-2.4 | 09/18/01 | Subtidal | 286 | С |
| S-3835 | 0-1 | 10/17/01 | Intertidal | 7.80 | |
| S-3836 | 1.3-1.8 | 09/27/01 | Subtidal | 70.2 | |
| S-3836 | 2.3-2.8 | 09/27/01 | Subtidal | 0.0780 | |
| S-848 | 0-1 | 10/24/00 | Intertidal | 1.25 | |
| S-848 | 1-2 | 10/24/00 | Intertidal | 0.650 | |
| S-849 | 0-1 | 10/24/00 | Intertidal | 0.135 | |
| S-849 | 1-2 | 10/24/00 | Intertidal | 0.156 | |
| S-850 | 0-1 | 10/24/00 | Subtidal | 80.6 | |
| S-850 | 1-2 | 10/24/00 | Subtidal | 25.0 | |

Table 2-1Pre-Remediation PCB Data Points

Notes:

¹ TCLs: Vegetated Intertidal: 25 ppm for 0-1 foot interval, 50 ppm > 1 foot, and Mudflats/Subtidal : 10 ppm

² Bold font - Location included in remediation footprint

³ Total PCB Method: (1) sum of 139 congeners; (2) sum of NOAA 18 congeners X 2.6, (3) im

 4 ND = non detect

a- average of field duplicates/lab replicates

b - IA result less than TCL, but flagged for removal

c - vertical extent of contamination not delineated.

 Table 2-2

 Post-Excavation PCB Congener Sample Data

| Station ID | Sample ID | Field QC Code | Sample Date | Sum 139 PCB Congeners ¹ (mg/kg) | Qual | Sum 139 PCB Congener Average ^{2,3,4} (mg/kg) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--|------|---|
| Low Marsh: | target cleanup level = 25 mg/kg | in top 1 ft | | | | |
| 265-C5 | S-16M-265-C5-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 4.48 | | |
| 265-C7 | S-16M-265-C7-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 3.81 | | |
| 265-C11 | S-16M-265-C11-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 6.63 | | 3.8 |
| 265-C12 | S-16M-265-C12-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 3.08 | | |
| 265-C17 | S-16M-265-C17-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2017 | 1.12 | | |
| Mudflat/Sul | btidal: target cleanup level = 10 n | ng/kg | | | | |
| 265-C1 | S-16M-265-C1-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 27.4 | | |
| 265-C2 | S-16M-265-C2-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 13.1 | | |
| 265-C3 | S-16M-265-C3-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 40.1 | | |
| 265-C3 | S-16M-265-C3-00-10-REP | REP | 3/8/2016 | 46 | | |
| 265-C4 | S-16M-265-C4-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 23.2 | | |
| 265-C6 | S-16M-265-C6-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 17.8 | | |
| 265-C8 | S-16M-265-C8-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 55.2 | | |
| 265-C9 | S-16M-265-C9-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 35.3 | | 34.4 |
| 265-C10 | S-16M-265-C10-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 22.5 | | |
| 265-C13 | S-16M-265-C13-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 11.8 | | |
| 265-C14 | S-16M-265-C14-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 122 | | |
| 265-C15 | S-16M-265-C15-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 27.9 | | |
| 265-C16 | S-16M-265-C16-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 0.241 | | |
| 265-C18 | S-16M-265-C18-00-10 | SA | 3/9/2016 | 1.01 | | |
| 265-C19 | S-16M-265-C19-00-10 | SA | 3/8/2016 | 80.4 | | |

Notes:

¹ Sum of 139 PCB congeners; non-detects are set to zero in the sums.

² Field duplicate results are averaged in the compliance calculation.

³Low marsh samples listed were covered with clean backfill as part of site restoration.

