

Overview of U.S. EPA's ORD Technical Outreach and Support Activities on Sustainable Mining Applications



#### **Douglas W. Grosse and Diana Bless**

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory 2008 U.S. EPA/ NGWA Remediation Mine Land Conference, October 2-3, 2008 Denver, CO The Westin Tabor Center



# Can we <u>efficiently</u>?

- Extract and utilize resources (including by-products)
- Manage wastes
- Reclamation/restoration
- Produce socio-economic advantages





#### Magnitude of Ore Mine Waste Problem Number Indicates Number of Mines



2



### **ORD's Mining Efforts**

- Mine Waste Technology Program (MWTP)
- Engineering Technical Support Center
- Technology Transfer Program









# Collaboration

- -EPA Region 8
- -EPA Region 10
- Technology
  Transfer Program
- -EPA Region 7
- -Industry Partners
- -Academia
- -Western Governors Association
- -BLM
- -Forest Service
- -DOE





#### **MWTP Overview**

- EPA-NRMRL
  - Technical Direction/Oversight
  - Quality Assurance Oversight
- DOE
  - Administrative Oversight
- MSE
  - Applied Research
  - Field Demonstrations
  - Technology Implementation
- Montana Tech
  - Basic Research





#### **MWTP Project Map**









## **MWTP Projects**

- Post-Mining Development Using Resources from Flooded Underground Mine Workings
- Cyanide Heap Biological Detoxification Phase II
- Design and Installation of a Modular SRB Bioreactor for Acid Rock Drainage Treatment
- Pulsed Limestone Bed Treatment of Metal Mine Drainage at the Argo Tunnel in Idaho Springs



#### **Engineering Technical Support Center Innovative Bioreactor Studies**

- Constructed Wetlands with Bioreactors
- Sulfate Reducing Bioreactors
- Biochemical Reactors





### **ORD Technology Transfer and Outreach**

1998 Heavy Metals Contamination Workshop - 150 attendees - Carol Browner gave keynote, Sen. Baucus and Sen. Burns spoke interactively 1998 Mining Workshop - 300 attendees 1999 Heavy Metals Contamination Workshop -180 Attendees 1999 Pit Lakes Workshop -240 attendees 2000 Mercury Workshop – 275 attendees 2001 Arsenic Workshop – 70 attendees 2002 Hard Rock Mining Conference – 375 attendees 2003 Workshop on Mining Impacted Native American Lands – 275 attendees 2004 Pit Lakes – 250 attendees 2005 Abandoned Mine Lands Workshop – 100 attendees 2006 Hard Rock Mining Conference – 350 attendees 2007 Abandoned Mine Lands Workshop – Coeur d'Alene, Idaho; 1993-2006 Mine Operations, Design, and Closure Conferences; Sponsors include: USFS, BLM, MT DEQ, MWTP



### **ORD Websites**

#### U.S. EPA/U.S. DOE Mine Waste Technology Program web site: http://www.epa.gov/minewastetechnology

EPA's Abandoned Mine Lands Program web site: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/aml



### Case Study on the Belmont Mine Resource Recovery Butte, MT

Suzzann Nordwick – MSE Keri Petritz – MSE/Montana Tech Norma Lewis – EPA Diana Bless – EPA





#### **Butte, Montana**





# **Project Purpose**

- Explore feasibility of recovering additional resources for beneficial use from underground mine workings
- Characterize underground mine waters at the Belmont Mine (long-term pumping test)
- Determine feasibility of upgrading water for use as irrigation water (treatability tests)
- Determine feasibility of using naturally elevated temperature water as a heat source for nearby buildings





#### **Belmont Mine Site**





# **Pumping Tests**

- 1. Step-Drawdown Test
- 2. Long-Term Pumping Test
- 3. Recovery Test
- 4. Test Data Analysis





# **Pumping Tests**

#### Analyses for primary sampling events:

- Field parameters: pH, specific conductivity (SC), temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP)
- Major cations and anions (Ca, Mg, Na, K, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and Cl<sup>-</sup>)
- Total recoverable metals (Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Ni, Se, Ag, Tl, V, U, and Zn);
- Dissolved metals (same list as above)
- Alkalinity and hardness
- Total suspended solids (TSS)
- Speciation of Fe
- Speciation of As
- Stable isotopic analysis (dD of water, d18O of water, d18O of sulfate, d32S of sulfate, d13C of dissolved inorganic carbon)
- Radionuclide analysis (dissolved radon, radium, uranium)