⁴10 ppm TCL for mudflats/subtidal is for the entire upper harbor; value is acceptable if 10 ppm TCL is achieved for the entire upper harbor. U - not detected

ID - identification; QC - quality control; PCB - polychlorinated biphenyl; Qual - qualifier

SA - field sample; REP - field replicate

Table 2-3Site Restoration Summary

| PLANTING DATES (Completed) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6/27/2016 | Hydro seeding completed. (New England Conservation/Wildlife Mix with winter rye at 25 lbs per acre) | | | | | | |
| 7/1/2016 Saltmarsh plugs completed. (11,200 Spartina alterniflora 2" plugs, 1,400 Ammophila brevigulata 2" plugs) | | | | | | | |
| | PHRAGMITES CONTROL | | | | | | |
| 6/15/2016 | Phragmites was removed by the roots after access road was removed. Plant material stored at the CDF. | | | | | | |
| LOW MARSH AND HIGH MARSH ELEVATIONS (Bottom to Top) | | | | | | | |
| Low Marsh | From coir log (approximately 0.13') to 1.75' | | | | | | |
| Beach Grass | 1.75' to 4.98' | | | | | | |
| Conservation Seed Mix | Above 4.98' (New England Conservation/Wildlife Mix mixed with winter rye) | | | | | | |
| | IMPORTED TOPSOIL | | | | | | |
| Grain Size | 0.053 mm (No. 270 sieve) to 4.76 mm (No. 4 sieve), with 40% measured at 0.500 mm (No. 35 sieve). | | | | | | |
| Organic Content | 3.2% | | | | | | |
| Quantity | 1,148 cubic yards of topsoil (screened loam) | | | | | | |
| | SHORELINE PROTECTION | | | | | | |
| Coir log | 920 linear feet | | | | | | |

Attachment 1

95% Upper Confidence Limit Calculation

95% Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) Calculation for the Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation Area New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site

December 27, 2017

| Study ID | Station ID | Sample Date | Northing | Easting | Total PCB Concentration ¹ 0-1 foot interval (mg/kg) | Comment |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|---|-------------------------------------|
| NBHINT2014 | 265-02 | 11/17/2014 | 2701118.0 | 814853.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, low marsh |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-03 | 11/17/2014 | 2701103.0 | 814902.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-04 | 11/20/2014 | 2701087.0 | 814901.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-05 | 11/20/2014 | 2701042.0 | 814899.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-07 | 11/20/2014 | 2701009.0 | 814903.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-08 | 11/11/2014 | 2700974.0 | 814872.0 | 8.35 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-11A | 11/11/2014 | 2700942.0 | 814885.0 | 6.47 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-24 | 11/6/2014 | 2700646.0 | 814865.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, low marsh |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-25 | 11/6/2014 | 2700610.0 | 814885.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, low marsh |
| NBHINT2014 | 265-28 | 11/7/2014 | 2700558.0 | 814929.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, low marsh |
| NBHCNF2016 | 265-C5 | 3/8/2016 | 2701080.3 | 814900.2 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHCNF2016 | 265-C7 | 3/8/2016 | 2700985.5 | 814901.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHCNF2016 | 265-C11 | 3/8/2016 | 2700798.5 | 814947.8 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHCNF2016 | 265-C12 | 3/9/2016 | 2700750.1 | 814900.3 | 0.01 | Excavated, backfilled with rip rap |
| NBHCNF2016 | 265-C17 | 3/8/2016 | 2700605.9 | 814901.1 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| POST2007 | BH4 | 11/30/2007 | 2700856.0 | 814932.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| POST2007 | BH8 | 11/30/2007 | 2700545.0 | 814927.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, low marsh |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-F1 | 10/25/2010 | 2701033.0 | 814918.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-G1 | 10/25/2010 | 2700917.0 | 814932.0 | 0.01 | Excavated and backfilled, mudflat |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-SOIL1 | 10/27/2010 | 2701075.0 | 814831.0 | 4.68 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-SOIL2 | 10/27/2010 | 2701002.0 | 814841.0 | 2.86 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-SOIL3 | 10/27/2010 | 2700911.0 | 814869.0 | 2.50 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-SOIL4 | 10/27/2010 | 2700832.0 | 814887.0 | 5.72 | Not excavated, upland |
| NBHMON2010 | BH-SOIL5 | 10/27/2010 | 2700771.0 | 814906.0 | 0.390 | Not excavated, upland |
| PHASEII | S-848 | 10/24/2000 | 2700399.0 | 814999.0 | 1.25 | Not excavated, mudflat |
| PHASEII | S-849 | 10/24/2000 | 2700299.0 | 815002.0 | 0.135 | Not excavated, upland |
| PHASE3D | S-3835 | 10/17/2001 | 2700734.0 | 814838.0 | 7.80 | Not excavated, upland |
| Maximum | | | | | 8.35 | |
| Mean | | | | | 1.49 | |
| 95% UCL ² | | | | | 3.72 | |