#### **Pump Test Water Quality Data**

Parameter	Proposed Irrigation Standard (ug/L)	Irrigation Well July 6, 2007	Irrigation Well July 24, 2007	Irrigation Well July 31, 2007	Irrigation Well August, 14 2007
Gallons Pumped	N/A	5000	1,800,000	2,700,000	4,960,000
pH (SU)	N/A	6.1	5.8	5.7	5.6
Temp (°C)	N/A	16.5	19.5	19.5	19.0
As (ug/L)	100	1190	1320	1340	1390
Cd (ug/L)	10	ND	0.3	0.3	0.8
Fe (ug/L)	20,000	28,600	182,000	188,000	160,000
Mn (ug/L)	10,000	4420	21,800	21,900	17,500
Pb (ug/L)	5000	0.8	1.7	Not analyzed	1.6
Zn (ug/L)	10,000	1990	20,900	19,300	10,100



### **Belmont Mine Water**

#### • Technical Challenges:

- Large batch flows, limited space, changing chemistry, etc.
- -Arsenic (As), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn) concentrations exceed the guidelines for water reuse
- Oxidation/pH adjustment with solid/liquid separation is a straightforward option
- Innovative technologies were also evaluated during the treatability study



# **Belmont Treatability Studies**

- 100 gallons of Belmont Water collected during pumping test for treatability testing stored under continuous nitrogen
- Two Phases of Testing
  - Phase 1 treatment tests were designed to incorporate oxidation and pH adjustment
    - Oxidation was accomplished using 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or air
    - 50% solution of NaOH was used for pH adjustment
  - Phase 2 tests optimized the most favorable treatment path identified in Phase 1
    - Hydrated lime (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) instead of NaOH was used for the pH adjustment
    - $H_2O_2$  was used to oxidize the water



# **Treatability Test Results**

- Comparing the results of test runs—H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> has better contaminant removal with Fe, As, and Mn
- Oxidation first requires less pH-adjustment reagent to achieve the target pH
- Lime addition to pH 9.5 followed by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> oxidation is the most effective treatment for removing all of the contaminants
- Settling tests were performed and flocculent was needed to settle sludge in a reasonable time



# **Belmont Water Quality Data**

Water Needs	Cost to irrigate with	Cost to irrigate	Cost difference that can
	groundwater from	with municipal	be used for treatment of
	Belmont well	water	mine water for Irrigation
	(\$/1000 gals)	(\$/1000 gals)	(\$/1000 gals)
1-inch/month per 10 acres for 5 months/year	\$0.17	\$1.72	\$1.55



Treatment Technology	Cost (\$/1000 gallons)
Estimated Dollar Available for Treatment of Mine Water	< \$1.55
AMD Treat (DOI's Office of Surface Mining) http://amd.osmre.gov/amdtreat.asp	\$0.92—1.69



### **Conclusions/ Recommendations**

- Belmont water is moderately contaminated
- Water can be upgraded to meet irrigation standards and be utilized in a beneficial way, reducing stress on municipal water supply
- Additional feasibility/treatability testing on a larger scale is warranted
- Site owner is pursuing funding for eventual implementation of a treatment system
- MSE is finalizing conceptual design for a treatment system
- High temperature water should be investigated as potential heat source for nearby buildings



## Acknowledgements

- Montana Economic Redevelopment Development Institute (MERDI)
- Butte Silver Bow Government/Planning Department
- Montana Resources
- BP-ARCO
- Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology
- EPA (Local and Regional)
- DOE
- Dr. Chris Gammons, Montana Tech
- Dr. Paul Miranda, Center for Advanced Mineral Processing
- Mine Waste Technology Program EPA, ORD, NRMRL
- MSE Applications Inc.
- Conducted under Interagency Agreement DW89-93989701-0 between the U.S. EPA and the U.S. DOE. Work was conducted through the DOE's Consolidated Business Center in Cincinnati, Ohio.