Notes:

¹ Total PCB is the sum of NOAA 18 congeners X 2.6 correction factor for samples collected from 2000-2010 and sum of 139 PCB congeners for samples

collected from 2014-2016; non-detects counted as zero in the sums. A concentration of 0.01 mg/kg was assumed for backfilled areas.

²Non-parametric, distribution-free UCL: 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL, calculated in ProUCL Version 5.0.00.



Attachment: ProUCL Output for the Parcel 265 Intertidal Remediation Area

UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets

| User Selected Options | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Date/Time of Computation | 12/20/2017 10:54 |
| From File | WorkSheet.xls |
| Full Precision | OFF |
| Confidence Coefficient | 95% |
| Number of Bootstrap Operations | 200000% |

tPCB (ppm)

| General Statistics | | | |
|--|--------|---|--------|
| Total Number of Observations | 27 | Number of Distinct Observations | 11 |
| | | Number of Missing Observations | 0 |
| Minimum | 0.01 | Mean | 1.494 |
| Maximum | 8.35 | Median | 0.01 |
| SD | 2.653 | Std. Error of Mean | 0.511 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 1.776 | Skewness | 1.665 |
| | | | |
| Normal GOF Test | | | |
| Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.624 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 5% Shaniro Wilk Critical Value | 0.923 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.365 | Lilliefors GOE Test | |
| 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.303 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| Data Not Normal at E% Significance Lovel | 0.171 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| Assuming Normal Distribution | | | |
| 95% Normal LICI | | 95% LICLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | |
| 95% Normal OCE | 2 264 | 0E% Adjusted CLT LICL (Chap 100E) | 2 500 |
| 55% Student S-t OCL | 2.304 | 95% Adjusted-CLT OCL (Chensen 1078) | 2.508 |
| | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | 2.392 |
| Commo COF Tost | | | |
| A D Test Statistic | 2.005 | Anderson Deding Courses COS Test | |
| A-D Test Statistic | 3.885 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | |
| 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.882 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| K-S Test Statistic | 0.394 | Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test | |
| 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.185 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| | | | |
| Gamma Statistics | | | |
| k hat (MLE) | 0.24 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.238 |
| Theta hat (MLE) | 6.23 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 6.281 |
| nu hat (MLE) | 12.95 | nu star (bias corrected) | 12.84 |
| MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 1.494 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 3.063 |
| | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | 5.785 |
| Adjusted Level of Significance | 0.0401 | Adjusted Chi Square Value | 5.484 |
| | | | |
| Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | |
| 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | 3.315 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | 3.497 |
| | | | |
| Lognormal GOF Test | | | |
| Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.678 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.923 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.394 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.171 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| | | | |
| Lognormal Statistics | | | |
| Minimum of Logged Data | -4.605 | Mean of logged Data | -2.582 |
| Maximum of Logged Data | 2.122 | SD of logged Data | 2.808 |
| | | | |
| Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | |
| 95% H-UCL | 76.9 | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 7.175 |
| 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 9.395 | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 12.47 |
| 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 18.53 | | |
| | | | |
| Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | |
| Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | |
| · · | | | |
| Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | |
| 95% CLT UCL | 2.333 | 95% Jackknife UCL | 2.364 |
| 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | 2.297 | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | 2.816 |
| 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | 2,302 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 2.356 |
| 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 2.54 | ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL

90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL

97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL

Suggested UCL to Use

Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002) and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets. For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.

6.573

3.025 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL

4.682 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL

3.719

6.573